## Supplementary Information File A for

### Telomere-length dependent T-Cell clonal expansion: a model linking ageing to COVID-19 T-Cell lymphopenia and mortality

James J. Anderson<sup>\*</sup>, Abraham Aviv, Ezra Susser, Konstantin G. Arbeev, Anatoliy I. Yashin, Daniel Levy<sup>6</sup>, Simon Verhulst

\*Corresponding author. Email: jjand@uw.edu

This file includes:

Supplementary Text A1 and A2 Figs. S1 to S2 Tables S1 References (1-4)

### Other Supplementary Information for this manuscript includes the following:

Supplementary\_Information\_B.R R code (1) to make model and Figures 2, 3, 4, S1, S1

# Text A1. Effect of limited clone size (LCS) definition on model response and correlation with observed mortality

The model assumes that mortality from COVID-19 only occurs when T-cell clonal expansion cannot achieve maximum clone size (MCS). We were unable to *a priori* select how LCS might affect mortality. We explored instead the relationship of mean LCS with years and the Hazards ratio<sub>20</sub> for three cutoff levels used for defining LCS: (I) LCS < MCS, (II) LCS < 0.5 MCS, and (III) LCS < 0.15 MCS (**Figure S1**). In cutoff I, any clone that cannot achieve a MCS is included in the LCS subpopulation and therefore susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 mortality. In cutoff II, only clones that are less than half the MCS are included in the LCS subpopulation and in cutoff III only clones that are less than 15% of the MCS are included.

The percent of the subpopulation in the LCS category (%LCS) increases from ~ 5% at age 20 to ~ 90% at age 90 (**Figure S1a**). These percentages change little between the three cutoff levels. The maximum difference in % LCS in the definitions occurs at age 50 years. This close tracking with age indicates that the LCS cutoff has a minimal effect on the partition of the subpopulations in **Figure 3a,b**. Note that the height of the histogram bars in **Figure 3b** does not depend on the LCS cutoff.

**Figure S1b** illustrates that the LCS cutoff has a strong effect on the mean LCS pattern with age. But for all cutoffs, the mean LCS declines in a nearly linear manner with age. Thus, the assumption of a linear age-dependence of mean LCS with age is unaffected by the LCS cutoff choice.

**Figure S1c** depicts the effect of the LCS cutoff on the hazard ratio<sub>20</sub>. Here, because the cutoffs do not significantly affect linearity of mean LCS with age, they rescale the mean LCS at which the hazards ratio<sub>20</sub> of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 diverge, which for **Figure 4c** is taken as the critical hazards ratio<sub>20</sub> = 25.4. Then the mean LCS associated with this critical hazards ratio<sub>20</sub> linearly scales with the LCS cutoff as  $\overline{\text{LCS}}_{\text{crit}} = 0.142 \text{LCS}_{\text{cutoff}}$ .

In sum, the cutoff level changes the critical mean LCS at which the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 hazards ratios<sub>20</sub> diverge but this critical level is proportional to the cutoff level. Thus, a significant divergence of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 hazards ratios<sub>20</sub> is robust to the definition of the CS level susceptible to mortality.



Figure S1. Model properties with three LCS cutoff levels: LCL < 1.0 MCS (—), LCL < 0.5 MCS (—) and LCL < 0.15 MCS (—). (a) % of population in LCS group by age for cutoff levels, (b) mean LCS vs age for cutoff levels, (c) hazards ratio<sub>20</sub> vs mean LCS for cutoff levels. Dashed line (– –) depicts hazards ratio<sub>20</sub> (25.4) at which COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 mortalities diverge.

### Text A2. Effects of parameter estimates on model response

Parameters (MCS, LCS, X<sub>O</sub>, TL<sub>O</sub>, g, r, TL<sub>B</sub>, TL<sub>20</sub>, and  $\Delta_{max}$ , **Table 1** in the paper) were derived from published data (**Table S1** shows references). To demonstrate the contribution of these terms to the model uncertainty, we use the propagation of error approach assuming uncorrelated terms. Based on the equation  $X_O = 20 + (TL_{20} - TL_B - \Delta_{max})/g$  the uncertainty in the TL<sub>O</sub> measures is

$$\sigma_{X_{O}}^{2} \approx \frac{1}{g^{2}} \left( \sigma_{TL_{B}}^{2} + \sigma_{\Delta_{max}}^{2} + \left( X_{O} - 20 \right)^{2} \sigma_{g}^{2} \right)$$

$$\sigma_{TL_{O}}^{2} = \sigma_{TL_{B}}^{2} + \sigma_{\Delta_{max}}^{2}$$
(S1)

To estimate uncertainty of CS, first define the number of T-cell replications in clone expansion as  $N_x = (TL_{20} - g(X - 20) - TL_B)/r$  where age is X. Then variance in replications is

$$\sigma_{N_X}^2 \approx \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \sigma_{TL_B}^2 + \left( X - 20 \right)^2 \sigma_g^2 + N_X^2 \sigma_r^2 \right)$$
(S2)

Next, to estimate uncertainty in CS, define the clone size in terms of the number of replications as  $CS = 2^{N_x}$ , then the variance in the CS for a clone with limited expansion capacity is

$$\sigma_{\text{LCS}_{X}}^{2} \approx \left(\ln\left(2\right)2^{N_{X}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{N_{X}}^{2}$$
(S3)

and the variance on MCS is calculated from Eq. (S3) by setting X to X<sub>0</sub> giving

$$\sigma_{MCS}^2 \approx \left(\ln(2)2^{N_{X_0}}\right)^2 \sigma_{N_{X_0}}^2$$
(S4)

The uncertainties for these measures are calculated using the parameter estimates and standard deviations given in **Table S1**.

The uncertainty in the TL of the TL<sub>0</sub> from Eq. (S1) is  $\sigma_{TL_0} = 0.1$  kb, or 1.5%. The uncertainty in X<sub>0</sub> depends on TL<sub>20</sub>. Figure S2a shows that the uncertainty varies slightly with TL<sub>20</sub>. Using the population mean TL<sub>20</sub> of 7.3 kb the uncertainty is  $\sigma_{X_0} \sim 3.4$  years.

The uncertainty in CS and number of replications depend on age X (**Figure S2b,c**). In the figure, the uncertainty is normalized by measures prior to TL<sub>0</sub>, i.e.,  $N_{max} = 20$  and MCS =  $2^{20}$ . In panels **b** and **c**, the relative uncertainties decrease after the TL<sub>0</sub>, here set to  $X_0 = 50$  years to represent individuals with average TL<sub>20</sub> of 7.3 kb. Notably, the large uncertainty in the MCS is wholly dominated by  $\sigma_r$  through Eq. (S2). While the uncertainty in CS is significant, the properties of the model are expressed in terms of the ratio CS/MCS and not the actual value of MCS.



Figure S2. Uncertainty in parameter estimates. (a) Uncertainty in  $X_0$  with TL<sub>20</sub>. (b) Uncertainty in clone replication number (N) with age normalized by N<sub>max</sub>. (c) Uncertainty with age in CS normalized by the MCS.

parameter	value	units	Reference
$\sigma_{\Delta_{max}}$	0.1	kb	2
$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.0034	kb	3, 4
$\sigma_{g}$	0.00065	kb/year	2
$\sigma_{r}$	0.02	kb/replication	4
$\Delta_{ m max}$	1.4	kb	4
TL <sub>B</sub>	5	kb	2
TL <sub>20</sub>	5-9	kb	5
g	0.03	kb/year	2
r	0.07	kb/replication	2

Table S1. Parameter estimates and uncertainties.

### **SI References**

1. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021.

2. Steenstra T, Hjelmborg JV, Kark JD, Christensen K, Aviv A. The telomere lengthening conundrum--artifact or biology? Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(13):e131.

3. Aviv A, Hunt SC, Lin J, Cao X, Kimura M, Blackburn E. Impartial comparative analysis of measurement of leukocyte telomere length/DNA content by Southern blots and qPCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39(20):e134-e.

4. Weng NP, Hathcock KS, Hodes RJ. Regulation of telomere length and telomerase in T and B cells: a mechanism for maintaining replicative potential. Immunity. 1998;9(2):151-7.

5. Steenstrup T, Kark JD, Verhulst S, Thinggaard M, Hjelmborg JVB, Dalgård C, et al. Telomeres and the natural lifespan limit in humans. Aging. 2017;9(4):1130-42.