Supplementary Information File A for

Telomere-length dependent T-Cell clonal expansion: a model linking ageing to COVID-19 T-Cell lymphopenia and mortality

James J. Anderson* , Abraham Aviv, Ezra Susser, Konstantin G. Arbeev, Anatoliy I. Yashin, Daniel Levy⁶, Simon Verhulst

*Corresponding author. Email: jjand@uw.edu

This file includes:

Supplementary Text A1 and A2 Figs. S1 to S2 Tables S1 References (1-4)

Other Supplementary Information for this manuscript includes the following:

Supplementary_Information_B.R R code (1) to make model and Figures 2, 3, 4, S1, S1

Text A1. Effect of limited clone size (LCS) definition on model response and correlation with observed mortality

The model assumes that mortality from COVID-19 only occurs when T-cell clonal expansion cannot achieve maximum clone size (MCS). We were unable to a priori select how LCS might affect mortality. We explored instead the relationship of mean LCS with years and the Hazards ratio₂₀ for three cutoff levels used for defining LCS: (I) LCS < MCS, (II) LCS < 0.5 MCS, and (III) $LCS < 0.15$ MCS (Figure S1). In cutoff I, any clone that cannot achieve a MCS is included in the LCS subpopulation and therefore susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 mortality. In cutoff II, only clones that are less than half the MCS are included in the LCS subpopulation and in cutoff III only clones that are less than 15% of the MCS are included.

The percent of the subpopulation in the LCS category (%LCS) increases from \sim 5% at age 20 to \sim 90% at age 90 (Figure S1a). These percentages change little between the three cutoff levels. The maximum difference in % LCS in the definitions occurs at age 50 years. This close tracking with age indicates that the LCS cutoff has a minimal effect on the partition of the subpopulations in Figure 3a,b. Note that the height of the histogram bars in Figure 3b does not depend on the LCS cutoff.

Figure S1b illustrates that the LCS cutoff has a strong effect on the mean LCS pattern with age. But for all cutoffs, the mean LCS declines in a nearly linear manner with age. Thus, the assumption of a linear age-dependence of mean LCS with age is unaffected by the LCS cutoff choice.

Figure S1c depicts the effect of the LCS cutoff on the hazard ratio₂₀. Here, because the cutoffs do not significantly affect linearity of mean LCS with age, they rescale the mean LCS at which the hazards ratio₂₀ of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 diverge, which for **Figure 4c** is taken as the critical hazards ratio₂₀ = 25.4. Then the mean LCS associated with this critical hazards ratio₂₀ linearly scales with the LCS cutoff as $\overline{\text{LCS}}_{\text{crit}} = 0.142 \text{LCS}_{\text{cutoff}}$.

In sum, the cutoff level changes the critical mean LCS at which the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 hazards ratios₂₀ diverge but this critical level is proportional to the cutoff level. Thus, a significant divergence of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 hazards ratios₂₀ is robust to the definition of the CS level susceptible to mortality.

Figure S1. Model properties with three LCS cutoff levels: $LCL < 1.0$ MCS $(-)$, LCL < 0.5 MCS (—) and LCL < 0.15 MCS (—). (a) % of population in LCS group by age for cutoff levels, (b) mean LCS vs age for cutoff levels, (c) hazards ratio₂₀ vs mean LCS for cutoff levels. Dashed line $(-)$ depicts hazards ratio₂₀ (25.4) at which COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 mortalities diverge. perties with three LCS cutoff levels: LCL < 1.0 MCS (--),

and LCL < 0.15 MCS (--). (a) % of population in LCS group by

b) mean LCS vs age for cutoff levels, (c) hazards ratio₂₀ vs mean

Dashed line (--) depicts hazard

Text A2. Effects of parameter estimates on model response

Parameters (MCS, LCS, X_0 , TL_o, g, r, TL_B, TL₂₀, and Δ_{max} , **Table 1** in the paper) were derived from published data (Table S1 shows references). To demonstrate the contribution of these terms to the model uncertainty, we use the propagation of error approach assuming uncorrelated terms. Based on the equation $X_0 = 20 + (TL_{20} - TL_B - \Delta_{max})/g$ the uncertainty in the TL_O measures is ter estimates on model response

TL_O, g, r, TL_B, TL₂₀, and Δ_{max} , Table 1 in the paper) were derived

SI shows references). To demonstrate the contribution of these terms

use the propagation of error approach ass

$$
\sigma_{X_{o}}^{2} \approx \frac{1}{g^{2}} \left(\sigma_{TL_{B}}^{2} + \sigma_{\Delta_{max}}^{2} + \left(X_{o} - 20 \right)^{2} \sigma_{g}^{2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{TL_{o}}^{2} = \sigma_{TL_{B}}^{2} + \sigma_{\Delta_{max}}^{2}
$$
\n(S1)

To estimate uncertainty of CS, first define the number of T-cell replications in clone expansion as $N_X = (TL_{20} - g(X - 20) - TL_B)/r$ where age is X. Then variance in replications is

$$
\sigma_{N_X}^2 \approx \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\sigma_{TL_B}^2 + (X - 20)^2 \sigma_g^2 + N_X^2 \sigma_r^2 \right)
$$
 (S2)

Next, to estimate uncertainty in CS, define the clone size in terms of the number of replications as $CS = 2^{N_x}$, then the variance in the CS for a clone with limited expansion capacity is

$$
\sigma_{\text{Lcs}_x}^2 \approx \left(\ln(2)2^{N_x}\right)^2 \sigma_{N_x}^2
$$
\n(S3)
\nulated from Eq. (S3) by setting X to X_O giving

and the variance on MCS is calculated from Eq. $(S3)$ by setting X to X_O giving

$$
\sigma_{\text{MCS}}^2 \approx \left(\ln(2) 2^{N_{X_0}}\right)^2 \sigma_{N_{X_0}}^2 \tag{S4}
$$

 $\[\varepsilon(\ln(2)2^{N_x})^2 \sigma_{N_x}^2\]$ (S3)
from Eq. (S3) by setting X to X₀ giving
 $\left(\ln(2)2^{N_{X_0}}\right)^2 \sigma_{N_{X_0}}^2$ (S4)
e calculated using the parameter estimates and standard The uncertainties for these measures are calculated using the parameter estimates and standard deviations given in Table S1.

The uncertainty in the TL of the TL_O from Eq. (S1) is $\sigma_{TL_0} = 0.1$ kb, or 1.5%. The uncertainty in $X₀$ depends on TL₂₀. Figure S2a shows that the uncertainty varies slightly with TL₂₀. Using the population mean TL₂₀ of 7.3 kb the uncertainty is $\sigma_{X_0} \sim 3.4$ years.

The uncertainty in CS and number of replications depend on age X (**Figure S2b,c**). In the figure, the uncertainty is normalized by measures prior to TL_O, i.e., $N_{max} = 20$ and MCS = 2^{20} . In panels **b** and **c**, the relative uncertainties decrease after the TL_0 , here set to $X_0 = 50$ years to represent individuals with average TL_{20} of 7.3 kb. Notably, the large uncertainty in the MCS is wholly dominated by σ_r through Eq. (S2). While the uncertainty in CS is significant, the properties of the model are expressed in terms of the ratio CS/MCS and not the actual value of MCS.

Figure S2. Uncertainty in parameter estimates. (a) Uncertainty in X_0 with TL20. (b) Uncertainty in clone replication number (N) with age normalized by Nmax. (c) Uncertainty with age in CS normalized by the MCS.

parameter	value	units	Reference
$\sigma_{_{\Delta_{\rm max}}}$	0.1	kb	$\overline{2}$
$\sigma_{\rm B}$	0.0034	kb	3, 4
$\sigma_{\rm g}$	0.00065	kb/year	$\overline{2}$
$\sigma_{\rm r}$	0.02	kb/replication	4
$\Delta_{\rm max}$	1.4	kb	4
TL_{B}	5	kb	$\overline{2}$
TL_{20}	$5-9$	kb	5
g	0.03	kb/year	$\overline{2}$
r	0.07	kb/replication	$\overline{2}$

Table S1. Parameter estimates and uncertainties.

SI References

1. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021.

2. Steenstra T, Hjelmborg JV, Kark JD, Christensen K, Aviv A. The telomere lengthening conundrum--artifact or biology? Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(13):e131.

3. Aviv A, Hunt SC, Lin J, Cao X, Kimura M, Blackburn E. Impartial comparative analysis of measurement of leukocyte telomere length/DNA content by Southern blots and qPCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39(20):e134-e.

4. Weng NP, Hathcock KS, Hodes RJ. Regulation of telomere length and telomerase in T and B cells: a mechanism for maintaining replicative potential. Immunity. 1998;9(2):151-7.

5. Steenstrup T, Kark JD, Verhulst S, Thinggaard M, Hjelmborg JVB, Dalgård C, et al. Telomeres and the natural lifespan limit in humans. Aging. 2017;9(4):1130-42.