

Supplemental Data

Milder, Zybura, Cummins and Marrs

Neural Activity Correlates with Behavior Effects of Anti-Seizure Drugs Efficacy Using the Zebrafish Pentylentetrazol Seizure Model

Table S4. Statistical Results for behavioral profile of zebrafish larvae exposed to topiramate

The table displays the results of both the two-way ANOVA (Fig 4A) and one-way ANOVA (Fig 4B) performed for Figures 4 (A and B) and the resulting post-hoc Tukey comparisons and accompanying p-values for groups marked for significance within the Figure 4 graphs.

Table S4: Statistical Results for TPR Assay

Two-way ANOVA table results			
	DF	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Time x Column Factor	30	F (30, 3150) = 6.747	P<0.0001
Time	5	F (3, 798, 2393) = 16.45	P<0.0001
Column Factor	6	F (6, 630) = 86.77	P<0.0001
Subject	630	F (630, 3150) = 2.710	P<0.0001
Residual	3150		
Two-way ANOVA Tukey's Post-hoc Results			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Adjusted P Value	Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Adjusted P Value
Time period: 0 -15 minutes		Time period: 45 - 60 minutes	
EM vs. 0.1 % DMSO	0.9846	EM vs. 0.1 % DMSO	0.9978
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ	0.0052	EM vs. 10 mM PTZ	<0.0001
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.0121	EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.9862
EM vs. 200 µm TPR acute	0.9901	EM vs. 200 µm TPR acute	0.0408
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	>0.9999	EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	<0.0001
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.0022	EM vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	<0.0001
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.033	10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.6469
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	<0.0001	10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.0014
Time period: 15 - 30 minutes		Time period: 60 - 75 minutes	
EM vs. 0.1 % DMSO	0.5761	EM vs. 0.1 % DMSO	0.7181
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ	<0.0001	EM vs. 10 mM PTZ	<0.0001
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.7773	EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	>0.9999
EM vs. 200 µm TPR acute	0.0256	EM vs. 200 µm TPR acute	0.1545
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	<0.0001	EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	<0.0001
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	<0.0001	EM vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.0007
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.3473	10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.8316
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.285	10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.0002
Time period: 30 - 45 minutes		Time period: 75 - 90 minutes	
EM vs. 0.1 % DMSO	0.9995	EM vs. 0.1 % DMSO	>0.9999
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ	<0.0001	EM vs. 10 mM PTZ	<0.0001
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.7738	EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.8247
EM vs. 200 µm TPR acute	0.0171	EM vs. 200 µm TPR acute	0.001
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	<0.0001	EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	<0.0001
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	<0.0001	EM vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.5344
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.3762	10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.0871
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.0148	10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.0002
One-way ANOVA table results			
	DF	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Treatment (between columns)	6	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Residual (within columns)	35	F (6, 35) = 28.91	P<0.0001
Total	41		
One-way ANOVA Tukey's Post-hoc Results			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Adjusted P Value		
EM vs. 0.1 % DMSO	0.998		
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ	<0.0001		
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.9749		
EM vs. 200 µm TPR acute	0.9682		
EM vs. 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	<0.0001		
EM vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.002		
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR - pretreatment	0.0407		
10 mM PTZ vs. 10 mM PTZ / 200 µm TPR acute	0.0013		