

Supplementary Table 1- Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)

Author/Year	Type of study	Selection				Comparability	Outcome		
		Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the non-exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	Assessment of outcome	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts
Alarcón 2014 (21)	cross-sectional		*			*		*	*
Andersson 2013 (39)	cohort	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
ERAS study group (Young) 2000 (3)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Baldassari 2014 (30)	cross-sectional	*	*			*		*	*
Barton 2011 (31)	cross-sectional	*				*	*	*	*
Berkanovic 1996 (32)	cross-sectional		*					*	
Brekke 1999 (38)	cross-sectional	*	*	*				*	
Callhoff 2017 (33)	cross-sectional		*			*		*	
Camacho 2012 (16)	cohort (NOAR)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Chandrashekara 2018 (34)	cross-sectional	*	*			*	*	*	*
Clave-Testino 1994 (23)	case-control	*	*		*	*			
Gamboa-Cardenas 2019 (14)	cohort	*	*			*			
Gong 2016 (35)	cross-sectional		*						
Hallert 2015 (36)	cohort	*	*				*		
Harrison 2009 (17)	cohort (NOAR)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Harrison 2005 (1)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Jacobi 2003 (4)	cohort		*			*	*	*	
Jiang 2015 (24)	cohort	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
Kearsley-Fleet 2018 (18)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Linde 2009 (25)	cohort	*	*			*		*	*
Massardo 2012 (15)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McEntegart 1997 (2)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Molina 2015 (20)	cohort	*	*	*		*	*	*	*
Moufarrej 2015 (22)	cross-sectional								*
Putrik 2016 (26)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Roodenrijs 2020 (27)	cohort	*	*			*	*	*	*
Vlieland 1994 (28)	cohort		*			*		*	
Yang 2015 (37)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Yates 2021 (19)	cohort	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Zou 2020 (29)	cohort	*	*	*				*	*