Supplementary Materials

Contents

List of Supplementary Tables:

1. Table S1 MRI Acquisition Parameters.

2. Table S2 The correlationship between selected features in T2WI-AP radiomics model in VOlinterface and tumor margin.

3. Table S3 The comparison of AUCs between training and validation cohort of T2WI-AP model based on VOI_{interface} in four classification algorithms using Delong test.

4. Table S4 The comparison of AUCs between T2WI-AP model based on VOI_{interface} in four classification algorithms using Delong test.

5. Table S5 Results of best-sequence combinations using four algorithms.

6. Table S6 Mean dice coefficients of segmentation.

List of Supplementary Figure Legends:

1. Figure S1 The heatmap of AUCs in single-sequence radiomics model based on different regions using Adaboost (AB) or Random Forest (RF) algorithm.

2. Figure S2 The heatmap of AUCs in single-sequence radiomics model based on different regions using Logistic Regression (LR) or Support Vector Classifier (SVC) algorithm.

Supplementary Tables

Sequence	Matrix	FOV	ST	Slice	TR	TE	Flip angle
		(mm²)	(mm)	gap	(msec)	(msec)	(degrees)
				(mm)			
T2WI with fat	168×320	21x38	5	1	2300	79	140
suppression							
DWI (b=50, 800	78×128	21x38	5	1	6000	73	-
sec/mm ²)							
In-phase and	192×256	21x38	5	1	133	1.23	70
opposed-phase						and	
T1WI						2.46	
VIBE-T1WI	182×320	25x38	3	0.6	3.9	1.4	9

Table S1 MRI Acquisition Parameters.

Contrast agent: gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance; Bracco), at a dose of 0.2ml/kg and at a rate of 2mL/sec followed by a 20mL saline flush. T2WI, T2-weighted imaging; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; T1WI, T1-weighted imaging; VIBE, volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination; FOV, field of view; ST, section thickness; TR, repetition time; TE, echo time.

Table S2 The correlationship between selected features in T2WI-AP radiomics

		_
Feature	ρ	p
AP_glcm_IV	0.056	0.554
AP_glszm_SZNUN	0.024	0.803
AP_glszm_ZV	0.083	0.380
T2WI_glcm_MP	-0.315	0.001
T2WI_gldm_DV	-0.013	0.894
T2WI_gldm_LDLGLE	-0.305	0.001
T2WI_gldm_SDLGLE	-0.288	0.002

model in VOI_{interface} and tumor margin.

Abbreviation: AP, arterial phase; T2WI, T2-weighted imaging; IV, InverseVariance; SZNUN,

SizeZoneNonUniformityNormalized; ZV, ZoneVariance; MP, Maximum-Probability; DV, Dependence-Variance; LDLGLE, LargeDependenceLowGray-LevelEmphasis; SDLGLE, SmallDependenceLowGrayLevelEmphasis.

Table S3 The comparison of AUCs between training and validation cohort of T2WI-AP model based on VOI_{interface} in four classification algorithms using Delong test.

Algorithms	Cohort	AUCs	p
AB	Train vs. Validation	1.000 vs. 0.763	0.007
LR	Train vs. Validation	0.917 vs. 0.711	0.034
RF	Train vs. Validation	1.000 vs. 0.747	0.008
SVC	Train vs. Validation	0.866 vs.0.855	0.751

Abbreviation: AB, Adaboost; LR, Logistic Regression; RF, Random Forest; SVC, Support Vector Classifier; AUC, area under the curve; T2WI, T2-weighted imaging; AP, Arterial phase.

Table S4 The comparison of AUCs between T2WI-AP model based on VOI_{interface} in

four classification algorithms using Delong test.

Algorithms	Cohort	AUCs	р
	Train	0.866 vs. 1.000	<0.001
3VC VS. AD	Validation	Cohort AUCs AUCs Train 0.866 vs. 1.000 <0.	0.602
SVC ve I P	Train	0.866 vs. 0.917	0.077
300 VS. LK	Validation 0.855 vs. 0.711	0.045	
SVC ve DE	Train	0.866 vs. 1.000	<0.001
3VC VS. NI	Validation	Cohort AUCs AUCs Train 0.866 vs. 1.000 <0.	0.53
	Train	0.917 vs. 1.000	0.004
LN VS. AD	Validation	0.711 vs. 0.763	0.572
I Dive DE	Train	0.917 vs. 1.000	0.004
	- Validation 0.711 vs. 0.7	0.711 vs. 0.747	0.743

AB vs. RF	Train	1.000 vs. 1.000	1.000			
	Validation	0.763 vs. 0.747	0.821			
Abbreviation: AB, Adaboost; LR, Logistic Regression; RF, Random Forest; SVC, Support						
Vector Classifier; AUC, area under the curve; T2WI, T2-weighted imaging; AP, Arterial						

phase.

VOIperiphery

 $VOI_{whole+periphery} \\$

VOIinterface

T2WI + AP

T2WI + HBP

T2WI + AP

	-			
VOIs	Best-sequence combination	Classifier	AUC (TC/VC)	ACC (TC/VC)
VOI _{whole}		LR	0.883/0.845	0.838/0.743
		SVC	0.891/0.770	0.838/0.686
		AB	0.991/0.786	0.963/0.686
		RF	1.000/0.711	1.000/0.686

LR

AB

RF

LR

SVC

AB

RF

LR

SVC

SVC

0.859/0.720

0.841/0.803

1.000/0.697

1.000/0.628

0.799/0.799

0.694/0.813

0.965/0.605

0.998/0.831

0.917/0.711

0.866/0.855

0.800/0.657

0.775/0.800

1.000/0.629

1.000/0.629

0.750/0.800

0.700/0.714

0.900/0.571

0.975/0.743

0.850/0.600

0.863/0.800

Table S5 Results	s of best-seq	uence combinations	using	four algorithms

 AB
 1.000/0.763
 1.000/0.686

 RF
 1.000/0.747
 1.000/0.686

 Abbreviation: AB, Adaboost; LR, Logistic Regression; RF, Random Forest; SVC, Support

 Vector Classifier; AUC, area under the curve; T2WI, T2-weighted imaging; AP, Arterial

phase; HBP, Hepatobiliary phase; TC, Training cohort; VC, Validation cohort.

				9		
VOI	T2WI	T1WI	AP	PVP	DP	HBP
VOI _{whole}	0.944	0.947	0.957	0.950	0.944	0.959
VOIperiphery	0.746	0.769	0.791	0.767	0.764	0.812
VOI _{whole+periphery}	0.940	0.943	0.953	0.941	0.941	0.961
VOIInterface	0.837	0.835	0.857	0.847	0.830	0.861

Table S6 Mean dice coefficients of segmentation.

Abbreviation: T2WI, T2-weighted imaging; T1WI, T1-weighted imaging; AP, Arterial phase; PVP, Portal venous phase; DP, Delayed phase; HBP, Hepatobiliary phase.

Figure S1 The heatmap of AUCs in single-sequence radiomics model based on different regions using Adaboost (AB) or Random Forest (RF) algorithm.



Abbreviation: AP, arterial phase; IV, InverseVariance; SZNUN,

SizeZoneNonUniformityNormalized; ZV, ZoneVariance; MP, MaximumProbability; DV, Dependence-Variance; LDLGLE, LargeDependenceLowGrayLevelEmphasis; SDLGLE, SmallDependenceLow-GrayLevelEmphasis.

Figure S2 The heatmap of AUCs in single-sequence radiomics model based on different regions using Logistic Regression (LR) or Support Vector Classifier (SVC) algorithm.



Abbreviation: AP, arterial phase; IV, InverseVariance; SZNUN,

SizeZoneNonUniformityNormalized; ZV, ZoneVariance; MP, MaximumProbability; DV,

DependenceVariance; LDLGLE, LargeDependenceLowGrayLevelEmphasis; SDLGLE, SmallDependenceLow-GrayLevelEmphasis.