# **ESI-Electronic Supplementary Material**

# **Dendritic structured palladium complexes: Magnetically retrievable, highly efficient heterogeneous nanocatalyst for Suzuki and Heck cross‒coupling reactions**

# Safoora Sheikh,<sup>a,b</sup> Mohammad Ali Nasseri,\*<sup>a</sup> Mohammad Chahkandi,\*<sup>c</sup> Oliver Reiser<sup>b</sup> and Ali Allahresani<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Birjand, P. O. Box 97175-615, Birjand, Iran

b Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstr. 31, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Hakim Sabzevari University, P. O. Box 96179-76487, Sabzevar, Iran

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

.

manaseri@birjand.ac.ir; Tel: +98-561-32202065 (M. A. Nasseri)

m.chahkandi@hsu.ac.ir; Tel.: +985144013342; Fax: +985144012451 (M. Chahkandi)

# **Table of Contents**



# **1. Methods and Materials**

# **1.1 General**

All starting materials were commercially available and used as received. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica–gel 60 F254 plates and UV light was used for visualization and also by GC-FID on a Shimadzu GC-16A instrument using a 25 m CBP1-S25 (0.32 mm ID, 0.5 μm coating) capillary column. Melting points were determined on a Tropical Labequip apparatus. The FT–IR spectra (JASCO–FT–IR 4600) were recorded using KBr pellet. The <sup>1</sup>H–NMR (300 and 250 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C–NMR (75 and 62.9 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DPX–300 spectrometer in the deuterated solvents  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>)$ , using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE–SEM) images of the prepared catalysts were taken on a Tescan MIRA3. EDX analysis were performed using a FESEM (JEOL–7600F–Oxford) equipped with a spectrometer of energy dispersion of X–ray. The presence of those elements was confirmed using the point elemental mapping (Tescan–Mira 3–SAMX). Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry of PAMAM dendrimer  $G_0$  was recorded by LC–Mass–AB SCIEX–Q–trap 3200 instrument. The microscopic images and size distribution of the catalyst NPs were performed using TEM (Philips–EM208) operating at  $100$  kV voltage. The X–ray diffraction (XRD) pattern were recorded by an X'pertpro (Philips) instrument employing Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 1.5418 Å), at a scanning speed of 2 °C/min from 10 to 80 °C (2θ). TGA analysis of the samples were performed using a Q600 model from TA company made in U.S.A under nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 15 °C/min in the temperature range of 25–800 °C. The content of Pd in the catalyst was determined by OPTIMA 7300DV ICP analyzer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) investigations were conducted on a XR3E2 (VG Microtech) twin anode X-ray source with Al-K $\alpha$  =1486.6 eV. All the measured yields refer to the isolated products after purification by the column chromatography. All the products characterized by NMR spectroscopy or GC.  $γ$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MNPs (3) were synthesized by a previously reported co-precipitation method.<sup>1</sup>

**1.2. Preparation of PAMAM dendrimer core with four ester groups**. PAMAM dendrimer core (1) was synthesized according to the previously reported method.<sup>2</sup> Briefly, methyl acrylate (14.3 g, 0.166 mol) was added dropwise to a methanolic solution (30 mL) of ethylenediamine (2.0 g, 33.3 mmol), during 50 min under nitrogen atmosphere at  $0^{\circ}$ C. Then, the mixture was allowed to warm up to  $25 \text{ °C}$  and stirred during overnight. Subsequently, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure at  $40^{\circ}$ C overnight, thus any excess reagent and solvent were removed. PAMAM dendrimer core with four ester groups, was obtained (13.5 g, 100% yield) and further used without purification (Scheme 1).



**Scheme 1**. Illustration of the preparation of the PAMAM dendrimer core.

**1.3. Preparation of PAMAM dendrimer**  $G_0(2)$ **. PAMAM dendrimer**  $G_0(2)$  **was synthesized** according to the previously reported method.<sup>2</sup> PAMAM dendrimer core  $(1)$   $(2 g, 4.95 mmol)$ was dissolved in methanol (20 mL) and added dropwise into a methanolic solution of ethylenediamine (22.3 g, 0.371 mol in 50 mL) during 60 min. The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen atmosphere for 5 days at 25 °C. Then, the mixture was concentrated at reduced pressure for removing the excess content of ethylenediamine and solvent. In the end, traces of ethylenediamine was removed by dissolving the PAMAM dendrimer in 50 mL of butanol and this procedure was repeated to completion (ethylenediamine was detected by GCMS). The butanol was then removed under vacuum to yield PAMAM dendrimer  $G_0$  (2) (3.05 g, about 96% yield) and obtained product having a very trace of butanol was further used without more purification (Scheme 2).



**Scheme 2.** Illustration of the preparation of the PAMAM dendrimer  $G_0$ .

**1.4.** Synthesis of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd@y-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MNPs (6). First,  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (1.0 g) was suspended by sonication in dry toluene (30 mL) for 30 min. Then, (3-chloropropyl)triethoxysilane (0.963 g, 0.96 mL, 4.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed under nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h. After completion of the reaction, the solid produuct was separated from the solvent by an external magnet and washed twice with anhydrous toluene and diethyl ether and then dried under vacuum to yield γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CPTES (4) (1.243 g).<sup>3</sup> Afterwards PAMAM G<sub>0</sub> (3.416 g, 6.6 mmol, 4 mL) dissolved in ethanol (5 mL) was added dropwise to the dispersed  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(a)CPTES$ **(4)** (1.0 g) in ethanol under Ar. Then the mixture was slowly stirred and heated at 40 ºC for 24 h under Ar atmosphere. The producted of PAMAM  $G_0(\hat{\omega}_1 + Fe_2O_3)$  (5) was separated by an external magnet and washed with the ethanol followed by the vacuum drying at 40 °C, (1.310) g, 7.74% N determined by EDS). Finally, PAMAM  $G_0(\hat{\omega})$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (1.0 g) was added to a solution of palladium dichloride (0.136 g, 0.76 mmol) in dry acetone (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. At the end, PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\hat{\omega}_Y$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (6) was separated by an external magnet, washed with acetone and ethanol, and dried in vacuum at 50 °C, at overnight (Scheme 3). ICP-OES results showed a loading of 0.073 g Pd /1 gr of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\omega$  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (89%, 0.68 mmol of Pd).



**Scheme 3**. The synthesis of PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\omega y-Fe_2O_3(6))$ .

**1.5. General procedure for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction (9a-r).** Potassium carbonate (2.00 mmol) was added to a mixture of aryl halide (1.0 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (1.2 mmol) in water (2 mL), under stirring and heated at 60 ºC (90 ºC when we used aryl chlorides). Then PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>-Pd@ $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (6, 0.47 mol%, 0.007 g) was added to the resulting mixture under stirring. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC at different time intervals, until completion. Further the catalyst was separated by an external magnet and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$  (the residual solution along with the initial solution was used to identify and purify the product). Then, the combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and solvent evaporated. Eventually, all the products were characterized by GC or NMR spectroscopy (the crude coupling product was purified by using the silica-gel column chromatography, *n*-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2 eluent) (9a-r).

**1.6. General procedure for the Mizorki-Heck cross-coupling reaction (12a-r).** PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\hat{\omega}_1-\text{Fe}_2O_3(6, 0.61 \text{ mol}), 0.009 \text{ g})$  was added to a mixture of  $K_2CO_3(2.00 \text{ mmol})$ , alkene (1.5 mmol), and aryl halide (1.0 mmol) in water (2 mL), under stirring and heated at 80  $\degree$ C (90 ºC when we used aryl chlorides) maintained in an oil bath. The reaction progress was screened by TLC at different time intervals. After the completion of the reaction, the nanocatalyst was separated by an external magnetic field. Then, remaining mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5$  mL). The organic phase was completely dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and solvent evaporated. Eventually, all the products were characterized by GC or NMR spectroscopy (the crude coupling product was purified by using the silica–gel column chromatography, *n*-hexane/EtOAc, 50:1 eluent) (12a-r).

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>**2. Characterization** of the PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\overline{a}$  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub> $O_3$  MNPs

The FT−IR spectra of PAMAM dendrimer core (**1**) and PAMAM dendrimer zero generation  $(G_0)$  (2) were recorded (Fig. S1). The peaks at 2935 and 2865 cm<sup>-1</sup> were attributed to the −CH<sub>2</sub> asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes, respectively. The observed peak at 1735 cm<sup>-1</sup> was matched with the stretching mode of C=O of PAMAM dendrimer core.<sup>4</sup> As well as, peak at about 1000‒1300 cm−1 were related to the stretching vibration of C−N bond of PAMAM dendrimer core (Fig. S1a).<sup>5</sup>

The FT–IR spectrum of PAMAM G<sub>0</sub> showed major bands at about 3300–3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> which were attributed to the stretching mode of  $NH<sub>2</sub>$  groups (primary).<sup>6</sup> The broad peak at 1650 cm−1 was correspond to the stretching vibration of the amide groups –CONH– of PAMAM  $G_0$ .<sup>7</sup> The peaks at 2935 and 2865 cm<sup>-1</sup> were attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric -CH<sub>2</sub> stretching vibrations, respectively, and the peak about at 1559 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to the bending mode of NH<sub>2</sub> groups.<sup>8</sup> Finally, the peak at about 1000-1300 cm<sup>-1</sup> was related to the C–N bond vibrations of PAMAM  $G_0$  (Fig. S1b).<sup>5</sup>



**Fig. S1.** FT–IR spectra of (a) PAMAM dendrimer core and (b) PAMAM dendrimer G<sub>0</sub>.

The Figure S2 was depicted the FT-IR spectra of the consecutive synthetic parts of PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{F}e_2O_3)$  complex, as shown for  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (3),  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>( $\hat{\omega}_1$ CPTES (4),  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub> (5), and PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>-Pd@ $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex (6). The strong absorption bond at 580 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4a) was assigned to the stretching vibrations of Fe-O in γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>9,10</sup> Two absorption bands at 1075 and 1158 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a peak at 702 cm<sup>-1</sup> were attributed to the stretching vibrations of Si-O and C-Cl, respectively (Fig. S2b).<sup>11,12</sup> The peaks positioned at 2935 and 2865, in the FT-IR spectra of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CPTES and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub> and PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\hat{\omega}_Y$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were related to the stretching mode (asymmetric/symmetric), respectively (Fig. S2 b-d).13,14 Also, the peak at 1485 cm−1 were related to the bending of the  $(-CH<sub>2</sub>-)$  bonds (Fig. S2 b-d).<sup>13,14</sup> The anchoring of PAMAM G<sub>0</sub> on  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was evidenced by the stretching mode of the carbonyl moiety of the amide group (–CONH–) appeared at 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S2c).<sup>15</sup> Also, the absorption bond recorded for  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub> at about 1543 cm−1 was allocated to the bending mode of N–H.<sup>14</sup> The known bands with medium intensity around of 3300–3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> regions are assigned to the N–H (primary) stretching vibration (Fig. S2c).15

Eventually, comparing of the FT-IR spectra of PAMAM dendrimer  $G_0$  and PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd@ $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, showed the red shifting (~ 8 cm<sup>-1</sup>) within the wavenumbers of stretching and bending modes of N−H (primary) that confirms the Pd metal coordination to the nitrogen atoms of PAMAM dendrimer  $G_0$  (Fig. S2d). These results indicated that successful synthesis of the desired PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\partial y$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (see Fig. S2).



**Fig. S2.** FT–IR spectra of the (a)  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (b)  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CPTES, (c)  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM–G<sub>0</sub>, (d) PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\partial y-Fe_2O_3)$ .

The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of PAMAM dendrimer core were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and TMS as a solvent and internal reference, respectively (Figures. S3-S6). NMR analysis of PAMAM PAMAM dendrimer core ( ${}^{\text{a}}CH_2{}^{\text{a}}CH_2$ )[N( ${}^{\text{b}}CH_2{}^{\text{c}}CH_2{}^{\text{d}}CO_2{}^{\text{e}}CH_3$ )<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> gives useful information for structural specification. The ratio of protons, according to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum information, from right to left in <sup>1</sup>H NMR image, is <sup>e</sup>12:<sup>b</sup>8: <sup>a</sup>4:<sup>c</sup>8 (Fig. S3). In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, four H, related to ( $^{-a}CH_2^{-a}CH_2$ ) groups of central core, was observed at  $\delta$  2.35 ppm (s, 4H). The presence of peaks at δ 2.62 and 2.29, appeared as two separate triplets (t, 8H), can be allocated to the two methylenes connected to the carbonyl group of ester  $(-{}^bCH_2-{}^cCH_2-{}^dCO_2-)$ , respectively (Figures. S3 and S4). The resonance of hydrogens in methoxy groups  $(-$ <sup>d</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> $-$ <sup>e</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>) was observed at  $\delta$  3.52 ppm (s.12H) (Figures. S3 and S4). Also, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals of

PAMAM dendrimer core ( ${}^{\circ}CH_{2}{}^{\circ}CH_{2}$ )[N( ${}^{\circ}CH_{2}{}^{\circ}CH_{2}{}^{\circ}CO_{2}{}^{\circ}CH_{3})_{2}$ ]<sub>2</sub> were appeared at  ${}^{\circ}32.47$ ,  $b$ 49.64, <sup>a</sup>51.29, <sup>e</sup>52.11, <sup>d</sup>172.71 (Figures. S5 and S6).



**Fig. S3**. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR chemical shifts of PAMAM dendrimer core (compound **1**).



Fig. S4. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) spectrum of PAMAM dendrimer core (compound 1).



**Fig. S5**. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR chemical shifts of PAMAM dendrimer core (compound **2**).



Fig. S6. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) spectrum of PAMAM dendrimer core (compound 2).



**Fig. S7**. LC-Mass spectra of (compound **2**).



**Fig. S8**. The fragment structures of the main mass spectra of the PAMAM  $G_0$ .

The LC-MS fragmentation pattern of PAMAM dendrimer  $G_0$  was also studied. The results clearly showed that the standard pattern MS of PAMAM  $G_0$  were almost identical with the obtained results (Figures. S7 and S8).<sup>16</sup> The PAMAM dendrimer has four terminal primary amines as active sites for protonation. In the LC-MS spectrum of PAMAM  $G_0$ , signals to sodium adduct [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> and protonated molecule [M+H]<sup>+</sup> are observed at about 539 and 518 m/z, respectively (Figures. S7 and S8).<sup>17</sup> Also, mass spectra of fragment ions, at about 425, 404, 326.7, 302, 289, 255 and 171 m/z were attributed to  $C_{17}H_{38}N_8O_3Na^+$ ,  $C_{17}H_{39}N_8O_3^+$ ,  $C_{15}H_{33}N_6O_2$ <sup>+</sup>,  $C_{12}H_{29}N_6O_2$ <sup>+</sup>,  $C_{12}H_{24}N_4O_2$ <sup>+</sup>, and  $C_5H_{12}N_3O$ <sup>+</sup>, respectively.<sup>16,17</sup> All

of the fragment structures of the ions corresponding to the PAMAM dendrimer  $G_0$ , are listed in the ESI file (S6) (ESI, Figures. S7 and S8).



**Fig. S9.** XRD patterns of  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>–Pd $(\partial \gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex.

The crystalline patterns of the purity  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MNPs and PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>–Pd@ $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex are presented in Figure S9. The XRD pattern of  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> confirms the cubic magnetite crystal structure according to the appeared peaks at 15, 23.1, 26.9, 30.3, 35.8, 43.6, 50, 54.8, 57.3, 63.2, 71.1, and 74.4° (2θ), corresponded to the (110), (210), (211), (220), (311), (400), (421), (422), (511), (440), (620), and (533) miller indices, respectively (JCPDS card No. 39- 1346)<sup>18,19</sup> (see Fig. S9a). The observed diffraction peaks of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\partial y$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 40.1, 46.7 and 68.1º (2θ) are attributed to the standard crystallographic pattern of Pd NPs corresponded to the (111), (200), and (220) miller indices, (JCPDS Card No. 65-2867) (Fig. S9b).<sup>20,21</sup> Decreasing of the XRD peaks intensity of PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\hat{a}\gamma-Fe_2O_3)$  catalyst can be related to the formation of palladium complexes on the surface of  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MNPs resulted in the stabilization of various functional groups including siloxy linkers and PAMAM dendrimer as ligands.

Besides, the average calculated crystalline size of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\hat{\omega}_1/\text{-Fe}_2O_3)$  complex using Debye-Scherer equation  $\left[\frac{d}{K\lambda}{\cos\theta}\right]$  is about 18.61 nm that shows good agreement from the direct method estimation of TEM images.

The FE–SEM images of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs,  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CPTES and supported catalyst were shown in Figure S10. According to the FE–SEM image, the  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CPTES NPs have a uniform spherical morphology (Fig. S10A). Also, the particles of the supported catalyst on the surface of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs have a homogeneous spherical morphology and uniform size distribution. This clearly shows the synergistic effect of organic and inorganic diverse ingredients in a homogeneous network of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\partial y - Fe_2O_3$  (6) (Fig. S10B).



**Fig.** S10. FE–SEM images of the (a)  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (b)  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CPTES and (c) PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>–Pd@ $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex. The presence of elements in the catalyst were determined using energy-dispersive X–ray

(EDX) study at random point on the surface of PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\hat{a})\gamma - Fe_2O_3$  (Fig. S11). The measurement results confirmed the presence of Pd, N, O, Si, Fe, Cl and C elements (8.10, 7.74, 21.03, 2.45, 43.79, 2.69 and 14.20 wt%, respectively) in the framework of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\hat{\omega}$ y- $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs$  (Fig. S11).



**Fig. S11.** EDX analysis of the PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\hat{a}\gamma-Fe_2O_3)$  complex.

The distribution of Pd NPs was studied by elemental mapping analysis of PAMAM  $G_0$ - $Pd(\hat{\omega}_y - Fe_2O_3)$  (Fig. S12). The EDS results clearly indicate that Pd NPs were dispersed almost uniformly and without aggregation on the catalyst surface (Fig. S12). Moreover, the presence of C, N, O, Si, Fe, and Pd elements was confirmed (Fig. S12).



**Fig. S12.** EDS elemental mappings of the PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\omega_1$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex.

Also loading amount of the Pd on catalyst was determined. In order to find the loaded Pd content on the catalyst, it was digested with the concentrated HCl and HNO<sub>3</sub> and then was analyzed by ICP analysis. The measured values shown that 0.68 mmol of Pd was anchored on 1.0 g of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\partial \gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex.



Fig. S13. (a) TEM images and (b) particles size distribution histogram of the PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\omega_1 + e_2O_3)$ complex.

The more detailed of morphology of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\omega$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was also investigated using TEM (Fig. S13A). The TEM image of catalyst clearly showed the uniform dispersion of nanosphere of PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\hat{\omega}_Y-Fe_2O_3)$  as the core–organic shell structure of MNPs-Organic (Fig. S13A). It obviously indicates the average size of 18–20 nm for the Pd-complex (Fig. S13B).



**Fig. S14.** TGA/DTG curve of the PAMAM  $G_0-Pd(\hat{\omega}_1)^T - Fe_2O_3$  complex.

The TGA curves of  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MNPs and PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>–Pd@ $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 6 were plotted at a heating rate of 15 °C min<sup>-1</sup> from 25-800 °C under nitrogen atmosphere (Fig. S14). The curve of the PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\hat{\omega}_1$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex exhibited five weight loss step in the temperature range of 25-800 °C with a continuous weight loss. The initial weight loss up to 148.60 °C was related to the loss of the adsorbed water molecules. The weight loss of 3.75%, 5.06, 4.56, and 3.82 in the second to fourth stages is due to the decomposition of the organic compounds and also the PAMAM dendrimer units grafted to the  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface and removal of impurities in the prepared  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, about 3.99% weight loss (Figures. S14 and S15 a, b). Actually, thermal treatment around of 300–570 ºC caused to the decomposition of organic sectors, fragmentation of structural components of PAMAM dendrimer, and breaking of siloxy linker bonds, included breaking of C–C, C–N, C–O, Si–C, Si–O, N–H and CH. Also, the weight lost in the fifth stage, near 800  $\degree$ C is attributed to the exhausting of the related oxygenated gases (CO<sub>2</sub> and so on) and  $N<sub>2</sub>$  (Fig. S14). In general, all of the data from TGA analysis, demonstrate the good thermal stability and only 23.21% weight loss of Pd-complex (Figures. S14 and S15 a, b).



**Fig. S15.** TGA curves of the (a)  $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and (b) PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>–Pd@ $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> complex.

The magnetic properties of the  $\gamma$ −Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>–Pd@ $\gamma$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were evaluated using the vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) analysis at room temperature, which demonstrated the superparamannetic characteristic of the  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pd-complex (cf. Figure S16 A). The measurements showed saturation magnetization values of 57.0, and 41.93 emu/g for γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>-Pd@γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> respectively (Figure S16A). The both compounds exhibited high permeability under magnetization, which was adequate to separate an external magnet field. Nevertheless, these results demonstrate that the magnetization of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> decreased considerably because of the fixation of siloxy linker and Pd–complex on the surface of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 5). The magnetization curves did not display any of hysteresis phenomenon as the residue is equal to zero for both compounds (Figure. S16A). Moreover, the catalyst easily was separated using an external magnet from the aqueous solution (Figure S16 B).



**Fig. S16.** Magnetization curves of (a)  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (b) PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>-Pd@ $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 300 K.

# **3. Screening of reaction conditions for the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction**

The catalytic activity of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\partial y$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, within the Suzuki-Miyaura C-C coupling reaction was studied. The efficiency of this heterogeneous catalyst has been examined in the model cross-coupling reaction of iodobenzene with phenylboronic acid. The effect of solvent, temperature, amount of catalyst, and base type were appraised (Figure S18). The



optimum conditions of the mentioned ones can be regarded as water as solvent,  $K_2CO_3$  as base, 60 °C at a catalyst loading of 0.47 mol%.



(e) Screening of solvent amount; (f) Screening of different amounts of bases (2 mmol).

#### **4. Screening of reaction conditions for the Mizorki-Heck reactions**

The catalytic activity of PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\partial y)$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, within the Mizorki–Heck C-C coupling reaction was studied. The efficiency of this heterogeneous catalyst has been examined in the model cross-coupling reaction of iodobenzene with styrene. The effect of solvent, temperature, amount of catalyst, and base type were appraised (Figure S18). The optimum conditions of the mentioned ones can be regarded as, water as solvent,  $K_2CO_3$  as base, 80 °C at a catalyst loading of 0.61 mol%.



**Fig. S18.** Optimization of the reaction parameters on Mizoroki-Heck reaction: iodobenzene (1.0 mmol) with styrene (1.5 mmol), catalyzed by PAMAM  $G_0-Pd@\gamma-Fe_2O_3(0.61 \text{ mol% Pd})$ , for 60 min (except (d)); (a) Screening of different solvent (2 mL) using  $K_2CO_3$  (2 mmol), at 80 °C; (b) Screening of temperature, using  $K_2CO_3$  (2 mmol), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL); (c) Screening of different base (2 mmol), in H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL), at 80 °C; (d) Screening of catalyst amount, using  $K_2CO_3$  (2 mmol), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL) catalyzed by Pd complex (0.61 mol% Pd) at 80 °C, after 60 min.

# **5. Experimental controls**



**Fig.** S19. Control experiments to show the catalytic activity of the PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\omega$ y-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; Suzuki-Miyaura reaction: phenylboronic acid (1.2 mmol), iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\partial_y$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.47 mol % Pd), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 mmol), 60 °C; Mizorki-Heck reaction: styrene (1.5 mmol), iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd@y-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.61 mol % Pd), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 mmol), 80 °C.

# **6. Recyclability Study for the Mizorki-Heck reaction**

A hot filtration test was performer to find out whether PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\hat{\omega})$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> acts as a heterogeneous catalyst. Toward this point, the model reaction of Heck-Mizoroki coupling was studied under the optimal conditions. In 28 min after starting of the coupling reaction between 4 iodobenzene and styrene, the catalyst was separated using an external magnetic and the reaction was then permitted to continue without catalyst for further 1 h. The reaction progress was followed by GC. There was no further coupling reaction, even after an extended time, indicated that a negligible amount of active species (less than 0.2% of Pd, according to the ICP-OES analysis) leaching out during the catalytic reaction. The results established the strong attachment of palladium nanoparticles to the PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $(\hat{\omega})$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (see † Figure S20 for more details).



**Fig. S20.** Iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), styrene (1.5 mmol), PAMAM  $G_0-Pd\omega_z$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.47 mol % Pd), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 mmol), 80  $\degree$ C, in water (2 mL); Full conversion (blue squares) is reached after 60 min. In a second run (red triangles), the catalyst was removed by filtration after 28 min, upon which no further conversion was observed.



Fig. S21. Recycling and amount leaching of the catalyst during successive of Mizorki–Heck cross–coupling reactions; Reaction conditions: styrene (1.5 mmol), iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), PAMAM  $G_0$ –Pd $\hat{a}y$ –Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.61) mol% Pd), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 mmol), 80 °C, 60-80 min.

In order to quantitative analysis and realize the exact amount of palladium leaching for catalyst, after six consecutive runs, ICP-OES technique has been applied for the model reaction of Heck–Mizoroki coupling. The ICP-OES analysis showed, in totaly less than 1% of palladium leaching after six serial runs of catalyst (see † Figure S21 for details).

<b>Reaction</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>Run</b>	Yield $(\% )$	Time (min)	<b>TON</b>	TOF(h <sup>1</sup> )
	$\mathbf{1}$	95	15	202	808
Suzuki-	$\overline{2}$	95	15	202	808
<b>Miyaura</b>	3	95	15	202	808
	$\overline{4}$	95	15	202	808
	5	94	18	200	666
	6	93	20	197	596
	$\mathbf{1}$	95	60	155	155
Mizorki-	$\overline{2}$	95	60	155	155
<b>Heck</b>	3	95	60	155	155
	$\overline{4}$	94	65	154	142
	5	94	70	154	132
	6	93	80	152	114

**Table 1**. Recyclability of the catalyst in Suzuki-Miyaura and Mizorki-Heck reactions.

<sup>a</sup>Suzuki-Miyaura reaction conditions: phenylboronic acid (1.2 mmol), iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd@y-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.47 mol %), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 mmol), 60 °C, 15-20 min; Mizorki-Heck reaction conditions: styrene (1.5 mmol), iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd@y-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.61 mol% Pd), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 80 °C, 60-80 min.

# **7. Catalytic performance**

<b>Entry</b>	Prod.	<b>Catalyst (loading catalyst)</b>	<b>Conditions</b>	<b>Time</b> (h)	<b>Yield</b> $(9/6)^a$	Ref.
1		$NHCb-Pd(II)$ complex (1.0 mol%)	THF <sup>c</sup> /Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> /80 °C	12	88	22
$\overline{2}$		$PdCl2$ (0.05 mol%)	$DMF^{d}/Cs_{2}CO_{3}/130$ °C	2	95	23
3		Pd/Au NPs $(4.0 \text{ mol\%})$	EtOH-H <sub>2</sub> O/K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> /80 °C	24	88	24
$\overline{4}$		Pd-MPTAT <sup><math>e</math></sup> (0.02 gr)	DMF-H <sub>2</sub> O/NaOH /85 °C	8	95	25
5		Bis (oxamato) palladate (II) (5 mol%)	$Bu_4NBr/Et_3N/120 °C$	2	78	26
6	<b>9d</b>	Pd-ZnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (4.62 mol%)	DMF/Et <sub>3</sub> N/120 $\degree$ C	$\overline{4}$	92	27
7		Pd-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (1 mol%)	$DMEf-H_2O/Na_2CO_3/Reflux$	24	70	28
8		$Pd(\partial Mag\text{-}MSNg (1 mol%)$	Dioxane/ $K_2CO_3/80$ °C	6	77	29
9		Xerogel $g_1$ -MNPs $h(0.1 \text{ mol})$ %)	MeOH/K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> /60 °C	5	89	30
10		bis(N-substituted)dioxamatopalladate $(0.05 \text{ mmol})$	DMF/Et <sub>3</sub> N/80 °C	$\overline{4}$	91	31
11		$\gamma$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> @PAMAM-G <sub>0</sub> -Pd (0.47 $mol\%$ )	$H_2O/K_2CO_3/60$ °C	0.25	95	<b>This</b> work
12		$SiO2(QFe3O4-Pd (0.5 mol%)$	DMF/K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> /100 °C	8	97	32
13		$HMMSi-NH2-Pd (4 mol%)$	NMP $\frac{i}{K_2}CO_3/130$ °C	8	98	33
14		$TiO2(a)Pd$ NPs (1.0 mol%)	$DMF/Et_3N/140 °C$	10	92	34
15	12j	Pd-Urea-MCF <sup>k</sup> (1 mol%)	Toluene/Et <sub>3</sub> N/100 °C	20	92	35
16		Pd(0)-ZnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (4.62 mol%)	DMF/Et <sub>3</sub> N/120 °C	3	90	27
17		$Si-PNHC'$ -Pd (0.005 mmol)	NMP/ $K_2CO_3/120$ °C	$\overline{2}$	95	36
18		Pd-DABCO <sup>m</sup> - $\gamma$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (1-3 mol%)	Solvent-free/Et <sub>3</sub> N/100 °C	0.5	92	$\mathbf{1}$
19		$\gamma$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> @PAMAM-G <sub>0</sub> -Pd (0.61 $mol\%$ )	$H_2O/K_2CO_3/80$ °C	0.83	95	This work

Table 2. Catalytic activity of the PAMAM G<sub>0</sub>-Pd@y-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> compared with some catalysts reported for Suzuki– Miyaura and Mizorki-Heck.

*a* Isolated yield; *<sup>b</sup>*NHC: N-heterocyclic Carbene; *<sup>c</sup>*THF: Tetrahydrofuran; *<sup>d</sup>*DMF: [Dimethylformamide;](https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Inorganic_Chemistry/Supplemental_Modules_(Inorganic_Chemistry)/Organometallic_Chemistry/Organometallic_Ligands/N-heterocyclic_Carbenes) *<sup>e</sup>*MPTAT: N,N,N,N[tetramethylethylenediamine;](https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Inorganic_Chemistry/Supplemental_Modules_(Inorganic_Chemistry)/Organometallic_Chemistry/Organometallic_Ligands/N-heterocyclic_Carbenes) *<sup>f</sup>*DME: Dimethoxyethane; *<sup>g</sup>*MSN: Mesoporous nanocomposite; *<sup>h</sup>*MNPs: [Magnetic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnetic_nanoparticles) [nanoparticles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnetic_nanoparticles)[;](https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Inorganic_Chemistry/Supplemental_Modules_(Inorganic_Chemistry)/Organometallic_Chemistry/Organometallic_Ligands/N-heterocyclic_Carbenes) *<sup>i</sup>*HMMS: Hollow magnetic mesoporous spheres; *<sup>j</sup>*NMP: N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone; *<sup>k</sup>*SMF: Siliceous mesocellular foam; *<sup>l</sup>*PNHC: Polymeric N-heterocyclic carbene; *<sup>m</sup>* DABCO: 1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane.

**Table 3.** Comparison leaching of some palladium hydrophilic/amphiphilic catalysts effective in c-c cross coupling reactions with PAMAM  $G_0$ -Pd $\omega$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst.

	$B(OH)_2$ X						
	$\ddot{}$	or	OMe		or <b>MeO</b>		
Entry	Prod.	X	Cat.	Reaction conditions	Catalyst run	Pd leaching a	ref
$\mathbf 1$	Bu''O'		$Fe3O4@SiO2@Im[Cl]Co(III)-$ melamine nanocomposite	EtOH/reflux	7 <sup>th</sup>	0.6	$[37]$
$\overline{2}$		Br	Pd-NH <sub>2</sub> -MPRN	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O: EtOH/80 °C	7 <sup>th</sup>	0.23	$[38]$
3		Br	Pd-NH <sub>2</sub> -SBA-15	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O: EtOH/80 °C	7 <sup>th</sup>	0.79	$[38]$
4			$MNPs@SiO2@NH2@Pd(dpa)Cl2$	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O: EtOH/60 °C	15 <sup>th</sup>	1.01	$[39]$
5			PAMAM G <sub>0</sub> -Pd@γ-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$K_2CO_3/H_2O/60$ °C	5 <sup>th</sup>	0.9	This work

<span id="page-24-0"></span><sup>a</sup>w/w% of Pd.

#### **8. Data of Suzuki Products**



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 4-iodoaniline (219.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 °C to yield 85% (143.6 mg) 4-amine-1,1'-biphenyl (**9a**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl3, 250 MHz): δ 3.54 (s, 2H, NH2), 6.66 (d, *J*= 4.75 Hz, 2H), 7.32-7.36 (m, 5H), 7.37 (m, 2H),7.59  $(d, J = 7.80$  Hz, Ar*H*).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 4-chloroaniline (127.5 mg, 1.00 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 65% (109.8 mg) 4-amine-1,1'-biphenyl (Product identification with GC**, 9a**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene (234.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 92% (169.2 mg) 4-methoxy-1,1'-biphenyl (**9b**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 7.55-7.61 (m, 4H), 7.47 (d, J= 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30-7.37 (m, 1H), 7.03 (d, J= 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene (187.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 90% (165.6 mg) 4-methoxy-1,1'-biphenyl (Product identification with GC, **9b**).



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-methylbenzene (218.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 85% (158.0 mg) 4-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (**9c**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 7.26-7.62 (m, 9H), 2.42 (s, 3H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene (171.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 75% (139.4 mg) 4-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (**9c**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-4-methylbenzene (126.5 mg, 1.00 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 65% (120.9 mg) 4-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (product identification with GC**, 9c**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with iodobenzene (204.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 °C to yield 95% (146.4 mg) biphenyl (9d). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 7.65-7.70 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.55 (m, 4H), 7.39-7.42 (m, 2H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with bromobenzene (157.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 °C to yield 95% (146.4 mg) biphenyl (product identification with GC, **9d**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with chlorobenzene (112.5 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $Et_3N$ (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 92% (141.8 mg) biphenyl (product identification with GC**, 9d**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.00 mmol) was reacted with 4-iodobenzaldehyde (232.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 93% (169.2 mg) [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (**9e**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  9.99 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, J= 6.7, 2H), 7.70 (d, J= 6.7, 2H), 7.50-7.54 (m, 5H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 4-bromobenzaldehyde (185.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 85% (154.6 mg) [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (product identification with GC**, 9e**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (140.5 mg, 1.00 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 75% (136.4 mg) [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (product identification with GC**, 9e**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanone (199.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 95% (186.2 mg) [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (**9f**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  8.06 (d, J= 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, J= 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.63-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.40-7.52 (m, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H).



Chemical Formula:  $C_{12}H_9NO_2$ Molecular Weight: 199 g/mol

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene (249.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 94% (187.0 mg) 4-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl (**9g**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 8.34 (d, J=6.9, 2H), 7.78 (d, J=6.9, 2H), 7.69 (d, J=7.9, 2H), 7.53 (d, J=7.9, 2H), 7.41-7.52 (m, 1H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-nitrobenzene (202.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 90% (179.0 mg) 4-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl (product identification with GC**, 9g**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene (157.5 mg, 1.00 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 87% (173.0 mg) 4-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl (product identification with GC**, 9g**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 4-bromobenzonitrile (182.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 80% (143.2 mg) 4-cyano-1,1'-biphenyl (**9h**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl3, 250 MHz): δ 7.41-7.48 (m, 3H), 7.58-7.76 (m, 6H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 4-chlorobenzonitrile (114.6 mg, 1.00 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 70% (137.4 mg) 4-cyano-1,1'-biphenyl (product identification with GC**, 9h**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 3-iodoaniline (219.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 °C to yield 75% (126.6 mg) 3-amine-1,1'-biphenyl (**9i**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 7.24-7.32 (m, 3H), 6.85-6.9 (m, 3H), 6.73-6.79 (m, 3H), 3.65 (s, 2H, NH2).



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (225.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 °C to yield 90% (165.6 mg) 3-(trifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (9j). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 7.80-7.90 (m, 4H), 7.64-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.30 (m, 3H).



(4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid (181.2 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-3-nitrobenzene (202.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 °C to yield 90% (206.0 mg) 4'-methyl-3-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl (9k). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 8.45-8.47 (m, 1H), 8.18-8.21 (m, 1H), 7.91-7.94 (m, 1H), 7.62-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.62 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H).



*p*-tolylboronic acid (162.0 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-3-nitrobenzene (202.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at

60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 92% (195.8 mg) 4'-methyl-3-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl (**9l**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 8.53-8.54 (m, 1H), 8.32-8.35 (m, 1H), 7.98-8.02 (m, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J*= 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, *J*= 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H).



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-3-nitrobenzene (249.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 94% (187.0 mg) 3-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl (**9m**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 8.40-8.62 (m, Ar-NO<sub>2</sub>, 4H), 7.73-8.05 (m, 5H).



(4-nitrophenyl)boronic acid (200.0 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-3-nitrobenzene (249.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 95% (231.8 mg) 3,4'-dinitro-1,1'-biphenyl (**9n**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 7.71-8.53 (m, 8H).



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-2-methylbenzene (171.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 75% (139.4 mg) 2-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (**9o**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 250 MHz): δ 2.26 (s, 3H), 7.28-7.48 (m, 9H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-2-methylbenzene (126.5 mg, 1.00 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 60% (111.6 mg) 2-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (product identification with GC**, 9o**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 2-iodobenzoic acid (248.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 °C to yield 94% (169.2 mg) [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxylic acid (**9p**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 13.65 (broad, 1H), 8.56-8.58 (m, 1H), 7.75-7.85 (m, 2H), 7.60-7.68 (m, 1H), 7.43-7.55 (m, 5H).

Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 2-chlorobenzoic acid (156.5 mg, 1.00 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 90 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 80% (144.0 mg) [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxylic acid (product identification with GC**, 9p**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Phenylboronic acid (146.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-2-nitrobenzene (249.0 mg, 1.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 80% (159.2 mg) 2-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl (**9q**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl3, 250 MHz): δ 7.43 (m, 3H), 7.58 (m, 3H), 7.9 (m, 3H).



(3-nitrophenyl)boronic acid (200.0 mg, 1.2 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-2-methyl-4-nitrobenzene (263.0 mg, 1.00 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (7 mg, 0.47 mol%) in water at 60 <sup>o</sup>C to yield 85% (219.2 mg) 2-methyl-3',4-dinitro-1,1'-biphenyl (**9r**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 8.13-8.33 (m, 4H), 7.31-7.75 (m, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H).

#### <span id="page-34-0"></span>**9. Data of Heck Products**



Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-jodo-4-methylbenzene (218.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 75% (145.4 mg) 1-methyl-4-styrylbenzene (**12a**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) *δ*: 2.27 (s, 3H), 6.91-7.65 (m, 9H).

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene (171.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 65% (126.0 mg) 1-methyl-4-styrylbenzene (product identification with GC**, 12a**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-4-methylbenzene (126.5 mg, 1 mmol),  $Et_3N$ (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 55% (106.6 mg) 1-methyl-4-styrylbenzene (product identification with GC**, 12a**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene (234.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 90% (189.0 mg) 1-methoxy-4-styrylbenzene (**12b**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.81 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.6 Hz), 6.89 (d, 1H, *J*= 16.6 Hz), 6.99 (d, 1H, *J*=

16.01 Hz), 7.19 (t, 1H, *J*= 6.6 Hz), 7.28 (t, 2H, *J*= 7.6 Hz), 7.38(d, 2H, *J*= 8.6 Hz),7.43 (d, 2H, *J*= 7.6 Hz).

Ph **1,2-diphenylethene (12c)** Chemical Formula: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub> Molecular Weight: 180 g/mol

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with iodobenzene (204.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 95% (171.0) mg) 1,2-diphenylethene (12c). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.14 (m, 2H), 7.32 (m, 6H), 7.53 (m, 4H).

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with bromoenzene (157.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 95% (171.0) mg) 1,2-diphenylethene (product identification with GC, **12c**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with chlorobenzene (112.5 mg, 1 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 90% (162.0) mg) 1,2-diphenylethene (product identification with GC**, 12c**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 4-iodobenzonitrile (229.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41) mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 85% (174.2) mg) 4-styrylbenzonitrile (12d). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):

*δ* 7.03 (d, *J*= 16.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.63 (m, 6H), 7.49 (t, *J*= 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (t, *J*= 7.46 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J*= 16.6 Hz, 1H).

 $O<sub>2</sub>N$ **1-nitro-4-styrylbenzene (12e)** Chemical Formula: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11O</sub> Molecular Weight: 225 g/mol Ph<sub>p</sub>

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene (249.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 95% (213.6 mg) 1-nitro-4-styrylbenzene (**12e**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 7.24 (d, *J*= 16.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J*= 16.02 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.57 (m, 3H), 7.71 (d, *J*= 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (d, *J*= 9.4 Hz, 2H), 8.41 (d, *J*= 9.4 Hz, 2H).

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-nitrobenzene (202.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 95% (213.6 mg) 1-nitro-4-styrylbenzene (product identification with GC, **12e**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Styrene (156.0 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene (157.5 mg, 1 mmol),  $Et<sub>3</sub>N$ (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 90% (202.4 mg) 1-nitro-4-styrylbenzene (product identification with GC, **12e**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 4-iodophenol (220.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 90% (198.0 mg) trans-butyl 4-hydroxy cinnamate (**12f**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. 1H-

NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.86 (t, *J*= 7. 6 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.52 (m, 2H), 4.15 (t, *J*= 7.56 Hz, 2H), 6.21 (d, *J*= 12.7 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, *J*=7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.31 (d, *J*=10 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, *J*=15.10 Hz, 1H).

 $H_2N$ *trans***-butyl 4-amino cinnamate(12g)** Chemical Formula:  $C_{13}H_{17}NO<sub>2</sub>$ Molecular Weight: 219 g/mol  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>n</sup>Bu

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 4-iodoaniline (219.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 90% (197.0 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-amino cinnamate (**12g**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS):  $\delta$  7.58 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, , J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.32 (s, 2H, NH2), 6.25 (d, J= 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t, J= 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.72-1.65 (m, 2H), 1.44 (sext, J= 7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, J= 7.3 Hz, 3H).



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene (234.0 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 92% (215.2 mg) *trans-*butyl 4-methoxy cinnamate (**12h**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.88 (t, , *J*= 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (m, 2H), 1.62 (quint, 2H, *J*= 5.0 Hz), 3.77 (s, 3H), 4.12 (t, 2H, *J*= 6.8 Hz), 6.24 (d, *J*= 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, *J*= 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, *J*= 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, *J*= 16.0 Hz, 1H).

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-4-methoxybenzene (142.5 mg, 1 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (152.0 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 65% (152.0 mg) *trans-*butyl 4-methoxy cinnamate (product identification with GC, **12h**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-methylbenzene (218.0 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 80% (174.4 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-methyl cinnamate (**12i**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 0.84 (t, J= 4.8 Hz, 3H), 1.31 (m, 2H), 1.54 (m, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 4.11 (t, *J*= 5.0 Hz, 2H), 6.31 (dd, *J*= 16.0 Hz, *J΄*= 5.10 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (m, 2H), 7.29 (m, 2H), 7.51 (dd, *J*= 18.3 Hz, *J΄*= 5.6 Hz, 1H).

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene (171.0 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 80% (174.4 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-methyl cinnamate (product identification with GC, **12i**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-4-methylbenzene (126.5 mg, 1 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 70% (152.6 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-methyl cinnamate (product identification with GC, **12i**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with iodobenzene (204.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41) mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 95% (193.2) mg) *trans*-butyl cinnamate (12j). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 0.92 (t, *J*= 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.39 (m, 2H), 1.65 (m, 2H), 4.17 (t, *J*= 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.54 (d, *J*= 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, , *J*= 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, *J*= 16.3 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, *J*= 8.8 Hz, 2H).

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with bromobenzene (157.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 90% (183.6 mg) *trans*-butyl cinnamate(product identification with GC, **12j**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with chlorobenzene (112.5 mg, 1 mmol),  $Et_3N$  (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 80% (163.2) mg) *trans*-butyl cinnamate(product identification with GC, **12j**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-4-iodobenzene (238.4 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 85% (187.8 mg) *trans-*butyl 4-chloro cinnamate (**12k**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS):  $\delta$  7.65 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 6.9, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.92-6.87  $(m, 2H)$ , 6.32 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.69 (dd, J = 14.9, Hz, 2H) , 1.47-1.42 (m, 2H), 0.99-0.92 (m, 3H).

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-chlorobenzene (191.4 mg, 1 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 70% (154.6 mg) *trans-*butyl 4-chloro cinnamate (product identification with GC, **12k**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene (282.9 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 75% (179.2 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-bromo cinnamate (**12l**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.89 (t, 3H, *J*= 7.7 Hz), 1.36 (m, 2H), 1.64 (m, 2H), 4.14 (t, 2H, *J*= 7.7 Hz), 6.36 (d, 1H, *J*= 17.7 Hz), 7.21-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.53 (d, 1H, *J*= 17.57 Hz).



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with ethyl 4-iodobenzoate (165.1 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 95% (262.2 mg) (E)-ethyl 4-(3-ethoxy-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzoate (**12m**). Chromatography: nhexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS): δ 8.06 (dd, J = 6.9, 1.6 H, 2H),7.68 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.22 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 1.76-1.65 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.33 (m, 5H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H).

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with ethyl 4-bromobenzoate (229.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (276.41 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 93% (256.6 mg) (E)-ethyl 4-(3-ethoxy-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzoate (product identification with GC, **12m**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with ethyl 4-chlorobenzoate (156.5 mg, 1 mmol),  $Et_3N$ (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 90% (248.4 mg) (E)-ethyl 4-(3-ethoxy-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzoate (product identification with GC, **12m**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-(4-iodophenyl)ethanone (246.0 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 90% (221.4 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-acetyl cinnamate (**12n**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS):  $\delta$  8.00 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, J = 16.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.55 (dd, J = 16.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H).



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 4-bromobenzonitrile (182.0 mg, 1 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 92% (210.6 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-cyano cinnamate (**12o**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.89 (t, *J*= 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.36 (m, 2H), 1.65 (m, 2H), 4.17 (t, *J*= 6.6 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (d, *J*= 16 Hz, 1H), 7.60, (m, 5H).

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 4-chlorobenzonitrile (137.5 mg, 1 mmol),  $Et_3N$ (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 85% (194.6 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-cyano cinnamate (product identification with GC, **12o**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-chlorobenzene (238.4 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 95% (233.6 mg) *trans*-butyl 4-nitro cinnamate (**12p**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. 1H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 0.98 (t, *J*= 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.46 (m, 2H), 1.68 (m, 2H), 4.24 (t, *J*= 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.55 (dd, *J*= 16.0 Hz, *J΄*= 6.3 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (m, 3H), 8.25 (m, 2H).



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-bromo-2-methylbenzene (171.0 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 92% (187.6 mg) *trans*-butyl 2-methyl cinnamate (**12q**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.86 (t, *J*= 2.6 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.58 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 4.12 (t, 2H), 6.28 (dd, , *J*= 15.9 Hz, *J΄*= 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (m, 3H), 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.85 (dd, *J*= 13.8 Hz, *J΄*= 4.2 Hz, 1H).

Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-chloro-2-methylbenzene (126.5 mg, 1 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (202.38 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 90 °C to yield 50% (102.0 mg) *trans*-butyl 2-methyl cinnamate (product identification with GC, **12q**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2.



Butyl acrylate (192.2 mg, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 1-iodo-4-chlorobenzene (238.4 mg, 1 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (93.9 mg, 2.00 mmol) and γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@PAMAM-G<sub>0</sub>-Pd (8 mg, 0.67 mol%) in water at 80 °C to yield 92% (229.0 mg) *trans-*butyl 2-nitro cinnamate (**12r**). Chromatography: n-hexane/EtOAc, 8:2. 1H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.89 (t, *J*= 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.36 (m, 2H), 1.63 (m, 2H), 4.15 (t, *J*= 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.28 (d, *J*= 17.6 Hz, 1H), 7.43-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.95-7.98 (m, 2H), 8.03 (d, *J*= 17.6 Hz, 1H).

# <span id="page-43-0"></span>**10. References**

- (1) Sobhani, S. and Z. Pakdin-Parizi, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* 2014, *479*, 112.
- (2) Beezer, A. E. King, A. S. H. Martin, I. K. Mitchel, J. C.; Twyman, L. J. and C. F.Wain, *Tetrahedron* 2003, *59*, 3873.
- (3) Zolfigol, M. A. Ayazi-Nasrabadi, R. and S. Baghery, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2016, *30*, 500.
- (4) Huang, J. Su, P. Zhou, L. and Y. Yang, *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2016, *490*, 241.
- (5) Yılmaz Baran, N. Baran, T. and A. Menteş, *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2019, *181*, 105225.
- (6) Alinezhad, H. Amiri, A. Tarahomi, M. and B. Maleki, *Talanta* 2018, *183*, 149.
- (7) Manna, A. Imae, T. Aoi, K. Okada, M. and T. Yogo, *Chem. Mater.* 2001, *13*, 1674.
- (8) Sohail, I. Bhatti, I. A. Ashar, A. Sarim, F. M. Mohsin, M. Naveed, R. Yasir, M. Iqbal, M. and A. Nazir, *J. Mater. Res. Technol.* 2020, *9*, 498.
- (9) White, B. R. Stackhouse, B. T. and J. A. Holcombe, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2009, *161*, 848.
- (10) Hu, J. Lo, I. M. C. and G. Chen, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2007, *58*, 76.
- (11) Sardarian, A. R. Eslahi, H. and M. Esmaeilpour, Catalyst. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2019, *33*, e4856.
- (12) Mohammadinezhad, A. and B. Akhlaghinia, *Green Chem.* 2017, *19*, 5625.
- (13) Sardarian, A. R. Kazemnejadi, M. and M. Esmaeilpour, *Dalt. Trans.* 2019, *48*, 3132.
- (14) Jiang, Y. Jiang, J. Gao, Q. Ruan, M. Yu, H. and L. Qi, *Nanotechnology.* 2008, *19*, 075714.
- (15) Murugan, E. and J. N. Jebaranjitham, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2015, *259*, 266.
- (16) Zheng, P. Gao, L. Sun, X. Mei, S. *The Thermolysis Behaviours of the First Generation Dendritic Polyamidoamine*; 2009; Vol. 18.
- (17) Ulaszewska, M. M. Hernando, M. D. Moreno, A. U. García, A. V. Calvo, E. G. and A. R.

Fernández-Alba, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2013, *27*, 747.

- (18) Darezereshki, E. *Mater. Lett.* 2010, *64*, 1471.
- (19) Sreeja, V. and P. A. Joy, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2007, *42*, 1570.
- (20) Cui, J. Zhu, N. Kang, N. Ha, C. Shi, C. and P. Wu, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, *328*, 1051.
- (21) Cheng, K. Cao, D. Yang, F. Zhang, L. Xu, Y. and G. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012, *22*, 850.
- (22) Chen, T. Gao, J. and M. Shi, *Tetrahedron* 2006, *62*, 6289.
- (23) Sabounchei, S. J. and A. Hashemi, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2014, *47*, 123.
- (24) Nasrollahzadeh, M. Azarian, A. Maham, M. and A. Ehsani, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2015, *21*, 746.
- (25) Modak, A. Mondal, J. Sasidharan, M. and A. Bhaumik, *Green Chem.* 2011, *13*, 1317.
- (26) Fortea-Pérez, F. R. Schlegel, I. Julve, M. Armentano, D. De Munno, G. and S. E. Stiriba, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2013, *743*, 102.
- (27) Singh, A. S. Patil, U. B. and J. M. Nagarkar, *Catal. Commun.* 2013, *35*, 11.
- (28) Jang, Y. Chung, J. Kim, S. Jun, S. W. Kim, B. H. Lee, D. W. Kim, B. M. and T. Hyeon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2011, *13*, 2512.
- (29) Shylesh, S. Wang, L. Demeshko, S. and W. R. Thiel, *Chem. Cat. Chem,* 2010, *2*, 1543.
- (30) Yang, J. Wang, D. Liu, W. Zhang, X. Bian, F. and W.Yu, *Green Chem,* 2013, *15*, 3429.
- (31) Fortea-Pérez, F. R. Julve, M. Dikarev, E. V. Filatov, A. S. and S. E. Stiriba, *Inorganica Chim. Acta,* 2018, *471*, 788.
- (32) Li, P. Wang, L. Zhang, L. and G. W. Wang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012, *354*, 1307.
- (33) Wang, P. Liu, H. Liu, M. Li, R. and J. Ma, *New J. Chem.* 2014, *38*, 1138.
- (34) Nasrollahzadeh, M. Azarian, A. Ehsani, A. and M. Khalaj, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* 2014, *394*, 205.
- (35) Erathodiyil, N. Ooi, S. Seayad, A. M. Han, Y. Lee, S. S. and J. Y. Ying, *Chem. - A Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 3118.
- (36) Tamami, B. Farjadian, F. Ghasemi, S. and H. Allahyari, *New J. Chem.* 2013, *37*, 2011.
- (37) Kazemnejadi, M. Rezazadeh, Z. Nasseri, M.A. Allahresani, A. and M. Esmaeilpour, *Green Chem.* 2019, *21*,1718.
- (38) Wang, K. Liu, J. Zhang, F. Zhang, Q. Jiang, H. Tong, M. and F. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfa*. 2019*, 11*, 41238.
- (39) Aghahosseini, H. Saadati, M.R. Rezaei, S.J.T. Ramazani, A. Asadi, N. Yahiro, H., and A. R. Kazemizadeh. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, *11*, 1.