# Altered BAF occupancy and transcription factor dynamics in PBAF-deficient melanoma

Graphical abstract

Authors

Saul Carcamo, Christie B. Nguyen,
Elena Grossi, ..., Emily C. Dykhuizen,
Dan Hasson, Emily Bernstein

Correspondence

emily.bernstein@mssm.edu

In brief

The tumor-suppressive functions of the SWI/SNF subunit ARID2 remain ill-defined in the context of melanoma. Carcamo et al. demonstrate that, upon ARID2 depletion, the PBAF complex fails to assemble, altering BAF genomic occupancy with consequences on chromatin accessibility, transcription factor binding, and transcriptional changes that promote metastasis.

Highlights

- ARID2 loss results in impaired PBAF complex assembly and BAF genomic redistribution

- Altered SWI/SNF dynamics results in chromatin accessibility and TF binding changes

- PBAF loss drives an invasive gene expression signature and phenotype in melanoma

CellPress

Article

Altered BAF occupancy and transcription factor dynamics in PBAF-deficient melanoma

Saul Carcamo,[1,2,6,7,12] Christie B. Nguyen,[1,2,6,12] Elena Grossi,[1,2] Dan Filipescu,[1,2] Aktan Alpsoy,[8] Alisha Dhiman,[8] Dan Sun,[3,11] Sonali Narang,[9] Jochen Imig,[9] Tiphaine C. Martin,[1,5] Ramon Parsons,[1,5,6] Iannis Aifantis,[9] Aristotelis Tsirigos,[9,10] Julio A. Aguirre-Ghiso,[1,3,4,5,6,11] Emily C. Dykhuizen,[8] Dan Hasson,[1,2,5,6,7] and Emily Bernstein[1,2,5,6,13,*]

[1]Department of Oncological Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA
[2]Department of Dermatology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA
[3]Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA
[4]Department of Otolaryngology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA
[5]Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA
[6]Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA
[7]Tisch Cancer Institute Bioinformatics for Next Generation Sequencing (BiNGS) Shared Resource Facility, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA
[8]Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
[9]Department of Pathology and Laura & Isaac Perlmutter Cancer Center, New York, NY 10016, USA
[10]Applied Bioinformatics Laboratories, NYU School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA
[11]Present address: Department of Cell Biology, Department of Medicine-Oncology, Cancer Dormancy and Tumor Microenvironment Institute, Gruss-Lipper Biophotonics Center, Albert Einstein Cancer Center, Ruth L. and David S. Gottesman Institute for Stem Cell Research and Regenerative Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, USA
[12]These authors contributed equally
[13]Lead contact
*Correspondence: emily.bernstein@mssm.edu
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110637

SUMMARY

ARID2 is the most recurrently mutated SWI/SNF complex member in melanoma; however, its tumor-suppressive mechanisms in the context of the chromatin landscape remain to be elucidated. Here, we model ARID2 deficiency in melanoma cells, which results in defective PBAF complex assembly with a concomitant genomic redistribution of the BAF complex. Upon ARID2 depletion, a subset of PBAF and shared BAF-PBAF-occupied regions displays diminished chromatin accessibility and associated gene expression, while BAF-occupied enhancers gain chromatin accessibility and expression of genes linked to the process of invasion. As a function of altered accessibility, the genomic occupancy of melanoma-relevant transcription factors is affected and significantly correlates with the observed transcriptional changes. We further demonstrate that ARID2-deficient cells acquire the ability to colonize distal organs in multiple animal models. Taken together, our results reveal a role for ARID2 in mediating BAF and PBAF subcomplex chromatin dynamics with consequences for melanoma metastasis.

INTRODUCTION

SWI/SNF (switch/sucrose non-fermentable) complex members are mutated in ~20% of all human cancers (Kadoch et al., 2013; Shain and Pollack, 2013), and our understanding of the cellular and physiological consequences of these mutations is emerging (Hodges et al., 2016; Kadoch and Crabtree, 2015). To facilitate access to the nucleosomal DNA, SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes act primarily by sliding nucleosomes to reorganize their positioning, or they may eject or insert histone octamers (Bruno et al., 2003; Dechassa et al., 2010; Saha et al., 2006). These multi-subunit complexes contain a catalytic ATPase (either BRG1 or BRM) and additional non-catalytic subunits that are essential for complex function, stability,

and specificity (Khavari et al., 1993; Mashtalir et al., 2018; Wang et al., 1996). The latter often contain DNA-binding and/or chromatin reader domains, which modulate complex targeting and activity. While some SWI/SNF subcomplexes are developmentally regulated or tissue specific (Hargreaves and Crabtree, 2011; Kadoch and Crabtree, 2015), the two most prevalent are the BAF (BRG1-associated factor) and PBAF (polybromo-associated BAF) complexes (Mashtalir et al., 2018). The BAF complex is characterized by the incorporation of ARID1A or ARID1B; DPF1, DPF2, or DPF3; and SS18, while PBAF is distinguished by the presence of ARID2, PBRM1, PHF10, and BRD7 (Hargreaves and Crabtree, 2011; Kadoch and Crabtree, 2015). Pertinent to this study, the members of the ARID family of proteins contain an AT-rich interaction domain that mediates

non-sequence-specific DNA interactions (Patsialou et al., 2005). A smaller complex, GBAF (GLTSCR1/like-containing BAF), lacks several core subunits and an ARID protein, yet contains unique subunits, including BRD9 and GLTSCR1/GLTSCRL1 (Alpsoy and Dykhuizen, 2018; Mashtalir et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019).

Remarkably, specific SWI/SNF subunits are distinctively mutated in different cancers. For example, the BAF and PBAF shared core subunit SNF5 is inactivated in almost all pediatric rhabdoid tumors (Biegel et al., 2000; Roberts et al., 2000; Versteege et al., 1998), and its loss is associated with altered binding of SWI/SNF complexes to enhancers and increased Polycomb repression (Erkek et al., 2018; Nakayama et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2010). The BAF-specific subunit ARID1A is the most highly mutated in cancer, including bladder, liver, and ovarian clear cell carcinoma, and is thought to act as a tumor suppressor (Kadoch et al., 2013). The PBAF-specific subunits PBRM1 and ARID2 are highly mutated in renal cell carcinoma and melanoma, respectively (Akbani et al., 2015; Hodis et al., 2012; Varela et al., 2011).

Melanoma is a lethal form of skin cancer with rising incidence (Atkins et al., 2021). Recent advances in targeted and immunotherapy have markedly changed the landscape of melanoma treatment (Dummer et al., 2020; Samstein et al., 2019); however, a growing body of evidence suggests that epigenetic regulation plays a key role in melanoma development, progression, and response to therapies (Fontanals-Cirera et al., 2017; Hakimi et al., 2020; Hanniford et al., 2020; Kapoor et al., 2010; Kato et al., 2020; Strub et al., 2018; Vardabasso et al., 2015; Verfaillie et al., 2015). Moreover, melanoma cells can exist in multiple phenotypic states independent of genetic subtype, with distinct transcriptional programs and phenotypes (Rambow et al., 2019; Verfaillie et al., 2015; Widmer et al., 2012). A proliferative state has been linked to high expression levels of the melanocyte lineage-specific TF (transcription factor) MITF, while an invasive state is linked to high AP-1 and TEAD, coupled to low MITF expression (Verfaillie et al., 2015; Widmer et al., 2012).

ARID2 is the most recurrently mutated SWI/SNF subunit in melanoma, with rates similar to the NF1-mutated genetic subtype. Mutations are largely missense or nonsense with few hotspots (Akbani et al., 2015; Hodis et al., 2012), suggesting a tumor-suppressive role for ARID2. Functionally, depletion of ARID2, PBRM1, or BRD7 from mouse melanoma tumors correlates with increased sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibition and increased T cell killing (Fukumoto et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2018). While intriguing, our molecular understanding of ARID2's tumor-suppressive function in melanoma remains limited. We herein demonstrate that loss of ARID2 in melanoma, and in turn the PBAF complex, results in BAF redistribution to sites associated with open chromatin and increased TF binding, with consequences for the transcriptional programs that control melanoma metastasis.

RESULTS

Generation of an isogenic ARID2-deficient melanoma cell model

By examining TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas) for mutations of SWI/SNF components in melanoma (Akbani et al., 2015), we found that the ARID2 subunit of the PBAF complex is the most commonly mutated SWI/SNF subunit, with a frequency rate of ~13% across 345 melanoma patients (Figures 1A and S1A). Some patients harbor multi-allelic alterations in *ARID2*, increasing the rate to ~18% (Figure 1A). This was corroborated in a larger study of >1,000 patients (including TCGA) and extended by the finding that *ARID2* is a significantly mutated gene in *NRAS* mutant melanoma (Conway et al., 2020).

To probe the effects of *ARID2* mutation in melanoma, we first screened a panel of melanoma cell lines by immunoblot, including two ARID2 WT (wild type) and six ARID2 mutant cell lines (Figure 1B and Table S1). We observed reduced levels of ARID2 protein across the ARID2 mutant cell lines. Many of these mutations are annotated as heterozygous (Table S1), and the remaining ARID2 protein in some mutant cell lines can be attributed to the WT allele. In contrast, two cell lines (LOX-IMVI and WM3533) displayed a complete loss of ARID2, as well as BRD7 and PBRM1, without considerable changes in the BAF-specific subunit SS18 or the shared core subunits BRG1 and SNF5 (Figure 1B). WM3533 is annotated as having two ARID2 truncating mutations, an occurrence observed in ARID2 mutant melanomas (Figure 1A) (Akbani et al., 2015; Conway et al., 2020), while LOX-IMVI is annotated as a heterozygous frameshift but displays loss of heterozygosity (Table S1). Therefore, some melanomas exhibit a complete loss of ARID2 and concomitant reduced protein levels of PBAF-specific members, which has been attributed to a deficiency in PBAF subcomplex assembly upon ARID2 loss (Mashtalir et al., 2018; Schick et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2005).

To model ARID2 deficiency, we created an isogenic system using CRISPR-Cas9 genomic editing in the metastatic melanoma cell line SKmel147 ($NRAS^{Q61R}$; MITF low) for which we have extensive epigenomic data (Vardabasso et al., 2015; Fontanals-Cirera et al., 2017). Consistent with the cell line data above, complete loss of ARID2 resulted in depletion of PBAF-specific members in both WCE (whole-cell extract) and chromatin fractions, while BAF-specific and core subunit levels remained intact (Figures 1C and S1B). Importantly, we did not observe significant changes in mRNA levels of the majority of SWI/SNF complex members in ARID2 KO (knockout) clones (Figure S1C), suggesting that loss of the PBAF-specific subunits occurs post-transcriptionally.

ARID2 loss results in deficient PBAF complex assembly

To examine the composition of the BAF and PBAF complexes in the absence of ARID2, we performed co-immunoprecipitation studies of the core subunit BRG1 in ARID2 WT and KO clones. We pulled down all the immunoblotted SWI/SNF subunits with BRG1 in the parental and NTC (non-targeting control) lines (SNF5, ARID1A, ARID2, and PBRM1); however, in the ARID2 KO clones, PBRM1 was no longer associated with BRG1, while SNF5 and ARID1A were still present (Figure 1D). We also performed reverse co-immunoprecipitation of ARID2 and an additional PBAF-specific subunit, PHF10. We found that, while ARID2 and PHF10 pulled down BRG1 and SNF5 in the parental and NTC lines, there was no association with these core subunits, or PBAF-specific subunits, in the ARID2 KO lines (Figures S1D and S1E).

Figure 1. Effects of ARID2 depletion on SWI/SNF complexes in melanoma

(A) Oncoplot of TCGA skin cutaneous melanoma mutational data highlighting mutation rates of PBAF-specific subunits *ARID2*, *PBRM1*, and *BRD7*. Each column represents one sample.

(B) Chromatin fraction immunoblots of SWI/SNF subunits, including PBAF-specific ARID2, PBRM1, and BRD7 (green); BAF-specific SS18 (blue); and core subunits SNF5 and BRG1 (black), across ARID2 WT and mutant (indicated in red) melanoma cell lines. Amido black staining was used as a loading control (n = 3).

(C) Immunoblot of WCE and chromatin fractions of SWI/SNF subunits in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (parental and NTC) and KO clones. GAPDH was used as a loading control for WCE, amido black for chromatin fractions (n = 3).

(D) Immunoblots of BRG1, SNF5, ARID2, PBRM1, and ARID1A in SKmel147 ARID2 WT and KO cells following immunoprecipitation of BRG1. IgG was used as negative control (n = 2).

(E) Immunoblots of glycerol gradient sedimentation from SKmel147 ARID2 WT and KO1.4 showing sedimentation of SWI/SNF subcomplexes (ARID1A and SS18 in the BAF fractions, ARID2 and BRD7 in the PBAF fractions) in ARID2 WT cells, which is altered in ARID2 KO cells (n = 2).

(F) Immunoblots of ARID2, ARID1A, SNF5, and BRG1 of glycerol gradient sedimentation fractions from Mel888 (n = 1). See also Figure S1 and Table S1.

To further assess the impact of ARID2 loss on SWI/SNF subcomplex dynamics, we utilized glycerol gradient sedimentation (Pan et al., 2019; Alpsoy and Dykhuizen, 2018). In the parental WT setting, the PBAF subcomplex migrates toward the heavier fractions, as observed by the presence of ARID2, PHF10, and BRD7, while BAF migrates to lighter fractions as detected by the presence of ARID1A and SS18 (Figures 1E and S1F) (Alpsoy and Dykhuizen, 2018; Mashtalir et al., 2018). SNF5 was enriched in the BAF and PBAF fractions, and BRM was predominant in the BAF fractions, while BRG1 was present in all the subcomplex fractions, including the GBAF subcomplex estimated by GLTSCR1 blotting (Alpsoy and Dykhuizen, 2018; Gatchalian et al., 2018; Michel et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019) (Figures 1E and S1F). ARID2 KO cells displayed depletion of ARID2, PHF10, and BRD7, as expected, but this was also accompanied by a reduction in the core subunits BRG1 and SNF5 in the corresponding PBAF fractions (Figures 1E and S1F). We further validated these findings in Mel888 (confirmed to harbor a S297F mutation), which displays a similar depletion of the core subunits in the PBAF fractions (Figures 1F, S1G, and Table S1) (Akbani et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2013). By comparing ARID2 WT versus

KO fractions quantitatively, we observed a modest increased signal of the core subunits in the BAF-containing fractions in the absence of ARID2 (Figures S1H and S1I). This may reflect a redistribution of PBAF-containing core subunits as opposed to partially dissociated PBAF complexes running in the BAF fractions, as the loss of the PBAF-specific subunits would result in a complex of much smaller molecular weight. Similar findings were observed by quantitative mass spectrometry of BRG1 in the absence of ARID2, where PBAF-specific subunits were significantly depleted and BRG1 showed increased association with BAF-specific subunits (Schick et al., 2019). Collectively, these observations suggest that depletion of ARID2 results in a complete loss of the PBAF complex with potential for redistribution of the residual SWI/SNF core subunits to the BAF complex.

PBAF and BAF have distinct yet overlapping genomic occupancy in melanoma

To further dissect the role of SWI/SNF complexes in melanoma, we performed ChIP-seq (chromatin immunoprecipitation with high-throughput sequencing) of ARID2, SS18 (representing a BAF subunit), and BRG1 in the parental SKmel147 cell line (Figures 2A and 2B). We found that, while ARID2 is enriched at promoters, SS18 is found predominantly at distal and intragenic locations (Figure S2A). Importantly, ChIP-seq of ARID2 in

Figure 2. PBAF and BAF genomic localization in melanoma cells

(A) Intersection of significant peaks between ARID2 and SS18 ChIP-seq in SKmel147 used to defined PBAF, BAF, and shared regions.

(B) Heatmaps of ChIP-seq enrichment for ARID2, SS18, BRG1, H3K4me3, H3K4me1, H3K27ac, and ATAC-seq in SKmel147 were centered on PBAF, shared, and BAF regions as defined in (A).

(C) Bar plots displaying the percentage of PBAF, shared, and BAF regions occupying promoter, distal, and intragenic regions.

(D) UCSC genome browser (hg19) snapshots displaying examples of PBAF, shared, and BAF regions.

(E) TF motif analysis of the PBAF, shared, and BAF regions.

(F) Metagene profiles of REST, CTCF, and FOSL2 ChIP-seq in SKmel147 at PBAF, shared, and BAF regions as defined in (A). See also Figure S2 and Tables S1, S2, S4, and S6.

SKmel147 ARID2 KO cells yielded <1% of the ARID2 peaks found in WT cells (Figure S2B), likely reflecting non-specific binding (Figure S2C), and these peaks were removed from subsequent analysis. Next, we defined three genomic clusters based on the differential and shared occupancy of ARID2 and SS18: 5% of peaks at PBAF-enriched regions (defined by ARID2 occupancy and low SS18), 71% at BAF-enriched regions (SS18 occupied and low ARID2), and 24% at BAF-PBAF "shared" regions (ARID2- and SS18-bound) (Figures 2A, 2B, and Table S2). All three clusters displayed BRG1 binding, although less signal was detected at the PBAF regions (Figure 2B), as previously observed (Pan et al., 2019). Through genomic annotation analysis, we found that PBAF and shared regions were preferentially enriched at promoters (∼55%), whereas the BAF regions were preferentially enriched at distal and intragenic locations (∼90%) (Figure 2C).

Next, we performed ChIP-seq for H3K27ac, utilized our ChIP-seq data for H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 (Fontanals-Cirera et al., 2017), and performed ATAC-seq (assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with high-throughput sequencing) in SKmel147. Using these data, we found that PBAF-enriched and shared regions are largely associated with promoters marked by H3K4me3, and BAF-enriched regions are associated with enhancers marked by H3K4me1, consistent with the genomic annotation analysis (Figure 2B). Examples of such genomic regions are shown in Figure 2D. Finally, H3K27ac and open chromatin as demonstrated by ATAC-seq were associated with all three clusters (Figure 2B).

We validated these results using a second melanoma cell line, 113/6-4L (herein referred to as 4L), a BRAF^{V600E} mutant, MITF-high line. Briefly, we identified PBAF, shared, and BAF genomic regions in 4L as described above utilizing the differential and shared occupancy of ARID2 and SS18 (Figure S2D and Table S2). Consistent with SKmel147, PBAF regions were preferentially enriched at promoters (∼70%) and BAF regions at distal and intragenic locations (∼90%), while shared sites were more evenly distributed across these regions than in SKmel147 (Figure S2E). All three clusters displayed BRG1 binding (similar to SKmel147, less signal was detected at PBAF regions) and were associated with H3K27ac and open chromatin as indicated by ATAC-seq (Figure S2F).

To address the functionality of these clusters, we divided all expressed genes in SKmel147 (Table S3) into four categories based on their promoter occupancy: PBAF (n = 1,721), BAF (n = 3,790), shared (n = 8,833), or devoid of either complex, "None" (n = 3,646). Analysis of their gene expression levels revealed that genes occupied by BAF and/or PBAF complexes are associated with significantly higher expression than genes without either complex (None) (Figure S2G). Furthermore, higher gene expression was associated with genes whose promoters were occupied by both complexes, compared with PBAF- or BAF-only occupied promoters (Figure S2G), suggesting cooperation of these complexes when co-bound. These findings were also corroborated in 4L (Figure S2G).

We next examined the TF motifs enriched in each of the SKmel147 clusters to identify putative co-regulators of PBAF and BAF complexes (Figure 2E and Table S4). In the PBAF cluster, we identified various motifs that have been associated with

SWI/SNF, including REST, a repressor of neuronal gene expression (Barisic et al., 2019), and VDR, a nuclear receptor of the vitamin D ligand (Wei et al., 2018). The CTCF motif was enriched in all clusters, while the AP-1 motif was most enriched in the shared and BAF clusters, concordant with previous studies associating this family of TFs with BAF (Vierbuchen et al., 2017). AP-1 also emerged as a top motif when all ARID2 and SS18 peaks were examined (Figure S2H and Table S4). Similarly, in 4L, TF motif analysis identified REST as enriched in the PBAF cluster and AP-1 in the shared and BAF clusters (e.g., FOSL1 and ATF3, respectively) (Figure S2I and Table S4). To validate these motif predictions, we performed ChIP-seq for REST, CTCF, and FOSL2 (as a representative AP-1 factor) in SKmel147. Indeed, we observed that REST was most highly enriched in PBAF-enriched regions (although bound at a small subset of sites) and CTCF was enriched in all clusters, while FOSL2 was most enriched in shared and BAF-enriched clusters (Figures 2F and S2J). Taken together, our results indicate that there exists differential and shared enrichment of BAF and PBAF subcomplexes at distinct genomic regions, localizing at unique and common TF-binding sites.

PBAF deficiency results in chromatin accessibility alterations and retargeting of BAF

To understand the changes in chromatin accessibility upon loss of a functional PBAF complex, we performed ATAC-seq in SKmel147 WT (parental and NTC) and ARID2 KO clones (KO1.4 and KO3.20) in duplicate (Figures S3A and S3B). Upon calling significantly differentially accessible regions (adjusted p < 0.05), we identified 6,542 regions with decreased chromatin accessibility and 3,105 regions with increased accessibility in the ARID2 KO samples (Figure S3C and Table S2) with an average ∼2-fold change at both ATAC increased and ATAC decreased significant regions (Figure 3A). Upon intersection of these regions with the three defined clusters (Figure 2A), we observed that loss of accessibility occurred largely at ARID2-bound regions, with high ARID2 enrichment at baseline (Figures 3B and S3D), whereas increased chromatin accessibility was found predominantly at BAF-enriched regions, which displayed low ARID2 enrichment at baseline (Figures 3B and S3D). This suggests that PBAF primarily maintains open chromatin at a subset of ARID2-bound sites in both PBAF and shared regions, which is not compensated for by the BAF complex, while a fraction of BAF-enriched regions gain chromatin accessibility in the absence of PBAF. We also identified changes in chromatin accessibility in regions lacking ARID2 or SS18 significant peaks (Figure 3B, None), which may be below the threshold of significant peak calling, yet have functional SWI/SNF binding, or may be indirectly affected.

To probe these changes further, we performed ChIP-seq of SS18 and H3K27ac in ARID2 WT and KO cell lines. We observed that regions with decreased chromatin accessibility displayed concomitant loss of H3K27ac and SS18 (at shared regions), while an increased enrichment of BAF was demonstrated by increased SS18 signal at the ATAC increased regions, along with increased H3K27ac (Figures 3C and S3E–S3G and Table S2). These shifts are further highlighted by the strong positive correlation between ATAC-SS18 (Pearson correlation = 0.86) and ATAC-H3K27ac (Pearson correlation = 0.85)

Figure 3. ARID2 depletion results in chromatin accessibility changes and BAF redistribution

(A) Metagene profiles of ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4 and KO3.20) lines. ATAC decreased (n = 6,542) and increased (n = 3,105) regions called with adjusted p < 0.05.

(B) Pie charts displaying the percentage of ATAC decreased and increased regions at PBAF, shared, and BAF sites or None (no SWI/SNF binding).

(C) Scatterplots displaying the log2 fold changes (log2FC) in SKmel147 ARID2 WT versus KO of H3K27ac, SS18, or H3K27me3 (y axis) versus the log2FC of ATAC-seq altered regions (x axis). Pearson correlations are shown.

(D) UCSC genome browser (hg19) snapshots displaying ARID2, H3K4me3/H3K4me1, ATAC, H3K27ac, and SS18 in ARID2 WT and KO SKmel147 lines at ATAC decreased and increased regions.

(E) WCE immunoblots of 501mel ARID2 WT and KO clones probed for ARID2, PBRM1, and SNF5. GAPDH was used as a loading control (n = 2). Chromatin extract immunoblots of 4L ARID2 WT and KO clones probed for ARID2, PBRM1, and SS18. Amido black was used as a loading control (n = 2).

(F) Metagene profiles of 501mel ARID2 WT (parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.8 and KO5.2) lines. ATAC decreased (n = 1,480) and increased (n = 3,762) deregulated regions called with adjusted p < 0.05.

(G) Scatterplots displaying the log2FC in 501mel ARID2 WT versus KO cell lines of H3K27ac or SS18 versus the log2FC of ATAC-seq altered regions. Pearson correlations are shown. See also Figure S3 and Tables S1, S2, S4, and S6.

(Figure 3C). Examples of such changes are highlighted in Figure 3D at ATAC decreased and increased regions. Given the reported antagonistic relationship between SWI/SNF and Polycomb repressive complexes (Kia et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2015; Stanton et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2010), we also performed ChIP-seq of H3K27me3 in ARID2 WT and KO cells and observed a moderate anti-correlation between H3K27me3 and the differential ATAC regions (Pearson correlation = −0.40) (Figure 3C and Table S2). This suggests that PBAF-bound regions may be silenced by different and/or additional mechanisms in the absence of ARID2.

Next, to validate our findings in cell lines with different driver mutations and phenotypic states, we utilized the BRAF^{V600E}-mutant/MITF-high melanoma cell lines 501mel and 4L. In brief, we generated ARID2 KO clones, which, as expected, displayed loss of PBRM1 (Figure 3E), and performed ATAC-seq analysis as described above (Figures S3H and S3I). In 501mel, we identified 1,480 regions with decreased chromatin accessibility and 3,762 regions with increased chromatin accessibility in the ARID2 KO cells (Figure S3J and Table S2). Metagene analysis revealed an ∼2-fold change at both ATAC increased and ATAC decreased significant regions (Figure 3F) with concomitant changes of H3K27ac (Pearson correlation = 0.84) and SS18 (Pearson correlation = 0.76) (Figures 3G and S3K–S3N and Table S2), consistent with our results for SKmel147. For reasons that remain unclear, we were unable to ChIP ARID2 in 501mel to further intersect differentially accessible regions with SWI/SNF clusters. Using 4L, however, upon differential ATAC-seq analysis (4L ARID2 WT versus KO) (Figure S3O) and intersection with SWI/SNF clusters (Figure S2F), we confirmed that regions with increased chromatin accessibility occurred primarily at BAF sites, and regions with decreased accessibility occurred mostly at ARID2-bound regions with high ARID2 enrichment at baseline (Figures S3P and S3Q). These data indicate a conserved mechanism of BAF occupancy changes in the absence of a functional PBAF in melanoma cell lines of distinct genetic and phenotypic backgrounds.

Redistribution of SWI/SNF complexes disrupts the melanoma TF network

To investigate TF enrichment at differentially accessible regions in the context of ARID2 KO in SKmel147, we performed *de novo* motif analysis. The AP-1 motif was the most highly enriched at both ATAC increased and ATAC decreased regions (Figure 4A and Table S4), consistent with this motif being enriched in the shared and BAF clusters (Figure 2E and Table S4). ETS1 and TEAD motifs were highly enriched, and the REST motif less so, at ATAC decreased regions, while the CTCF motif was highly enriched at ATAC increased regions. To investigate whether binding of these TFs was in fact altered at these differentially accessible sites, we performed ChIP-seq of FOSL2, TEAD4, and CTCF in ARID2 WT and KO SKmel147 cells as in Figure 2F. As AP-1/TEAD TFs are master regulators of the melanoma invasive state, and we showed that FOSL2 and TEAD4 regulate the melanoma enhancer landscape (Fontanals-Cirera et al., 2017; Verfaillie et al., 2015), we used these TFs as representative members of their respective families. In addition, FOSL2 represents one of the most highly expressed AP-1 factors in SKmel147 (Figure S4A).

By intersecting the TF ChIP-seq with the differential ATAC regions, we found that almost half of the differential ATAC peaks were bound by either FOSL2 or TEAD4, many of which were bound by both (Figures S4B and S4C). Furthermore, we found increased binding of FOSL2 and TEAD4 at ATAC increased regions, and decreased binding of FOSL2 and TEAD4 at ATAC decreased regions (Figure 4B and Table S2). Along with the significant correlation between these changing ATAC-FOSL2 (Pearson correlation = 0.92) and ATAC-TEAD4 (Pearson correlation = 0.91) regions, SS18 enrichment changed in the same direction (Figure 4C). This suggests that the chromatin regions that close lose TF and BAF binding (assessed by SS18 enrichment), while regions that open gain TF and BAF occupancy. Examples are highlighted in Figure S4D. Finally, the intersection between differentially accessible regions and CTCF was not as robust, with many fewer regions affected by ARID2 KO (Figures S4E and S4F). Consistent with previous studies (Barisic et al., 2019), this suggests that CTCF may have limited dependency on SWI/SNF complexes to bind to chromatin.

We also performed motif analysis in 501mel ARID2 WT and KO clones. Unlike SKmel147, an MITF-low cell line, we found that the motifs for the lineage-specific TFs SOX10 and MITF were enriched at both ATAC increased and ATAC decreased regions (Figure 4D and Table S4). MITF ChIP-seq in WT and KO 501mel cells revealed a robust intersection between MITF binding and differentially accessible regions (89% of ATAC increased peaks, 49% of ATAC decreased peaks) (Figure S4G). Similar to FOSL2 and TEAD4 in SKmel147, MITF binding was altered at ATAC differential regions (Figure 4E and Table S2), and we identified a strong correlation between changing ATAC-MITF regions (Pearson correlation = 0.90), along with concordant SS18 differential enrichment (Figure 4F and S4H).

These findings highlight a conserved mechanism of PBAF function across melanoma cells of distinct genetic backgrounds and phenotypic states; however, the TFs affected differ. This appears to correlate with TF expression levels, as in SKmel147, FOSL2 mRNA levels are ∼5× higher than in 501mel, and in 501mel, MITF levels are ∼15× higher than in SKmel147 (Figure 4G). MITF levels were further validated by immunoblot (Figure S4I). Thus, our findings suggest a dynamic relationship between SWI/SNF complexes and the TFs that utilize these complexes to access chromatin.

Altered transcriptome and TF binding in ARID2-deficient melanoma cells

To identify the biological changes associated with PBAF depletion, we performed RNA sequencing in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (parental and NTC) and ARID2 KO clones (KO1.4, KO1.5 and KO3.20) (Figure S5A). We identified 955 upregulated genes and 1,072 downregulated genes in ARID2 KO samples (Figure S5B and Table S3). Because we identified BAF redistribution in the absence of PBAF, and BAF enrichment at enhancers, we performed Hi-C (chromosome conformation capture assay) in parental SKmel147. This allowed us to impute enhancer-promoter interactions more confidently and to call significant TADs (topologically associated domains) (Table S2). We next integrated the transcriptomic changes with the alterations in chromatin accessibility and TF binding utilizing the significant TADs

Figure 4. Chromatin accessibility changes in the absence of ARID2 correlate with TF occupancy

(A) Scatterplot displaying the absolute log p values of the TF motifs (*de novo*) enriched at SKmel147 ARID2 WT versus KO ATAC-altered regions.

(B) Metagene profiles of SKmel147 ARID2 WT (parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4 and KO3.20) enrichment of FOSL2 and TEAD4 ChIP-seq centered at ATAC decreased and increased regions that overlap with a TF peak (FOSL2 n = 4,548, TEAD4 n = 4,130).

(C) Scatterplots of SKmel147 ARID2 WT versus KO log2FC of FOSL2 and TEAD4 with ATAC log2FC at ATAC increased and decreased regions that overlap with a TF peak, as in (B). SS18 log2FC was plotted as a color variable and Pearson correlations are shown.

(D) Scatterplot displaying the absolute log p values of TF motifs (*de novo*) enriched at 501mel ARID2 WT versus KO ATAC-altered regions.

(E) Metagene profiles of 501mel ARID2 WT (parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.8 and KO5.2) enrichment of MITF ChIP-seq intersected with ATAC decreased and increased regions (n = 4,105).

(F) Scatterplot of 501mel ARID2 WT versus KO log2FC of MITF with ATAC log2FC at ATAC increased and decreased regions. SS18 is plotted as in (C).

(G) RNA-sequencing normalized counts of MITF, FOLS2, and TEAD4 in SKmel147 and 501mel. See also Figure S4 and Tables S1, S2, and S6.

to delimit the associations. We associated the ATAC increased and decreased regions with positively correlated DEGs (differentially expressed genes) within the same TAD and ± 500 kb of the TSS (transcription start site), and identified 56% of the upregulated genes associated with ATAC increased regions and 80% of the downregulated genes associated with ATAC decreased regions (Table S3). Of the DEGs associated with ATAC-altered regions, ~80% were associated with altered TEAD4 and/or FOSL2 binding (Figure 5A; Table S3). By performing the same analysis in 501mel, we identified 2,457 upregulated genes and 2,355 downregulated genes. As TADs are highly conserved across species and cell types (Dixon et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2014), we utilized the SKmel147 TADs to delineate enhancer-promoter associations in 501mel as described above. We found

that chromatin accessibility changes were associated with transcriptional changes (66% of the upregulated genes and 34% of the downregulated genes; Figures S5C–S5E and Table S3). Of the DEGs associated with ATAC-altered regions in 501mel, ~80% were associated with altered MITF binding (Table S3). Together, these data suggest a coordinated control of gene expression via TF access to chromatin through SWI/SNF function.

ARID2-deficient cells display upregulation of invasive gene signatures

Next, we performed pathway analysis of the DEGs in ARID2 KO versus WT SKmel147 cells that corresponded to differential chromatin accessibility sites within a TAD. Upon GO (gene ontology)

Figure 5. ARID2 deficiency leads to altered gene expression programs linked to invasion

(A) Association of ranked DEGs (heatmap) in SKmel147 ARID2 KO versus WT cells with their associated ATAC increased or decreased peaks (bottom), within a TAD and within ± 500 kb of the TSS. Replicates are shown (R1, R2). TEAD4/FOSL2 status is shown above and called if at least one of the gene-associated ATAC changing peaks is bound by FOSL2 and/or TEAD4.

(B) Biological process gene ontology of genes in (A).

(C) Heatmap of significantly upregulated genes (Z scores) from (B) of the pathway "response to TGFB." TEAD4/FOSL2 status is shown on the left.

(D) Heatmap of upregulated genes (Z scores) associated with regions with increased chromatin accessibility within a TAD overlapped with the "invasive melanoma signature" from Verfaillie et al. (2015).

(E) UCSC genome browser (hg19) snapshot displaying a putative enhancer (highlighted in yellow) with increased accessibility and SS18/FOSL2 binding in ARID2 KO lines with the promoter of the associated upregulated gene TGFBR2 shown.

(F) WCE immunoblots of SKmel147 ARID2 WT (parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4 and KO3.20) lines probed for TGFBR2, phospho-SMAD3, and SMAD3. GAPDH was used as a loading control (n = 2). See also Figure S5 and Tables S1, S2, S3, S5, and S6.

analysis, we observed upregulated pathways, including "response to TGFB," "synapse organization," and "regulation of protein kinase activity," among others (Figures 5B and Table S3). Among the downregulated pathways, we found "cholesterol biosynthesis" (Figure S5F), "mRNA metabolic process," and "cell development" (Figure 5B and Table S3). To extend our results to human melanoma patients, we performed DEG analysis on melanoma

samples from the TCGA cohort (n = 334), comparing ARID2 mutant (n = 50) with WT samples (Figure S5G). We found significant intersections between SKmel147 and TCGA deregulated genes (Figure S5H and Table S5). We found pathways related to "synapse" in the upregulated pathways and "metabolic processes" in the downregulated pathways (Figure S5I). Interestingly, in 501mel, the altered pathways were distinct (Figure S5J), highlighting how

SWI/SNF complexes regulate different gene expression programs linked to highly expressed TFs that depend on SWI/SNF remodeling activity.

Of interest, we noted key genes involved in melanoma invasion in the upregulated pathway "response to TGFB" in SKmel147 cells (Figure 5C). This includes *WNT5A* (Weeraratna et al., 2002) and collagen- and integrin-encoding genes (Lu et al., 2012), as well as genes involved in TGFB-induced EMT (epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition), such as *TGFBR2*, *SMAD2*, and *SMAD3* (Fuxe et al., 2010; Medici et al., 2008), the first and last of which were confirmed by immunoblot (Figure 5F). This led us to intersect the upregulated genes associated with increased accessibility in ARID2 KO cells with published melanoma invasive signatures (Verfaillie et al., 2015). Here we observed overlap with several genes involved in melanoma invasion, which were also associated with altered FOSL2 and TEAD4 binding (Figure 5D), such as the *TGFBR2* locus (Figure 5E). *TGFBR2* expression is associated with both the TGFB response pathway and the invasive signature (Figures 5B and 5C) and, consistent with our studies, Verfaillie et al. showed that AP-1/TEAD are master regulators of the melanoma invasive gene network (Verfaillie et al., 2015).

Our studies herein modeled ARID2 loss utilizing KO clonal cell lines. As a complementary approach, we also performed shRNA-mediated KD (knockdown) of ARID2 in SKmel147 cells. As expected, we observed loss of PBRM1 and BRD7 upon ARID2 KD (Figure S5K). By examining gene expression changes, we found a significant correlation between the KD and the KO lines (Figure S5L). Importantly, the invasive genes we identified in the KO setting were significantly upregulated upon ARID2 KD (e.g., *SMAD3*, *TGFBR2*, and *WNT5A*) (Figures S5L and S5M).

PBAF loss increases frequency of melanoma metastasis *in vivo*

Based on the gene expression signatures identified, we examined the phenotypic properties of the ARID2 WT and KO cells using *in vitro* assays, including proliferation, adhesion, and matrix degradation, but did not identify significant differences between ARID2 WT and KO cells (Figures S6A–S6C). Given the TGFB pathway upregulation in SKmel147 cells, which implicated the potential for invasion and metastasis, we examined the phenotypic differences between ARID2 WT and KO *in vivo*. We first utilized the chicken CAM (chorioallantoic membrane) model, which allows for examination of primary tumor growth and dissemination into the chicken embryo (Fluegen et al., 2017; Ossowski, 1988) (Figure 6A). While no significant difference in primary tumor growth was observed (Figures 6B and S6D), ARID2 KO cells displayed a striking increase in dissemination to the lower CAM and the liver (Figures 6B, 6D, S6E, and S6F). Next, we employed a tail vein injection model of experimental metastasis. To this end, we quantitated foci of fluorescently labeled cells in the lung to assess metastatic burden in both SKmel147 (MITF low) and 4L (MITF high) at 3 and 5 weeks post-tail-vein injection, respectively. We observed that ARID2 KO significantly increased the number of metastatic colonies compared with ARID2 WT cells as detected by both fluorescence and H&E quantification (Figures 6D–6F and S6G–S6I). While we noted macrometastases in both ARID2 WT and KO conditions, we observed many more

micrometastases in the ARID2 KO setting (Figures S6J and S6K). Taken together, this phenotype is consistent with the altered gene expression program identified in ARID2 KO cells, namely, the mesenchymal-like invasive signature, and suggests that chromatin reorganization following ARID2 loss favors melanoma metastasis.

DISCUSSION

Our results shed light onto the mechanisms by which ARID2 loss, and subsequent PBAF depletion, leads to changes in chromatin structure and transcriptional output in melanoma. We found that the PBAF complex predominantly maintains open chromatin at PBAF and PBAF-BAF shared regions, which consequently lose chromatin accessibility upon ARID2 depletion. The majority of chromatin accessibility losses occurred at the promoters of active genes, while gain of chromatin accessibility was almost exclusively found at a subset of BAF-enriched enhancers. Taken together, our biochemical and genomic data implicate both a modest increase in available core subunits upon PBAF loss into the BAF complex and the genomic redistribution of BAF complexes accompanied by increased chromatin accessibility and TF binding (Figure 6G).

Importantly, the mechanistic findings presented here are conserved between melanoma cells lines. The major difference was revealed when we probed the TF motifs of the differentially accessible chromatin regions. We identified that the deregulated transcriptional targets upon PBAF loss were influenced by the dominant TFs in each cell line, resulting in distinct effects on transcriptional programs. Therefore, the transcriptional consequences of *ARID2* mutation and/or loss in melanoma tumors might be dictated by the stage of melanoma progression or the state of the cell in which the mutation occurs (e.g., proliferative versus invasive). Nevertheless, we observed increased metastatic potential in cell lines with high and low MITF levels, as well as distinct driver mutations, indicating conservation of the phenotype. Moreover, as melanoma comprises transcriptionally distinct, heterogeneous cell populations (Tsoi et al., 2018), we envision future studies utilizing single-cell methodologies to better understand the nuanced effects of ARID2 loss within subpopulations of cells in human melanoma tumors.

We also observed that TF binding depends on SWI/SNF remodeling activity and the dynamics between the BAF and the PBAF complexes. This is consistent with studies demonstrating that depletion or inhibition of chromatin remodeling subunits plays a critical a role in the genomic occupancy of TFs (Barisic et al., 2019; Iurlaro et al., 2021). On the other hand, it has been shown that pioneer TFs, in cooperation with AP-1 TFs, can recruit SWI/SNF complexes to poised regions to promote accessibility (Vierbuchen et al., 2017). Therefore, such chromatin remodeler-TF interactions appear to be context dependent and might vary between different chromatin remodeling complexes and types of TFs. Moreover, our study does not exclude the possibility that redistribution of TFs upon ARID2 depletion may in fact be recruiting BAF complexes to sites that gain accessibility.

Upon integration of chromatin changes with transcriptional deregulation upon ARID2 depletion, we found that many of the

Figure 6. ARID2 depletion leads to melanoma metastasis *in vivo*

(A) Schematic of the chicken CAM xenograft assay and regions of the chicken embryo where cells disseminate to, including the lower CAM and the liver.

(B) FACS (fluorescence-activated cell sorting) quantification of SKmel147 ARID2 WT (NTC) and KO (KO1.4) cells of the primary tumor (n = 12 and n = 11, respectively), lower CAM (n = 19 and n = 16, respectively), and liver (n = 14 and n = 10, respectively) of the CAM xenografts 7 days post-injection. Nineteen independent replicates are aggregated, line represents the median. Welch's t test. Primary tumor, p = 0.8580; lower CAM, p = 0.0019; liver, p = 0.0156. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ns, p > 0.05.

(C) Representative images of SKmel147 ARID2 WT (NTC) and KO (KO1.4) GFP+ cells that disseminated to the lower CAM.

(D) Quantification of SKmel147 ARID2 WT (NTC, n = 5) and KO (KO1.4, n = 5) metastatic colonies in the lung 3 weeks post-tail-vein injection detected by fluorescence imaging. Line represents the median. Welch's t test. p = 0.029. *p < 0.05.

(E) Fluorescence images of lungs from mice with SKmel147 NTC and KO1.4 cells injected into the lateral tail vein.

(F) Quantification of 4L ARID2 WT (NTC, n = 5) and KO (KO1.17, n = 5; KO5.11, n = 5) metastatic colonies in the lung 5 weeks post-tail-vein injection detected by fluorescence imaging. Line represents the median. Welch's t test. NTC versus KO1.17, p = 0.0421; NTC versus KO.511, p = 0.0334. *p < 0.05.

(G) Model of the mechanisms by which ARID2 loss regulates chromatin dynamics across the three types of SWI/SNF-bound regions, and gene expression pathways affected by PBAF loss. See also Figure S6.

transcriptional changes positively correlated with changes in chromatin structure within a TAD. Moreover, because PBAF is a chromatin remodeling complex, we focused primarily on the gene expression changes that were associated with changes in chromatin accessibility. However, by examining all gene expression changes independent of chromatin structural changes (Table S3), we found additional altered pathways, including the upregulation of antigen processing and presentation genes. This is consistent with previous reports demonstrating that depletion of PBAF members from mouse B16

melanoma tumors correlated with increased sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibition (Fukumoto et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2018).

Finally, we revealed an unappreciated role for PBAF loss in melanoma metastasis. ARID2 mutations have been detected in early melanoma lesions (Shain et al., 2018), which we speculate may promote early dissemination, a phenomenon that has been clinically observed in melanoma patients (Werner-Klein et al., 2018). Intriguingly, recent studies of human melanoma have reported an enrichment of SWI/SNF mutations, including *ARID2* mutations in metastasis, particularly brain metastasis (In et al., 2020; Váraljai et al., 2021). While this remains to be explored, we found prominent enrichment of upregulated synaptic pathways in both melanoma cells and the TCGA, raising the possibility that ARID2 loss might prime melanoma cells for metastasis or adaptation to the brain. Importantly, activation of synaptic activity in cancer cells has been shown to promote cell migration and survival in brain niches (Venkataramani et al., 2019; Venkatesh et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2019). We look forward to future studies that investigate the role of the PBAF complex, including PBRM1 and BRD7, which are predicted to be melanoma drivers (Alkallas et al., 2020; Martínez-Jiménez et al., 2020), in such critical melanoma processes in order to better tailor treatments for melanoma patients.

Limitations of the study

By creating isogenic ARID2 KO melanoma models, we investigated the direct molecular and cellular consequences of ARID2 loss; however, we recognize that not all ARID2 mutations are complete loss-of-function mutations. Some ARID2 mutations may have distinct consequences on PBAF assembly, possibly leading to the formation of residual aberrant complexes. In addition, while we validated TGFB pathway upregulation in ARID2 KO cells *in vitro*, activation of this pathway *in vivo* and its role in metastasis were not explored. We anticipate that studies investigating the transcriptional and signaling changes upon ARID2 depletion during melanoma progression *in vivo* will be highly informative.

STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
  - Lead contact
  - Materials availability
  - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECT DETAILS
  - Cell lines
  - Chicken chorioallantoic membrane (CAM)
  - Mice
- METHOD DETAILS
  - Chromatin fractionation
  - Whole cell protein extraction
  - Immunoprecipitation (IP) of nuclear extracts
  - Glycerol gradient sedimentation
  - Immunoblotting
  - Plasmids, lentiviral vector production, and cell transfection
  - Single cell clonal expansion
  - Cell proliferation
  - Adhesion assays
  - Matrix degradation assay
  - CAM xenografts
  - Tail vein injections
  - RNA-sequencing
  - ChIP-sequencing
  - ATAC-sequencing
  - Hi-C
  - TCGA SKCM oncoplots
  - RNA-sequencing
  - ChIP-seq alignment and peak sets
  - ATAC-seq alignment and peak sets
  - ATAC-seq correlation matrices
  - ATAC-gene associations
  - ATAC-seq correlation scatterplots
  - Upset intersection plots
  - Genomic annotation analysis
  - TF motif analysis
  - Metagenes and heatmaps
  - Gene ontology
  - Hi-C
  - TCGA data analysis
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
  - Data deposition

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

REFERENCES

Andrews, S. FastQC, 2019. GitHub. https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC

Akbani, R., Akdemir, K.C., Aksoy, B.A., Albert, M., Ally, A., Amin, S.B., Arachchi, H., Arora, A., Auman, J.T., Ayala, B., et al. (2015). Genomic classification of cutaneous melanoma. Cell *161*, 1681–1696.

Akdemir, K.C., and Chin, L. (2015). HiCPlotter integrates genomic data with interaction matrices. Genome Biol. *16*, 198.

Alkallas, R., Lajoie, M., Moldoveanu, D., Hoang, K.V., Lefrançois, P., Lingrand, M., Ahanfeshar-Adams, M., Watters, K., Spatz, A., Zippin, J.H., et al. (2020). Multi-omic analysis reveals significantly mutated genes and DDX3X as a sex-specific tumor suppressor in cutaneous melanoma. Nat. Cancer. *16*, 635–652.

Alpsoy, A., and Dykhuizen, E.C. (2018). Glioma tumor suppressor candidate region gene 1 (GLTSCR1) and its paralog GLTSCR1-like form SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling subcomplexes. J. Biol. Chem. *293*, 3892–3903.

Ashburner, M., Ball, C.A., Blake, J.A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J.M., Davis, A.P., Dolinski, K., Dwight, S.S., Eppig, J.T., et al. (2000). Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nat. Genet. *25*, 25–29.

Atkins, M.B., Curiel-Lewandrowski, C., Fisher, D.E., Swetter, S.M., Tsao, H., Aguirre-Ghiso, J.A., Soengas, M.S., Weeraratna, A.T., Flaherty, K.T., Herlyn, M., et al. (2021). The state of melanoma: emergent challenges and opportunities. Clin. Cancer Res. *27*, 2678–2697.

Barisic, D., Stadler, M.B., Iurlaro, M., and Schübeler, D. (2019). Mammalian ISWI and SWI/SNF selectively mediate binding of distinct transcription factors. Nature *569*, 136–140.

Biegel, J.A., Fogelgren, B., Wainwright, L.M., Zhou, J.Y., Bevan, H., and Rorke, L.B. (2000). Germline INI1 mutation in a patient with a central nervous system atypical teratoid tumor and renal rhabdoid tumor. Genes Chromosom. Cancer *28*, 31–37.

Broad Institute Picard Toolkit. Broad Institute Picard Toolkit. http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/.

Bruno, M., Flaus, A., Stockdale, C., Rencurel, C., Ferreira, H., and Owen-Hughes, T. (2003). Histone H2A/H2B dimer exchange by ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling activities. Mol. Cell *12*, 1599–1606.

Conway, J.R., Lex, A., and Gehlenborg, N. (2017). UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their properties. Bioinformatics *33*, 2938–2940.

Conway, J.R., Dietlein, F., Taylor-Weiner, A., AlDubayan, S., Vokes, N., Keenan, T., Reardon, B., He, M.X., Margolis, C.A., Weirather, J.L., et al. (2020). Integrated molecular drivers coordinate biological and clinical states in melanoma. Nat. Genet. *52*, 1373–1383.

Corces, M.R., Trevino, A.E., Hamilton, E.G., Greenside, P.G., Sinnott-Armstrong, N.A., Vesuna, S., Satpathy, A.T., Rubin, A.J., Montine, K.S., Wu, B.,

et al. (2017). An improved ATAC-seq protocol reduces background and enables interrogation of frozen tissues. Nat. Methods *14*, 959–962.

Cruz-Munoz, W., Man, S., Xu, P., and Kerbel, R.S. (2008). Development of a preclinical model of spontaneous human melanoma central nervous system metastasis. Cancer Res *68*, 4500–4505.

Dechassa, M.L., Sabri, A., Pondugula, S., Kassabov, S.R., Chatterjee, N., Kladde, M.P., and Bartholomew, B. (2010). SWI/SNF has intrinsic nucleosome disassembly activity that is dependent on adjacent nucleosomes. Mol. Cell *38*, 590–602.

Dignam, J.D., Lebovitz, R.M., and Roeder, R.G. (1983). Accurate transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II in a soluble extract from isolated mammalian nuclei. Nucleic Acids Res. *11*, 1475–1489.

Dixon, J.R., Selvaraj, S., Yue, F., Kim, A., Li, Y., Shen, Y., Hu, M., Liu, J.S., and Ren, B. (2012). Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions. Nature *485*, 376–380.

Dobin, A. Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference, 2013. GitHub https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23104886

Dummer, R., Ascierto, P.A., Nathan, P., Robert, C., and Schadendorf, D. (2020). Rationale for immune checkpoint inhibitors plus targeted therapy in metastatic melanoma: a review. JAMA Oncol. *6*, 1957–1966.

Erkek, S., Johann, P.D., Finetti, M.A., Drosos, Y., Chou, H.-C., Zapatka, M., Sturm, D., Jones, D.T.W., Korshunov, A., Rhyzova, M., et al. (2018). Comprehensive analysis of chromatin states in atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor identifies diverging roles for SWI/SNF and polycomb in gene regulation. Cancer Cell *35*, 95–110.e8.

Fluegen, G., Avivar-Valderas, A., Wang, Y., Padgen, M.R., Williams, J.K., Nobre, A.R., Calvo, V., Cheung, J.F., Bravo-Cordero, J.J., Entenberg, D., et al. (2017). Phenotypic heterogeneity of disseminated tumour cells is preset by primary tumour hypoxic microenvironments. Nat. Cell Biol. *19*, 120–132.

Fontanals-Cirera, B., Hasson, D., Vardabasso, C., Di Micco, R., Agrawal, P., Chowdhury, A., Gantz, M., de Pablos-Aragoneses, A., Morgenstern, A., Wu, P., et al. (2017). Harnessing BET inhibitor sensitivity reveals AMIGO2 as a melanoma survival gene. Mol. Cell *68*, 731–744.e9.

Fukumoto, T., Lin, J., Fatkhutdinov, N., Liu, P., Somasundaram, R., Herlyn, M., Zhang, R., and Nishigori, C. (2020). ARID2 deficiency correlates with the response to immune checkpoint blockade in melanoma. J. Invest. Dermatol. *141*, 1564–1572.e4.

Fuxe, J., Vincent, T., and De Herreros, A.G. (2010). Transcriptional crosstalk between TGFβ and stem cell pathways in tumor cell invasion: role of EMT promoting Smad complexes. Cell Cycle *9*, 2363–2374.

Gao, J., Aksoy, B.A., Dogrusoz, U., Dresdner, G., Gross, B., Sumer, S.O., Sun, Y., Jacobsen, A., Sinha, R., Larsson, E., et al. (2013). Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Sci. Signal. *6*, pl1.

Gatchalian, J., Malik, S., Ho, J., Lee, D.-S., Kelso, T.W.R., Shokhirev, M.N., Dixon, J.R., and Hargreaves, D.C. (2018). A non-canonical BRD9-containing BAF chromatin remodeling complex regulates naive pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat. Commun. *9*, 5139.

Hakimi, A.A., Attalla, K., DiNatale, R.G., Ostrovnaya, I., Flynn, J., Blum, K.A., Ged, Y., Hoen, D., Kendall, S.M., Reznik, E., et al. (2020). A pan-cancer analysis of PBAF complex mutations and their association with immunotherapy response. Nat. Commun. *11*, 4168.

Hanniford, D., Ulloa-Morales, A., Karz, A., Berzoti-Coelho, M.G., Moubarak, R.S., Sánchez-Sendra, B., Kloetgen, A., Davalos, V., Imig, J., Wu, P., et al. (2020). Epigenetic silencing of CDR1as drives IGF2BP3-mediated melanoma invasion and metastasis. Cancer Cell *37*, 55–70.e15.

Hargreaves, D.C., and Crabtree, G.R. (2011). ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling: genetics, genomics and mechanisms. Cell Res *21*, 396–420.

Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y.C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J.X., Murre, C., Singh, H., and Glass, C.K. (2010). Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol. Cell *38*, 576–589.

Hodges, C., Kirkland, J.G., and Crabtree, G.R. (2016). The many roles of BAF (mSWI/SNF) and PBAF complexes in cancer. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 6, a026930.

Hodis, E., Watson, I.R., Kryukov, G.V., Arold, S.T., Imielinski, M., Theurillat, J.P., Nickerson, E., Auclair, D., Li, L., Place, C., et al. (2012). A landscape of driver mutations in melanoma. Cell 150, 251–263.

Imakaev, M., Fudenberg, G., McCord, R.P., Naumova, N., Goloborodko, A., Lajoie, B.R., Dekker, J., and Mirny, L.A. (2012). Iterative correction of Hi-C data reveals hallmarks of chromosome organization. Nat. Methods 9, 999–1003.

In, G.K., Poorman, K., Saul, M., O'Day, S., Farma, J.M., Olszanski, A.J., Gordon, M.S., Thomas, J.S., Eisenberg, B., Flaherty, L., et al. (2020). Molecular profiling of melanoma brain metastases compared to primary cutaneous melanoma and to extracranial metastases. Oncotarget 11, 3118–3128.

Iurlaro, M., Stadler, M.B., Masoni, F., Jagani, Z., Galli, G.G., and Schübeler, D. (2021). Mammalian SWI/SNF continuously restores local accessibility to chromatin. Nat. Genet. 53, 279–287.

Kadoch, C., and Crabtree, G.R. (2015). Mammalian SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes and cancer: mechanistic insights gained from human genomics. Sci. Adv. 1, e1500447.

Kadoch, C., Hargreaves, D.C., Hodges, C., Elias, L., Ho, L., Ranish, J., and Crabtree, G.R. (2013). Proteomic and bioinformatic analysis of mammalian SWI/SNF complexes identifies extensive roles in human malignancy. Nat. Genet. 45, 592–601.

Kapoor, A., Goldberg, M.S., Cumberland, L.K., Ratnakumar, K., Segura, M.F., Emanuel, P.O., Menendez, S., Vardabasso, C., LeRoy, G., Vidal, C.I., et al. (2010). The histone variant macroH2A suppresses melanoma progression through regulation of CDK8. Nature 468, 1105–1111.

Kato, S., Weng, Q.Y., Insco, M.L., Chen, K.Y., Muralidhar, S., Pozniak, J., Diaz, J.M.S., Drier, Y., Nguyen, N., Lo, J.A., et al. (2020). Gain-of-function genetic alterations of g9a drive oncogenesis. Cancer Discov. 10, 980–997.

Khavari, P.A., Peterson, C.L., Tamkun, J.W., Mendel, D.B., and Crabtree, G.R. (1993). BRG1 contains a conserved domain of the SWI2/SNF2 family necessary for normal mitotic growth and transcription. Nature 366, 170–174.

Kia, S.K., Gorski, M.M., Giannakopoulos, S., and Verrijzer, C.P. (2008). SWI/SNF mediates polycomb eviction and epigenetic reprogramming of the INK4b-ARF-INK4a locus. Mol. Cell. Biol. 28, 3457–3464.

Kim, K.H., Kim, W., Howard, T.P., Vazquez, F., Tsherniak, A., Wu, J.N., Wang, W., Haswell, J.R., Walensky, L.D., Hahn, W.C., et al. (2015). SWI/SNF-mutant cancers depend on catalytic and non-catalytic activity of EZH2. Nat. Med. 21, 1491–1496.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol. 10, R25.

Lazaris, C., Kelly, S., Ntziachristos, P., Aifantis, I., and Tsirigos, A. (2017). HiC-bench: comprehensive and reproducible Hi-C data analysis designed for parameter exploration and benchmarking. BMC Genomics 18, 22.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079.

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W. (2014). FeatureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30, 923–930.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550.

Lu, P., Weaver, V.M., and Werb, Z. (2012). The extracellular matrix: a dynamic niche in cancer progression. J. Cell Biol. 196, 395–406.

Martínez-Jiménez, F., Muiños, F., Sentís, I., Deu-Pons, J., Reyes-Salazar, I., Arnedo-Pac, C., Mularoni, L., Pich, O., Bonet, J., Kranas, H., et al. (2020). A compendium of mutational cancer driver genes. Nat. Rev. Cancer 20, 555–572.

Di Martino, J., Henriet, E., Ezzoukhry, Z., Mondal, C., Bravo-Cordero, J.J., Moreau, V., and Saltel, F. (2017). 2D and 3D matrices to study linear invadosome formation and activity. J. Vis. Exp. 124, e54911.

Mashtalir, N., D'Avino, A.R., Michel, B.C., Luo, J., Pan, J., Otto, J.E., Zullow, H.J., McKenzie, Z.M., Kubiak, R.L., St. Pierre, R., et al. (2018). Modular organization and assembly of SWI/SNF family chromatin remodeling complexes. Cell 175, 1272–1288.

Mayakonda, A., Lin, D.C., Assenov, Y., Plass, C., and Koeffler, H.P. (2018). Maftools: efficient and comprehensive analysis of somatic variants in cancer. Genome Res. 28, 1747–1756.

Medici, D., Hay, E.D., and Olsen, B.R. (2008). Snail and slug promote epithelial-mesenchymal transition through β-catenin-T-cell factor-4-dependent expression of transforming growth factor-β3. Mol. Biol. Cell 19, 4875–4887.

Méndez, J., and Stillman, B. (2000). Chromatin association of human origin recognition complex, cdc6, and minichromosome maintenance proteins during the cell cycle: assembly of prereplication complexes in late mitosis. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, 8602–8612.

Michel, B.C., D'Avino, A.R., Cassel, S.H., Mashtalir, N., McKenzie, Z.M., McBride, M.J., Valencia, A.M., Zhou, Q., Bocker, M., Soares, L.M.M., et al. (2018). A non-canonical SWI/SNF complex is a synthetic lethal target in cancers driven by BAF complex perturbation. Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 1410–1420.

Nakayama, R.T., Pulice, J.L., Valencia, A.M., McBride, M.J., McKenzie, Z.M., Gillespie, M.A., Ku, W.L., Teng, M., Cui, K., Williams, R.T., et al. (2017). SMARCB1 is required for widespread BAF complex–mediated activation of enhancers and bivalent promoters. Nat. Genet. 49, 1613–1623.

Ossowski, L. (1988). Plasminogen activator dependent pathways in the dissemination of human tumor cells in the chick embryo. Cell 52, 321–328.

Pan, D., Kobayashi, A., Jiang, P., De Andrade, L.F., Tay, R.E., Luoma, A.M., Tsoucas, D., Qiu, X., Lim, K., Rao, P., et al. (2018). A major chromatin regulator determines resistance of tumor cells to T cell-mediated killing. Science 359, 770–775.

Pan, J., McKenzie, Z.M., D'Avino, A.R., Mashtalir, N., Lareau, C.A., St. Pierre, R., Wang, L., Shilatifard, A., and Kadoch, C. (2019). The ATPase module of mammalian SWI/SNF family complexes mediates subcomplex identity and catalytic activity–independent genomic targeting. Nat. Genet. 51, 618–626.

Patsialou, A., Wilsker, D., and Moran, E. (2005). DNA-binding properties of ARID family proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 33, 66–80.

Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26, 841–842.

Rambow, F., Marine, J.C., and Goding, C.R. (2019). Melanoma plasticity and phenotypic diversity: therapeutic barriers and opportunities. Genes Dev. 33, 1295.

Ramírez, F., Ryan, D.P., Grüning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A.S., Heyne, S., Dündar, F., and Manke, T. (2016). deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, W160–W165.

Rao, S.S.P., Huntley, M.H., Durand, N.C., Stamenova, E.K., Bochkov, I.D., Robinson, J.T., Sanborn, A.L., Machol, I., Omer, A.D., Lander, E.S., et al. (2014). A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell 159, 1665–1680.

Roberts, C.W.M., Galusha, S.A., McMenamin, M.E., Fletcher, C.D.M., and Orkin, S.H. (2000). Haploinsufficiency of Snf5 (integrase interactor 1) predisposes to malignant rhabdoid tumors in mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 97, 13796–13800.

Saha, A., Wittmeyer, J., and Cairns, B.R. (2006). Chromatin remodelling: the industrial revolution of DNA around histones. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7, 437–447.

Samstein, R.M., Lee, C.H., Shoushtari, A.N., Hellmann, M.D., Shen, R., Janjigian, Y.Y., Barron, D.A., Zehir, A., Jordan, E.J., Omuro, A., et al. (2019). Tumor mutational load predicts survival after immunotherapy across multiple cancer types. Nat. Genet. 51, 202–206.

Schick, S., Rendeiro, A.F., Runggatscher, K., Ringler, A., Boidol, B., Hinkel, M., Májek, P., Vulliard, L., Penz, T., Parapatics, K., et al. (2019). Systematic

characterization of BAF mutations provides insights into intracomplex synthetic lethalities in human cancers. Nat. Genet. 51, 1399–1410.

Shain, A.H., and Pollack, J.R. (2013). The spectrum of SWI/SNF mutations, ubiquitous in human cancers. PLoS One 8, e55119.

Shain, A.H., Joseph, N.M., Yu, R., Benhamida, J., Liu, S., Prow, T., Ruben, B., North, J., Pincus, L., Yeh, I., et al. (2018). Genomic and transcriptomic analysis reveals incremental disruption of key signaling pathways during melanoma evolution. Cancer Cell 34, 45–55.e4.

Shalem, O., Sanjana, N.E., Hartenian, E., Shi, X., Scott, D.A., Mikkelsen, T.S., Heckl, D., Ebert, B.L., Root, D.E., Doench, J.G., et al. (2014). Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screening in human cells. Science 343, 84–87.

Shin, H., Shi, Y., Dai, C., Tjong, H., Gong, K., Alber, F., and Zhou, X.J. (2015). TopDom: an efficient and deterministic method for identifying topological domains in genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, e70.

Simon, A. (2019). Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.

Stanton, B.Z., Hodges, C., Calarco, J.P., Braun, S.M.G., Ku, W.L., Kadoch, C., Zhao, K., and Crabtree, G.R. (2017). Smarca4 ATPase mutations disrupt direct eviction of PRC1 from chromatin. Nat. Genet. 49, 282–288.

Strub, T., Ghiraldini, F.G., Carcamo, S., Li, M., Wroblewska, A., Singh, R., Goldberg, M.S., Hasson, D., Wang, Z., Gallagher, S.J., et al. (2018). SIRT6 haploinsufficiency induces BRAFV600E melanoma cell resistance to MAPK inhibitors via IGF signalling. Nat. Commun. 9, 3440.

Tsirigos, A., Haiminen, N., Bilal, E., and Utro, F. (2012). GenomicTools: a computational platform for developing high-throughput analytics in genomics. Bioinformatics 28, 282–283.

Tsoi, J., Robert, L., Paraiso, K., Galvan, C., Sheu, K.M., Lay, J., Wong, D.J.L., Atefi, M., Shirazi, R., Wang, X., et al. (2018). Multi-stage differentiation defines melanoma subtypes with differential vulnerability to drug-induced iron-dependent oxidative stress. Cancer Cell 33, 890–904.e5.

Váraljai, R., Horn, S., Sucker, A., Piercianek, D., Schmitt, V., Carpinteiro, A., Becker, K.A., Reifenberger, J., Roesch, A., Felsberg, J., et al. (2021). Integrative genomic analyses of patient-matched intracranial and extracranial metastases reveal a novel brain-specific landscape of genetic variants in driver genes of malignant melanoma. Cancers (Basel). 13, 731.

Vardabasso, C., Gaspar-Maia, A., Hasson, D., Pünzeler, S., Valle-Garcia, D., Straub, T., Keilhauer, E.C., Strub, T., Dong, J., Panda, T., et al. (2015). Histone variant H2A.Z.2 mediates proliferation and drug sensitivity of malignant melanoma. Mol. Cell 59, 75–88.

Varela, I., Tarpey, P., Raine, K., Huang, D., Ong, C.K., Stephens, P., Davies, H., Jones, D., Lin, M.L., Teague, J., et al. (2011). Exome sequencing identifies frequent mutation of the SWI/SNF complex gene PBRM1 in renal carcinoma. Nature 469, 539–542.

Venkataramani, V., Tanev, D.I., Strahle, C., Studier-Fischer, A., Fankhauser, L., Kessler, T., Körber, C., Kardorff, M., Ratliff, M., Xie, R., et al. (2019). Glutamatergic synaptic input to glioma cells drives brain tumour progression. Nature 573, 532–538.

Venkatesh, H.S., Morishita, W., Geraghty, A.C., Silverbush, D., Gillespie, S.M., Arzt, M., Tam, L.T., Espenel, C., Ponnuswami, A., Ni, L., et al. (2019). Electrical and synaptic integration of glioma into neural circuits. Nature 573, 539–545.

Verfaillie, A., Imrichova, H., Atak, Z.K., Dewaele, M., Rambow, F., Hulselmans, G., Christiaens, V., Svetlichnyy, D., Luciani, F., Van Den Mooter, L., et al. (2015). Decoding the regulatory landscape of melanoma reveals TEADS as regulators of the invasive cell state. Nat. Commun. 6, 6683.

Versteege, I., Sévenet, N., Lange, J., Rousseau-Merck, M.F., Ambros, P., Handgretinger, R., Aurias, A., and Delattre, O. (1998). Truncating mutations of hSNF5/INI1 in aggressive paediatric cancer. Nature 394, 203–206.

Vierbuchen, T., Ling, E., Cowley, C.J., Couch, C.H., Wang, X., Harmin, D.A., Roberts, C.W.M., and Greenberg, M.E. (2017). AP-1 transcription factors and the BAF complex mediate signal-dependent enhancer selection. Mol. Cell 68, 1067–1082.e12.

Wang, W., Xue, Y., Zhou, S., Kuo, A., Cairns, B.R., and Crabtree, G.R. (1996). Diversity and specialization of mammalian SWI/SNF complexes. Genes Dev. 10, 2117–2130.

Wang, X., Wang, S., Troisi, E.C., Howard, T.P., Haswell, J.R., Wolf, B.K., Hawk, W.H., Ramos, P., Oberlick, E.M., Tzvetkov, E.P., et al. (2019). BRD9 defines a SWI/SNF sub-complex and constitutes a specific vulnerability in malignant rhabdoid tumors. Nat. Commun. 10, 1–11.

Weeraratna, A.T., Jiang, Y., Hostetter, G., Rosenblatt, K., Duray, P., Bittner, M., and Trent, J.M. (2002). Wnt5a signaling directly affects cell motility and invasion of metastatic melanoma. Cancer Cell 1, 279–288.

Wei, Z., Yoshihara, E., He, N., Hah, N., Fan, W., Pinto, A.F.M., Huddy, T., Wang, Y., Ross, B., Estepa, G., et al. (2018). Vitamin D switches BAF complexes to protect β cells. Cell 173, 1135–1149.e15.

Werner-Klein, M., Scheitler, S., Hoffmann, M., Hodak, I., Dietz, K., Lehnert, P., Naimer, V., Polzer, B., Treitschke, S., Werno, C., et al. (2018). Genetic alterations driving metastatic colony formation are acquired outside of the primary tumour in melanoma. Nat. Commun. 9, 595.

Widmer, D.S., Cheng, P.F., Eichhoff, O.M., Belloni, B.C., Zipser, M.C., Schlegel, N.C., Javelaud, D., Mauviel, A., Dummer, R., and Hoek, K.S. (2012). Systematic classification of melanoma cells by phenotype-specific gene expression mapping. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 25, 343–353.

Wilson, B.G., Wang, X., Shen, X., McKenna, E.S., Lemieux, M.E., Cho, Y.J., Koellhoffer, E.C., Pomeroy, S.L., Orkin, S.H., and Roberts, C.W.M. (2010). Epigenetic antagonism between polycomb and SWI/SNF complexes during oncogenic transformation. Cancer Cell 18, 316–328.

Yan, Z., Cui, K., Murray, D.M., Ling, C., Xue, Y., Gerstein, A., Parsons, R., Zhao, K., and Wang, W. (2005). PBAF chromatin-remodeling complex requires a novel specificity subunit, BAF200, to regulate expression of selective interferon-responsive genes. Genes Dev. 19, 1662–1667.

Yu, G., Wang, L.G., Han, Y., and He, Q.Y. (2012). ClusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. Omi. A. J. Integr. Biol. 16, 284–287.

Yu, G., Wang, L.G., and He, Q.Y. (2015). ChIP seeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChIP peak annotation, comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics 31, 2382–2383.

Zang, C., Schones, D.E., Zeng, C., Cui, K., Zhao, K., and Peng, W. (2009). A clustering approach for identification of enriched domains from histone modification ChIP-Seq data. Bioinformatics 25, 1952–1958.

Zeng, Q., Michael, I.P., Zhang, P., Saghafinia, S., Knott, G., Jiao, W., McCabe, B.D., Galván, J.A., Robinson, H.P.C., Zlobec, I., et al. (2019). Synaptic proximity enables NMDAR signalling to promote brain metastasis. Nature 573, 526–531.

Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nussbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., et al. (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 9, R137.

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
ARID2	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-166117; RRID: AB_2060382
ARID2	Cell Signaling	Cat# 82342; RRID:AB_2799992
PBRM1	In house	N/A
BRD7	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-376180; RRID:AB_10989389
SS18	Cell Signaling	Cat# 21792; RRID:AB_2728667
SNF5	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-166165; RRID:AB_2270651
SNF5	Bethyl	Cat# A301-087A; RRID:AB_2191714
BRG1	Abcam	Cat# ab110641; RRID:AB_10861578
BRG1	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-17796; RRID:AB_626762
ARID1A	Bethyl	Cat# A301-041A; RRID:AB_2060365
ARID1A	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-32761; RRID:AB_673396
GAPDH	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-32233; RRID:AB_627679
H3K27ac	Abcam	Cat# ab4729; RRID:AB_2118291
H3K27me3	Epicypher	Cat# 13-0030; RRID: N/A
H3K9me3	Abcam	Cat# ab176916; RRID:AB_2797591
FOSL2	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-604; RRID:AB_2107084
TEAD4	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-101184; RRID:AB_2203086
MITF	Sigma A.	Cat# HPA003259; RRID:AB_1079381
MITF	Active Motif	Cat# 91201; RRID:AB_2793801
CTCF	Millipore	Cat# 07-729; RRID:AB_441965
REST	Millipore	Cat# 07-579; RRID:AB_11211936
PHF10	ThermoFisher	Cat# PA5-30678; RRID:AB_2548152
BRM	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-166579; RRID:AB_2302397
GLTSCR1	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-515086; RRID: N/A
CHD5	Abcam	Cat# ab124736; RRID:AB_10974578
TGBR2	Abcam	Cat# ab184948; RRID:AB_2818975
Phospho-SMAD3	Cell Signaling	Cat# 9520; RRID:AB_2193207
SMAD3	Cell Signaling	Cat# 9523; RRID:AB_2193182
Bacterial and virus strains		
One Shot™ Stbl3™ Chemically Competent E. coli	Invitrogen	Cat# C737303
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
DSG	Pierce (ThermoFisher)	Cat# 20593
Protein A + G magnetic beads	Millipore	Cat# LSKMAGAG10
Dynabeads Protein A magnetic beads	Invitrogen	Cat# 10001D
KAPA HiFi DNA Polymerase	Roche	Cat# 07958838001
Digitonin	Promega	Cat# G9441
Illumina Tagment DNA Enzyme and Buffer Small Kit	Illumina	Cat# 20034197
AMPure XP beads	NEB	Cat# A63880
T4 PNK	NEB	Cat# M0201S
5U/uL Klenow Fragment (3′-5′ exo-)	NEB	Cat# M0212S
Quick T4 DNA ligase	NEB	Cat# M2200S
T4 DNA Polymerase	NEB	Cat# M0203S

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
DNA Polymerase Klenow Fragments	NEB	Cat# M0210S
dNTPs	Roche	Cat# 11969064001
dATPs	Roche	Cat# 11889516103
Critical commercial assays		
Transit-VirusGEN Transfection Kit	Mirus Bio	Cat# MIR6700
NEXTFLEX Poly(A) Enrichment Beads	PerkinElmer	Cat# NOVA-512992
NEXTFLEX Rapid Directional RNA-seq Kit 2.0	PerkinElmer	Cat# NOVA-5198-01
RNeasy extraction kit	Qiagen	Cat# 74104
Arima Hi-C Kit	Arima	Cat# A410030
QIAquick PCR Purification Kit	Qiagen	Cat# 28106
Kapa HyperPrep Kit	Kapa Biosystems	Cat# KK8500
QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit	Qiagen	Cat# 28704
Deposited data		
Raw RNA sequencing, ATAC-sequencing, ChIP-sequencing, and Hi-C data	GEO	GSE172383
Analyzed RNA sequencing, ATAC-sequencing, ChIP-sequencing, and Hi-C data, See Tables S2, S3, S6	This paper	N/A
The Cancer Genome Atlas Skin Cutaneous Melanoma	Akbani et al. (2015)	https://gdc.cancer.gov/about-data/publications/skcm_2015
Experimental models: Cell lines		
SKmel147	Eva Hernando Laboratory (NYU)	RRID:CVCL_3876
501mel	Eva Hernando Laboratory (NYU)	RRID:CVCL_4633
113/6-4L	Robert Kerbel Laboratory (Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center)	Cruz-Munoz et al., 2008
WM1552c	Rockland	WM1552C-01-0001, RRID: CVCL_6472
WM1799	Rockland	WM1799-01-0005, RRID: CVCL_A341
WM3456	Rockland	WM3456-01-0001, RRID: CVCL_AP91
Mel888	Stuart Aaronson Laboratory (ISMMS)	RRID: CVCL_4632
WM1716	Rockland	WM1716-01-0001, RRID: CVCL_AP82
LOX-IMVI	Jessie Villanueva Laboratory (Wistar Institute)	RRID: CVCL_1381
WM3533	Rockland	RRID: CVCL_0B73
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
Premium specific pathogen-free (SPF) embryonated chicken eggs	Charles River Laboratories	Cat# 10100326
Mouse: female, 6 to 8 weeks old, NOD/SCID/IL2γR−/−	Jackson Laboratories	Cat# 005557
Oligonucleotides		
Non-targeting sgRNA: CACCGTTCCGCGTTACATAACTTAG	This paper	N/A
ARID2.1 sgRNA: CACCGAATGGCAAACTCGACGGGGA	This paper	N/A
ARID2.3 sgRNA: CACCGATGATGATGAGGTACCACC	This paper	N/A

(Continued on next page)

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
ARID2.5 sgRNA: CACCGTTTACTACTTGCTAATGCCG	This paper	N/A
ARID2 shRNA: CGTACCTGTCTTCGTTTCCTA	Sigma-Aldrich	TRCN0000166264
Recombinant DNA		
lentiCas9-Blast	Addgene	Cat# 52962
pLKO.1 eGFP/mCherry	Brian Brown Laboratory (ISMMS)	N/A
pLenti-puro	Addgene	Cat# 39481
pLKO.1 eGFP NT sg	This paper	N/A
pLKO.1 mCherry ARID2 sg1	This paper	N/A
pLKO.1 mCherry ARID2 sg3	This paper	N/A
lentiCRISPR v2	Addgene	Cat# 52961
FG12-GFP	Bravo-Cordero Laboratory (ISMMS)	N/A
psPAX2	Addgene	Cat# 12260
pMD2.G	Addgene	Cat# 12259
pLKO.1 shARID2	Sigma-Aldrich	TRCN0000166264
pLL-CMV-rFLuc-T2A-GFP	System Biosciences	Cat# LL300PA-1
Software and algorithms		
Incucyte Life-Cell Imaging System	Essen Bioscience	https://www.sartorius.com/en/products/live-cell-imaging-analysis/live-cell-analysis-software/incucyte-base-software
FCS Express	De Novo Software	https://denovosoftware.com/
STAR	Version 2.6.0c, Dobin, 2013	https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR
Rsubread	Version 2.4.3, Liao et al., 2014	http://subread.sourceforge.net
DEseq2	Version 1.30.1, Love et al. (2014)	https://github.com/mikelove/DESeq2
Bowtie	Version 1.1.12, Langmead et al. (2009)	https://github.com/BenLangmead/bowtie
MACS2	Version 2.1.0, Zhang et al. (2008)	https://pypi.org/project/MACS2/
fastQC	Version 0.11.7, Andrews, 2019	https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC
PICARD	Version 2.2.4	https://github.com/broadinstitute/picard/releases
Samtools	Version 1.9, Li et al. (2009)	http://www.htslib.org/
SICER	Version 2.0, Zang et al. (2009)	https://zanglab.github.io/SICER2/
deepTools	Version 3.2.1, Ramírez et al., 2016	https://deeptools.readthedocs.io/en/develop/
Bowtie2	Version 2.1.0, Langmead et al., 2009	https://github.com/BenLangmead/bowtie2
Topdom	Version 0.0.1, Shin et al., 2015	https://github.com/HenrikBengtsson/TopDom
Hi-C bench	Lazaris et al. (2017)	https://github.com/NYU-BFX/hic-bench
BedTools	Version 2.29.2, Quinlan and Hall (2010)	https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/
ChIPSeeker	Version 1.26.2, Yu et al. (2015)	https://github.com/YuLab-SMU/ChIPseeker
HOMER	Version 4.11, Heinz et al. (2010)	https://github.com/IGBIllinois/HOMER
clusterProfiler	Version 3.18.1, Yu et al., 2012	https://github.com/YuLab-SMU/clusterProfiler
Prism	Version 9	https://www.graphpad.com
ImageJ	Version 2.1.0/1.53c	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html
RStudio	Version 1.1.46	https://rstudio.com

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Emily Bernstein (emily.bernstein@mssm.edu).

Materials availability
Reagents used in this study are publicly available or available from the lead contact upon request.

Data and code availability
- Raw data from RNA-seq, ATAC-seq, Hi-C and ChIP-seq experiments have been deposited at GEO and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table. Processed data from the RNA-seq, ATAC-seq, Hi-C and ChIP-seq experiments are available in the Supplemental Tables.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell lines
Melanoma cell lines SKmel147, 501mel, 113/6-4L, Mel888 and LOX-IMVI were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU of penicillin and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin. Melanoma cell lines WM1552c, WM1799, WM3456, WM1716 and WM3533 were cultured in Tumor 2% media (80% MCDB 153 media, 20% Leibovitz's L-15 media, 2% FBS, 5 μg/mL bovine insulin, 1.68 mM CaCl2, and 100 IU of penicillin and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin). HEK293T cells were used for virus production and were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU of penicillin and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin.

Chicken chorioallantoic membrane (CAM)
For the CAM xenograft assay, specific pathogen-free, fertile, 10-day-incubated embryonated chicken eggs were supplied by Charles River Laboratories.

Mice
For tail vein injection assays, 6–8-week-old NOD/SCID/IL2yR−/− female mice were supplied by Jackson Labs (Cat #005557).

METHOD DETAILS

Chromatin fractionation
Chromatin fractionation was performed as described (Méndez and Stillman, 2000). Protein lysates were resuspended in buffer A (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.34 M Sucrose, 10% glycerol,100 nM PMSF, supplemented with protease inhibitors) and protein concentration was determined using Bicinchoninic Acid (BCA) (Pierce) following manufacturer's guidelines. Samples were mixed with 2× Laemmli loading buffer and boiled prior to immunoblotting.

Whole cell protein extraction
Cells were washed with PBS and lysed on ice for 10 minutes in Nonidet-40 (NP-40) lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Lysates were centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 minutes and the protein concentration was quantified using BCA (Pierce). Lysates were mixed with 2× Laemmli loading buffer with subsequent boiling prior to immunoblotting.

Immunoprecipitation (IP) of nuclear extracts
Nuclear lysates were prepared as describe (Dignam et al., 1983). Buffers were supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). 40–50 million cells were swollen with hypotonic buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2), nuclei were passed through a 27G needle 6x for homogenization, followed by centrifugation, and extraction for 30 min at 4°C with high salt buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 420 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 25% glycerol, 0.1% NP-40). Extracts were pelleted and the supernatant was used for IP. Before IP, nuclear extract supernatants were diluted with 1.8 volumes of hypotonic buffer with 0.1% NP-40 to reach a final NaCl concentration of 150 mM. Diluted extracts were incubated with antibodies overnight, followed by a 2-h incubation with 50 μL Pierce Protein A/G magnetic beads (ThermoFisher), subsequent washing and elution.

Glycerol gradient sedimentation

Glycerol gradient sedimentations were performed as described (Alpsoy and Dykhuizen, 2018). Briefly, cells (40–50 million) were collected, lysed in buffer A (20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 25 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.05 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40, 100 nM PMSF, supplemented with protease inhibitors) and washed once with buffer A without NP-40. Next, the nuclei were resuspended in buffer C (10 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 3 mM MgCl2, 100 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol). Ammonium sulfate powder was added to (300 mg/mL), and the supernatant was incubated on ice for 20 min. Proteins were precipitated by ultracentrifugation at 150,000 g for 30 min. The pelleted protein was resuspended with 100 μL of HEG1000 buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 0.1 mM EDTA, 12.5 mM MgCl2, 100 mM KCl, supplemented with protease inhibitors). A glycerol gradient (10–30%) was prepared using HEG1000 buffer without glycerol and with 30% glycerol. Following, the protein lysates were layered on top of the 10–30% glycerol gradients (10 mL) and fractionated by centrifugation at 40,000 rpm for 16 h using the SW32Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter). Fractions (20x) of 500 μL were collected sequentially from the top of the gradient and used for immunoblotting after dilution with 4× Laemmli buffer with subsequent boiling.

Immunoblotting

Protein lysates (10–20 ug of total protein) were run on a 4–12% Bis-Tris gradient gels (Life Technologies). After wet transfer onto a PVDF membrane for 2 h at 110 volts, membranes were incubated with blocking buffer (5% milk in Tris-Buffered Saline, 0.1% Tween (TBS-T)) followed by an overnight incubation with the respective primary antibodies (Table S1). Next, membranes were incubated with the respective secondary antibodies, washed with TBS-T, and developed.

Plasmids, lentiviral vector production, and cell transfection

The lentiCas9-Blast plasmid (Addgene, Cat# 52962) was used to generate SKmel147 cells stably expressing Cas9. The sgRNAs were cloned into a pLKO.1 lentiviral vector (kind gift from Brown Laboratory, ISMMS), using the restriction enzyme BbsI downstream of the U6 promoter which also contained eGFP or mCherry downstream of the human PGK promoter. Viral particles were generated as previously described (Fontanals-Cirera et al., 2017). SKmel147 was first infected with the lentiCas9-Blast plasmid lentiviral particles and selected with blasticidin (10 μg/mL for 8 days) and then infected with Plenti-GFP/mCherry ARID2 sgRNAs (Table S1). To generate ARID2 KO 501mel and 4L clonal cell lines, the ARID2 sgRNAs (Table S1) were cloned into the Cas9 containing lentiCRISPR V2 vector following the established protocol (Shalem et al., 2014). Upon infection, cells were selected with puromycin (2 μg/mL for 2 days). To track SKmel147 ARID2 WT (NTC) and ARID2 KO (KO1.4) cells in the CAM model and in the tail vein assay, the lentiviral FG12-GFP vector (kind gift from the Bravo-Cordero laboratory, ISMMS) was used for transduction. To track 4L ARID2 WT (NTC) and ARID2 KO (KO1.17 and KO5.11) cells in the tail vein assay, the lentiviral pLL-CMV-rFLuc-T2A-GFP vector (System Biosciences) was used for transduction. To produce lentiviral particles, HEK293T cells were seeded in 10 cm tissue culture dishes and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2. When cells reached 80% confluency, they were co-transfected with 5 μg of lentiviral expression constructs, 3.75 μg of psPAX2 and 1.25 μg pMD2.G vectors using the Transit-VirusGen (Mirus) transfection reagent following manufacturer's recommendations. At 48 h post-transfection, supernatants were collected and passed through a 0.45 μm PVDF filter to remove cells and debris.

Single cell clonal expansion

For clonal isolation, serial dilutions of cells were grown in 10 cm plates to seed cells at a very low density. Individual colonies were isolated by pipetting, and further expanded for approximately three weeks prior to protein extraction for immunoblotting screening. ARID2 KO clones are named and identified by two numbers: the sgRNA used followed by the clone number (e.g., KO3.20).

Cell proliferation

Equal number of cells (SKmel147: 1 x 10^4 cells; 501mel: 2 x 10^4; 4L: 6 x 10^4) were plated into 12- or 24-well plates in triplicate. Cells were imaged on brightfield, and confluence of each well was quantified using the Incucyte Life-Cell Imaging System every 24 h for five to six days.

Adhesion assays

96-well plates were coated with the respective matrix (fibronectin or collagen) and incubated 1 h at 37°C. 2 x 10^5 cells were plated per well and allowed to adhere for 2.5 h. Cells were washed and fixed, followed by crystal violet staining. To quantify, crystal violet was solubilized with 2% SDS and absorbance of the staining was measured at 550nm.

Matrix degradation assay

Assay was performed as described by (Di Martino et al., 2017). Briefly, coverslips were coated with Alexa Flour 488-gelatin. 3.5 x 10^4 cells per coverslip were plated on the gelatin-coated coverslips for 16 h before fixation. Cells were fixed and stained with DAPI and Alexa Flour 647-phalloidin to visualize the nucleus and cytoplasmic area, respectively. Gelatin degradation was analyzed by quantifying the average area of degraded gel (pixels) per field divided by the number of nuclei in those fields. 10–15 fields were randomly selected per condition and quantified.

CAM xenografts

Cells were washed and harvested with 2 mM EDTA-PBS and resuspended at a concentration of 16 million cells/ml in PBS without calcium and magnesium. To prepare the chicken embryos for inoculation, a small opening in the shell was created followed by the removal of air from the eggs to allow detachment of the developing CAM. 8 x 10⁵ human melanoma cells were inoculated on top of the CAM in a volume of 50 μL. The opening was sealed with transparent adhesive tape and the eggs were incubated for seven days. After incubation, chicken embryos were sacrificed, the primary tumor, the lower CAM and the liver were collected for further processing. All tissues were washed and minced on ice upon collection. Tissue was incubated with collagenase and DNase for 30–35 min at 37°C, followed by neutralization of collagenase with DMEM (10% FBS). Samples were passed through 70 μm cell strainers, washed with FACS buffer (PBS, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% FBS), and resuspended with FACS buffer in a final volume of 0.5–2 mL. Samples were acquired on an Attune NxT flow cytometer (Thermo Scientific) and analyzed using FCS Express (De Novo Software). Debris and doublets were eliminated based on scatter properties and disseminated tumor cells were identified by GFP and mCherry fluorescence read in FITC and PE-Texas Red channels, respectively. 10% of the primary tumor and lower CAM cell suspension and 5% of the liver cell suspension were analyzed by volume-based acquisition, and results were extrapolated to the entire tissue.

Tail vein injections

The experiments were conducted following protocols approved by ISMMS Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) (protocol number LA11-00122). 6–8-week-old NOD/SCID/IL2yR−/− female mice (Jackson Labs, Cat# 005557) were used. Cells were harvested with 2mM EDTA-PBS, resuspended in 100 μL HBSS (SKmel147: 1.25 x 10⁵ cells; 4L: 5 x 10⁴ cells), and injected into one of the lateral tail veins with a 27-gauge needle. At the experimental endpoint (SKmel147: 3 weeks; 4L: 5 weeks), mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, lungs were cleared of blood by right ventricle PBS perfusion, and imaged *ex vivo* for GFP and mCherry fluorescence on a Cytation 7 instrument (BioTek). Quantification of lung colonies was performed using Gen 5 software (BioTek). Harvested lungs were fixed in neutral buffered formalin for 24 h, paraffin embedded and sectioned at 5 μM thickness. Sections were obtained from three levels spaced 100 μM, stained with H&E and acquired at 20× magnification on a NanoZoomer S210 slide scanner (Hamamatsu). Metastatic colonies were identified morphologically and counted using NDP.view 2 software (Hamamatsu). Lung area on each section was calculated by NDP.view 2 software by outlining the edges of the tissue. Slides were blinded to the reviewer (C.N.) prior to analysis.

RNA-sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from cell lines using the RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's protocol, and libraries were prepared from 1–2 μg of total RNA. Poly-A RNA was isolated using NETFLEX Poly(A) beads, and sequencing libraries were prepared using the NETFLEX Rapid Directional RNA-seq Kit 2.0 following manufacturer's protocols (PerkinElmer). Single-end 75-bp reads were sequenced on the NextSeq550 according to the manufacturer's guidelines (Illumina).

ChIP-sequencing

ChIP samples were processed as previously described (Fontanals-Cirera et al., 2017), with several modifications. For histone modification ChIP (H3K27ac and H3K27me3), ~10–15 million cells per sample were cross-linked with 1% Formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. For chromatin remodeler subunits and transcription factors (ARID2, SS18, BRG1, FOSL2, TEAD4, MITF, CTCF, and REST) ~10–40 million cells per sample were double cross-linked with 0.25 M disuccinimidyl glutarate (DSG) for 45 min, followed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min. Single and double cross-linked cells were quenched with 0.125 M glycine for 5 min at room temperature, followed by pelleting at 400 g for 3 min at 4°C. Cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS and resuspended at ~10–20 million cells in 500 μL of cell lysis buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 10 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40, 100 nM PMSF, supplemented with protease inhibitors), followed by 15 min incubation on ice. Next, cells were centrifuged at 400 g for 5 min and resuspended in 500 μL cold nuclear lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 100 nM PMSF, supplemented with protease inhibitors) and incubated on ice for 10 min. Cells were sonicated for 15–20 cycles, 30 s on, 30 s off, at low intensity in a Bioruptor sonicator (Diagenode). After sonication, samples were centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant-containing chromatin was diluted 1:4 with IP Dilution buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-, 0.01% SDS, 100 nM PMSF, supplemented with protease inhibitors). Drosophila spike-in chromatin was added to the diluted chromatin followed by pre-clearing with protein A (Invitrogen) or A + G (Millipore) magnetic beads pre-conjugated with rabbit or mouse IgG respectively, for 2 h at 4°C. After pre-clearing, 50 μL of chromatin were saved for input control. Pre-conjugated antibodies (50–100μL slurry and 5–10μg antibody per ~10–20 million cells for H3K27ac, H3K27me3, ARID2, BRG1, SS18, TEAD4, FOSL2, MITF, CTCF, REST), were added to the pre-cleared chromatin and rotated overnight at 4°C. Following overnight incubation, beads were washed once with cold IP Wash I buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 2 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-, 0.1% SDS, 100 nM PMSF, supplemented with protease inhibitors), twice with cold High Salt buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 2 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-, 0.01% SDS, 100 nM PMSF, supplemented with protease inhibitors), once with cold IP Wash II buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% deoxycholic acid, 100 nM PMSF supplemented with protease inhibitors) and twice with cold TE buffer (5 mM Tris pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA). DNA was eluted twice in 100 μL elution buffer (1% SDS, 100 mM NaHCO3) at 65°C for 30 min and shaking. For input, 130 μL TE buffer, 12 μL 5 M NaCl, 20 μL 10% SDS and 2 μL of RNase A (10 mg/mL) were added followed by overnight incubation at 65°C. For the ChIP fractions, 12 μL of 5 M NaCl, 2 μL of RNase A (10 mg/mL) were added, followed by overnight incubation at 65°C. Following, 4 μL of Proteinase K

(20 mg/mL) were added and samples were incubated for 2 h at 42°C. DNA was purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer's protocol. Libraries were generated and barcoded for multiplexed sequencing according to Illumina's recommendations. Briefly, 1–8 ng of DNA was end-repaired and Poly-A tailed with deoxyadenosine. Truseq adaptors (Illumina) were ligated, libraries were size-selected on a 2% agarose gel (350–650bp), and amplified using KAPA HiFi DNA Polymerase (12–15 cycles). NEB enzymes were used to carry out all the steps of the library preparation. Libraries were diluted to 5–10ng/μL and run on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA chip. Libraries were sequenced on Illumina's NextSeq550 at 75-bp single-end reads.

ATAC-sequencing

To generate ATAC libraries (Corces et al., 2017), 5 x 10^4 cells were harvested, treated with digitonin and tagmented with ~2.5 μL Tn5 Transposase for 30 min. DNA was amplified up to 10 cycles and purified using MinElute PCR purification columns (Qiagen). Following, libraries were size-selected on a 2% agarose gel (150–700bp), followed by purification using AMPure XP beads (NEB). Libraries were diluted to 5–10 ng/μL and run on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA chip. Libraries were sequenced on Illumina NextSeq550 at 40 bp paired-end reads.

Hi-C

SKmel147 cells were grown in exponential growth phase in duplicates, washed twice with PBS, and 5-10 x 10^6 cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/1x PBS for 15 min, quenched by the addition 0.125 M glycine final concentration for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed with PBS, harvested and dried pellets were snap-frozen and stored at −80°C until use. Thereafter, *in situ* Hi-C was performed using Arima Hi-C kit (#A410030) according to the manufacturers' recommendations. DNA input quantities ranged from approx. 0.9 - 3μg per replicate. Both proximity ligated DNA Hi-C samples passed QC1 checkpoint with a biotinylation efficiency >36% (high quality Arima-QC1 values are expected to be >15%). Next, output proximity ligated samples where mechanically fragmented by Covaris Ultrasonicator LE220, size selected to 200–600 bp (average fragment size of 400 bp) using AMPure XP Beads and monitored for correct size range by Agilent TapeStation 2200 analysis. After final biotin enrichment, processed Hi-C samples were converted to sequencing libraries with Kapa HyperPrep Kit (Kapa Biosystems #KK8500) and Illumina TruSeq sequencing adapters according to the manufacturers' guidelines with 7 cycles for the final PCR amplification step (yielding Arima QC2 values of 0.45% and 1.94%; >0.2% are expected as high quality samples) and sequenced with Illumina NovaSeq 6000 using 50 cycles paired-end mode.

TCGA SKCM oncoplots

Mutational calls from the TCGA Skin Cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) cohort (primary and metastatic samples) were downloaded from the Broad Institute GDAC portal (http://gdac.broadinstitute.org/). The R package maftools 2.6.05 (Mayakonda et al., 2018) was used to generate oncoplots.

RNA-sequencing

Single-end 75-bp reads were aligned to the human reference genome (hg19/GRCh37.p13) with STAR v2.6.0.c (Dobin, 2013) using the parameters –sjdbOverhang 100 –outFilterMultimapNmax 10 –outFilterMismatchNmax 10 –outFilterType BySJout –outFilterIntronMotifs RemoveNoncanonicalUnannotated (Table S6). Following, featureCounts from the Rsubread 2.4.3 R package (Liao et al., 2014) was used to assign reads to coding genes. Assigned reads were then normalized and DEGs were identified using the R package DEseq2 1.30.1 (Love et al., 2014). Genes were considered expressed if the sum of raw counts across all samples was >200 for any given gene. Differentially expressed genes were called using an adjusted p value < 0.05 (using the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure). Principal component analysis (PCA) was generated using regularized log-transformed reads with the DEseq2 package. Volcano plots were generated with the ggplot2 3.3.3 package using DEseq2 results statistics. Heatmaps were generated with the pheatmap 1.0.12 package, using DEseq2 median-ratio normalized counts.

ChIP-seq alignment and peak sets

For each individual sample, reads were aligned to the human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) using Bowtie v1.1.2 (Langmead et al., 2009) with parameters –l 65 –n 2 –best –k 1 –m 1, and reads quality was assessed using fastQC (Simon, 2019). Alignment statistics for each sample are provided in Table S6. Duplicate reads were removed with PICARD v2.2.4 (Broad Institute Picard Toolkit, nd). Binary alignment map (BAM) files were generated with samtools v1.9 (Li et al., 2009), and were used in downstream analysis. For ChIP-seq used for differential binding analysis, including H3K27ac, SS18, H3K27me3, TEAD4, FOSL2, CTCF and MITF, the bam files of 2 WT and 2 KO samples (For SKmel147: Parental and NTC, and KO1.4 and KO3.20, and for 501mel: Parental and NTC, and KO1.8 and KO5.2) were concatenated using samtools merge to generate 'master' bam files. Significant peaks were called on 'master' bam files and matching input controls using MACS2 v2.1.0 (Zhang et al., 2008) for narrow peaks, and SICER 2.0 (Zang et al., 2009) for broad peaks. Significance q-value cut-offs were determined post-hoc, testing several q-values based on signal to background ratio (for SKmel147 ChIP-seqs: ARID2, BRG1 q-value < 1e-3, SS18 q-value < 1e-7, FOSL2 and TEAD4 q-value 1e-13, CTCF q-value < 1e-5, H3K27ac q-value < 1e-7, and H3K27me3 q-value < 1e-2. For 501mel ChIP-seqs: SS18 q-value < 1e-2, H3K27ac and MITF q-value < 1e-3). Peaks in ENCODE blacklisted regions were removed. Quantification of reads in significant peak for all samples

was performed using BedTools multicov 2.29.2 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Differential peak analysis was performed using DEseq2 1.30.1 (adjusted p value < 0.05 (using the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure)). Coverage tracks were generated from BAM files for the master bam files and the individual replicates and conditions using deepTools 3.2.1 (Ramírez et al., 2016) bamCoverage with parameters –normalizeUsingRPKM –binsize 10 and normalized with DEseq2 scaling factors. PCA plots were generated using DEseq2. ChIP-seq enrichment plots were visualized on the UCSC genome browser.

ATAC-seq alignment and peak sets

For each individual sample, paired-end 40-bp reads were aligned to the human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) using Bowtie2 2.1.0 with parameters –q –X 2000 (Table S6), and read quality was assessed using fastQC. Duplicate reads were removed with PICARD v2.2.4, and BAM files were generated with samtools v1.9 (removing mitochondrial alignments and only keeping reads with MAPQ ≥30) for downstream analysis. WT and KO replicates for each cell line were then concatenated using samtools merge. MACS2 was used to identify significant peaks (master bam files) using parameters –nomodel –nolambada –keepdup all –slocal 10000. Quantification of reads in significant peak for all samples was performed using BedTools multicov 2.29.2 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Differential peak analysis was performed using DEseq2 1.30.1 (adjusted p value < 0.05 (using the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure)). Coverage tracks (Bigwig files) were generated from BAM files for the master bam files and the individual replicates and conditions using deepTools 3.2.1 (Ramírez et al., 2016) bamCoverage with parameters –normalizeUsingRPKM –binsize 10 and normalized with DEseq2 scaling factors. PCA plots were generated using DEseq2. ATAC-seq enrichment plots were visualized on the UCSC genome browser.

ATAC-seq correlation matrices

DeepTools 3.2.1. was used to generate the correlation plots at ATAC deregulated regions. First, multiBigwigsummary files were generated to average scores for each sample at ATAC deregulated regions. Next, the plotCorrelation command was used with multiBigwigsummary files to calculate the spearman correlation between the samples and generate the plots.

ATAC-gene associations

Differentially enriched distal/intragenic ATAC regions were associated to the positively correlated promoters of differentially expressed genes within a genomic range of ± 500 kb. Next the significant TADs from two TAD callers (Topdom and Hi-C bench) (Lazaris et al., 2017; Shin et al., 2015) were merged, and only those within the same TAD were kept.

ATAC-seq correlation scatterplots

Log2fold changes generated with DEseq2 over the regions of interest were used to generate the scatterplots. Correlation values were calculated with the R 4.0.3 function cor.test using Pearson's correlation test. Plots were generated with ggplot2 3.3.3.

Upset intersection plots

Bed files of FOSL2/TEAD4 significant peaks and ATAC deregulated regions were used to generated Upset plots. Common intersected regions (FOSL2/TEAD4/ATAC) are displayed. BedTools 2.29.2 was used to generate intersections and Upset plots were generated with the R package UpSetR 1.4.0 (Conway et al., 2017).

Genomic annotation analysis

Promoters +2 kb relative to the TSS were defined according to the human GRCh37/hg19 Gencode v19 genome annotation. The CHIPSeeker 1.26.2 package (Yu et al., 2015) was modified and used to determined feature distribution for peak sets.

TF motif analysis

Transcription Factor (TF) motif enrichments analysis of the different SWI/SNF clusters was performed on the intersection of all bound regions within a cluster (i.e., PBAF, shared and BAF clusters), and ATAC-seq summits. De novo motifs were identified within a +200bp window around ATAC peak summit using the HOMER v.4.11 suite (Heinz et al., 2010) with parameters; findMotifsGenome.pl hg19 -size 200 with the default Homer generated background regions. Only significant motifs (p value < 1e-12) with corresponding expressed TFs were reported for each cell line. For differentially enriched ARID2 WT/KO ATAC regions, de novo motifs were identified within a +200bp window around the ATAC peak summit with same parameters as above. Differential motif enrichment scatterplot was generated with ggplot2.

Metagenes and heatmaps

Metagene and heatmaps of genomic regions were generated with deepTools 3.2.1. The command computeMatrix was used to calculate scores at genomic regions and generate a matrix file to use with plotHeamap or plotProfile, to generate heatmaps or metagene profile plots, respectively. Bigwigs used to generate matrix files were first scaled with normalization values produced by DEseq2 1.30.1.

Gene ontology

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment (Ashburner et al., 2000) was performed with the R package clusterProfiler 3.18.1 (Yu et al., 2012) using the GO over representation test function. Significant pathways were called with an adjusted p value < 0.05 (using the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure).

Hi-C

Hi-C data from SKMel147 was analyzed using the HiC-bench platform. Data was aligned to the human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) with bowtie2 2.1.0. Aligned reads were filtered with the GenomicTools 0.2.9.7 (Tsirigos et al., 2012) tools-hic filter command and only the accepted intra-chromosomal read-pairs were used for downstream analysis (~200 million reads). Interaction matrices were generated using the HiC-bench 0.1 (Akdemir and Chin, 2015; Imakaev et al., 2012; Lazaris et al., 2017) platform at 40kb resolution. Filtered read counts were normalized using iterative correction and eigenvector decomposition (ICE). Distance normalization was performed to account for variances in read counts for more distant loci. Topologically associating domains (TADs) were called using HiC-bench's hicratio algorithm as well as topdom 0.10.1 (Shin et al., 2015).

TCGA data analysis

From the Broad Institute GDAC portal (http://gdac.broadinstitute.org/), the SKCM log2 normalized RNA-seq reads, clinical annotations, mutational calls, and copy number annotation datasets were downloaded. When annotating ARID2 mutant samples, mutations of unknow significance and synonymous mutations were removed from the analysis. Using R 4.0.3, a linear model with the covariates: sex, age, tumor type (primary vs. metastatic), copy number variation, and ARID2 mutation status, was used to call significant differences between ARID2 mutant samples and ARID2 WT samples. Given the high biological heterogeneity within patient samples, and the relatively low number of ARID2 mutant samples ($n = 50$), we utilized a cut-off of p value < 0.05 to call significant changes.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical tests were performed using RStudio and GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Software). The statistical analysis details for each experiment can be found in figure legends and in the method details section.

Data deposition

The GEO accession number for the raw and processed sequencing data reported in this paper is GSE172383.

Supplemental information

Altered BAF occupancy and

transcription factor dynamics

in PBAF-deficient melanoma

Saul Carcamo, Christie B. Nguyen, Elena Grossi, Dan Filipescu, Aktan Alpsoy, Alisha Dhiman, Dan Sun, Sonali Narang, Jochen Imig, Tiphaine C. Martin, Ramon Parsons, Iannis Aifantis, Aristotelis Tsirigos, Julio A. Aguirre-Ghiso, Emily C. Dykhuizen, Dan Hasson, and Emily Bernstein

A BAF and PBAF mutant melanoma samples (n=109/345)

ARID2 — 13%
ARID1B — 7%
BRG1 — 6%
ARID1A — 5%
PBRM1 — 4%
BRD7 — 3%
SS18 — 1%
SNF5 — 1%

Legend:
- Nonsense_Mutation (red)
- Missense_Mutation (green)
- Frame_Shift_Del (blue)
- Splice_Site (orange)
- Frame_Shift_Ins (purple)
- In_Frame_Del (yellow)
- Multi_Hit (black)

B
NTC, NTC2, KO1.16, KO3.1, KO1.4, KO1.5, KO1.9, KO3.20, KO3.22

α-ARID2 (250)
α-PBRM1 (250)
α-BRD7 (100)
α-BRG1 (250)
α-SNF5 (55)
Amido Black (15)

C Normalized Counts
Legend: Parental, NT clone, ARID2 KO1.4, ARID2 KO3.20
ARID2, PBRM1, BRD7, ARID1A, ARID1B, SS18, BRD9, BRM, BRG1, SNF5, GLTSCR1L, GLTSCR1

D IP: ARID2
Parental, NTC, KO1.4, KO3.20 (Input, IgG, IP)
α-ARID2 (250)
α-SNF5 (55)
α-BRG1 (250)

E IP: PHF10
NTC, KO1.4, KO3.20 (Input, IgG, IP)
α-PHF10 (50)
α-ARID2 (250)
α-BRD7 (100)
α-SNF5 (50)
α-BRG1 (250)

F
Parental — glycerol 30%
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
α-ARID2 (250)
α-BRD7 (100)
α-PHF10 (50)
α-ARID1A (250)
α-GLTSCR1 (250)
α-SNF5 (55)
α-BRG1 (250)
α-BRM (250)
α-CHD5 (250)
GBAF | BAF | PBAF

ARID2 KO1.4 — glycerol 30%
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
α-ARID2
α-BRD7
α-PHF10
α-ARID1A
α-GLTSCR1
α-SNF5
α-BRG1
α-BRM
α-CHD5
GBAF | BAF | PBAF

Figure S1. Effects of ARID2 loss on SWI/SNF complexes in melanoma, Related to Figure 1. A. Expanded oncoplot generated from The Cancer Genome Atlas' (TCGA) skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) mutational data set, highlighting some of the most commonly mutated SWI/SNF subunits across cancers. Columns represent samples. **B.** Chromatin fraction immunoblot of multiple SKmel147 ARID2 CRISPR clones. Blots were stained for PBAF-specific subunits ARID2, PBRM1 and BRD7 (green) and core subunits SNF5 and BRG1 (black). Amido black staining was used as loading control. Clones highlighted in red were used for further analysis. **C.** DEseq2 normalized counts (median of ratios) of multiple SWI/SNF subunits in SKmel147 ARID2 WT and ARID2 KO clones. **D.** Immunoblots of ARID2, SNF5 and BRG1 in SKmel147 ARID2 WT and KO cells following immunoprecipitation (IP) of endogenous ARID2 and IgG as negative control. **E.** Immunoblots of PHF10, ARID2, BRD7, SNF5 and BRG1 in SKmel147 ARID2 WT and KO cells following IP of endogenous PHF10 and IgG as negative control. **F.** Immunoblots of replicate glycerol gradient sedimentation from SKmel147 ARID2 WT and KO1.4. **G.** Sanger sequencing of Mel888 confirms ARID2 mutation (S297F). **H&I.** Quantification of glycerol gradient sedimentation immunoblots from **Figure 1E** and (**F**) respectively, for SNF5 and BRG1. ImageJ was used to calculate the staining intensity as area under the curve. The total signal was calculated (i.e. the total sum of the signals from each fraction per blot) to estimate the proportion of percent signal from each fraction.

Figure S2. Differential and shared genomic localization of ARID2 and SS18, Related to Figure 2. A. Bar plots displaying the percentage of ARID2, SS18, and BRG1 peaks in SKmel147 occupying promoters, distal and intragenic regions mapped using clusterProfiler. **B.** Heatmaps of ChIP-seq enrichments of ARID2 in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (Parental) and KO (KO1.4) cells. Enrichment centered on PBAF, shared and BAF regions as defined by ARID2 and SS18 ChIP-seq significant peaks. Signals were plotted ± 4 kb around the peak centers. **C.** Metagene profiles of SKmel147 ARID2 WT (Parental) and KO (KO1.4) enrichment of ARID2 ChIP-seq, same as in (B), at PBAF, shared, and BAF regions, and at open chromatin regions detected by ATAC-seq that do not overlap with a PBAF, shared or BAF region (None). **D.** Intersection of significant peaks between ARID2 and SS18 ChIP-seq in 113/6-4L (4L) used to defined PBAF, BAF, and shared regions. **E.** Bar plots displaying the percentage of PBAF, shared, and BAF regions in 4L occupying promoters, distal, and intragenic regions mapped using clusterProfiler. Promoters (± 2 kb relative to TSS), intragenic and distal annotations were defined according to human hg19 gene annotation. **F.** Heatmaps of ChIP-seq enrichment for ARID2, SS18, BRG1, H3K27ac, and ATAC-seq centered on PBAF, shared, and BAF regions defined in (D). Signals were plotted ± 4 kb around the peak center. **G.** Box plots displaying gene expression of promoters in SKmel147 (left) and 4L (right) that intersect with a PBAF (n=1,721 and n=7,774), respectively), shared (n=8,833 and n=1,602), BAF region (n=3,790 and n=3,701), or none (n=3,646 and n=11,857) of those three regions. Significance calculated using ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison tests **H.** Transcription factor motif analysis of the ARID2 and SS18 regions centered at the summits of the ATAC peaks (± 200 bp) in Skmel147; generated with the HOMER suite. **I.** Transcription factor motif analysis of the PBAF, shared, and BAF regions centered at the summits of the ATAC peaks peaks (± 200 bp) in 4L; generated with the HOMER suite. **J.** Heatmaps of ChIP-seq enrichment for REST, FOSL2, and CTCF in SKmel147 cells centered on PBAF, shared, and BAF regions. Signals were plotted ± 4 kb around the peak center.

A SKmel147

PC1: 64% variance / PC2: 27% variance. Points labeled KO1.4_R1, KO1.4_R2, Parental, NTC, KO3.20_R2, KO3.20_R1. Condition: ARID2_WT (red), ARID2_KO (blue).

B Correlation heatmap (0.4–1.0): rows/columns NTC, Parental, KO3.20 R1, KO 3.20 R2, KO1.4 R1, KO1.4 R2.

	NTC	Parental	KO3.20 R1	KO 3.20 R2	KO1.4 R1	KO1.4 R2
KO1.4 R2	0.69	0.64	0.90	0.91	0.98	1.00
KO1.4 R1	0.67	0.62	0.91	0.92	1.00	0.98
KO 3.20 R2	0.57	0.54	0.98	1.00	0.92	0.91
KO 3.20 R1	0.55	0.52	1.00	0.98	0.91	0.90
Parental	0.89	1.00	0.52	0.54	0.62	0.64
NTC	1.00	0.89	0.55	0.57	0.67	0.69

C ARID2 WT vs. KO ATAC-seq. Volcano plot, -log10(padj) vs log2FoldChange. (n=6542) decreased, (n=3105) increased. increased (pink), decreased (blue).

D ATAC-seq: Parental, NTC, KO1.4, KO3.20; ARID2 Parental (green). ATAC increased / ATAC decreased. -4kb center +4kb.

E H3K27ac ChIP-seq: Parental, NTC, KO1.4, KO3.20. ATAC increased / ATAC decreased.

F SS18 ChIP-seq: Parental, NTC, KO1.4, KO3.20. ATAC increased / ATAC decreased.

G H3K27ac ChIP-seq — ATAC decreased, ATAC increased. SS18 ChIP-seq — ATAC decreased, ATAC increased. Parental, NTC, KO1.4, KO3.20. -4kb center +4kb.

H 501mel

PC1: 70% variance / PC2: 25% variance. Points labeled NTC, Parental, KO5.2_R2, KO5.2_R1, KO1.8_R2, KO1.8_R1. Condition: ARID2_WT (blue), ARID2_KO (red).

I Correlation heatmap (0.2–1.0): columns KO5.2 R1, KO5.2 R2, KO1.8 R1, KO1.8 R2, NTC, Parental.

	KO5.2 R1	KO5.2 R2	KO1.8 R1	KO1.8 R2	NTC	Parental
Parental	0.65	0.65	0.69	0.69	0.83	1.00
NTC	0.32	0.33	0.38	0.39	1.00	0.83
KO1.8 R1	0.92	0.91	0.97	1.00	0.39	0.69
KO1.8 R1	0.92	0.91	1.00	0.97	0.38	0.69
KO5.2 R2	0.98	1.00	0.91	0.91	0.33	0.65
KO5.2 R1	1.00	0.98	0.92	0.91	0.32	0.65

J ARID2 WT vs. KO ATAC-seq. Volcano plot, -log10(padj) vs log2FoldChange. (n=1480) decreased, (n=3762) increased. increased (pink), decreased (blue).

K ATAC-seq: Parental, NTC, KO1.8, KO5.2. ATAC increased / ATAC decreased. -4kb center +4kb.

L H3K27ac ChIP-seq: Parental, NTC, KO1.8, KO5.2. ATAC increased / ATAC decreased.

M SS18 ChIP-seq: Parental, NTC, KO1.8, KO5.2. ATAC increased / ATAC decreased.

N H3K27ac ChIP-seq — ATAC decreased, ATAC increased. SS18 ChIP-seq — ATAC decreased, ATAC increased. Parental, NTC, KO1.8, KO5.2. -4kb center +4kb.

O

ARID2 WT vs. KO ATAC-seq

(n=4865) (n=4738)

- increased
- decreased

x-axis: log2FoldChange

y-axis: -log10(pad)

P

ATAC decreased

26%   28%

8%

38%

- PBAF
- Shared
- BAF
- None

ATAC increased

1% 2%

61%   36%

Q

ARID2

x-axis: -4.0 Peak Center 4.0Kb

- ATAC decreased
- ATAC increased

Figure S3. PBAF loss results in chromatin accessibility deregulation and altered BAF binding, Related to Figure 3. A. Two-dimensional PCA using all ATAC-seq significant regions (n=224,847) in SKmel147. **B.** Pearson correlation plot of the deregulated ATAC-seq regions in SKmel147. **C.** Volcano plot highlighting significantly increased (n = 6542) and decreased (n = 3105) ATAC-seq regions in SKmel147; called with DEseq2 with an adjusted p-value < 0.05. **D.** Heatmaps and metagene profiles of ATAC enrichment in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4 and KO3.20) cells centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions; heatmap and metagene profile of ARID2 enrichment in ARID2 WT (Parental) cells shown. Signals were plotted ± 4 kb around the peak center. ATAC increased n = 3105 peaks, ATAC decreased n = 6542. **E.** Heatmaps and metagene profiles of H3K27ac enrichment as in (D), centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions. **F.** Heatmaps and metagene profiles of SS18 enrichment as in (D), centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions. **G.** Metagene profiles of H3K27ac and SS18 enrichment in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4 and KO3.20) cells centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions. **H.** Two-dimensional PCA using all ATAC-seq significant regions (n=224,847) in 501mel. **I.** Pearson correlation plot of the differential ATAC-seq regions clustered by ARID2 status in 501mel. **J.** Volcano plot highlighting significantly increased (n = 3762) and decreased (n = 1480) ATAC-seq regions in 501mel; called with DEseq2 with an adjusted p-value < 0.05. **K.** Heatmaps and metagene profiles of ATAC enrichment in 501mel ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.8 and KO5.2) cells centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions. Signals were plotted ± 4 kb around the peak center. ATAC increased n = 3762 peaks, ATAC decreased n = 1480. **L.** Heatmaps and metagene profiles of H3K27ac enrichment as in (K) centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions. **M.** Heatmaps and metagene profiles of SS18 as in (K) centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions. **N.** Metagene profiles of H3K27ac and SS18 enrichment in 501mel ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.8 and KO5.2) cells centered at ATAC-seq significantly altered regions. **O.** Volcano plot highlighting significantly increased (n = 4738) and decreased (n = 4965) ATAC-seq regions in 4L; called with DEseq2 with an adjusted p-value < 0.05. **P.** Pie charts displaying the percentage of ATAC decreased and ATAC increased regions at PBAF, shared, and BAF sites, or None (no SWI/SNF binding). **Q.** Metagene profiles of ARID2 enrichment in 4L ARID2 WT (Parental) cells centered at ATAC-seq significantly deregulated regions. Signals were plotted ± 4 kb around the peak center.

Figure S4. PBAF and BAF changes alter distinct TF enrichment upon PBAF loss, Related to Figure 4. A. DEseq2 normalized counts (median of ratios) of AP-1 family TFs expressed in SKmel147 ARID2 WT and ARID2 KO clones. **B.** Intersection of ATAC increased and decreased regions with significantly called TEAD4 and FOSL2 peaks in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4 and KO3.20) cells. **C.** Upset plot of the intersections between FOSL2, TEAD4 and ATAC deregulated peaks. **D.** Snapshots of the UCSC genome browser (GRCh37/hg19) showing FOSL2 and TEAD4 binding at ATAC decreased and increased regions, respectively. **E.** Intersection of ATAC increased and decreased regions with significantly called CTCF peaks in SKmel147 ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4 and KO3.20) cells. **F.** Scatterplot of SKmel147 ARID2 WT/KO log2 fold changes of CTCF with ATAC log2 fold changes at ATAC significantly increased and decreased regions. Pearson correlation displayed. **G.** Intersection of ATAC increased and decreased regions with significantly called MITF peaks in 501mel ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.8 and KO5.2) cells. **H.** Snapshots of the UCSC genome browser (GRCh37/hg19) showing MITF binding at ATAC decreased and increased regions, respectively. **I.** Whole cell extract immunoblots of 4L, 501mel, WM1552C (primary melanoma), and SKmel147 cell lines probed for MITF (M isoform) and GAPDH as loading control.

Figure S5. PBAF loss results in transcriptional changes that correlate with TF binding deregulation, Related to Figure 5. A. Two-dimensional PCA of all expressed genes SKmel147 ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.4, KO1.5, and KO3.20) (n=17092) cells. **B.** Volcano plot highlighting significantly upregulated (n = 955) and downregulated (n = 1072) genes in SKmel147 cells as in (A). Deregulated genes were called with DEseq2 with an adjusted p-values < 0.05. **C.** Two-dimensional PCA of all expressed genes in 501mel ARID2 WT (Parental and NTC) and KO (KO1.8 and KO5.2) cells (n = 14833). **D.** Volcano plot highlighting significantly upregulated (n=2457) and downregulated (n = 2355) genes in 501mel cells as in (C). Deregulated genes were called with DEseq2 with an adjusted p-value < 0.05. **E.** Association of ranked deregulated genes in 501mel ARID2 KO vs. ARID2 WT cell lines with their associated ATAC increased or decreased peaks (within a TAD and within ±500 kb of the TSS) and their MITF status. **F.** Heatmap of significantly downregulated genes associated with ATAC decreased regions involved in the regulation of cholesterol synthesis in SKmel147. **G.** Volcano plot highlighting upregulated (n = 804) and downregulated (n = 756) genes in ARID2 mutant vs. ARID2 WT TCGA samples (n = 334). P-val < 0.05. **H.** Venn diagrams of deregulated genes intersected between SKmel147 and TCGA melanoma samples. Overlap significance calculated with Fisher's exact test. **I.** Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of genes upregulated and downregulated in TCGA ARID2 mutant vs. ARID2 WT in the Cellular Compartment (CC) and the Biological Process (BP) gene sets. **J.** Biological Process Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of genes associated with chromatin changes upregulated and downregulated in 501mel ARID2 KO vs. ARID2 WT cell lines. **K.** Whole cell extract immunoblots of SKmel147 cell lines infected with shScramble (shSCR) control or shRNA targeting ARID2 (sh264) probed for ARID2, PBRM1, BRG1, SNF5, and GAPDH as loading control. **L.** Scatterplot of SKmel147 ARID2 WT/KO log2 fold changes of all expressed genes with SKmel147 shSCR/sh264 log2 fold change, with invasive signature genes (same as in **Figure 5D**) highlighted. Pearson correlation displayed. **M.** Heatmap of invasive signature genes (as in **Figure 5D**) in SKmel147 infected with shSCR control or sh264 targeting ARID2.

A

SKmel147

Parental
NTC
KO1.4
KO1.5
KO3.20

501mel

Parental
NTC
KO1.8
KO5.2

4L

Parental
NTC
KO1.17
KO5.11

B Fibronectin Adhesion

Collagen Adhesion

C Matrix Degradation Assay

D

NTC

KO1.4

E Detection Efficiency

P-value >0.9999

KO1.4/NTC

F Primary Tumor

Lower CAM

Liver

NTC
KO1.4

G H&E Quantification

0.0775

NTC   KO1.4

H

NTC

KO1.4

250 µm

I

NTC
KO1.4

r = 0.9515
p-val = 0.001

J SKmel147

**

NTC   KO1.4

K 4L

***   **

NTC   KO1.17   KO5.11

Figure S6. Assessing phenotypic changes upon PBAF loss in melanoma cells, Related to Figure 6. A. SKmel147 (n = 3), 501mel (n = 3), and 4L (n = 3) cell proliferation analysis measured over the course of 5-6 days as percent confluence. **B.** Adhesion assay of SKmel147 ARID2 WT and KO cells plated on fibronectin (n = 2) or collagen coated plates (n = 2). **C.** Matrix degradation assay of SKmel147 ARID2 WT and KO cells (n = 2). **D.** Image of primary tumors SKmel147 NTC (n=5) and KO1.4 (n = 5) collected from the CAM. **E.** Equal efficiency of Skmel147 ARID2 WT (GFP+) and KO (mCherry+) cell detection by flow cytometry, shown as the ratio of mCherry+ to GFP+ particles detected in samples spiked with equal numbers of WT and KO cells (non-inoculated CAM, n = 5; FACS buffer, n = 1). Y-axis is displayed on logarithmic scale. P-value shown for Wilcoxon signed-rank test for an expected median value of 1. **F.** Specificity of Skmel147 ARID2 WT (GFP+) and KO (mCherry+) cell detection by flow cytometry, shown as the complete absence of mCherry+ cells in eggs inoculated with WT cells, and of GFP+ cells in eggs inoculated with KO cells, in all samples analyzed in **Figure 6B**. **G.** Quantification of SKmel147 metastatic lung colonies from same mice as in **Figure 6D, E** based on H&E staining. Welch's t-test. P-value shown on graph. **H.** Representative H&E images of NTC and KO1.4 lung colonies same as in (G). **I.** Scatterplot of SKmel147 tail vein experiment quantification methods, either by fluorescence imaging in **Figure 6D, E** or H&E staining in (G). Spearman correlation is displayed. **J.** Quantification of the average area of SKmel147 metastatic lung colonies from mice in **Figure 6D, E**. Welch's t-test. **P-val < 0.01. **K.** Quantification of the average area of 4L metastatic lung colonies from mice in **Figure 6F**. Welch's t-test. **P-val < 0.01, ***P-val < 0.001.