## Supplemental Information

Inhibiting Hepl



Supplemental Figure 1: Inhibition kinetic curves for Hepl in the presence of A) Amikacin, B) Neomycin, C) Tobramycin, D) Kanamycin B, or E) Streptomycin.













Supplemental Figure 2: Double reciprocal plots of Hepl kinetics in the presence of tobramycin with varying A-C) ODLA or D-F) ADPH.













Supplemental Figure 3: Double reciprocal plots of HepI kinetics in the presence of streptomycin with varying A-C) ODLA or D-F) ADPH.



Supplemental Figure 4: Double reciprocal plots of HepI kinetics in the presence of ODLA with varying A-C) Kanamycin B or D-F) Neomycin.



Supplemental Figure 5: Inhibition kinetic curves for Hepl mutants A) R60A, B) R61A, C) R63A, D) and K64A in the presence of streptomycin. Hepl inhibition kinetic curves for E) R60A, and F) R120A in the presence of tobramycin.



Supplemental Figure 6: CD melts of Hepl A) apo or in the presence of B) ODLA, C) ODLA/tobramycin, and D) ODLA/streptomycin.



Supplemental Figure 7: Wildtype Hepl unfolding monitored via CD at 222 nm across several temperatures for A) streptomycin with ADPH, B) streptomycin with ODLA, C) tobramycin with ADPH and D) tobramycin with ODLA.





Supplemental Figure 8: Hepl unfolding monitored via CD at 222 nm across several temperatures for A) tobramycin with products and B) streptomycin with products.



Supplemental Figure 9: Hepl unfolding monitored via CD at 222 nm across several temperatures for **A**) wildtype and D13A with substrates, **B**) D13A with substrates and tobramycin, **C**) D13A with substrates and tobramycin and **D**) D13A with substrates and tobramycin.





Supplemental Figure 10: Hepl tryptophan fluorescence emission spectra for A) substrates, B) with tobramycin, C) with streptomycin, and B)  $\lambda_{max}$  in the presence/absence of substrates, products and inhibitors.

C)



Supplemental Figure 11: (**A**) Backbone RMSD, (**B**)  $C_{\alpha}$  RMSF and (**C**)  $C_{\alpha} \Delta$ RMSF of HepI substrate complex (green), in the presence of Streptomycin (red) or Tobramycin (blue) and HepI binary complex in the presence of Tobramycin(black). Secondary structure of residues are indicated by color (red: $\alpha$ -helix, yellow: $\beta$ -sheet, black:random coil) below their respective positions.



Supplemental Figure 12: (**A**) Backbone RMSD, (**B**)  $C_{\alpha}$  RMSF and (**C**)  $C_{\alpha} \Delta RMSF$  of Hepl apo (purple), substrates (green), in the presence of Streptomycin (red) or Tobramycin (blue). Secondary structure of residues are indicated by color (red: $\alpha$ -helix, yellow: $\beta$ -sheet, black:random coil) below their respective positions.



Supplemental Figure 13: (A) Backbone RMSD, (B)  $C_{\alpha}$  RMSF and (C)  $C_{\alpha} \Delta RMSF$  of HepI (WT) with substrates (green), in the presence of Tobramycin (blue), HepI (Arg60A) with substrates in the presence of Tobramycin (red), HepI (Arg120A) with substrates in the presence of Tobramycin (black). Secondary structure of residues are indicated by color (red: $\alpha$ -helix, yellow: $\beta$ -sheet, black:random coil) below their respective positions.



Supplemental Figure 14: (**A**) Backbone RMSD, (**B**)  $C_{\alpha}$  RMSF and (**C**)  $C_{\alpha} \Delta RMSF$  of HepI (WT) with substrates (green), in the presence of Streptomycin (red), HepI (Arg60A) with substrates in the presence of Streptomycin (orange), HepI (Arg61A) with substrates in the presence of Streptomycin (blue), HepI (Arg63A) with substrates in the presence of Streptomycin (cyan), and HepI (Lys64A) with substrates in the presence of Streptomycin (purple). Secondary structure of residues are indicated by color (red: $\alpha$ -helix, yellow: $\beta$ -sheet, black:random coil) below their respective positions.



Supplemental Figure 15: (A) Model of interactions between ADPHep/FDLA with Streptomycin and (B) model of interactions between ADPHep/FDLA with Tobramycin and C) average distance of these interactions across three independent trajectories.



ADPHep	Tobramycin	Average Distance (Å)	
O1B (-PO-)	N4 (-NH3+)	3.3 ± 0.3	
01B (-PO-)	N3(-NH3+)	8.8 ± 0.4	
O3(-OH)	O4'(-OH)	$2.8 \pm 0.1$	

Supplemental Figure 16: (A) Representative model and (B) table of interactions between ADPHep with Tobramycin across three independent trajectories.

B)

A)





Supplemental Figure 17: (A) 3D and (B) 2D Structural superposition of Neomycin on fully deacylated donor substrate that neomycin acts as competitive inhibitor towards. Dotted lines show which sugars align with another.

B)



Supplemental Figure 18: (**A**) Tobramycin (binary) Ligand Interaction Diagram (**B**) Tobramycin (ternary) Ligand Interaction Diagram (**C**) Residues with minimum distance <3.5 Å from Tobramycin (binary) and (**D**) Residues with minimum distance <3.5 Å from Tobramycin (ternary)



Supplemental Figure 19: E. coli K12 growth patterns with varying concentrations of (A) streptomycin and (B) tobramycin over 18 hrs



Lanes 1: Heptosylated Lipid A 2: Lipid A 3: 0 ng/mL TOB 4: 4 ng/mL TOB 5: 4.5 ng/mL TOB 6: 5 ng/mL TOB 7: 5.5 ng/mL TOB 8: 6 ng/mL TOB 9: 6.5 ng/mL TOB 10: 7 ng/mL TOB 11: 7.5 ng/mL TOB 12: 8 ng/mL TOB 13: 9 ng/mL TOB 14: : Heptosylated Lipid A



Lanes 1: Heptosylated Lipid A 2: Lipid A 3: 0 ng/mL STR 4: 12 ng/mL STR 5: 15 ng/mL STR 6: 17.5 ng/mL STR 7: 20 ng/mL STR 8: 22.5 ng/mL STR 10: 27.5 ng/mL STR 11: 30 ng/mL STR 12: 32.5 ng/mL STR 13: 35 ng/mL STR 14: : Heptosylated Lipid A

Supplemental Figure 20: 6%/16% polyacrylamide gels silver stained, with total lipid extracts from *E. coli* K12 grown at various concentrations of (A) tobramycin and (B) streptomycin.



Supplemental Figure 21: RMSF for HepI (A) apo, (B) substrates ternary complex, (C) substrate ternary complex with tobramycin and (D) substrate ternary complex with Streptomycin mapped onto the structures and colored from least dynamic (blue) to most dynamic (red) with a cutoff of 3.0

Simulation	PDB Code	Mutation	ADP-Hep	FDLA	ADP	FDHLA	Tob	Strep
Hepl	2GT1	WT				N/A		
ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	WT	Added Present N/A					
ADP•FDHLA•HepI	6DFE	WT	N/	A	Added	Modified	N	/A
Tob•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	WT	Added	Present	1	N/A	Docked	N/A
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	WT	Added	Present	٦	N/A	N/A	Docked
Tob•ADP-Hep•HepI	2H1H	WT	Modified	N/A	1	N/A	Docked	N/A
Tob•ADP•FDHLA•HepI	6DFE	WT	N/	A	Added	Modified	Docked	N/A
Strep•ADP•FDHLA•HepI	6DFE	WT	N/	A	Added	Modified	N/A	Docked
Tob•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	Arg60Ala	Added	Present	1	N/A	Docked	N/A
Tob•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	Arg120Ala	Added	Present	1	N/A	Docked	N/A
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	Arg60Ala	Added	Present	1	N/A	N/A	Docked
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	Arg61Ala	Added	Present	1	N/A	N/A	Docked
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	Arg63Ala	Added	Present	1	N/A	N/A	Docked
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	6DFE	Lys64Ala	Added	Present	1	N/A	N/A	Docked

Supplemental Table 1: The simulations performed in this study including PDB codes of crystal structures that were used and whether ligands were present in the original structure, added into position based on information from another crystal structure, modified atoms to mimic the native ligands, docked or if any mutations were introduced into the structure.

Simulation	Mutation	RMSD (Å)	R <sub>gyr</sub> (Å)	Interdomain	RMSF
				Distance (Å)	(Residues >1.5 Å)
Hepl	WT	1.76 ± 0.45	21.32 ± 0.24	30.26 ± 0.67	29, 60, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 157, 158, 171, 175, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 208, 210, 218, 219, 220, 221, 232, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323
ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	WT	1.84 ± 0.23	21.13 ± 0.16	29.74 ± 0.43	64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 70, 208, 210, 281, 282, 283, 301, 302, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325
ADP•FDHLA•HepI	WT	1.62 ± 0.26	21.09 ± 0.16	28.24 ± 0.38	60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 84, 137, 289, 317, 318, 319, 320
Tob•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	WT	1.88 ± 0.30	21.23 ± 0.12	30.05 ± 0.37	63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 187, 207, 209, 231, 280, 284, 285, 286, 300, 301, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	WT	1.84 ± 0.25	21.28 ± 0.16	30.28 ± 0.47	62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 72, 135, 136, 207, 280, 285, 286, 287, 288, 300, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324
Tob∙ADP-Hep∙HepI	WT	1.65 ± 0.30	21.26 ± 0.19	29.53 ± 0.56	59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 72, 83, 84, 85, 104, 134, 135, 136, 137, 156, 157, 158, 207, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 300, 317, 318, 319
Tob•ADP•FDHLA•HepI	WT	1.59 ± 0.33	21.32 ± 0.9	28.76 ± 0.51	61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 77, 84, 136, 137, 156, 157, 158, 286, 291, 292, 318, 319, 320
Strep•ADP•FDHLA•HepI	WT	1.62 ± 0.30	21.16 ± 0.14	28.29 ± 0.34	63 , 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 318, 319 ,320
Tob•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	Arg60Ala	1.90 ± 0.28	21.38 ± 0.18	30.45 ± 0.52	28, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 134, 135, 136, 137, 154, 157, 207, 219, 220, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 300, 301, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324
Tob•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	Arg120Ala	1.83 ± 0.33	21.29 ± 0.19	30.19 ± 0.53	59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 83, 136, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 299, 300, 304, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	Arg60Ala	1.93 ± 0.23	21.17 ± 0.16	29.93 ± 0.50	59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 207, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	Arg61Ala	1.88 ± 0.34	21.21 ± 0.14	30.10 ± 0.38	63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 79, 83, 157, 187, 189, 207, 280, 281, 288, 299, 300, 301, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	Arg63Ala	1.76 ± 0.22	21.26 ± 0.16	30.15 ± 0.37	62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 75, 83, 157, 207, 280, 281, 284, 288, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324
Strep•ADP-Hep•FDLA•HepI	Lys64Ala	$1.61 \pm 0.19$	21.12 ± 0.12	29.80 ± 0.36	63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 207, 231, 300, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324

Supplemental Table 2: Average values of RMSD, and radius of gyration, interdomain center of mass distance. RMSF values are reported for residues that have a greater than 1.5 Å fluctuation.

Inhibitor	Mutation	ΔG <sub>Exp</sub> (kcal/mol)	ΔG <sub>Calc</sub> (kcal/mol)	ΔΔG <sub>Exp</sub> (kcal/mol)	∆∆G <sub>Calc</sub> (kcal/mol)	Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R)
Tobramycin	WT	-8.1±0.1	-10.5 ± 5.7			
	Arg60Ala	-7.5 ±0.1	-8.1 ± 2.3	0.7 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 6.2	
	Arg120Ala	-8.7±0.2	-13.8 ± 3.5	-0.6±0.2	-3.3 ± 6.7	
Streptomycin	WT	-8.5±0.1	-13.1 ± 5.3			0.07
	Arg60Ala	-7.1±0.4	-9.9±3.1	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	3.2 ± 6.1	0.87
	Arg61Ala	-7.0±0.2	-10.9 ± 2.1	1.5 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 5.7	
	Arg63Ala	-7.1±0.1	-8.5 ± 1.5	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	4.6 ± 5.5	
	Lys64Ala	-7.1±0.1	-6.8 ± 7.6	1.3 ± 0.1	6.3 ± 9.2	

Supplemental Table 3: (A) Table of binding energies of Streptomycin/Tobramycin to HepI both wildtype and Arg/Lys mutants with correlation values.

[Tobramycin] ng/mL	Relative pixel density from cps band	[Streptomycin] ng/mL	Relative pixel density from cps band
0	1	0	1
4	1.752	12.5	1.019
4.5	4.293	15	1.115
5	7.121	17.5	1.105
5.5	5.786	20	1.323
6	3.692	22.5	1.458
6.5	2.319	25	1.335
7	1.163	27.5	1.687
7.5	1.421	30	1.477
8	1.641	32.5	1.070
9	1.606	35	0.654

Supplemental Table 4: Concentrations of inhibitors tobramycin and streptomycin with the associated pixel density from the capsular polysaccharide band extracted from total lipid extract and resolved using gel electrophoresis.