## Supplementary Information

Experimental evidence of tetrahedral symmetry breaking in SiO<sub>2</sub> glass under pressure

Kono et al.

## **Supplementary figures**



Supplementary figure 1. Partial structure factors and partial pair distribution functions obtained by the MD-RMC modelling on the experimentally observed structure factors [S(Q)] of SiO<sub>2</sub> glass under pressure. Partial structure factors and partial pair distribution functions of Si-O  $[S_{SiO}(Q)$  (a) and  $g_{SiO}(r)$  (d)], O-O  $[S_{OO}(Q)$  (b) and  $g_{OO}(r)$  (e)], and Si-Si  $(S_{SiSi}(Q)$  (c) and  $g_{SiSi}(r)$  (f)], respectively.



Supplementary figure 2. A simulated result of the influence of the second peak of structure factor [S(Q)] at ~2.9 Å<sup>-1</sup> on the distribution of the parameter *z* in SiO<sub>2</sub> glass. a Orange line shows experimentally observed S(Q) of SiO<sub>2</sub> glass at 5.2 GPa, and two black lines represent S(Q) of two MD-RMC models. Solid black line represents S(Q) of the final MD-RMC model, which reproduces the experimentally observed S(Q) well including the second peak at ~2.9 Å<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, a simulated MD-RMC model shown by broken black line reproduces most of the oscillation features of the experimentally observed S(Q), while it does not reproduce the second peak at ~2.9 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The difference in the second peak of S(Q) at ~2.9 Å<sup>-1</sup> between two MD-RMC models yields marked difference in the distribution of the parameter *z* at 1.6-1.7 Å (**b**).



Supplementary figure 3. Ring size statistics analysis in SiO<sub>2</sub> glass under pressure. a Distributions of ring sizes in SiO<sub>2</sub> glass under pressure, calculated using the shortest-path analysis<sup>1</sup>. This analysis counts the number of atoms in a loop from an atom to the same atom through the shortest-path length without counting a larger number of rings that can be divided into smaller ring size. Multiple counting was avoided by checking the linkage in individual rings. We define an n-membered ring as a ring consisting of n polyhedral and counted up to 13-membered rings. The maximum coordination distance for Si-O correlation is defined as 2.3 Å. We tested influence of the maximum coordination distance for Si-O correlation between 2.2 and 2.4 Å on the ring size distribution, and we observed no marked change in the ring size distribution. The largest fraction of ring size in SiO<sub>2</sub> glass is 6-membered ring, and there is no marked change in the ring size distributions with varying pressure. **b** Mean ring size in SiO<sub>2</sub> glass as a function of pressure. The vertical bars represent error of the mean ring size, which is estimated from the variation of the ring size distribution with varying the maximum coordination distance for Si-O correlation between 2.2 and 2.4 Å. The mean ring size shows subtle change within the errors with increasing pressure. Our observation is consistent with the result of MD simulations<sup>2</sup>, who also reported subtle change in the mean ring size with increasing pressure below 10 GPa.



Supplementary figure 4. Partial structure factors and partial pair distribution functions of SiO<sub>2</sub> glass obtained by MD simulations using the BKS and MSD models at 0 and 5.2 GPa. Partial structure factors and partial pair distribution functions of Si-O [ $S_{sio}(Q)$  (a) and  $g_{sio}(r)$  (d)], O-O [ $S_{oo}(Q)$  (b) and  $g_{oo}(r)$  (e)], and Si-Si ( $S_{sisi}(Q)$  (c) and  $g_{sisi}(r)$  (f)], respectively. g, h Comparisons of structure factors [S(Q)] between experiment and MD simulations at 0 GPa (g) and 5.2 GPa (h).

## **Supplementary References**

- Le Roux, S. & Jund, P. Ring statistics analysis of topological networks: New approach and application to amorphous GeS<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> systems. *Computational Materials Science* 49, 70-83 (2010).
- Zeidler, A. *et al.* High-pressure transformation of SiO<sub>2</sub> glass from a tetrahedral to an octahedral network: A joint approach using neutron diffraction and molecular dynamics. *Physical review letters* 113, 135501 (2014).