Association of thiamine use with outcomes in septic patients with alcohol use disorder: an analysis

of the MIMIC-III database

Chang Hu, MD^{1,2†}, Tong Wu, MD^{1,2†}, Siqing Ma, MS³, Weipeng Huang, MD^{1,2}, Qiancheng Xu, MD^{1,2},

Kianoush B. Kashani, MD^{4,5}, Bo Hu, MD^{1,2}, Jianguo Li, MD^{1,2}

¹Department of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, Hubei, China

²Clinical Research Center of Hubei Critical Care Medicine, Wuhan 430071, Hubei, China
³Department of Critical Care Medicine, Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital, Xining, Qinghai, China
⁴Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
⁵Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

† These authors contributed equally and share the first authorship

Corresponding Author:

Correspondence to: Jianguo Li, MD; Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University; 169 East Lake Road, PO Box 430071, Wuhan, Hubei, China; Email: <u>drlig5361@163.com</u>

And also correspondence to: Bo Hu, MD; Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University; 169 East Lake Road,

PO Box 430071, Wuhan, Hubei, China; Email: hobbier1979@163.com

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Tables and Figures cited in main text

- Table S1: Diagnostic criteria for alcohol use disorder
- Table S2: Thiamine administration in this study
- Table S3: Vasopressors use for septic patients with AUD during ICU stay
- Table S4: Variables change among patients during ICU stay
- Table S5: Daily trends in GCS score
- Figure S1: Diagnostic criteria for sepsis
- Figure S2: The dynamic changes for prespecified variables
- Figure S3: Association between the cumulative dose of thiamine administered during ICU stay and 28-day mortality among septic patients with AUD
- Figure S4: Association between the duration of thiamine use during ICU stay and 28-day mortality among septic patients with AUD
- STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies

Table S1: Diagnostic criteria for alcohol use disorder

| | ICD-9 | Description |
|---------------|-------|--|
| | 2910 | Alcohol withdrawal delirium |
| | 2911 | Alcohol-induced persisting amnestic disorder |
| | 2912 | Alcohol-induced persisting dementia |
| | 2913 | Alcohol-induced psychotic disorder with hallucinations |
| | 2915 | Alcohol-induced psychotic disorder with delusions |
| | 29181 | Alcohol withdrawal |
| | 29182 | Alcohol induced sleep disorders |
| | 29189 | Other alcohol-induced mental disorders |
| | 2919 | Unspecified alcohol-induced mental disorders |
| | 30300 | Acute alcoholic intoxication in alcoholism, unspecified |
| AUD diagnosis | 30301 | Acute alcoholic intoxication in alcoholism, continuous |
| | 30302 | Acute alcoholic intoxication in alcoholism, episodic |
| | 30303 | Acute alcoholic intoxication in alcoholism, in remission |
| | 30390 | Other and unspecified alcohol dependence, unspecified |
| | 30391 | Other and unspecified alcohol dependence, continuous |
| | 30392 | Other and unspecified alcohol dependence, episodic |
| | 30393 | Other and unspecified alcohol dependence, in remission |
| | 30500 | Alcohol abuse, unspecified |
| | 30501 | Alcohol abuse, continuous |
| | 30502 | Alcohol abuse, episodic |
| | 30503 | Alcohol abuse, in remission |

AUD was confirmed according to the criteria of International Classification of Diseases, 9th edition [ICD-9].

Abbreviations: AUD, alcohol use disorder; ICD-9, International Classification of Diseases, 9th edition.

| Variable | Thiamine, n=233 |
|--|-----------------|
| The first time of intravenous thiamine received in ICU | |
| Within 24 hours, % | 175/223(75.1) |
| Within 48 hours, % | 201/233(86.3) |
| Within 72 hours, % | 215/233(92.3) |
| Daily dose of thiamine use in ICU, mg | 100(100-100) |
| <100 mg, % | 24/233(10.3) |
| =100 mg, % | 179/233(76.8) |
| 101-200 mg, % | 18/233(7.7) |
| > 200 mg, % | 12/233(5.2) |
| Cumulative dose of thiamine use in ICU, mg | 200(100-345) |
| <100 mg, % | 10(4.3) |
| =100 mg, % | 83(35.6) |
| 100-200 mg, % | 44(18.9) |
| 200-345 mg, % | 38(16.3) |
| ≥345 mg, % | 58(24.9) |
| Days of thiamine use in ICU, day | 1(1-3) |
| 1 day, % | 125/233(53.6) |
| 2 day, % | 41/233(17.6) |
| 3 day, % | 33/233(14.2) |
| 4 day, % | 16/233 (6.9) |
| ≥5 day, % | 18/233(7.7) |
| Cumulative duration of thiamine use in ICU, hours | 18(7-63) |

Table S2: Thiamine administration in this study

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit.

| Duration of vasopressors use during ICU stay, hours | All <i>,</i> n=944 | Non-thiamine, n=711 | Thiamine, n=233 | P value |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Norepinephrine | 27(9-60) (n=323) | 26(9-54) (n=247) | 30(7-86) (n=76) | 0.404 |
| Phenylephrine | 16(6-39) (n=184) | 15(6-38) (n=133) | 20(4-40) (n=51) | 0.909 |
| Vasopressin | 27(14-62) (n=89) | 23(11-51) (n=67) | 33(21-96) (n=22) | 0.165 |
| Dopamine | 6(2-30) (n=53) | 6(2-30) (n=45) | 11(2-73) (n=8) | 0.728 |
| Epinephrine | 11(2-30) (n=10) | 12(3-53) (n=8) | -/(n=2) | 0.296 |
| Milrinone | 119(47-147) (n=6) | 110(43-151) (n=5) | -/(n=1) | 0.770 |
| Dobutamine | 1(0.3-18) (n=5) | -/(n=1) | 1.2(0.4-27) (n=4) | 0.480 |

Table S3: Vasopressors use for septic patients with AUD during ICU stay

Abbreviations: AUD, alcohol use disorder; ICU, intensive care unit.

| | ۵ | Non-thiamine | Thiamine n=233 | P value |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Variables change | n=944 | n=711 | | i value |
| \triangle Lactate, mmol/L | -1.2(-2.9 to -0.1) | -1.3(-3.3 to 0) | -0.9(-2.7 to -0.1) | 0.987 |
| riangleHeart rate, bpm | -6(-19 to 5) | -5(-18 to 5) | -7(-19 to 5) | 0.621 |
| riangleRespiratory rate | 0(-4 to 4) | 0(-4 to 4) | 1(-4 to 5) | 0.232 |
| riangleSystolic blood pressure, mmHg | 5(-5 to 18) | 5(-5 to 18) | 7(-6 to 18) | 0.912 |
| Δ Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg | 0(-8 to 7) | 0(-7 to 7) | 0(-10 to 7) | 0.696 |
| riangleSerum creatine, mg/dL | -0.2(-0.6 to 0) | -0.3(-0.6 to 0) | -0.2(-0.5 to 0) | 0.341 |
| riangleBlood urea nitrogen, mg/dL | -2(-12 to 6) | -2(-12 to 7) | -2(-10 to 5) | 0.992 |
| riangle White blood cell count, ×10³/uL | -2.4(-6.9 to 1.0) | -2.3(-0.4 to 0.8) | -2.6(-7.2 to 1.2) | 0.726 |
| riangleSerum magnesium, mg/dL | -0.1(-0.4 to 0.1) | -0.1(-0.4 to 0.1) | -0.1(-0.4 to 0.2) | 0.287 |

Table S4: Changes in variables among patients during ICU stay

 Δ $\,$ represented the change between Day 7 and Day 1 $\,$

Table S5: Daily trends in GCS score.

| | Intervention group | Control group |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Day 1 GCS, medium (IQR) | 14(13-15) | 15(13-15) |
| Day 2 GCS, medium (IQR) | 15(13-15) | 15(14-15) |
| Day 3 GCS, medium (IQR) | 15(13-15) | 15(14-15) |
| Day 4 GCS, medium (IQR) | 15(13-15) | 15(14-15) |
| Day 5 GCS, medium (IQR) | 15(12-15) | 15(14-15) |
| Day 6 GCS, medium (IQR) | 14.5(13-15) | 15(14-15) |
| Day 7 GCS, medium (IQR) | 15(13.5-15) | 15(14-15) |

Abbreviations: GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale.

Figure S1: Diagnostic criteria for sepsis



Patients with suspected or documented infection combined SOFA score no less than 2 points were diagnosed sepsis (Diagnosis time between 24 hours before ICU admission and 24 hours after ICU admission).

Abbreviations: SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; ICU, intensive care unit.



Figure S2: The dynamic changes up to day 7 for prespecified variables

* represented p < 0.05

The square icon in each group represented mean value.

Figure S3: Association between the cumulative dose of thiamine administered during ICU stay and 28-day mortality among septic patients with AUD



Cumulative dose of thiamine administered during ICU stay, mg

| Dose | No. of patients | 28-day mortality | P value |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| ≤100 mg | 93 | 11/93(11.8%) | |
| 100-200 mg | 44 | 2/44(4.5%) | |
| 200-345 mg | 38 | 4/38(10.5%) | 0.375 |
| ≥345 mg | 58 | 9/58(15.5%) | |
| Total | 233 | 26/233(11.2%) | |

Abbreviations: AUD, alcohol use disorder; ICU, intensive care unit.

Figure S4: Association between the duration of thiamine use during ICU stay and 28-day mortality among septic patients with AUD



Abbreviations: AUD, alcohol use disorder; ICU, intensive care unit.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

| | Item | | Page No |
|------------------------|------|--|---------|
| | No | Recommendation | |
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | 1-3 |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and | |
| | | what was found | |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 5 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 5 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 5-6 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, | 5-6 |
| | | exposure, follow-up, and data collection | |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. | 6 |
| | | Describe methods of follow-up | |
| | | (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect | 6-7 |
| | | modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of | 6-8 |
| measurement | | assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is | |
| | | more than one group | |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | 7-8 |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | 8 |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe | 8 |
| | | which groupings were chosen and why | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding | 8-10 |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions | |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | |
| | | (d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed | |
| | | (<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses | |
| Results | | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially | 10 |
| | | eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing | |
| | | follow-up, and analysed | |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and | 10-11 |
| | | information on exposures and potential confounders | |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | |
| | | (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | |
| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | 10-11 |

| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision | | |
|-------------------|----|---|-------|--|
| | | (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were | | |
| | | included | | |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | | |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time | | |
| | | period | | |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | 12-13 | |
| Discussion | | | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 14 | |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss | 16-17 | |
| | | both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | | |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of | 17 | |
| | | analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | | |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | 14-17 | |
| Other information | | | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the | 19 | |
| | | original study on which the present article is based | | |

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version.