Supplementary Information: Molecular Communications in Complex Systems of Dynamic Supramolecular Polymers

Martina Crippa¹, Claudio Perego², Anna L. de Marco^{2,3}, and Giovanni M. Pavan^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy ²Department of Innovative Technologies, University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern Switzerland, Polo Universitario Lugano, Campus Est, Via la Santa 1, 6962 Lugano-Viganello, Switzerland ³Department of Physics, Università degli Studi di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16100 Genova, Italy * corresponding author: Giovanni M. Pavan (giovanni.pavan@polito.it)

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1: First peak of the radial distribution function for the equilibrium part of three different **M** systems ($\epsilon = 40 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, 45, kJ mol⁻¹ and $50 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$).

Supplementary Figure 2: Self-assembly observables for the R and S systems interacting with $\epsilon = 40 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$: average coordination (top left), number of assemblies (top right), average size of assemblies (bottom left), size of the largest assembly (bottom right).

Supplementary Figure 3: Traffic and flux for the R and S systems interacting with $\epsilon = 40 \,\mathrm{kJ\,mol}^{-1}$.

Supplementary Figure 4: Self-assembly observables for the R and S systems interacting with $\epsilon = 45 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$: average coordination (top left), number of assemblies (top right), average size of assemblies (bottom left), size of the largest assembly (bottom right).

Supplementary Figure 5: Traffic and flux for the R and S systems interacting with $\epsilon = 45 \,\mathrm{kJ\,mol}^{-1}$.

Supplementary Figure 6: Self-assembly observables for the R and S systems interacting with $\epsilon = 50 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$: average coordination (top left), number of assemblies (top right), average size of assemblies (bottom left), size of the largest assembly (bottom right).

Supplementary Figure 7: Traffic and flux for the R and S systems interacting with $\epsilon = 50 \,\mathrm{kJ\,mol}^{-1}$.

Supplementary Figure 8: Distribution of monomers into aggregates of different sizes computed over different time intervals of the CG-MD trajectory, comparing R (top) and S (bottom) systems interacting by $\epsilon = 40 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Comparing the two panels, convergence between the S and R system population distributions after $t_1 = 1$ µs (pink curve) is observed.

Supplementary Figure 9: Distribution of monomers into aggregates of different sizes computed over different time intervals of the CG-MD trajectory, comparing R (top) and S (bottom) systems interacting by $\epsilon = 45 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Comparing the two panels, convergence between the S and R system population distributions after $t_1 = 1$ µs (pink curve) is observed.

Supplementary Figure 10: Distribution of monomers into aggregates of different sizes computed over different time intervals of the CG-MD trajectory, comparing R (top) and S (bottom) systems interacting by $\epsilon = 50 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Comparing the two panels, convergence between the S and R system population distributions after $t_1 = 1$ µs (pink curve) is observed.

Supplementary Figure 11: Snapshots of the S system $(\epsilon = 40 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ at different times: at time t_0 each fibre is colored with a different color. During the MD the fibres exchange monomers and after $t_1 = 1$ us the new fibres are a mixture of the initial fibres.

Supplementary Figure 12: Self-assembly observables comparison between $\epsilon =$ 40 kJ mol^{-1} , 45 kJ mol^{-1} and 50 kJ mol^{-1} M systems at the equilibrium: average coordination (top left), number of assemblies (top right), average size of assemblies (bottom left), size of the largest assembly (bottom right).

	\sim	r	γ^\aleph	$\varsigma^\text{\textregistered}$	\propto^2	1.32	33.64	
			$1.521e+01$ $1.31e+00$ $3.34e+00$ $7.13e+00$ $1.15e+01$ $1.25e+01$ $9.10e+00$ $2.75e+00$					
$2 +$	$4.88e-01$		$6.20e+01$ $2.52e+00$ $5.96e+00$ $9.53e+00$ $1.02e+01$ $7.13e+00$ $2.02e+00$					
	$3-4 - 3.17e-01$		6.30e-01 6.47e+01 5.61e+00 9.46e+00 1.01e+01 7.11e+00 1.96e+00					
	5-8 1.91e-01 4.22e-01			1.57e+00 6.80e+01 9.67e+00 1.07e+01 7.32e+00 2.01e+00				
$9 - 16 -$	1.05e-01		2.35e-01 9.22e-01 3.32e+00 7.06e+01				$1.31e+01$ 9.12e+00 2.48e+00	
			17-32: 5.85e-02 1.27e-01 4.99e-01 1.86e+00 6.61e+00 7.05e+01 1.57e+01 4.33e+00					
	$33-64 - 3.41e-02$		7.31e-02 2.91e-01 1.07e+00 3.82e+00 1.29e+01				$7.06e+01$ 1.05e+01	
$65-128$	2.44e-02	5.21e-02	$1.89e-01$ 6.74e-01 2.47e+00 8.50e+00 2.49e+01 5.94e+01					

Supplementary Figure 13: Sub-section of the probability transition matrix (same as reported in Figure 3a, left panel). The percentage probabilities are reported using scientific notations with three significant digits: in the main text the probabilities < 0.5 are rounded to zero.

Supplementary Figure 14: Transition matrices for the M-model. (a) $\epsilon =$ 40 kJ mol^{-1} and (b) $\epsilon = 50 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Each entry (i, j) of the raw transition matrices (central column) shows how many monomers transit from an assembly of size i to an assembly of size j every $\Delta \tau = 300 \text{ ps of CG-MD time}$; The left and right panels report two sub-regions of the transition probability matrix (red and blue rectangles). Here, the size of the aggregates are grouped for clarity. The numbers in the cells indicate the percentage probability (the 0s identify transitions with probability < 0.5). The raw transition matrices are colored in log scale.

Supplementary Figure 15: Assembly transition matrices for the M systems (left), decomposed into areas identifying different classes of polymerisation/depolymerisation mechanisms (see Methods for details). The areas are defined by the parameters $A = 21$ and $E = \langle A \rangle / 5 \approx 4$, as explained in the main text. The percentage is computed as the sum of each entry of the matrix in the considered area divided by the sum of all the entries of the matrix, without considering the diagonal: this gives an estimate of the predominant mechanism by which the system communicates. The obtained percentage are reported under each areas.

Supplementary Figure 16: Self-assembly observables comparison between $T =$ 340 K, $T = 320$ K and $T = 300$ K BTA system starting from random conformations in log scale: average coordination (top left), number of assemblies (top right), average size of assemblies (bottom left), size of the largest assembly (bottom right).

Supplementary Figure 17: Self-assembly observables comparison between $T =$ $340\,\mathrm{K}$, $T = 320\,\mathrm{K}$ and $T = 300\,\mathrm{K}$ BTA system and $\epsilon = 40\,\mathrm{kJ\,mol}^{-1}$, $45\,\mathrm{kJ\,mol}^{-1}$ and 50 kJ mol^{-1} M systems: average coordination (top left), number of assemblies (top right), average size of assemblies (bottom left), size of the largest assembly (bottom right).

Supplementary Figure 18: Assembly distributions comparison between $\epsilon =$ 40 kJ mol^{-1} , 45 kJ mol^{-1} and 50 kJ mol^{-1} M systems and $T = 340 \text{ K}$, $T = 320 \text{ K}$ and $T = 300 \,\mathrm{K}$ BTA system.

Supplementary Figure 19: Distribution of assemblies of different sizes over the total number of assemblies. Comparison between $\epsilon = 40 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, 45 kJ mol⁻¹ and 50 kJ mol⁻¹ M systems (left) and $T = 340$ K, $T = 320$ K and $T = 300$ K BTA system (right): the sizes are grouped in binary size-ranges and reported in log scale along the x axis.

Supplementary Figure 20: Percentage of monomers belonging to different size aggregates for the **BTA** systems at $T = 340 \text{ K}$ (top left) and $T = 300 \text{ K}$ (top right) and M system $\epsilon = 40 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (bottom left) and 50 kJ mol^{-1} (bottom right).

Supplementary Figure 21: M with $\epsilon = 40 \,\mathrm{kJ\,mol}^{-1}$ (top), and $T = 340 \,\mathrm{K}$ (bottom): raw transition matrices grouped in binary size-ranges (left) and transition rate matrices in ns⁻¹, obtained from raw data matrices divided by the trajectory time-length (right), both colored in log scale. During the simulations we sampled a total of 1.4×10^7 and 1.8×10^7 monomer transitions between aggregates of different size (off-diagonal entries) for the M and BTA models respectively, $3 \times 10^{-4}\%$ and $9 \times 10^{-4}\%$ of which can be considered as exchange of monomers/oligomers from the bulk of existing fibres. This indicates that in both systems > 99% of transition events involves exchange at the fibres tips.

Supplementary Figure 22: M with $\epsilon = 45 \,\mathrm{kJ\,mol}^{-1}$ (top), and $T = 320 \,\mathrm{K}$ (bottom): raw transition matrices grouped in binary size-ranges (left) and transition rate matrices in ns⁻¹, obtained from raw data matrices divided by the trajectory time-length (right), both colored in log scale. During the simulations we sampled a total of 1.7×10^7 and 2.1×10^7 monomer transitions between aggregates of different size (off-diagonal entries) for the M and BTA models respectively, 1×10^{-4} % and 3×10^{-4} % of which can be considered as exchange of monomers/oligomers from the bulk of existing fibres $(> 99\%$ of transition events involve exchange at the fibres tips, in both systems).

Supplementary Figure 23: M with $\epsilon = 50 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (top), and $T = 300 \text{ K}$ (bottom): raw transition matrices grouped in binary size-ranges (left) and transition rate matrices in ns⁻¹, obtained from raw data matrices divided by the trajectory time-length (right), both colored in log scale. During the simulations we sampled a total of 1.9×10^7 and 1.3×10^7 monomer transitions between aggregates of different size (off-diagonal entries) for the M and BTA models respectively, 4×10^{-5} % and 9×10^{-5} % of which can be considered as exchange of monomers/oligomers from the bulk of existing fibres ($> 99\%$ of transition events involve exchange at the fibres tips, in both systems).

Supplementary Figure 24: Comparison between **BTA** $T = 320$ K (bottom) and M with $\epsilon = 45 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}(\text{top})$. The raw transition matrix (center) and transition probability sub-matrices (left and right panels, the blue and red rectangles indicate the region highlighted) are reported. Here, the size of the aggregates are grouped for clarity. The numbers in the cells indicate the percentage probability (the 0s identify transitions with probability < 0.5). The transition matrices are colored in log scale.

Supplementary Figure 25: Assembly transition matrices (see Methods for details): comparison between **M** system and **BTA** system for $A = 21$ and $E = \langle A \rangle / 5 \approx 4.$

Supplementary Figure 26: Assembly transition matrices (see Methods for details): comparison between **M** system and **BTA** system for $A = 21$ and $E = \langle A \rangle / 5 \approx 4$ with cut-off radius $r_{\text{rcut}} = 0.7 \text{nm}$.

Supplementary Figure 27: Assembly transition matrices (see Methods for details): comparison between **M** system and **BTA** system for $A = \langle \text{size} \rangle$, namely the average size relative to the system under study and $E = \langle A \rangle / 5$.

ε=40 kJ/mol

Supplementary Figure 28: Probability transition matrices for M systems sampled every $\Delta \tau = 3$ ns and cut-off $r_{\text{cut}} = 0.6$ nm. The data is grouped in sizeranges (binary and regular subdivision in the left and right panels, respectively).

Supplementary Figure 29: Probability transition matrices for BTA systems sampled every $\Delta \tau = 3$ ns and cut-off $r_{\text{cut}} = 0.6$ nm. The data is grouped in sizeranges (binary and regular subdivision in the left and right panels, respectively).

Supplementary Figure 30: Assembly transition matrices (see Methods for details): comparison between **M** system and **BTA** system for $A = 21$ and $E = \langle A \rangle/5 \approx 4$ sampled every $\Delta \tau = 3\,\text{ns}$ and cut-off radius $r_\text{cut} = 0.6\,\text{nm}.$

hex ε=40 kJ/mol CG 10 clusters rates matrix 12.30 to 30 to 30 90 90 10 1 2 3 10 30 90 10 90 90 10 0 $\mathbf 0$ 0 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 1-10 - 82 12 4 1 Ω 9 3 1 0 0 0 0 11-20 - 12 74 8 7 2 $\mathbf{1}$ 0 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $21-30 -$ 18 63 2 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 6 15 18 52 6 1 31-40 - 5 $\overline{0}$ 5 12 15 16 44 2 0 0 41-50 - 5 $\mathbf 0$ 5 11 14 14 15 35 1 $\mathbf 0$ 51-60 - 3 $\mathbf 0$ 5 10 13 15 13 12 29 1 61-70 - 12 13 14 12 11 22 1 9 2 $71-80 -$ 4 9 12 14 11 12 12 11 14 1 $81-90 -$ $\overline{4}$ 6 11 15 5 10 14 - 6 6 91-100 - $\overline{4}$ 21	bta T=340 K CG 10 clusters rates matrix 1-10-791352 1 $\mathbf 0$ 0000 0 ₀ - 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $11-20 - 1269$ 4 8 $\overline{4}$ 1 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ Ω 21-30 10 Ω 18 8 3 $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $31 - 40 -$ 6 141849 0 $\mathbf 0$ Ω 0 $\overline{2}$ Ω 7 1 Ω Ω Ω 0 41-50 51742 1013151536 5 1 1 Ω Ω 0 $51-60 -$ 4 0 3 2 $\mathbf 0$ 0 9 1213141430 0 0 61-70 4 5 1 $71-80 -$ 4 8 101112131122 2 0 $\mathbf 0$ 1111111011820 1 $81 - 90 -$ 3 8 3 1 8 1 6 2 8 12101299 5 1 2 $91-100 -$ 3 8 1115 4 11 3 1016 6 $\mathbf 0$ 8 101-110 - $\overline{9}$ 9 11 8 10 3 11 5 Ω 2 6 8 1 7 111-120 - 6 10 13 6 7 13 0 2 513 8 0 16 121-130 -
hex ε=45 kJ/mol CG 20 clusters rates matrix \sim \sim 2021 v $\mathbf 0$ $1-20-79$ 13 5 $\overline{2}$ 1 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 21-40 - 11 71 11 4 2 1 $\mathbf 0$ 0 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 3 9 1 0 0 $\mathbf 0$ 0 $\mathbf 0$ 41-60 7 1762 3 6 13 18 7 1 1 0 0 0 $61-80 -$ 511 5 12 15 16 40 6 3 1 1 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $81 - 100 -$ 5 3 4 10 13 14 14 34 2 1 $\mathbf 0$ 101-120 - 3 $\overline{2}$ 8 11 13 13 12 25 8 Ω 4 121-140 - 9 12 12 13 12 12 21 3 2 0 4 141-160 - 9 11 12 12 10 12 8 20 3 0 4 161-180 - 3 8 8 10 10 8 10 8 11 24 $\mathbf 0$ 181-200 - $\overline{2}$ 9 7 14 0 6 0 7 16 9 30 201-220 -	bta T=320 K CG 20 clusters rates matrix 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 5 4 7 2 7 240 120 $\sqrt{6}$ $\hat{\varphi}$ \hat{v} 141 $\overline{3}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ 1-20 - 71 15 8 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf 0$ Ω Ω 21-40 - 12 62 16 6 3 1 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 1 $\mathbf 0$ 41-60 - 8 1859 9 $\overline{4}$ 1 1 0 $\mathbf 0$ 0 0 9 3 3 6 14 18 46 1 $\mathbf 0$ 0 0 0 $61-80 -$ 5 11141640 6 5 $\overline{2}$ Ω Ω Ω Ω $81 - 100 -$ 4 10 13 14 14 29 11 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\overline{4}$ 1 0 101-120 - 3 $\mathbf 0$ 3 8 1011121140 1 1 Ω 121-140 9 $\mathbf 0$ 121112131026 2 1 0 -160 - 4 3 8 10 10 11 12 13 9 18 Ω 161-180 - $\overline{4}$ 1 9 9 8 1 9 1 4 8 10121010 0 181-200 - $201-220-4$ -8 7 33 0 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 1018 6 $\mathbf 0$ 14 5 8 10 14 14 9 10 6 10 $\overline{7}$ 221-240 - 4 4
hex ε=50 kJ/mol CG 40 clusters rates matrix 4.89.29.29.29.29.29.29.29.29 AAO I nd AO ² $1-40-7016$ 3 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 5 3 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 41-80 107011 1 0 $\overline{2}$ 1 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 7 5710 -6 81-120 17 49 11 3 1 0 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 5 13 17 121-160 - 5 11 16 17 44 5 2 1 $\mathbf 0$ 0 0 161-200 5 Ω Ω 5 1 Ω 201-240 11 15 15 15 34 3 4 10 13 14 15 12 27 $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf 0$ $\overline{0}$ 241-280 -9 23 $\overline{4}$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 4 9 14 12 13 11 281-320 - 13 15 13 10 10 10 19 1 0 4 6 321-360 - $\mathbf 0$ 0 $\mathbf 0$ 0 0 33 21 0 46 0 0 361-400 - 0 $0\quad 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 0 0 0 $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf 0$ 401-440 -	bta T=300 K CG 40 clusters rates matrix AD1-AAD 041 $\mathbf 0$ $1-40-6918$ -8 $\overline{4}$ 0 0 0 Ω 0 1 $\mathbf 0$ 5 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 4 88 2 1 0 41-80 10 ₃ $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 7 0 81-120 17 h i $\mathbf 0$ 6 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 6 0 121-160 - 14 17 57 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 2 5 7 8 178 0 1 0 161-200 Ω 3 9 Ω Ω Ω 13 17 14 10 34 201-240 13 12 15 12 33 $\overline{0}$ 11 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{4}$ 241-280 $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 0 281-320 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 321-360 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 0 361-400 - $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf 0$ 0 401-440 - 0

Supplementary Figure 31: Probability transition matrices for M and BTA systems sampled every $\Delta \tau$ = 0.3 ns and cut-off $r_{\rm cut}$ = 0.6 nm. The data is grouped in size-ranges (linear size-ranges subdivision).

Supplementary Figure 32: BTA_w model equilibrium structure and dynamics. (a) CG model of the BTA_w monomer: the core monomers (blue beads) interactions are increased to $\epsilon = 4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, to reproduce the solvophobic effect. (b) Snapshot of BTA_w fibres formed spontaneously after $t_{CG} = 20 \,\text{\textmu s}$ of CG-MD, starting from 500 dispersed \textbf{BTA}_w monomers. (c) A single fibre of 40 \textbf{BTA}_w monomers (top) and its core beads colored by their structural configurations (gray: bulk, green: bulk defects and red: tips) (bottom). (d) Comparison of the structural configurations between two fibres of 40 monomers each (BTA_w) vs \mathbf{BTA}) obtained by identifying the monomers properties for a $10\mu s$ MD. (e) Comparison among the structure of an equilibrated 40 monomer fibre in: (top-left) the \mathbf{BTA}_w model; (bottom-left) the Fibre 3 explicit solvent model (reported in Ref. 49); (top-right) **BTA** model; (bottom-right) the Fibre 1 explicit solvent model (Ref. 49). The scatter plots show the coordination number and the core-core distance (in stacking length units c). The states are divided in clusters (indicated by different colors, as in (c)) according to the monomeric configuration. (f) Assembly transition matrices for the equilibrated 500 monomer \mathbf{BTA}_w system (left), decomposed into areas identifying different classes of polymerisation/depolymerisation mechanisms (see Methods for details). The areas are defined by the parameters $A = 21$ and $E = \langle A \rangle / 5 \approx 4$, as explained in the main text. The percentage is computed as the sum of each entry of the matrix in the considered area divided by the sum of all the entries of the matrix, without considering the diagonal. The obtained percentage are reported under each areas. (g) Summary of the mechanism probabilities: the mechanism involving small and medium species (yellow, red and green area) are grouped in the last column.

Supplementary Methods

We here report the details on the models and force field parameters used for the M , BTA and BTA_w monomer models, using the GROMACS .itp format for the force field and the GROMACS .gro format for example configurations.

M monomer force-field

[constraints]

[angles]

```
[dihedrals]
[exclusions]
[ defaults ]
1 2
[ atomtypes ]
; STANDARD types, 4:1 mapping
M 0 72.000 0.000 A 0.0<br>P 0 72.000 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
  0 72.000 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
[ nonbond_params ]
; i j funda c6 c12
; self terms
 M M 1 4.700000e-01 45.000000e+00 ; dm_rrVIII<br>P P 1 4.700000e-01 0.200000e+00 ; dm_rrVIII
         P P 1 4.700000e-01 0.200000e+00 ; dm_rrVIII
; cross terms
  P M 1 4.700000e-01 0.200000e+00 ; dm_rrVIII
```
M monomer configuration

BTA monomer force-field

[moleculetype] ; molname nrexcl BTA 1

[atoms]

[constraints]

[bonds]


```
[angles]
```


C1 72.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0

D 24.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0 [nonbond_params] ; i j funda c6 c12 ; self terms P5 P5 1 1.07792e-01 1.16191e-03 ; eps=2.5 C1 C1 1 4.31169e-02 4.64766e-04 ; eps=1.0 D D 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00 ; no LJ interaction ; cross terms P5 C1 1 2.15584e-02 2.32383e-04; eps=0.5 D P5 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00 ; no LJ interaction D C1 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00 ; no LJ interaction

\mathbf{BTA}_w monomer force-field

[moleculetype] ; molname nrexcl BTA 1

[atoms]


```
;
 4 7 10 2 180.0 10
 5 8 11 2 180.0 10
 6 9 12 2 180.0 10
;
 7 10 13 2 180.0 10
 8 11 14 2 180.0 10
 9 12 15 2 180.0 10
;
17 16 18 2 180.0 1500
[exclusions]
17 18
[ defaults ]
1 1
[ atomtypes ]
; STANDARD types, 4:1 mapping
P5 72.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
C1 72.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
M1 72.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
D 24.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
[ nonbond_params ]
; i j funda c6 c12
; self terms
 P5 P5 1 1.07792e-01 1.16191e-03 ; eps=2.5
 C1 C1 1 4.31169e-02 4.64766e-04 ; eps=1.0
 M1 M1 1 1.72467e-01 1.85906e-03; eps=4<br>D D 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00; no LJ
 D D 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00 ; no LJ interaction
; cross terms
 P5 C1 1 2.15584e-02 2.32383e-04; eps=0.5
 P5 M1 1 2.15584e-02 2.32383e-04; eps=0.5
 C1 M1 1 2.15584e-02 2.32383e-04 ; eps=0.5
 D P5 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00 ; no LJ interaction
 D C1 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00 ; no LJ interaction<br>D M1 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00 ; no LJ interaction
      M1 1 0.00000E-00 0.00000E-00; no LJ interaction
[ defaults ]
1 1
[ atomtypes ]
; STANDARD types, 4:1 mapping
P5 72.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
C1 72.0 0.000 A 0.0 0.0
```


BTA (and $\mathbf{BTA}_w)$ monomer configuration

