

PRISMA Checklist

Section and Topic	ltem #	Checklist item					
TITLE	-						
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.					
ABSTRACT	-						
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	2-3				
INTRODUCTION	-						
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.					
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	8				
METHODS	-						
Eligibility criteria			9				
Information sources	6 Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify that when each source was last searched or consulted.		9				
Search strategy	7						
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.					
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.					
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	10-11				
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	10-11				
Study risk of bias assessment	11						
Effect measures	12						
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	n/a				
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	n/a				
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	11				
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	n/a				
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	n/a				
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	n/a				



PRISMA and PRISMA-S 2020 Checklists

Section and Topic	d Item # Checklist item		Location where item is reported				
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).					
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.					
RESULTS							
Study selection	16a	the review, ideally using a flow diagram.					
	16b	b Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded. r					
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.					
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.					
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.					
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	9				
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	n/a				
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	n/a				
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	n/a				
Reporting biases	21						
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.					
DISCUSSION							
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	22-27				
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	27-28				
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	27-28				
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	28-29				
OTHER INFORMA	TION						
Registration and	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	8				
protocol	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	8				
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	n/a				
Support	25						
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.					
Availability of data, code and	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.					



Section and Topic	ltem #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
other materials			

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71 For more information, visit: <u>http://www.prisma-statement.org/</u>



PRISMA-S Checklist

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Location(s) Reported
INFORMATION SOUR	CES AND	METHODS	_
Database name	1	Name each individual database searched, stating the platform for each.	р. 9
Multi-database searching	2	If databases were searched simultaneously on a single platform, state the name of the platform, listing all of the databases searched.	n.a.
Study registries	3	List any study registries searched.	n.a.
Online resources and browsing	4	Describe any online or print source purposefully searched or browsed (e.g., tables of contents, print conference proceedings, web sites), and how this was done.	р. 9
Citation searching	5	Indicate whether cited references or citing references were examined, and describe any methods used for locating cited/citing references (e.g., browsing reference lists, using a citation index, setting up email alerts for references citing included studies).	p. 9
Contacts	6	Indicate whether additional studies or data were sought by contacting authors, experts, manufacturers, or others.	р. 9
Other methods	7	Describe any additional information sources or search methods used.	р. 9
SEARCH STRATEGIES			
Full search strategies	8	Include the search strategies for each database and information source, copied and pasted exactly as run.	рр. 9-10
Limits and restrictions	9	Specify that no limits were used, or describe any limits or restrictions applied to a search (e.g., date or time period, language, study design) and provide justification for their use.	pp. 10-11
Search filters	10	Indicate whether published search filters were used (as originally designed or modified), and if so, cite the filter(s) used.	n.a.
Prior work	11	Indicate when search strategies from other literature reviews were adapted or reused for a substantive part or all of the search, citing the previous review(s).	р. 9
Updates	12	Report the methods used to update the search(es) (e.g., rerunning searches, email alerts).	n.a.
Dates of searches	13	For each search strategy, provide the date when the last search occurred.	р. 9
PEER REVIEW			
Peer review	14	Describe any search peer review process.	р. 9



MANAGING RECORDS					
		Document the total number of records identified from each database and other			
Total Records	15	information sources.	S1 ERA p. 5		
		Describe the processes and any software used to deduplicate records from multiple			
Deduplication	16	database searches and other information sources.	S1 ERA p. 5		

PRISMA-S: An Extension to the PRISMA Statement for Reporting Literature Searches in Systematic Reviews Rethlefsen ML, Kirtley S, Waffenschmidt S, Ayala AP, Moher D, Page MJ, Koffel JB, PRISMA-S Group. Last updated February 27, 2020.