Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Supplementary file of:

Novel pH-sensitive and biodegradable micelles for combination delivery of Doxorubicin and Conferone to induce apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line

Akram Rahmani^a, Hassan Zavvar Mousavi^{a,b*}, Roya Salehi^{c,d*}, Ahmad Bagheri^a

^{a.} Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Semnan University, Semnan, Iran.

^{b.} Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Guilan, Rasht, P.O. Box 41335-1914, Rasht, Iran, E-mail-1: <u>hzmousavi@semnan.ac.ir</u> and E-mail-2: <u>hzmousavi@guilan.ac.ir</u>.

- ^c Drug Applied Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, Tabriz, Iran, E-mail: <u>salehiro@tbzmed.ac.ir</u>.
- ^{d.} Department of Medical Nanotechnology, School of Advanced Medical Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.



Figure 1-S: synthesis root of copolymer, Step 1: Synthesis of hydroxy terminated poly maleic anhydride (**P1**: PMA-OH), Step 2: Functionalization of PMA-OH with citric acid (**P2**: CA-g-PMA-OH), Step 3: Post co-polymerization of CA-g-PMA-OH with Lactide and Glycolide (**P**: CA-g-PMA-co-PLGA).

*This figure is the same with Figure 1 in paper but in *.cdx file type.



Figure 2-S: FTIR Spectra of: **P1**: (PMA-OH), **P2**: (CA-g-PMA-OH), **P**: (CA-g-PMA-co-PLGA), **P2D**: (Co-drug loaded copolymeric micelles).



Figure 3-S: NMR spectra of P1: ¹³CNMR spectra, that * are the peaks related to solvent residue and ¹HNMR Spectra of P1, that * are the peaks related to free mercapto ethanole.









Calculation of molar mass of copolymer by ¹HNMR spectra

The molar mass (M_n) of copolymer was determined with ¹HNMR spectra, by integrating the signals pertaining to each monomer using following equation [Jay Wm. Wackerly, J. Chem. Educ]:

$$n_{polymer} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{I_i}{p_i}}{m}$$

 $M_n = n. (monomers molecular mass)$

Where, m is the number of polymer signals that used, I_i and p_i are the integration and number of protons related to *i*th polymer signal.

$$n_{Lactide} = \frac{\frac{55.03}{6} + \frac{18.542}{2}}{2} = 9.22$$

$$n_{Glycolide} = \frac{\frac{31.528}{4}}{1} = 7.882$$

$$n_{MA} = \frac{\frac{2.176}{1}}{1} = 2.176$$

$$n_{CA} = \frac{\frac{0.901}{4}}{1} = 0.225$$

$$n_{ME} = \frac{\frac{1.0596}{2}}{1} = 0.53$$
$$n_{GL end group} = \frac{\frac{2.5951}{2}}{1} = 1.297$$

$$n_{LA end group} = \frac{\frac{1.86}{1}}{1} = 1.86$$

 M_n

 M_n

= $(9.22 \times 144.13) + (7.882 \times 116.07) + (2.176 \times 98.06) + (0.225 \times 210.14) + (0.53 \times 7) + (1.86 \times 144.13) + 1 = 2965.43 g/mol$

= $(9.22 \times 144.13) + (7.882 \times 116.07) + (2.176 \times 98.06) + (0.225 \times 210.14) + (0.53 \times 76.16) + (0.225 \times 210.14) + (0.225 \times 210.14$



Figure 6-S: CHNS elemental analysis: plot of time (min) versus voltage (mV)



Figure 7-S: Copolymer FTIR spectra during degradation test after 1, 10, 16, 20, 30 and 40 day, wavenumber between 1000-1800 cm⁻¹, in PBS with pH value of A) pH = 5.5; B) pH = 7.4.

A			Size (d.n	% Number:	St Dev (d.n
Z-Average (d.nm):	192.6	Peak 1:	112.6	100.0	44.13
Pdl;	0.367	Peak 2:	0.000	0.0	0.000
Intercept:	0.930	Peak 3:	0.000	0.0	0.000
Result quality	Refer to	quality report			



Size Distribution by Intensity



Figure 8-S: DLS results of P, A) number percent versus size (nm); B) Intensity percent versus size (nm); C) Volume percent versus size (nm).

4			Mean (mV)	Area (%)	St Dev (mV)
Zeta Potential (mV):	-29.7	Peak 1:	-29.7	100.0	3.93
Zeta Deviation (mV):	3.93	Peak 2:	0.00	0.0	0.00
Conductivity (mS/cm):	0.00830	Peak 3:	0.00	0.0	0.00
Result quality	Good				



В Mean (mV) Area (%) St Dev (mV) Zeta Potential (mV): -6.57 Peak 1: -6.57 100.0 3.61 Peak 2: 0.00 Zeta Deviation (mV): 3.61 0.0 0.00 Conductivity (mS/cm): 0.216 Peak 3: 0.00 0.0 0.00 **Result quality Good**





Α





Figure 11-S: SEM image of blank micelles (P)

Table 1-S: Percentage of cellular uptake of RB-P and RB-P2D m	celles in 0.5, 1.5, and 3 h into MDA-MB-231 c	ell line using flowcytometery.
---	---	--------------------------------

Cellular uptake %	Time (h)			
	0.5	1.5	3	
Р	33.2	60.5	81.5	
P2D	97.0	99.7	100	



Figure 12-S: Conferone cytotoxicity on MDA-MB-231 cell lines, by MTT method.



Figure 13-S: IC_{50} plot of all formulations, P2D, 2D, PD, Dox, PC and Conf; calculated by prism software (P < 0.0001).



Figure 14-S: Combination Index (CI) plot, for P2D and 2D.

/ .	I	2D		2D
rotal dose (ppm)	Fa	CI	Fa	CI
0.058	0.9	0.605	0.873	20.054
0.117	0.9	1.221	0.891	93.898
0.234	0.65	0.533	0.946	7739.77
0.468	0.37	0.370	0.431	0.156
0.937	0.221	0.393	0.324	0.249
1.875	0.326	1.25	0.341	0.518
3.75	0.284	2.101	0.282	0.909

Table 2-S: Combination index (CI) results for P2D and 2D, in different doses, calculated by CompuSyn software.

Table 3-S: Cell cycle results of formulation on MDA-MB 231 cell line using flowcytometery.

formulations	Cell cycles (%)					
	Sub G ₀	G ₀ /G ₁	S	G₂/M		
P2D	12.84	20.75	23.60	33.63		
2D	15.63	53.88	17.91	11.25		
PD	12.71	10.73	23.82	37.29		
Dox	9.07	7.07	10.09	32.32		
PC	1.29	10.03	8.05	38.93		
Conf	3.61	59.84	18.27	16.40		
Р	3.78	46.31	11.45	29.83		
Control	1.37	55.46	17.67	24.24		

Table 4-S: Results of apoptosis of MDA-MB-231 cell line treated with formulation (P2D, 2D, PD, Dox, PC and Conf) using flowcytometery

Cell %	Viable	Early apoptosis	Late apoptosis	Necrosis
P2D	0.95	1.45	93.9	3.72
2D	12.2	22.6	36.1	29.2
PD	7.17	4.89	74.9	13.0
Dox	51.7	17.9	8.27	22.1
PC	45.4	12.0	37.8	4.77
Conf	81.4	3.97	14.1	0.55
Control	99.5	0.0	0.0	0.50

 Table 5-S: Western blotting results of P2D, fold change of Bax, Bcl2, pro-Casp9, Cleaved-Casp9, pro-Casp3, Cleaved-Casp3, pro-Casp7, Cleaved-Casp7 and P27 proteins related to control (= 1).

Fold change	proteins								
Fold change	Bcl-2	Вах	Pro-casp9	Cl-casp9	Pro-casp3	Cl-casp3	Pro-casp7	Cl-casp7	P27
P2D	0.839	1.7	0.634	1.76	0.89	1.82	0.696	1.033	1.04