# PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

#### ARTICLE DETAILS

| TITLE (PROVISIONAL)         Workplace-based occupational therapy for mental health in A |  |
|---|--|
| scoping review protocol   |  |
| AUTHORS Hoosain, Munira; Plastow, Nicola Ann  |  |

### **VERSION 1 – REVIEW**

| REVIEWER        | Monica Robinson<br>The Ohio State University, School of Health and Rehabilitation<br>Sciences |
|-----------------|---|
| REVIEW RETURNED | 29-Aug-2021   |

|                  | 1  |
|------------------|--|
| GENERAL COMMENTS | I enjoyed reviewing your protocol and I look forward to the final paper.   |
|                  | □ Abstract – The interdiction uses the terms "mental health<br>workers", "at work" and "workers" perhaps the term can be mental<br>health service providers to reduce the repetition of the word "work" in<br>different contexts. Stakeholders are not involved in the actual<br>review; a more clear aim may be "This review aims to collaborate<br>with stakeholders to identify literature on workplace-based<br>occupational therapy interventions supporting the mental health of<br>workers in Africa.   |
|                  | □ Keywords – consider adding "mental health" since that is in your title   |
|                  | <ul> <li>Page 5, Line 40 – Write out United Stated Dollar (USD) for the first use of the acronym</li> <li>Page 5, Lines 57 – clarify regions. Suggest, " more than 70% in all other WHO regions outside of Africa."</li> <li>Page 6, Line 12 – are all workers "adults"? perhaps, "the majority of workers"</li> <li>Page 6, Lines 30 – 50 – you might want to include the WHO Mental Health Atlas 2017,[4] explicitly names occupational therapy as a mental health provider in many regions around the globe.</li> <li>Page 6, line 37, mentioning SLP is a distraction from the topic at hand; OT.</li> </ul> |
|                  | <ul> <li>Page 6, line 51 – "workplace-based mental health interventions from other professions, [12-20]"</li> <li>Page 6, line 55 – "The practice of occupational therapy in Africa has unique contextual considerations due to the difference in mental health care service provision, poverty and associated malnutrition and low education levels, violence and political instability, mental health stigma, a wide variety of cultures and languages, and community-centredness.[21]" How is this uniquely OT and not also social work? Or those who are sociologists"? You have not linked</li> </ul>       |
|                  | these terms to mental health AND work. You are not pitching the  |

| need for OT here that can be in your discussion and conclusion of your final paper. □ When you have your discussion and conclusion, use our origins are founded in mental health, use OT language of client-centered (you said), holisite approach, evidence-based interventions, we uniquely consider the person, the occupation (job), and the environment to foster optimal performance including mental health. □ AIMS – Just a question, is focusing on workplace interventions too narrow? You could have a more broad aim looking at "What literature exists that identifies occupational therapy addressing mental health in thrica" or "What literature exists that identifies occupational therapy addressing mental health in the workplaces in Africa" then more broadly identify trends and gaps in the findings. You may miss assessment studies, studies, that address supports and barriers or contribute to OT involvement in MH, qualitative interviews of employees or employees experience with OT, etc by casting a wider net you may gather valuable data. • As with any scoping review, you can narrow or broaden your inclusion and exclusion criteria as you become more familiar with the literature. □ Page 8, line 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence. □ Page 8, bline 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence. ○ Page 8, bline 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence. ○ Page 8, bline 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence. ○ Page 8, bline 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence. ○ Page 8, bline 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence. ○ Page 8, bline 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence. ○ Page 8, bline and exclusion: needs more details. • Will you hand-select studies from the references of full-text articles. • Recommend a year span, even if it is 2000-2021 • Do you want to |   |
|--|---|
| <ul> <li>the sentence.</li> <li>Page 8 inclusions and exclusion: needs more details.</li> <li>Will you include: conceptual papers, dissertations/thesis, conference abstracts, or protocol papers?</li> <li>Will you hand-select studies from the references of full-text articles.</li> <li>Recommend a year span, even if it is 2000-2021</li> <li>Do you want to include only papers that have mental health OC measures of the OT intervention(s)?</li> <li>How will you handle studies that include a team of individuals that includes OTs and others in the intervention?</li> <li>Search Strategy</li> <li>You might want to reconsider all substance use disorder terms, schizophrenia, and PTSD, these require specialized interventions of many individuals</li> <li>To ensure you get studies that include OT limit the OT terms to OT and maybe rehab - occupational therapy or occupational therapist or occupational therapists or OT</li> <li>Other work related words: work or workplace or occupation or employment or job or career or organization*</li> <li>I would add an intervention line and review those terms separately from OT, which is a distinctly different search. interventions or strategies or best practices or treatment* or therapy or program* or service*</li> <li>The country search terms need an explanation to the readers as to why only specific countries were listed and why "Africa" as not listed</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>your final paper.</li> <li>When you have your discussion and conclusion, use our origins are founded in mental health, use OT language of client-centered (you said), holistic approach, evidence-based interventions, we uniquely consider the person, the occupation (job), and the environment to foster optimal performance including mental health.</li> <li>AIMS – Just a question, is focusing on workplace interventions too narrow? You could have a more broad aim looking at "What literature exists that identifies occupational therapy addressing mental health in Africa" or "What literature exists that identifies occupational therapy addressing mental health in the workplaces in Africa" then more broadly identify trends and gaps in the findings. You may miss assessment studies, studies that address supports and barriers or contribute to OT involvement in MH, qualitative interviews of employers or employees experience with OT, etc by casting a wider net you may gather valuable data.</li> <li>As with any scoping review, you can narrow or broaden your inclusion and exclusion criteria as you become more familiar with the</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>You might want to reconsider all substance use disorder terms, schizophrenia, and PTSD, these require specialized interventions of many individuals</li> <li>To ensure you get studies that include OT limit the OT terms to OT and maybe rehab - occupational therapy or occupational therapist or occupational therapists or OT</li> <li>Other work related words: work or workplace or occupation or employment or job or career or organization*</li> <li>I would add an intervention line and review those terms separately from OT, which is a distinctly different search. interventions or strategies or best practices or treatment* or therapy or program* or service*</li> <li>The country search terms need an explanation to the readers as to why only specific countries were listed and why "Africa" as not listed</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>the sentence.</li> <li>Page 8 inclusions and exclusion: needs more details.</li> <li>Will you include: conceptual papers, dissertations/thesis, conference abstracts, or protocol papers?</li> <li>Will you hand-select studies from the references of full-text articles.</li> <li>Recommend a year span, even if it is 2000-2021</li> <li>Do you want to include only papers that have mental health OC measures of the OT intervention(s)?</li> <li>How will you handle studies that include a team of individuals that</li> </ul>  |
| 🗌 Page 10, line 34 – spell out NHMRC   | <ul> <li>You might want to reconsider all substance use disorder terms, schizophrenia, and PTSD, these require specialized interventions of many individuals</li> <li>To ensure you get studies that include OT limit the OT terms to OT and maybe rehab - occupational therapy or occupational therapist or occupational therapists or OT</li> <li>Other work related words: work or workplace or occupation or employment or job or career or organization*</li> <li>I would add an intervention line and review those terms separately from OT, which is a distinctly different search. interventions or strategies or best practices or treatment* or therapy or program* or service*</li> <li>The country search terms need an explanation to the readers as to</li> </ul>   |

| REVIEWER         | Deshini Naidoo<br>University of KwaZulu-Natal, Occupational Therapy   |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| REVIEW RETURNED  | 20-Sep-2021   |  |
|                  |   |  |
| GENERAL COMMENTS | This is a well- written review. The rationale for the scoping review<br>and the objectives are clear. There are some revisions or<br>considerations that need to be addressed.<br>The role of the stakeholders need to be more clearly defined for<br>example what questions did you ask them and how did their |  |

| feedback influence the design of the review.<br>How will their feedback influence the findings/ results of he review?<br>Please see attached PDF |  |
|--|--|
| The reviewer provided a marked copy with additional comments.<br>Please contact the publisher for full details.                                  |  |

### VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

|    | Reviewer comment   | Author response  |
|----|--|--|
|    | Reviewer #1  |  |
| 1. | Abstract – The interdiction uses the terms "mental<br>health workers", "at work" and "workers" perhaps<br>the term can be mental health service providers to<br>reduce the repetition of the word "work" in different<br>contexts. Stakeholders are not involved in the<br>actual review; a more clear aim may be " This<br>review aims to collaborate with stakeholders to<br>identify literature on workplace-based occupational<br>therapy interventions supporting the mental health<br>of workers in Africa.  | Thank you for raising this issue, we have<br>made the changes as suggested from<br>"mental health workers" to "mental health<br>service providers".<br>The aim is stated as you have<br>suggested.   |
| 2. | Keywords – consider adding "mental health" since that is in your title   | We have added "mental health" as a keyword.  |
| 3. | Page 5, Line 40 – Write out United Stated Dollar (USD) for the first use of the acronym  | Addressed  |
| 4. | Page 5, Lines 57 – clarify regions. Suggest, "<br>more than 70% in all other WHO regions outside of<br>Africa."  | Addressed  |
| 5. | Page 6, Line 12 – are all workers "adults"? perhaps, "the majority of workers"   | Addressed  |
| 6. | Page 6, Lines 30 – 50 – you might want to include<br>the WHO Mental Health Atlas 2017,[4] explicitly<br>names occupational therapy as a mental health<br>provider in many regions around the globe.  | Addressed, thank you.  |
| 7. | Page 6, line 37, mentioning SLP is a distraction from the topic at hand; OT.   | Addressed  |
| 8. | Page 6, line 51 – "workplace-based mental health interventions from other professions, [12-20]"  | Addressed  |
| 9. | Page 6, line 55 – "The practice of occupational<br>therapy in Africa has unique contextual<br>considerations due to the difference in mental<br>health care service provision, poverty and<br>associated malnutrition and low education levels,<br>violence and political instability, mental health<br>stigma, a wide variety of cultures and languages,<br>and community-centredness.[21]" How is this<br>uniquely OT and not also social work? Or those<br>who are sociologists"? You have not linked these<br>terms to mental health AND work. You are not | Although occupational therapy is not the<br>only profession dealing with these<br>challenges, this sentence aimed to<br>highlight the unique challenges in the<br>African context, that occupational<br>therapists working in this area may face,<br>based on a scoping review about<br>occupational therapy practice in Africa,<br>thus highlighting the need for the current<br>scoping review to focus on African |

|     | pitching the need for OT here that can be in your discussion and conclusion of your final paper.   | interventions. We have rephrased this section to make it clearer.  |
|-----|--|--|
| 10. | When you have your discussion and conclusion,<br>use our origins are founded in mental health, use<br>OT language of client-centered (you said), holistic<br>approach, evidence-based interventions, we<br>uniquely consider the person, the occupation (job),<br>and the environment to foster optimal performance<br>including mental health.  | Thank you for the suggestions, we have included them in the Discussion.  |
| 11. | AIMS – Just a question, is focusing on workplace<br>interventions too narrow? You could have a more<br>broad aim looking at "What literature exists that<br>identifies occupational therapy addressing mental<br>health in Africa" or "What literature exists that<br>identifies occupational therapy addressing mental<br>health in the workplaces in Africa" then more<br>broadly identify trends and gaps in the findings.<br>You may miss assessment studies, studies that<br>address supports and barriers or contribute to OT<br>involvement in MH, qualitative interviews of<br>employers or employees experience with OT,<br>etc by casting a wider net you may gather<br>valuable data.<br>• As with any scoping review, you can narrow or<br>broaden your inclusion and exclusion criteria as<br>you become more familiar with the literature. | Thank you for the question. We are<br>interested particularly in interventions<br>that are delivered in the workplace,<br>because we believe that mental health<br>needs are underreported, and the<br>context in which we are going to meet<br>the greatest number of people with<br>mental health problems while limiting the<br>risk of stigma and discrimination is to<br>address mental health as part of<br>workplace-based interventions. There<br>seem to be a wide variety of<br>assessments defining the problem of<br>mental health in the workplace – our<br>scoping review rather aims to identify<br>interventions addressing the problem. |
| 12. | Page 8, line 21 – mix methods studies as well? Or add and/or in the sentence.  | Addressed  |
| 13. | Page 8 inclusions and exclusion: needs more<br>details.<br>• Will you include: conceptual papers,<br>dissertations/thesis, conference abstracts, or<br>protocol papers?<br>• Will you hand-select studies from the references<br>of full-text articles.<br>• Recommend a year span, even if it is 2000-2021<br>• Do you want to include only papers that have<br>mental health OC measures of the OT<br>intervention(s)?<br>• How will you handle studies that include a team of<br>individuals that includes OTs and others in the<br>intervention?   | We have added in more detail, and<br>highlighted where pearling was<br>addressed in the manuscript.<br>Our expert librarian and consultant have<br>advised that we not limit by year span,<br>thus we have not added this as an<br>exclusion.<br>We will not only include papers with<br>mental health outcome measures, as<br>qualitative and mixed methods studies<br>are also included.<br>We will include all intervention teams<br>that include an occupational therapist.<br>The relevant sections and additions are<br>highlighted in the manuscript.   |
| 14. | Search Strategy<br>• You might want to reconsider all substance use<br>disorder terms, schizophrenia, and PTSD, these  | Thank you for your comments and suggestions.   |

|     | require specialized interventions of many<br>individuals<br>• To ensure you get studies that include OT limit<br>the OT terms to OT and maybe rehab -<br>occupational therapy or occupational therapist or<br>occupational therapists or OT<br>• Other work related words: work or workplace or<br>occupation or employment or job or career or<br>organization*<br>• I would add an intervention line and review those<br>terms separately from OT, which is a distinctly<br>different search. interventions or strategies or best<br>practices or treatment* or therapy or program* or<br>service*<br>• The country search terms need an explanation to<br>the readers as to why only specific countries were<br>listed and why "Africa" as not listed | We have decided to include the listed<br>conditions to cast as wide a net as<br>possible. In the African context<br>(particularly in South Africa) substance<br>use disorder is particularly common and<br>there are very few treatment options<br>available.<br>We have also chosen to include<br>occupational therapy alongside the other<br>"intervention-related" terms, as often<br>occupational therapy is part of a team,<br>and not highlighted in the title or<br>abstract.<br>The countries included all have active<br>occupational therapy training<br>programmes and are members of the<br>World Federation of Occupational<br>Therapists. We also included "Africa" as<br>a search term. |
|-----|--|--|
| 15. | Page 10, line 34 – spell out NHMRC   | Addressed  |

|    | Reviewer #2   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | This is a well- written review. The rationale for the scoping review and the objectives are clear. There are some revisions or considerations that need to be addressed.<br>The role of the stakeholders need to be more clearly defined for example what questions did you ask them and how did their feedback influence the design of the review.<br>How will their feedback influence the findings/ results of the review? | Thank you for your kind feedback.<br>We have added more information about<br>the ways stakeholders were involved and<br>how this influenced the design of the<br>review. |

|    | Reviewer's report 1063432612 (separate pdf document)   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | if you are consulting stakeholders then you may need ethical approval                          | We have outlined the ways in which<br>stakeholders were and will be engaged<br>throughout the review process in the "Patient<br>and Pubic Involvement" section, and also<br>discussed the ethical approval issue further in<br>the "Ethics and Dissemination" section. |
| 2. | It would be beneficial to elaborate on this point<br>and give examples of how the feedback was | This has been added to the manuscript.   |

|    | used in the design process   |   |
|----|--|---|
| 3. | will you exclude any article whose intervention does not include occupational therapy.   | This has been addressed and highlighted in the manuscript.  |
| 4. | is it therap or therapy  | Therap* includes any words that start with therap*, including therapy and therapeutic, for example. |
| 5. | are you limiting to these countries only? what<br>about other countries e.g. Egypt or Sudan etc<br>if you are limiting to these countries what is<br>the rationale | The rationale for the selected countries has been added to the manuscript.                          |
| 6. | why is the legend for the Table at the bottom  | We have moved these to the top of the tables.   |

# **VERSION 2 – REVIEW**

| REVIEWER        | Monica Robinson<br>The Ohio State University, School of Health and Rehabilitation<br>Sciences |  |
|-----------------|---|--|
| REVIEW RETURNED | 10-Feb-2022   |  |

| GENERAL COMMENTS | <ul> <li>Excellent revisions. When you write the paper be clear you are examining mental health interventions in the workplace and NOT interventions in the workplace that would support employment for those with mental illness. If your objective is the latter that is not how the protocol reads.</li> <li>Suggestion for clarity in your discussion, I added language for context in these two sentences.</li> <li>This scoping review focuses specifically on mental health interventions situated within the workplace.</li> <li>There is a need to extend these services to include mental health interventions.</li> </ul> |
|------------------|--|
|------------------|--|

| REVIEWER         | EWER Deshini Naidoo<br>University of KwaZulu-Natal, Occupational Therapy |  |
|------------------|--|--|
| REVIEW RETURNED  | RETURNED 07-Mar-2022   |  |
|                  |  |  |
| GENERAL COMMENTS | I can see the effort that has gone into interrogating the reviewers      |  |

|  | the reviewers    |
|--|------------------|
| comments and the resultant changes. I look forward review. | d to reading the |

# VERSION 2 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

|    | Reviewer comment   | Author response   |  |
|----|--|---|--|
|    | Reviewer #1  |   |  |
| 1. | Excellent revisions. When you write the paper be<br>clear you are examining mental health interventions<br>in the workplace and NOT interventions in the<br>workplace that would support employment for those<br>with mental illness. If your objective is the latter<br>that is not how the protocol reads. | This is an important point, thank you for<br>raising it. Our objective is as you stated it<br>first, so we are happy that the protocol<br>reads accurately. |  |
| 2. | Suggestion for clarity in your discussion, I added<br>language for context in these two sentences.<br>This scoping review focuses specifically on mental<br>health interventions situated within the workplace.<br>There is a need to extend these services to include<br>mental health interventions.       | We have accepted and added your<br>revisions to these two sentences in the<br>Discussion to add clarity, thank you.   |  |

|    | Reviewer #2  |                                   |
|----|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. | I can see the effort that has gone into<br>interrogating the reviewers comments and the<br>resultant changes. I look forward to reading<br>the review. | Thank you for your kind feedback. |