<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	table S7	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		✓
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	Page 18 and table S5	

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	Page 19-20	
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		✓
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		✓
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		✓
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	Page 27	

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Page 18-19	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Page 18-19	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Tables S5 and S6	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.	Page 19	
number OK eite Bor in manascript.		

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	Page 24-25	
by-step protocols are available.		

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Page 28	
Randomisation		✓
Blinding		✓
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		√

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Figure legends	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Figure legends	

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Page 18-19	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Page 19-20	
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		✓

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		✓
state the authority granting approval and reference		
number for the regulatory approval		

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Page 28	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Page 28 and figure legends	
tests.		

Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
Page 36	
Page 36	
Page 23	
	Page 36

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Page 36	
If code is publicly available, provide accession	Page 36	
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.		✓