## **CHEERS Checklist**

## Items to include when reporting economic evaluations of health interventions

The **ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report**, Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluations Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force, provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the Value in Health or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines - CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on page No/ line No
Title and abstract			
Title	1	Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use more specific terms such as "cost-effectiveness analysis", and describe the interventions compared.	
Abstract	2	Provide a structured summary of objectives, perspective, setting, methods (including study design and inputs), results (including base case and uncertainty analyses), and conclusions.	
Introduction			
Background and objectives	3	Provide an explicit statement of the broader context for the study.  Present the study question and its relevance for health policy or practice decisions.	
Methods		r	
Target population and subgroups	4	Describe characteristics of the base case population and subgroups analysed, including why they were chosen.	pg 3-4 (lines 109-12
Setting and location	5	State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the decision(s) need(s) to be made.	
Study perspective	6	Describe the perspective of the study and relate this to the costs being evaluated.	
Comparators	7	Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and state why they were chosen.	
Time horizon	8	State the time horizon(s) over which costs and consequences are being evaluated and say why appropriate.	N/A
Discount rate	9	Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs and outcomes and say why appropriate.	
Choice of health outcomes	10	Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit in the evaluation and their relevance for the type of analysis performed.	
Measurement of effectiveness	11a	Single study-based estimates: Describe fully the design features of the single effectiveness study and why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical effectiveness data.	



	11b	Synthesis-based estimates: Describe fully the methods used for identification of included studies and synthesis of clinical		
3.5	10	effectiveness data.		
Measurement and valuation of preference based outcomes	12	If applicable, describe the population and methods used to elicit preferences for outcomes.		
Estimating resources	13a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches		
and costs		used to estimate resource use associated with the alternative		
		interventions. Describe primary or secondary research methods	,	
		for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost.		
		Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity		
		costs.		
	13b	Model-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches and		
		data sources used to estimate resource use associated with		
		model health states. Describe primary or secondary research		
		methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit		
		cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to		
Cumanay miaa data	1.4	opportunity costs.  Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit		
Currency, price date, and conversion	14	costs. Describe methods for adjusting estimated unit costs to		
and conversion		the year of reported costs if necessary. Describe methods for		
		converting costs into a common currency base and the		
		exchange rate.		
Choice of model	15	Describe and give reasons for the specific type of decision-		
Choice of model	13	analytical model used. Providing a figure to show model	ng 2 4 (lines 1	126 167)
		structure is strongly recommended.	pg 3-4 (lines 1 Figure 1	120-107),
Assumptions	16	Describe all structural or other assumptions underpinning the	pg 3-4 (lines	126-167)
		decision-analytical model.	Table 1	120-107),
Analytical methods	17	Describe all analytical methods supporting the evaluation. This		
		could include methods for dealing with skewed, missing, or		
		censored data; extrapolation methods; methods for pooling		
		data; approaches to validate or make adjustments (such as half		
		cycle corrections) to a model; and methods for handling		
		population heterogeneity and uncertainty.		
Results				
Study parameters	18	Report the values, ranges, references, and, if used, probability		
		distributions for all parameters. Report reasons or sources for		
		distributions used to represent uncertainty where appropriate.		
		Providing a table to show the input values is strongly		
		recommended.		
Incremental costs and	19	For each intervention, report mean values for the main		
outcomes		categories of estimated costs and outcomes of interest, as well		
		as mean differences between the comparator groups. If		
		applicable, report incremental cost-effectiveness ratios.		
Characterising	20a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects		
uncertainty		of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental cost and		
		incremental effectiveness parameters, together with the impact		



		of methodological assumptions (such as discount rate, study	
		perspective).	
	20b	<i>Model-based economic evaluation:</i> Describe the effects on the results of uncertainty for all input parameters, and uncertainty related to the structure of the model and assumptions.	Figure 3,4 pg 6 (lines 213-251)
Characterising	21	If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes, or cost-	
heterogeneity		effectiveness that can be explained by variations between subgroups of patients with different baseline characteristics or	
		other observed variability in effects that are not reducible by	
		more information.	N/A
Discussion			
Study findings, limitations,	22	Summarise key study findings and describe how they support the conclusions reached. Discuss limitations and the	
generalisability, and current knowledge		generalisability of the findings and how the findings fit with current knowledge.	pg 6-7(lines 254-298)
Other			
Source of funding	23	Describe how the study was funded and the role of the funder	
•		in the identification, design, conduct, and reporting of the analysis. Describe other non-monetary sources of support.	pg 9 (lines 325-329)
Conflicts of interest	24	Describe any potential for conflict of interest of study contributors in accordance with journal policy. In the absence	
		of a journal policy, we recommend authors comply with	
		International Committee of Medical Journal Editors	
		recommendations.	pg9 (line 324)

For consistency, the CHEERS Statement checklist format is based on the format of the CONSORT statement checklist

The **ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report** provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the Value in Health link or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines – CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: <a href="http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp">http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp</a>

The citation for the CHEERS Task Force Report is:

Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and elaboration: A report of the ISPOR health economic evaluations publication guidelines good reporting practices task force. Value Health 2013;16:231-50.

