

Antigen	Antigen prevalence			Statistical analysis (p-value)	
	Patients with SCD	Blacks	Caucasians	Patients with SCD vs. Blacks	Patients with SCD vs. Caucasians
c	0.986	0.98	0.8	0.6246	<0.0001
C	0.226	0.27	0.68	0.1302	<0.0001
e	0.992	0.98	0.98	0.1767	0.1767
E	0.175	0.22	0.29	0.086	<0.0001
Kell	0.016	0.02	0.09	0.812	<0.0001
k	1.000	1	0.998	1	1
Kpa	0.000	0.0001	0.02	0.0619	0.0019
Kpb	1.000	1	1	1	1
Jsa	0.166	0.2	0.0001	0.1882	<0.0001
Jsb	0.996	0.99	1	0.4515	0.4995
Jka	0.907	0.92	0.77	0.5659	<0.0001
Jkb	0.489	0.49	0.74	0.9741	<0.0001
Fya	0.123	0.1	0.66	0.2659	<0.0001
Fyb	0.174	0.23	0.83	0.0325	<0.0001
M	0.733	0.74	0.78	0.8284	0.1031
N	0.730	0.75	0.72	0.5242	0.8056
S	0.254	0.31	0.55	0.0613	<0.0001
s	0.935	0.93	0.89	0.8202	0.0192
V	0.247	0.3	0.01	0.0743	<0.0001
VS	0.281	0.3	0.0001	0.5751	<0.0001
U	0.990	0.99	0.999	1	0.0619
Lua	0.037	0.05	0.08	0.3934	0.006
Lub	1.000	0.998	0.998	0.3215	1
Dia	0.000	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
Dib	1.000	1	1	1	1
Coa	1.000	0.999	0.999	1	1
Cob	0.016	0.1	0.1	<0.0001	<0.0001
Doa	0.433	0.55	0.67	0.0003	<0.0001
Dob	0.931	0.89	0.82	0.0338	<0.0001
Joa	0.994	0.99	1	0.7226	0.2492
Hy	0.998	0.99	1	0.2173	1
Lwa	1.000	1	1	1	1
Lwb	0.000	NA	0.01	NA	0.0619
Sc1	1.000	0.99	0.99	0.0619	0.0619
Sc2	0.000	NA	0.01	NA	0.0619

Table S1. Red blood cell antigen prevalence. Antigen prevalence among this cohort was compared to reported prevalence for Blacks and Caucasians determined by serological typing in ¹⁶. Statistical analysis of frequency was performed using bivariate comparisons of categorical variables using a two-tailed chi-square test with Yates' correction or a fisher's exact test. NA, not available.

Antigen	UPIN	Historical Phenotype	Genotype Predicted	Repeat Serologic Phenotype	Comments
c	404	-	+	+	
C	492	+	-	-	
	293	+	-	-	
e	543	-	+	+	
	501	-	+	+	
	166	-	+	NP	<i>RHCE*ceJAL</i> , weak e expression
E	199	+	-	-	
Jka	7	-	+	+	<i>JK*A 130 G>A, (JK*01W.01)</i> , weak expression
	480	-	+	+	
Jkb	423	+	-	-	
	148	+	-	-	
	522	+	-	-	
	164	+	-	-	
	39	+	-	-	
	225	+	-	-	
	293	+	-	-	
	502	+	-	-	
	199	+	-	-	
	431	+	-	-	
	199	-	+	+	
	346	-	+	+	
	611	-	+	-	<i>JK*B 191 G>A, (JK*02N.09)</i> silencing mutation
Fya	252	+	-	-	
	492	+	-	-	
	39	+	-	-	
Fyb	492	+	-	-	
	561	+	-	-	
	39	+	-	-	
	590	-	+	w+	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	519	-	+	w+	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	566	-	+	w+	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	148	-	+	1+	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	192	-	+	1+	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	191	-	+	1+	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	451	-	+	2+	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	397	-	+	+*	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	392	-	+	+*	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	83	-	+	+*	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	409	-	+	NA	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation
	578	-	w+	NP	Heterozygous for FY GATA site mutation Heterozygous for FY*X (265 C>T)

	447	-	w+	NP	Heterozygous for <i>FY</i> GATA site mutation Heterozygous for <i>FY</i> *X (265 C>T)
M	615	+	-	-	
	62	+	-	-	
	423	+	-	-	
	127	+	-	-	
	379	+	-	-	
	140	+	-	-	
	293	+	-	-	
	167	-	+	+	
	132	-	+	+	
	270	-	+	+	
N	219	+	-	-	
	326	+	-	-	
	472	+	-	-	
	522	+	-	-	
	164	+	-	-	
	498	+	-	-	
	521	+	-	+	Under investigation
	247	+	-	NA	
	39	-	+	+	
	371	-	+	+	
S	415	+	-	-	
	548	-	+	+	
	519	-	+	+	
	236	-	+	+	
	91	-	+	+	
	448	-	+	+	
	311	-	+	+	
s	5	+	-	-	
	508	-	+	+	
	351	-	+	+	

Table S2. Seventy-one discrepancies between historical RBC antigen phenotype determined by serology compared to genotype predicted phenotype. UPIN, unique patient identifier number; NP, confirmatory serology testing not performed because discrepancy explained by inheritance of alleles encoding weak antigen expression; NA, repeat specimen not available; w+, weak positive agglutination; 1+, 1+ agglutination; 2+, 2+ agglutination; *, strength of agglutination not available.