

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

### Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetes in African countries - a systematic review

| Journal:                         | BMJ Open   |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID                    | bmjopen-2021-050021  |
| Article Type:                    | Original research  |
| Date Submitted by the<br>Author: | 28-Aug-2021  |
| Complete List of Authors:        | Sandholzer-Yilmaz, Angelika; Martin Luther University of Halle<br>Wittenberg Faculty of Medicine, Institute of General Practice and Family<br>Medicine; University of Göttingen, Department of Haematology and<br>Oncology,<br>Kroeber, Eric; Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg Medizinische<br>Fakultät, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine<br>Ayele, Wondimu; Addis Ababa University, Department of Preventive<br>Medicine<br>Frese, T; University of Halle-Wittenberg,, Institute of General Pracitce &<br>Family Medicine of the Medical Faculty<br>Kantelhardt, Eva; Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenber, Institute for<br>Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics<br>Unverzagt, Susanne; Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine,<br>Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg; Department of General<br>Practice, University Leipzig |
| Keywords:                        | Diabetes in pregnancy < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, Diabetes & endocrinology < INTERNAL MEDICINE, General diabetes < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY  |
|                                  | ·  |

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

### Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetes

### in African countries - a systematic review

Sandholzer-Yilmaz AS, Kroeber ES, Ayele W, Frese T, Kantelhardt EJ, Unverzagt S

Mrs. Angelika Sabine Sandholzer-Yilmaz: University Medical Center Göttingen, Department of Haematology and Oncology, George-August-Univerity Göttingen, Robert-Koch-Straße 40, 37075 Göttingen, Germany. Email: angelika.sandholzer@med.uni-goettingen.de

Dr. Eric Sven Kroeber: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: eric.kroeber@posteo.de

Dr. Wondimu Ayele: School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University, P.O. Box: 9086, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Email: wondaay@gmail.com

Prof. Dr. Thomas Frese: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: thomas.frese@uk-halle.de

Dr. Eva Johanna Kantelhardt: Center of Health Sciences, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: eva.kantelhardt@uk-halle.de

PD. Dr. Susanne Unverzagt: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: susanne.unverzagt@uk-halle.de

Total word count: 5915

### **Corresponding author:**

Mrs. Angelika Sabine Sandholzer-Yilmaz: University Medical Center Göttingen, Department of Haematology and Oncology, George-August-Univerity Göttingen, Robert-Koch-Straße 40, 37075 Göttingen, Germany. Email: angelika.sandholzer@med.uni-goettingen.de

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives** The epidemiological transition from infectious to chronic diseases leads to novel challenges in African health systems. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing dramatically. Undiagnosed and undertreated DM leads to numerous complications including end-organ damage and death. Our objectives were to collect the best locally generated evidence on DM interventions, identify knowledge gaps, and determine underexplored research areas.

Design A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

**Participants and setting** African patients in primary, secondary and tertiary prevention, diagnosis and treatment DM type 1 (DM1), type 2 (DM2) and gestational DM (GDM).

**Outcome** All-cause mortality, glycemic control, complications, quality of life, hospital admission, treatment adherence and costs.

**Data sources** Articles published in MEDLINE Ovid, CENTRAL, CINAHL, African Journals Online and African Index Medicus and the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform in English language without time restrictions.

**Results** Out of 3736 identified publications, we included 60 eligible studies conducted in 15 countries 75 % were conducted in urban health care settings, including 10,112 participants. We included eight studies on DM1, six on GDM, two on pre-DM, 37 on mainly DM2 including seven on DM related complications. The design of the studied intervention was heterogeneous with a focus on educational strategies. The other studies investigated the efficacy of nutritional strategies including food supplementations, pharmacological strategies and strategies to enhance physical activities. Seven studies included interventions on DM-related complications.

**Conclusions**: Research activities increased in recent years, but available evidence is still not representative for all African countries and rural areas. We detected a lack of evidence in primary health care and locally implemented pharmacological interventions. The identified studies offer a variety of effective approaches as a basis for local guidelines in the different fields of action in DM care adjusted to regional circumstances.

### PROSPERO registration number: CRD42019122785.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, Africa, systematic review, randomized-controlled trial

### Strengths and limitations of this systematic review

- This systematic review includes studies at the highest level of evidence to provide an overview of the best available interventions to prevent, diagnose and treat DM in the African context.
- Inclusion criteria are restricted to randomized controlled trials conducted in African countries published in English language with no restrictions on time of publication.
- We performed a systematic search in four international databases and updated the search in October 2020.
- The main aim of our systematic review is to provide an overview of interventions for DM. Meta-analyses are restricted to regularly reported results on HbA1c as strong clinical outcome indicator of an efficient DM management.
- Limited external validity due to the origin from few countries and urban areas, results concentrate on glycemic control due to short follow-up periods.



### INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) and other non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are responsible for a double burden in African countries due to the epidemiological transition from communicable to non-communicable diseases and resulting disabilities and deaths (1-3). In Africa, around 19.4 million adults are living with DM. Prevalence rates range from 4.7 % in Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) to 12.2 % in the Middle East and North Africa region (4). Due to the increasing prevalence of risk factors such as obesity and westernized lifestyle, the prevalence of DM is expected to increase by 96 % in SSA until 2045 (4). Currently, about 50 to 60 % of adults living with DM in African countries are undiagnosed (4, 5). Low awareness as well as genetic differences and lifestyle habits result in very heterogeneous prevalence rates of DM between different countries in Africa as well as rural and urban regions (6, 7). Undiagnosed and undertreated DM can result in organ damage, and lead to complications like cardiovascular diseases, peripheral neuropathy, retinopathy and diabetic foot (7, 8). Moreover, these factors attribute to substantial financial costs for households and governments (9). Recently, almost one fifth of COVID-19 deaths in African countries occurred among DM patients (10).

The United Nations 2030 Agenda aims to reduce the burden of premature mortality from NCD including DM through improvement in prevention and treatment (11). Measures on DM include early detection in primary health-care settings, lifestyle modifications including diet, physical activity and, if necessary, medication. Since DM patients need regular specialist assessment, a functioning referral system is necessary (12). These general management strategies have to be adjusted to local contexts in African countries including environmental, cultural and social aspects like the relatively young age of patients, co-infections, long distances to health-care facilities, traditional beliefs, decision making in the families and socioeconomic status. Furthermore, there is a huge genetic diversity on the African continent (13, 14).

The purpose of this review was to collect the best locally generated evidence, regarding preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic intervention on DM, as the lack of evidence is one of the major challenges to prevent and control DM in African countries. Therefore, we aimed to address existing knowledge gaps and identify unexplored research areas in the African context. This may support the formulation of local evidence-based strategies to systematically strengthen clinical and preventive capacities of healthcare systems in African countries.

### METHODS

We prospectively registered a protocol of this systematic review in the PROSPERO International Prospective Register of systematic reviews (CRD42019122785). This systematic review follows the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (15) and the methods described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (16).

### Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

This systematic review includes studies conducted in African countries on the efficacy of interventions for prevention, diagnosis and treatment of patients with DM including prediabetes, type 1 (DM1), type 2 (DM2) and gestational DM (GDM). Primary outcome was defined to be all-cause mortality. Secondary outcomes included glycemic control (HbA1c, fasting serum or plasma glucose, insulin resistance, oral glucose tolerance test), quality of life, treatment adherence, hospital admissions, complications of DM and resulting costs (see Table 1 for detailed inclusion criteria).

We included full-text publications on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (e.g. individual RCTs, cluster-RCTs and randomized cross-over trials) according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) (17) published in English language. We excluded international multicenter studies with less than 50 % of sites in African countries to ensure that the study location was in Africa.

| Design and setting | RCTs, mainly conducted in African countries (at least 50 % African countries in international   |
|--------------------|---|
|                    | studies)  |
| Population         | <ul> <li>African patients in primary, secondary or tertiary prevention with a clinical diagnosis of</li> <li>Prediabetes</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus type 1 (DM1, due to autoimmune β-cell destruction)</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2, due to a progressive loss of adequate β-cell insulin secretion)</li> <li>Gestational diabetes (diagnosed in the second or third trimester of pregnancy that was not clearly overt diabetes prior to gestation)</li> <li>As described by the authors</li> </ul> |
| Interventions      | All interventions to of prevent, diagnose and treat diabetes  |
| Comparison         | Placebo or standard care<br>Another intervention or the same intervention with a different dose or timing   |
| Outcome            | <ul> <li><u>Primary:</u> all-cause mortality</li> <li><u>Secondary:</u> <ul> <li>glucose control (HbA1c, oral glucose tolerance test, insulin resistance, fasting serum or blood glucose)</li> <li>complications             <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>  |
| Publications       | Full-text publications according to CONSORT   |

 CONSORT: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials; DM: Diabetes mellitus; DM1: Type 1 diabetes; DM2: Type 2 diabetes; GDM: Gestational diabetes; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; RCT: randomized controlled trial

### Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

### Systematic search

We performed a systematic search in electronic bibliographic databases (MEDLINE Ovid, CENTRAL, International Clinical Trials Registry Platform of the WHO) as planned in the protocol and added a search in CINAHL and regional electronic databases (African Journals Online and African Index Medicus) (see Online Supplemental File 1 material). All searches were performed without time constrictions. The last search was conducted in October 2020. Search strings were based on Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and terms on DM, Africa, a list of all 54 African countries and terms related to RCTs. All references retrieved from the literature search were exported into a reference manager software (EndNote) (18). Duplicate references were identified in case of congruence of authors, title, year and journal and thusly deleted. The search strategy is avaible in the supplementary file.

### Study selection and data extraction.

Two authors independently checked titles and abstracts based on the inclusion criteria (Table 1). The full texts of all potentially eligible papers were assessed for final inclusion. All disagreements were resolved by discussion until consensus was obtained (15). All reported information on the following were extracted and checked by another author:

- publications, registration and design,
- time and place (country, urban/ rural setting and health care setting)
- study population (inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size and baseline characteristics on age, gender, type and length of DM, body mass index (BMI) and gylcemic control at baseline)
- intervention and control groups with the number of randomized participants per group and duration of the interventions
- outcomes (classified into primary, secondary, non-specified) and
- results on pre-planned outcomes within the longest follow up period with intervention effects with their 95% confidence intervals (CI) and level of significance.

The study names were defined by the surname of the first author and the year of the first full-text publication of the results. We compared study and patient characteristics across studies to ensure that each included study represents a unique publication of study data. In cross-over RCTs, only data from the first period were used (19).

### Quality assessment and risk of bias

Risk of bias was judged based on seven specific categories (sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants/personnel, blinding of outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting and other sources of bias) of the Cochrane risk of bias tool as 'low', 'high' or 'unclear' (16). Judgments were done by two of the authors and all discrepancies were resolved by discussion.

Judgments on blinding and incomplete outcome data were based on the primary outcome of included studies. Selective outcome reporting was defined as low when the study protocol with pre-defined primary and secondary outcomes was available and high when any result of pre-planned outcomes was missing. Incomplete outcome data was judged as high when more than 10% of randomized participants dropped out from analyses. Other sources of bias were judged as high risk of bias including missing reporting of sample size calculation, no description of a primary endpoint, and relevant differences of main baseline characteristics between intervention and control groups (16).

### Data synthesis

The results of all pre-defined outcomes were described. Effect sizes on HbA1c for the longest follow-up period were visualized in forest plots using RevMan (20). Negative mean differences (MDs) describe lower HbA1c in the intervention compared to the control group. Statistically significant results on HbA1c with MDs over 0.25 % for HbA1c were considered clinically relevant. Heterogeneity was interpreted based on the l<sup>2</sup> statistics as not important (l<sup>2</sup> < 30 %), moderate (30-60 %) and substantial (l<sup>2</sup>> 60 %) (16).

### Patient and Public Involvement

There is no patient involved

### RESULTS

A total of 2865 references were identified from electronic databases and 871 additional trials from the Clinical Trials Registry Platform were checked. We evaluated 185 potentially eligible full-text publications and included 60 eligible studies in 68 publications in this review (Figure 1 and Supplementary file).





### Setting

In total 60 studies, which were conducted in 64 study centers of 15 African countries; North Africa (33 studies from four countries), West Africa (10 studies from three countries), East Africa (seven studies from 7 countries), Central Africa (three studies from two countries) and Southern Africa (11 studies only from South Africa) were included. Two studies (Malek 2015 and Chraibi 2017) were conducted in more than one African country and partially conducted in non-African countries. Chraibi (2017) was conducted in Egypt, Morocco, South Arabia and Vietnam. Malek (2015) included four study centers in Alergia, Tunesia, Egypt and South Africa. Those additional study centers are presented in brackets behind the country names in Figure 2. Egypt, South Africa and Nigeria are the three study centers included most often in this review (Figure 2 and Table 2 available in the supplement).



## Figure 2: Geographical regions, countries, and type of DM of the included studies

Seventy-five percent of the studies (45/60) were set in urban areas, 5 % (3/60) were in rural areas only. The setting of the remaining 20 % (12/60 studies) was mixed or remained unclear. The majority, 83 % (50/60) of the studies, were conducted in secondary and tertiary health care centers, while 17 % (10/60) took place in primary care settings.

Though the search had no time restrictions, the oldest eligible study (Anderson 2001) was published in 2001. More than 60 % of the studies were published since 2015, and 22 % of them had been published in 2019 or 2020 (see Table 2 available in the supplement).

### Design

Fifty parallel-group studies randomized individual participants with DM. Six clusterrandomized studies (Fairall 2016, Labhardt 2011, Mash 2014, Steyn 2013, Utz 2018, Webb 2015) randomly assigned health care facilities to intervention and control groups. In three randomized cross-over studies (Abdulrhman 2013, Krawinkel 2018, van der Hoogt 2017) each participant received different interventions in a random sequence, and in one study (Ghoneim 2013) each patient received two different treatment doses for each eye based on a random allocation of eyes and doses.

Page 11 of 155

### Interventions for patients with pre-DM

Two studies randomized a total of 112 overweight or obese patients (BMI 25–35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with pre-DM (HbA1c 5.7-7.5 %) and a mean age of 32.9 and 47.5 years (see Table 2: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with pre-DM availble in the supplement). These studies stated the efficacy regarding glycemic control of low and high volume, high-intensity interval training strategies (RezkAllah 2019), and the consumption of bitter gourd to improve glucose control (Krawinkel 2018).

### Interventions for patients with DM1

A total of 8 studies were conducted including 595 patients diagnosed with DM1 (Abdulrhman 2013, Elbarbary 2016, Elbarbary 2018, Elbarbary 2020, Malipa 2013, Mohamad 2009, Salem 2010, van der Hoogt 2017) (see table 3 Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM1 available in the supplement). They mainly included children, adolescents, and young adults with a mean age between 10.4-19.9 years. The mean duration of DM ranged from 3.5 to 8.6 years and the mean baseline HbA1c from 7.21 to 9.52 %. The studies investigated heterogeneous strategies. Malipa 2013 showed the efficacy of weekly meetings to improve treatment compliance, reduce impact and worries about DM and improve general life satisfaction in adolescents. Salem 2010 evaluated the efficacy of two exercise programs to reduce cardiovascular risk with no relevant effect on glucose control. Three studies investigated different nutritional strategies and stated the beneficial effects of honey (Abdulrhman 2013) and camel milk (Mohamad 2009) on glucose control. Meals with low fat and protein (van der Hoogt 2017) caused less frequent hypoglycemic events. Elbarbary 2016 showed the efficacy of a low-glucose suspension algorithm during Ramadan to reduce the number of hypo- and hyperglycemic excursions. Two studies on food supplementation stated improved glycemic control with carnosine (Elbarbary 2018), but no benefit from a vitamin B complex (Elbarbary 2020).

### Interventions for patients with DM2

A total of 44 studies were conducted including 8881 patients suffering from DM2 or different diabetic illnesses (see Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM2 available in the supplement). Most studies included patients with a mean age between 50 and 60 years, only four studies included younger patients (Adjei 2015, El Gayar 2019, Matter 2020, Maharaj 2016). Most studies included more females than males. These studies presented a wide variety of patients in different stages of

DM2 and general conditions. They ranged from newly diagnosed DM (El Gayar 2019, Labhardt 2011, Mostafa 2019, Owolabi 2019, Somanah 2012), non-insulin dependency or oral insulin therapy (Adibe 2013, Ali 2019, Fayehun 2018, Maharaj 2016, Malek 2015, Ragheb 2020) to durations of over 10 years with severe DM related complications (Abaza 2017, Nteleki 2015, Tsobigny-Tsague 2018, El-Shakawy 2016, Ghoneim 2013, Saeed 2013, Yakoot 2019). Thus, mean baseline HbA1c ranged from 6.75% to 11.1%. Most studies included high proportions of overweight and obese participants with mean BMIs ranging from 22.4 to 40.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

### **Educational strategies**

A total of 19 studies with 6942 patients and follow-up periods between 2-14 months investigated the impact of educational strategies on diabetes treatment. These included providing information about lifestyle modification measures, dietary recommendations, drug-based therapy, DM-related complications and self-management. Training sessions were provided based on group-based educational sessions or individual treatment plans by nursing staff or pharmacists and complemented by lectures, discussion services, brochures, newsletters, computer programs, electronic communication devices and tele-monitoring systems. Three of these studies were led by nurses (Adibe 2013, Hailu 2018, Labhardt 2011) and two cluster-randomized studies trained nurses to expand their role in the treatment of patients with NCDs (Fairall 2016) or aimed to improve guideline implementation in the treatment of patients with DM (Steyn 2013).

Three studies (Abaza 2017, Adjei 2015, Labhardt 2011) reported results on treatment adherence. All strategies lead to improved adherence, measured by improved perception of patients to treatment recommendations (Abaza 2017) or higher regularity of appointment schedules (Adjei 2015, Labhardt 2011). Two studies (Adibe 2013, Mash 2014) reported results on costs with lower costs for patients receiving educational strategies. Two studies reported fewer admissions to different health-care facilities (hospital or emergency room and clinic visits) (Abaza 2017, Chraibi 2017).

Results on quality of life were reported in two studies with follow-up periods over 12 months and conflicting results. A structured self-care education program by pharmacists and nurses (Adibe 2013) improved quality of life, but no benefit was shown after group education by trained professionals (Mash 2014).

The majority of the educational strategies resulted in lower mean HbA1c levels in the intervention groups with a clinically relevant mean decrease of -0.66 % (95 %-CI -0.94 to -0.39) and substantial heterogeneity between results of different studies (I<sup>2</sup>=64 %) (Figure 3).

|   | Edu                   | Ication | n        | C        | ontrol                |       |        | Mean Difference      | Mean Difference    |
|---|-----------------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------------------|-------|--------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Study or Subgroup                         | Mean                  | SD      | Total    | Mean     | SD                    | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI   | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| 1.1.1 End of follow-up                    |                       |         |          |          |                       |       |        |                      |                    |
| Abaza 2017 (Egypt)                        | 8.73                  | 1.98    | 34       | 8.84     | 2.4                   | 39    | 5.7%   | -0.11 [-1.12, 0.90]  |                    |
| Amendezo 2017 (Rwanda)                    | 7.49                  | 1.48    | 115      | 8.21     | 1.72                  | 108   | 14.3%  | -0.72 [-1.14, -0.30] |                    |
| Essien 2017 (Nigeria)                     | 8.4                   | 1.67    | 53       | 10.2     | 2.19                  | 51    | 8.4%   | -1.80 [-2.55, -1.05] |                    |
| Mash 2014 (South Africa)                  | 8.4                   | 2       | 391      | 8.8      | 2.2                   | 475   | 17.5%  | -0.40 [-0.68, -0.12] | -                  |
| Muchiri 2016 (South Africa)               | 9.8                   | 1.92    | 41       | 10.4     | 1.92                  | 41    | 7.4%   | -0.60 [-1.43, 0.23]  |                    |
| Sodipo 2017 (Nigeria)                     | 7.2                   | 2       | 55       | 7.7      | 2                     | 52    | 8.3%   | -0.50 [-1.26, 0.26]  |                    |
| Fakenga 2014 (Congo)                      | 6.73                  | 1.59    | 17       | 8.6      | 1.35                  | 14    | 5.4%   | -1.87 [-2.91, -0.83] |                    |
| Fawfik 2016 (Egypt)                       | 7.5                   | 0.8     | 107      | 8.12     | 0.9                   | 107   | 18.6%  | -0.62 [-0.85, -0.39] | -                  |
| Nebb 2015 (South Africa)                  | 8.54                  | 2.11    | 225      | 8.76     | 2.22                  | 191   | 14.4%  | -0.22 [-0.64, 0.20]  |                    |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                         |                       |         | 1038     |          |                       | 1078  | 100.0% | -0.66 [-0.94, -0.39] | •                  |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.10; C | ⊳hi <b>²</b> = 21     | .97, df | = 8 (P = | = 0.005) | ); l <sup>2</sup> = 6 | 4%    |        |                      |                    |
| Fest for overall effect: Z = 4.6          | 6 (P < 0.             | 00001   | )        |          |                       |       |        |                      |                    |
| 1.1.2 Change until end of fol             | low-up                |         |          |          |                       |       |        |                      |                    |
| Debussche 2018 (Mali)                     | -1.05                 | 2.09    | 70       | -0.15    | 1.75                  | 70    | 38.0%  | -0.90 [-1.54, -0.26] |                    |
| Hailu 2018 (Ethiopia)                     | -2.88                 | 4.28    | 78       | -2.57    | 3.59                  | 64    | 29.7%  | -0.31 [-1.60, 0.98]  |                    |
| Thuita 2020 (Kenya)                       | -2.04                 | 2.7     | 48       | 0.73     | 2.71                  | 46    | 32.4%  | -2.77 [-3.86, -1.68] |                    |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                         |                       |         | 196      |          |                       | 180   | 100.0% | -1.33 [-2.65, -0.01] |                    |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 1.08; C | chi <sup>2</sup> = 10 | .57, df | = 2 (P = | = 0.005  | ); l <sup>z</sup> = 8 | 1%    |        |                      |                    |
| Fest for overall effect: Z = 1.9          | 8 (P = 0.             | 05)     |          |          |                       |       |        |                      |                    |
|   |                       |         |          |          |                       |       |        | -                    |                    |
|   |                       |         |          |          |                       |       |        |                      | -4 -2 1 2 4        |

## Figure 3: Results of educational strategies on HbA1c levels or changes of HbA1c levels of patients with DM2

### Strategies to enhance physical activity

Five studies with 359 participants evaluated the efficacy of different strategies to enhance physical activity on glucose control. Strategies included counselling, setting goals and training sessions with different intensities or both over periods between 8-12 weeks.

Two studies were successful in lowering HbA1c where patients were given goals to accumulate 10,000 steps per day (Fayehun 2018) or patients were allocated to rebound exercise (Maharaj 2016). A third study investigated the effects of aerobic exercise training and was able to decrease fasting plasma glucose (21).

Two other exercise interventions failed to reduce HbA1c by incremental exercises compared to relaxation (Van Rooijen 2004) or higher intensity of exercises (Yan 2014) (Figure 4). Results were not pooled due to considerable heterogeneity with different directions of treatment effects.



## Figure 4: Results of strategies to enhance physical activity on HbA1c levels of patients with DM2

### Pharmacological strategies

Three studies with 479 participants tested the efficacy of pharmacological treatment strategies on glucose control of patients with DM2. El-Haggar 2015 found ketotifen and glimepiride an effective dual therapy. Malek 2015 described the non-inferiority of oncedaily basal-bolus insulin analogues and thrice daily insulin therapy. Distiller 2014 did not find an additional improvement with exenatide in addition to insulin and metformin therapy on glycemic control.

### Strategies on food supplementations

Several different food supplementations were tested in 10 studies including 762 participants. Vitamin D3 supplementation had a positive effect on glycemic control in two studies (Ali 2019, Anyanwu 2016). Four studies tested the effect of plant-based substances. Ginger powder and balantines aegyptiaca (desert date) extract regimes supported glucose control (El Gayar 2019, Rashad 2017). Nigella sativa (black cumin) oil capsules slightly improved glucose control but were inferior to metformin (Moustafa 2019). A regime based on fermented papaya did show beneficial results (Somanah 2012). Anderson 2001 and Matter 2020 showed positive effects of zinc/ chromium in chronic DM and zinc supplementation in diabetic beta-thalassemia major patients. The addition of rutin and vitamin C did not improve the results of oral antidiabetics (Ragheb 2020). The addition of I-carnitine improved diabetic control achieved by glimepiride treatment (EI-Sheikh 2019).

### Strategies on the treatment of DM related complications

Seven studies with 351 participants and follow-up periods between 3-12 months evaluated different strategies to treat possibly DM-related complications including periodontitis (3 studies), foot ulcerations (2 studies) and macular edema (2 studies).

El-Makaky 2020 and Tsobgny-Tsague 2018 described the benefit of immediate vs. delayed non-surgical periodontal interventions on glucose control and El-Sharkawy 2016 stated the effectiveness of propolis as an additive in periodontitis treatment. Two studies stated a benefit of combined phototherapy and podiatric management (Nteleki 2015) and an additional local ointment application of royal jelly and panthenol (Yakoot 2019) on the healing of lower extremity ulcers. Ghoneim 2013 and Saeed 2013 tested different diabetic macular edema treatment strategies. Both studies described generally positive treatment effects but also considerable adverse events including rise of intra ocular pressure and glaucoma.

### Interventions for patients with DM in a pregnant woman

Six studies included a total of 574 pregnant women at increased risk for gestational DM (GDM) (Embaby 2016), with newly diagnosed GDM (Utz 2018, El-Shamy 2018, Ashoush 2016) or with newly diagnosed GDM or pre-existing DM (Beyuo 2015, Ibrahim 2014) between the 20<sup>th</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy. The mean age ranged from 24.2-33.3 years (see Table 5: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM available in the supplements).

Three studies (Ashoush 2016, Beyuo 2015, Ibrahim 2014) with 289 participants examined metformin as an additional medication to insulin in comparison to insulin therapy only. Effects on glycemic control of metformin supported therapy ranged from a relevant decrease (Ashoush 2016) to no effect on fasting plasma glucose, but beneficial effect on two hour plasma glucose in a 75 g OGTT (Beyuo 2015) in women without insulin resistance. Adding metformin to insulin therapy of pregnant women with insulin resistant diabetes was associated with several benefits concerning the time of hospital stay, reduced occurrence of maternal or neonatal hyperglycemia, less neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions and reduced cases of respiratory distress syndrome (Ibrahim 2014).

The other studies (285 participants) investigated non-pharmacological interventions. The tested interventions were aerobic exercise program (treadmill walking) (Embaby 2016), acupressure (EI-Shamy 2018) and screening for GDM, followed by nutritional and exercise counseling for positive tested women (Utz 2018). The aerobic exercise program resulted in a relevant reduction of fasting plasma glucose until delivery (Embaby 2016). The acupressure intervention did not manage to show a benefit regarding glycemic control (EI-Shamy 2018). Screening, counselling and intensive follow-up were able to improve glycemic control and reduce the number of newborns with macrosomia (Utz 2018).

### **Potential biases**

None of the included studies was categorized as low risk of bias in all seven domains only (see Table 6: Judgement on risk of bias available in the supplements).

The most common restriction on study quality was found in the domain performance bias due to a lack of blinding of participants and personnel in 48 studies. Detection bias due to blinding of the outcome assessors was judged as high or unclear in 38 studies. 14 studies with high risk of bias due to no blinding of participants and personnel, reported adequate methods to ensure blinding of the outcome assessors. **BMJ** Open

Another frequent problem was an incomplete analyses of outcome data in 26 studies defined as a loss to follow-up over 10 % of randomized participants or per-protocol analyses.

In 23 studies a protocol was available. Risk of bias due to selective outcome reporting was judged as low in 15 studies. High risk of bias, meaning lack of reporting of results of some pre-planned outcomes was judged in eight studies (Abdulrhman 2013, Beyuo 2015, Elbarbary 2020, Matter 2020, Owolabi 2019, Somanah 2012, Utz 2018 Yakoot 2019).

In the domain sequence generation, two studies were assessed as high risk. El- Nteleki 2015 randomized only seven patients into three different treatment groups. Shamy 2018 used a non-probability sampling method on the basis of the hospital admission code and was subsequently judged as high risk in domains sequence generation and allocation concealment.

In 31 studies, we identified further methodological limitations including missing reporting of information on sample-size calculation, definition of primary and secondary target criteria, relevant differences regarding baseline characteristics or reporting of intermediate results only.

### DISCUSSION

This systematic review describes interventions from 60 studies to summarize the available evidence on strategies to prevent, diagnose and treat DM with a total of 12,113 participants from 15 African countries. Several promising interventions were identified, which could be useful to improve prevention, diagnosis and treatment, and thus, the prognosis of patients with diabetes.

Proven and effective actions to prevent or delay the onset of DM2 were based on the empowerment of the population, patients and health care providers (22). Recommended interventions include primary prevention programs with simple lifestyle measures to reduce consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, mandatory detailed labels on food packaging as well as education and awareness campaigns to increase physical activity (23). Health systems must ensure technical and financial resources as well as training of healthcare staff to recognize the symptoms of DM, to perform and interpret diagnostic tests and provide adequate treatment and care (4). Concerning pharmacotherapy, priorisation of metformin, gliclazide and human insulin is recommended (24). Glucometers, needles and test strips should be provided for people with DM (4). Only a fraction of patients have access to the same treatment as recommended in high-income countries (25, 26). Evidence-based guidelines support health care providers and stakeholders in their task to reduce population burden and improve clinical outcomes. Guidelines should be based on the observed spectrum of patients with DM and carefully contextualize interventions concerning specific sociocultural and economic barriers and facilitators (27). At the moment, most guideline recommendations in LMIC are based on studies conducted in high-income Western countries (28). The need for research in LMIC including Africa has been recognized and may have led to the increasing number of published RCTs from African countries in recent years.

### Screening strategies to diagnose DM and its complications

The rate of undiagnosed patients with DM is estimated to be between 3.9 % in SSA (29) and 12 % in North Africa (30). This might be related to genetic disparities in the development level of the health care system and awareness in the general population (13). The high rates of undiagnosed DM highlight a high need for research on and implementation of DM screening strategies in the African context. We identified two studies to improve screening for DM and DM related complications (31-33). Both studies investigated different strategies in primary health care clinics to detect and manage women with GDM (31) and screen diabetic patients for complications (34). Utz 2018 detected a high prevalence of GDM with 23.7% of Moroccan pregnant women.

This underlines the importance of regular screening and management to enable early interventions at a primary care level (31). Screening strategies for diabetic complications in a diabetic population receiving primary care found a high rate of diabetic complications including retinopathy, maculopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, possible infarction and severe erectile dysfunction (32-34). The high prevalence rates and the low rate of patients receiving recommended treatment by specialists resulted in non-relevant benefits on glucose control underlining the conclusions of two systematic reviews (7, 35) on the need to adapt preventive strategies to reduce DM and its complications.

#### Intervention for patients with pre-DM for primary prevention of DM

We identified two studies on pre-DM patients (36, 37) with elevated blood glucose levels below diagnosis criteria of DM. RezkAllah et al. (37) were able to improve glucose levels via interval training. Krawinkel et al. (36) demonstrated reduced fasting plasma glucose levels in pre-DM using bitter gourd, a plant with antidiabetic properties that is consumed in many Asian as well as some African countries. Pre-DM is strongly associated with the development of DM and thusly with its long-term consequences (38). Both studies offer effective strategies, but further research is necessary, exemplarily on early educational strategies, as a measure of patient empowerment and early tackling of DM (39).

### Educational strategies for patients and health-care providers

Since DM is a disease with complex challenges for patients and health care providers, educational campaigns are necessary to support health care providers and empower patients to manage their disease-associated decisions, lifestyle habits and medication use. Best benefits are proposed to be achieved by continuous individualized education, guided by patients' concerns, preferences and needs (22, 40).

We identified a couple of effective strategies, mainly for patients with DM2 (41-53), one for patients with DM1 (54) and one for pregnant women with GDM (31). Most of these studies investigated long-term interventions to supported patient empowerment based on improved knowledge, motivation, and capacity to take control of their disease (22). Three studies trialed nurse-led) (42, 48, 49, 55) and 2 studies investigated strategies to train healthcare providers in the management of patients with DM (56, 57).

In times of growing digitalization, modern communication technologies might have great potential to improve the accessibility of the African population to high-quality health care services where human resources are limited (58). The number of people having access to mobile services is already high and expected to rise over the next

#### **BMJ** Open

years (59). Lifestyle focused messages might be an effective low-cost option to keep patients motivated to adhere to healthy lifestyles (58). One small study (Takenga 2014) combined DM self-management with tele-medical approaches and stated a significant decrease of HbA1c levels (51). The application of telemedicine in different areas of DM management showed promising results in previous studies (60). Currently, the COVID-19 pandemic has forced all nations to implement alternative, oftentimes digital strategies including tele-monitoring and teleconsultation to continue care of NCDs (61). Improvement of patient empowerment resulted in improved adherence and glucose control, fewer admissions to healthcare facilities and lower costs. Only two studies reported on the quality of life with heterogeneous results (42, 55, 62). Adibe et al. (Adibe 2013) (42, 55) showed a benefit with an incremental gain in quality-adjusted life years (QALY) with improved cost-effectiveness of an additional structural self-care education program implemented by pharmacists, physicians and nurses. These findings are supported by results from two systematic reviews showing the usefulness of nurse-led interventions to reduce cardio-metabolic risk factors in adults with chronic conditions in Europe (63, 64).

All included studies were adapted to local contexts and the trialed strategies are potentially adaptable to health care systems in other African and LMIC. Moreover, the tasks of nurses in NCD care could be redefined and expanded in countries with comparably few physicians in order to improve DM diagnostics, treatment and education with regards to local resources.

### Strategies to increase physical activity

Seven studies (5 studies on DM2 (21, 65-68), 1 on DM1 (69) and 1 on GDM (70)) on exercise therapy showed generally positive results concerning effectiveness in glycemic control. Of the strategies to enhance physical activity, Fayehun 2018 managed to improve glucose control by setting the goal to accumulate 10,000 steps per day, an intervention that does not require any cost-intensive infrastructure and still managed to show a significant decrease in HbA1c levels after 4 weeks (65). Similarly, Yan 2014 found one-hour walking per day to be equally effective as 3-5 times per day exercise training in HbA1c reduction. Physical activity is generally reviewed as a beneficial intervention in GDM (71), DM1 (72, 73) and DM2 (74, 75). Long-term adherence to this strategy needs to further be assessed.

### Pharmacological strategies

We identified only six studies (3 studies on DM2 (76-78), 3 on GDM (79-81)) on pharmacological interventions as a central part of DM care (82) despite known

**BMJ** Open

 differences in DM between African and European Americans (13). This can be due to the exclusion criteria as we excluded international studies with few sites in African countries (e.g. (83-88)). Most of the major pharmacological studies only have a few study centers in Africa. Thus, such research is important and more studies like this should be conducted in Africa as it is known that among different ethnic and racial groups the response to drugs can vary. Studies like this could lead to a prioritized used of medications, which are known to show a good response in African population. El-Haggar et al. (77) investigated the addition of ketotifen in obese DM2 patients to glimepiride treatment showing beneficial effects. The beneficial effect of ketotifen as a mast cell stabilizer has been studied in mice receiving a Western diet and diabetic rats resulting in a significant reduction of weight gain and glycemic parameters (89, 90). Further research is necessary to generate more detailed evidence on the use of ketotifen in diabetic patients.

Malek 2015 (78) reported the usability and safety of a basal-bolus insulin regime with stepwise intensification in an African setting as an easy to handle, practical option for DM care. The efficacy of basal-bolus insulin regimes has been previously described in other settings (91, 92). Currently, the available research on pharmacological interventions for DM is sparse in Africa. Further research should consider regional contexts like availability of medication, practicability of the medication (e.g. insulin needs proper storage (93, 94)) lifestyle habits and genetics (95, 96). Consideration of findings from African American cohorts seems advisable (97, 98). Three studies on woman with gestational DM (79-81) tested metformin-based regimes (with or without insulin support) vs. insulin-only regimes. Metformin-based therapy regimes generally showed similar results compared to insulin-only regimes concerning glycemic control. The effectiveness and safety of metformin in GDM has been detailed in other systematic reviews (99, 100).

### Strategies on nutrition and food supplementations

Four small studies tested different nutritional interventions (36, 101-103), mainly for children and adolescents with DM1 (101-103) with promising results of long-term consumption of honey (101) and camel milk (102) and a low fat and protein content of meals (103) on metabolic control for DM1 patients. Especially the positive effects of camel milk, based on the traditional treatment of DM in arid areas of Africa and Asia, may offer an interesting management strategy to improve glycemic control, reduce insulin requirement and limit diabetic complications (104). The study on bitter gourd consumption for persons with pre-DM (Krawinkel 2018) (36) was discussed above.

#### **BMJ** Open

The twelve included studies on food supplementations (2 for DM1, 10 for DM2) showed some promising results for different adjuvant DM therapies including zinc-gluconate (105) and zinc-chromium (106) supplementations, ginger powder (107), Nigella sativa oil capsules (108), L-carnitine (109), L-carnosine (110) as well as vitamin B, C or D supplementation (62, 111-113) on glycemic control. These results are in line with previous results from systematic reviews showing benefits in the prevention of DM and improved glycemic control, lipid profiles and management of DM-related complications (114-126). On the other hand, beneficial effects on glycemic control shown in two studies on vitamin D3 supplementation contrasts findings from recent systematic reviews (127, 128).

New evidence was generated by Rashad 2017 (129) who stated the beneficial effects of balanites aegyptiaca (desert date) extract on glycemic control. This evergreen tree is common in arid regions in Africa and was traditionally used in Egyptian traditional medicine. Helal et al. described similar results in diabetic rats (130).

### Strategies on the treatment of DM related complications

Three studies tested the role of periodontitis treatment in diabetic patients (131-133). Tsobgny-Tsague et al. (133) and El-Makaky et al. (131) described the importance of early treatment start, resulting in favorable patient outcomes in periodontal health and glucose control. El-Sharkawy et al. (132) found propolis to be a favorable addition to planing and scaling. In an Ethiopian cohort, only 21% of DM patients received oral health screening (134). The WHO regards oral health as a crucial component of health care with 12-14 % of 35 to 44-year-old Africans suffering from periodontitis (135).

Treatment options for diabetic wounds were tested in two studies (136, 137). Phototherapy in addition to usual care was first trialed in an African cohort of patients suffering from diabetic foot ulcers, showing beneficial wound healing outcomes. Similar results were described in other settings (138). The addition of propolis to usual care regimes showed improved wound healing. These findings are supported by studies from other settings (139, 140).

### Strength and limitations

The external validity of this systematic review is limited by the focus on a limited number of countries and urban health care setting. The included studies were set in 15 of the 54 African countries with a focus on the North African region, especially Egypt. Egypt is the country with the highest known prevalence of DM in the African continent (4). This might be related to economic expansion and urbanization, but also due to

specific dietary issues (e.g. white bread, polished rice, trans fats), reduced physical activity due to prohibition of exercise in public places, shortage of exercise facilities, poor physical education in schools. Poor diet and physical inactivity are causing a high rate of overweight and obesity among the Egyptian population (141).

The broad majority of included studies was conducted in urban settings, this is likely due to the better health care infrastructure and thusly the increased practicability of research. Health care workers, including doctors and nurses, seem to prefer providing services in urban areas leading to an even higher deficit of health care access in rural areas. The consequence is limited generalizability of the results on the needs of the rural population. People living in rural areas are rather diagnosed at an advanced stage of the disease and more likely to already suffer from DM related micro-and/or macrovascular complications (6). On the other hand, people living in rural areas probably perform more exercise, consume less processed food and are less obese with resulting lower incidence of DM.

Our pre-planned primary outcome was mortality which was not reported in any of the included studies. Since DM is a chronic disease with a slow progression and long-term development of organ damage, the survival time is higher than the follow-up time of most of the studies. The included studies looked at long-term treatment strategies rather than treatment for acute hypo- or hyperglycemic events that can lead to acutely fatal events. Nevertheless, long-term glucose control, being represented by the HbA1c value is one of the strongest clinical-outcome indicators of efficient DM management and health outcomes (142). It is easy to measure and serves as a representation of the individual's average blood glucose levels in the previous 3 months (142).

This systematic review includes studies as the highest level of evidence to investigate the benefits and harms of interventions (143). We included studies published in the English language without time restrictions. Language bias was shown to be unlikely. Despite the high linguistic diversity on the African continent, the languages mostly spoken are English, Arabic, and French (144). Eventually, we did not exclude any study due to the publication language.

### CONCLUSION

 This systematic review shows an increasing number of studies due to the rising prevalence and awareness of DM in African countries. However, the number of highquality studies to improve prevention, early diagnoses and treatment and thus, the prognosis of African patients with DM is still low. Available studies are not representative of all African regions and were mainly conducted in urban areas. Especially primary care settings are underrepresented.

An improvement of the prognosis of DM patients in Africa requires adequate technical and financial resources, training of healthcare staff and the implementation of comprehensive strategies to improve early diagnostics, adherence to medical treatment and subsequent regular checks. The identified studies offer a variety of effective approaches as a basis for local guidelines in the different fields of action in DM care adjusted to regional circumstances.

### **Ethics approval**

No ethical approval is necessary

### Authors contribution

Sandholzer-Yilmaz AS developed the concept of the review, performed the initial systematic search in the International Trials Registry, screened the references, extracted study data in 2019, wrote a draft of the manuscript and worked in the coauthors comments on the final version of the manuscript and finally submitted the manuscript.

Kroeber ES updated the systematic search, added a search in 2 regional databases, screened the updated search results and extracted the updated data and wrote the final version of the manuscript.

Unverzagt S has expertise in systematic reviews and is the guarantor of the methodological quality of the systematic review, developed the review concept has registered the protocol, performed the systematic search in 2 databases, screened all references, checked the initial as well as the updated data extraction and wrote the final version of this manuscript.

Ayele W provided expertise on the needs of evidence in the African context, developed the review concept, discussed the protocol and critically read and commented on the manuscript.

Frese T and provided expertise on primary care, developed the review concept, critically read and commented on the manuscript.

Kantelhardt EJ provided expertise on the needs of evidence in the African context, developed the review concept, critically read and commented on the manuscript.

The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first 2 authors should be regarded as joint first authors.

## Acknowledgements:

Dawn Bielawski did the proofreading.

### **Funding statement**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

to per terien ont

## References

1. Kushitor MK, Boatemaa S. The double burden of disease and the challenge of health access: Evidence from Access, Bottlenecks, Cost and Equity facility survey in Ghana. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(3):e0194677.

2. Misganaw A, Mariam DH, Araya T. The double mortality burden among adults in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2006-2009. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2012;9.

3. Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sexspecific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet*. 2018;392(10159):1736-88.

4. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 9th edn. Brussels, Belgium: 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.diabetesatlas.org</u>. Accessed 7 Aug 2020

5. Beagley J, Guariguata L, Weil C, et al. Global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2014;103(2):150-60.

6. Asmelash D, Asmelash Y. The Burden of Undiagnosed Diabetes Mellitus in Adult African Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Diabetes Res.* 2019;2019:4134937.

7. Bos M, Agyemang C. Prevalence and complications of diabetes mellitus in Northern Africa, a systematic review. *BMC public health*. 2013;13(1):387.

8. Awadalla H, Noor SK, Elmadhoun WM, et al. Diabetes complications in Sudanese individuals with type 2 diabetes: overlooked problems in sub-Saharan Africa? *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2017;11:S1047-S51.

9. Mutyambizi C, Pavlova M, Chola L, et al. Cost of diabetes mellitus in Africa: a systematic review of existing literature. *Globalization and Health*. 2018;14(1):3.

10. Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2020;8(8):e1003-e17.

11. Nations U. Sustainable development goals. Goal 3: Ensure healthy living and promote well-being for all at all ages 2019. Available from: https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/health/. Accessed 29 Sep 2020

12. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD: The Task Force for diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41(2):255-323.

13. Gomez F, Hirbo J, Tishkoff SA. Genetic variation and adaptation in Africa: implications for human evolution and disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2014;6(7):a008524.

14. Owolabi MO, Yaria JO, Daivadanam M, et al. Gaps in guidelines for the management of diabetes in low-and middle-income versus high-income countries—a systematic review. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(5):1097-105.

15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097.

16. Higgins JPT, Thormas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1. 0 [updated March 2011]. Collaboration TC, editor. Available from <a href="http://www.handbook.cochrane.org">www.handbook.cochrane.org</a>. Accessed 8 March 2020.

17. Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, et al. CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *Int J Surg.* 2012;10(1):28-55.

18. Team TE. EndNote. EndNote X9 ed. Philadelphia, PA: Clarivate; 2013.

19. Higgins JPT, Eldridge S, Li Te. Chapter 23: Including variants on randomized trials. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al.,

| editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 61 (updated September 2020. <u>www.training.cochrane.org/handbook</u> . Accessed 9 March |
|---|
| 2020.<br>20 Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program] Version 5.3 Copenhagen:  |
| The Nordic Cochrane Centre. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014  |
| 21 Asuako B Moses MO Eghan BA et al Easting plasma ducose and linid   |
| profiles of diabetic patients improve with aerobic exercise training Ghana Med J  |
| 2017:51(3):120-7  |
| 22. Gómez-Velasco DV, Almeda-Valdes P, Martagón AJ, et al. Empowerment of patients with type 2 diabetes: current perspectives. <i>Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes</i> .   |
| targets and therapy. 2019;12:1311.  |
| 23. Audain KA, Levy L, Ellahi B. Sugar sweetened beverage consumption in the  |
| early years and implications for type 2 diabetes: A sub-Saharan Africa context. <i>Proc Nutr</i> Soc. 2019;78(4):547-53.  |
| 24. World Health Organization. The WHO model list of essential medicines: 21st list   |
| 2019. World Health Organization; 2019.  |
| https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/325771/WHO-MVP-EMP-IAU-2019.06-  |
| eng.pdf. Accessed 30 Jan 2021   |
| 25. American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes:  |
| Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> . 2020;43(Suppl 1):S14.   |
| 26. Roglic G. WHO Global report on diabetes: A summary. <i>IJNCD</i> . 2016;1(1):3.   |
| 27. Owolabi MO, Yaria JO, Daivadanam M, et al. Gaps in Guidelines for the   |
| Management of Diabetes in Low- and Middle-Income Versus High-Income Countries-A   |
| Systematic Review. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(5):1097-105.  |
| 28. Checkley W, Ghannem H, Irazola V, et al. Management of NCD in low-and   |
| midule-income countries. Glob Heart. 2014,9(4).431-43.  |
| 29. International Diabetes receivation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. Africa  |
| n pdf2010 Accessed 21 Sep 2020  |
| <u>11.pui2019</u> . Accesseu 21 Sep 2020.   |
| Africa  |
| https://www.diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/material/20191218_144557_mena_fac  |
| tsheet en pdf2019 Accessed 21 Sep 2020  |
| 31. Utz B, Assarag B, Smekens T, et al. Detection and initial management of   |
| gestational diabetes through primary health care services in Morocco: An effectiveness-implementation trial <i>PloS one</i> 2018;13(12);e0209322                    |
| 32 Webb FM Rheeder P A cluster-randomized trial to estimate the effect of   |
| mobile screening and treatment feedback on HbA1c and diabetes-related   |
| complications in Tshwane primary health care clinics. South Africa. Prim Care   |
| Diaberes. 2017;11(6):546-54.  |
| 33. Webb EM, Rheeder P, Roux P. Screening in Primary Care for Diabetic  |
| Retinopathy, Maculopathy and Visual Loss in South Africa. Ophthalmologica.  |
| 2016;235(3):141-9.  |
| 34. Webb EM, Rheeder P, Van Zyl DG. Diabetes care and complications in primary  |
| care in the Tshwane district of South Africa. Prim Care Diabetes. 2015;9(2):147-54.   |
| 35. Wagnew F, Eshetie S, Kibret GD, et al. Diabetic nephropathy and hypertension  |
| in diabetes patients of sub-Saharan countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis.   |
| BMC Res Notes. 2018;11(1):565.  |
| 36. Krawinkei MB, Ludwig C, Swai ME, et al. Bitter gourd reduces elevated fasting   |
| plasma glucose levels in an intervention study among prediabetics in Tanzania. J  |
| EUMOUNATINACOL 2018,210.1-1.  |
| JI. REZKANAN JO, TAKIA IVIN. ENECTS OF UNITERENT DOSAGES OF INTERVAL TRAINING ON  |
| Spectr 2010:32(2):125-31  |
| $Opeour \ zord, oz(z), rzo-or.$   |
|   |

| Page 27 of 155 | BMJ Open  |
|----------------|---|
| 1              |   |
| 2              |   |
| 3              | 38. Bansal N. Prediabetes diagnosis and treatment: A review. World J Diabetes.                |
| 4              | 2015:6(2):296.  |
| 5              | 39 Mogueo A Oga - Omenka C Hatem M et al Effectiveness of interventions                       |
| б              | based on patient empowerment in the control of type 2 diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa:         |
| 7              | A review of randomized controlled trials <i>Endocrinol Diabetes Metab J</i> e00174            |
| 8              | 40 Beck I Greenwood DA Blanton I et al 2017 National Standards for Diabetes                   |
| 9              | Self-Management Education and Support Diabetes Educ 2018:44(1):35-50                          |
| 10             | 41 Abaza H Marschollek M Schulze M SMS Education for the Promotion of                         |
| 11             | Diabetes Self-Management in Low & Middle Income Countries: A Randomized                       |
| 12             | Controlled Trial in Egypt Stud Health Technol Inform 2017:245:1209                            |
| 15             | 42 Adibe MO Ukwe CV Aguwa CN The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care                                |
| 15             | Intervention on the Quality of Life of Nigerian Patients Receiving Treatment for Type 2       |
| 16             | Diabetes Value in Health Reg Issues 2013:2(2):240-7   |
| 17             | 43. Adjei DN. Agvemang C. Dasah JB. et al. The effect of electronic reminders on              |
| 18             | risk management among diabetic patients in low resourced settings. J Diabetes                 |
| 19             | Complications. 2015:29(6):818-21.   |
| 20             | 44. Amendezo E, Walker Timothy D, Karamuka V, et al. Effects of a lifestyle                   |
| 21             | education program on glycemic control among patients with diabetes at Kigali                  |
| 22             | University Hospital, Rwanda: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Res Clin Pract.          |
| 23             | 2017;126:129-37.  |
| 24             | 45. Chraibi A, Al-Herz S, Nguyen BD, et al. An RCT Investigating Patient-Driven               |
| 25             | Versus Physician-Driven Titration of BIAsp 30 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes                |
| 20             | Uncontrolled Using NPH Insulin. Diabetes Ther. 2017;8(4):767-80.                              |
| 27             | 46. Debussche X, Besancon S, Balcou-Debussche M, et al. Structured peer-led                   |
| 20             | diabetes self-management and support in a low-income country: The ST2EP                       |
| 30             | randomised controlled trial in Mali. PLoS One. 2018;13(1):e0191262.                           |
| 31             | 47. Essien O, Otu A, Umoh V, et al. Intensive Patient Education Improves                      |
| 32             | Glycaemic Control in Diabetes Compared to Conventional Education: A Randomised                |
| 33             | Controlled Trial in a Nigerian Tertiary Care Hospital. <i>Plos One</i> . 2017;12(1):e0168835. |
| 34             | 48. Hailu FB, Hjortdahl P, Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management                         |
| 35             | Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. <i>Front Public Health</i> . 2018;6:302.  |
| 36             | 49. Labhardt ND, Balo JR, Ndam M, et al. Improved retention rates with low-cost               |
| 37             | interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment          |
| 38             | of nurse-led care: a cluster-randomised trial. <i>Trop Med Int Health</i> . 2011;16(10):1276- |
| 39<br>40       | 84.   |
| 40<br>41       | 50. Mash RJ, Rhode H, Zwarenstein M, et al. Effectiveness of a group diabetes                 |
| 42             | education programme in under-served communities in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster          |
| 43             | Tandomized controlled that Diabetic Med. 2014,31(8).987-93.                                   |
| 44             | 51. Takenga C, Bernul RD, Musongya O, et al. All ICT-based Diabetes                           |
| 45             | Telemed Appl 2014:2014:437307   |
| 46             | 52 Tawfik MV Mohamed RA The impact of communicating cardiovascular risk in                    |
| 47             | type 2 diabetics on nation tick percention diabetes self-care alycosylated bemoglobin         |
| 48             | and cardiovascular risk / Public Health (Berl) 2016:24(2):153-64                              |
| 49             | 53 Thuita AW Kiage BN Onvange AN et al Effect of a nutrition education                        |
| 50             | programme on the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus natients at a level 5         |
| 51             | Hospital in Kenva: "a randomized controlled trial" <i>BMC Nutr</i> 2020;6:30                  |
| 53             | 54 Malina M Menon J The relationship between compliance and quality of life                   |
| 54             | among adolescents with diabetes mellitus type1 Medical J Zambia 2013:40(3):93-103             |
| 55             | 55. Adibe MO. Aguwa CN. Ukwe CV. Cost-Utility Analysis of Pharmaceutical Care                 |
| 56             | Intervention Versus Usual Care in Management of Nigerian Patients with Type 2                 |
| 57             | Diabetes, Value Health Reg Issues 2013:2(2):189-98  |
| 58             | 56. Fairall LR. Folb N. Timmerman V. et al. Educational Outreach with an                      |
| 59             | Integrated Clinical Tool for Nurse-Led Non-communicable Chronic Disease                       |
| 60             |   |
|                |   |

Management in Primary Care in South Africa: a Pragmatic Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. *Plos medicine*. 2016;13(11):e1002178.

57. Steyn K, Lombard C, Gwebushe N, et al. Implementation of national guidelines, incorporated within structured diabetes and hypertension records at primary level care in Cape Town, South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. *Glob Health Action*. 2013;6:20796.

58. Haider R, Sudini L, Chow CK, et al. Mobile phone text messaging in improving glycaemic control for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2019;150:27-37.

59. The Mobile Economy. The Mobile Economy Sub-Saharan Africa 2019. https://www.gsma.com/mobileeconomy/sub-saharan-africa/. Accessed 31 Aug 2020.

60. Tchero H, Kangambega P, Briatte C, et al. Clinical effectiveness of telemedicine in diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of 42 randomized controlled trials. *Telemed J E Health*. 2019;25(7):569-83.

61. Rodriguez T. Telemedicine for Diabetes Management During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond- Endocrinology Advisor. 2020. https://www.endocrinologyadvisor.com/home/topics/diabetes/telemedicine-for-diabetesmanagement-update-and-interviews/. Accessed 7 Aug 2020.

62. Ragheb SR, El Wakeel LM, Nasr MS, et al. Impact of Rutin and Vitamin C combination on oxidative stress and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2020;35:128-35.

63. Shaw RJ, McDuffie JR, Hendrix CC, et al. Effects of nurse-managed protocols in the outpatient management of adults with chronic conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;161(2):113-21.

64. Daly B, Tian CJL, Scragg RKR. Effect of nurse-led randomised control trials on cardiovascular risk factors and HbA1c in diabetes patients: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2017;131:187-99.

65. Fayehun AF, Olowookere OO, Ogunbode AM, et al. Walking prescription of 10 000 steps per day in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial in Nigerian general practice. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2018;68(667):e139-e45.

66. Maharaj SS, Nuhu JM. Rebound exercise: A beneficial adjuvant for sedentary non-insulin-dependent type 2 diabetic individuals in a rural environment. *Aust J Rural Health*. 2016;24(2):123-9.

67. van Rooijen AJ, Rheeder P, Eales CJ, et al. Effect of exercise versus relaxation on haemoglobin A1C in Black females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Qjm*. 2004;97(6):343-51.

68. Yan H, Prista A, Ranadive SM, et al. Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. *Isrn Endocrinology Print*. 2014;2014:864897.

69. Salem MA, Aboelasrar MA, Elbarbary NS, et al. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2010;2(1):47.

70. Embaby H, Elsayed E, Fawzy M. Insulin Sensitivity and Plasma Glucose Response to Aerobic Exercise in Pregnant Women at Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Ethiop J Health Sci.* 2016;26(5):409-14.

71. Russo LM, Nobles C, Ertel KA, et al. Physical activity interventions in pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;125(3):576-82.

72. Quirk H, Blake H, Tennyson R, et al. Physical activity interventions in children and young people with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review with meta - analysis. *Diabet Med*. 2014;31(10):1163-73.

73. Aljawarneh YM, Wardell DW, Wood GL, et al. A systematic review of physical activity and exercise on physiological and biochemical outcomes in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *J Nurse Scholarsh*. 2019;51(3):337-45.

| 1              |  |
|----------------|--|
| 2<br>3         |  |
| 4<br>5         |  |
| 6<br>7         |  |
| 8<br>9<br>10   |  |
| 11<br>12       |  |
| 13<br>14       |  |
| 15<br>16<br>17 |  |
| 18<br>19       |  |
| 20<br>21       |  |
| 22<br>23<br>24 |  |
| 25<br>26       |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29 |  |
| 30<br>31       |  |
| 32<br>33       |  |
| 34<br>35<br>36 |  |
| 37<br>38       |  |
| 39<br>40       |  |
| 41<br>42<br>43 |  |
| 44<br>45       |  |
| 46<br>47<br>48 |  |
| 49<br>50       |  |
| 51<br>52       |  |
| 53<br>54<br>55 |  |
| 56<br>57       |  |
| 58<br>59       |  |

74. Pan B, Ge L, Xun Y-q, et al. Exercise training modalities in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Int J Behav Nutr *Phys Act.* 2018;15(1):72.

Smith AD, Crippa A, Woodcock J, et al. Physical activity and incident type 2 75. diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Springer. 2016.

76. Distiller LA, Nortje H, Wellmann H, et al. A 24-week, prospective, randomized, open-label, treat-to-target pilot study of obese type 2 diabetes patients with severe insulin resistance to assess the addition of exenatide on the efficacy of U-500 regular insulin plus metformin. Endocr Pract. 2014;20(11):1143-50.

El-Haggar SM, Farrag WF, Kotkata FA. Effect of ketotifen in obese patients with 77. type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Complications. 2015;29(3):427-32.

Malek R, Ajili F, Assaad-Khalil SH, et al. Similar glucose control with basal-78. bolus regimen of insulin detemir plus insulin aspart and thrice-daily biphasic insulin aspart 30 in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: Results of a 50-week randomized clinical trial of stepwise insulin intensification. Diabetes Metab. 2015;41(3):223-30.

Ashoush S, El-Said M, Fathi H, et al. Identification of metformin poor 79. responders, requiring supplemental insulin, during randomization of metformin versus insulin for the control of gestational diabetes mellitus. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2016;42(6):640-7.

80. Beyuo T, Obed SA, Adjepong-Yamoah KK, et al. Metformin versus Insulin in the Management of Pre-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0125712.

Ibrahim MI, Hamdy A, Shafik A, et al. The role of adding metformin in insulin-81. resistant diabetic pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2014;289(5):959-65.

Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, 82. pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(2):255-323.

Bailey CJ, Igbal N, T'Joen C, et al. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naive 83. patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2012;14(10):951-9.

Chou HS, Truitt KE, Moberly JB, et al. A 26-week, placebo- and pioglitazone-84. controlled monotherapy study of rivoglitazone in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2012;14(11):1000-9.

De Caterina R, Andersson U, Alexander JH, et al. History of bleeding and 85. outcomes with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation trial. Am Heart J. 2016;175:175-83.

Kadiri A, Al-Nakhi A, El-Ghazali S, et al. Treatment of type 1 diabetes with 86. insulin lispro during Ramadan. Diabetes Metab. 2001;27(4 Pt 1):482-6.

Schumm-Draeger PM, Burgess L, Koranyi L, et al. Twice-daily dapagliflozin co-87. administered with metformin in type 2 diabetes: a 16-week randomized, placebocontrolled clinical trial. Diabetes Obes Metab.. 2015;17(1):42-51.

88. Van Olmen J, Van Pelt M, Malombo B, et al. Process evaluation of a mobile health intervention for people with diabetes in low income countries - the implementation of the TEXT4DSM study. J Telemed Telecare. 2017;23(1):96-105.

89. Wang J, Shi GP. Mast cell stabilization: novel medication for obesity and diabetes. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2011;27(8):919-24.

Chen Z, Sun H, Wang J, et al. Role of Ketotifen on metabolic profiles, 90. inflammation and oxidative stress in diabetic rats. Endocr J. 2017:EJ16-0458.

**BMJ** Open

91. Wang C, Mamza J, Idris I. Biphasic vs basal bolus insulin regimen in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabet Med*. 2015;32(5):585-94.

92. Meece J. Basal insulin intensification in patients with type 2 diabetes: a review. *Diabetes Ther.* 2018;9(3):877-90.

93. Heinemann L, Braune K, Carter A, et al. Insulin storage: a critical reappraisal. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2020:1932296819900258.

94. Bahendeka S, Kaushik R, Swai AB, et al. EADSG guidelines: insulin storage and optimisation of injection technique in diabetes management. *Diabetes Ther*. 2019;10(2):341-66.

95. Asamoah EA, Obirikorang C, Acheampong E, et al. Heritability and Genetics of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Diab Res.* 2020.

96. Onengut-Gumuscu S, Chen WM, Robertson CC, et al. Type 1 Diabetes Risk in African-Ancestry Participants and Utility of an Ancestry-Specific Genetic Risk Score. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42(3):406-15.

97. Cheng C-Y, Reich D, Haiman CA, et al. African ancestry and its correlation to type 2 diabetes in African Americans: a genetic admixture analysis in three US population cohorts. *PloS One*. 2012;7(3):e32840.

98. Ng MC, Shriner D, Chen BH, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies in African Americans provides insights into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes. *PLoS Genet*. 2014;10(8):e1004517.

99. Farrar D, Simmonds M, Bryant M, et al. Treatments for gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(6).

100. Tarry-Adkins JL, Aiken CE, Ozanne SE. Comparative impact of pharmacological treatments for gestational diabetes on neonatal anthropometry independent of maternal glycaemic control: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2020;17(5):e1003126.

101. Abdulrhman MM, El-Hefnawy MH, Aly RH, et al. Metabolic effects of honey in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover pilot study. *J Med Food*. 2013;16(1):66-72.

102. Mohamad RH, Zekry ZK, Al-Mehdar HA, et al. Camel milk as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes: verification of a traditional ethnomedical practice. *J Med Food*. 2009;12(2):461-5.

103. van der Hoogt M, van Dyk JC, Dolman RC, et al. Protein and fat meal content increase insulin requirement in children with type 1 diabetes - Role of duration of diabetes. *J Clin Transl Endocrinol.* 2017;10:15-21.

104. Shori AB. Camel milk as a potential therapy for controlling diabetes and its complications: A review of in vivo studies. *J Food Drug Anal*. 2015;23(4):609-18.

105. Matter RM, Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, et al. Zinc supplementation improves glucose homeostasis in patients with  $\hat{l}^2$ -thalassemia major complicated with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutrition*. 2020;73.

106. Anderson RA, Roussel AM, Zouari N, et al. Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2001;20(3):212-8.

107. El Gayar MH, Aboromia MMM, Ibrahim NA, et al. Effects of ginger powder supplementation on glycemic status and lipid profile in newly diagnosed obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Obes Med*. 2019;14.

108. Moustafa HAM, El Wakeel LM, Halawa MR, et al. Effect of Nigella Sativa oil versus metformin on glycemic control and biochemical parameters of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Endocrine*. 2019;65(2):286-94.

109. El-Sheikh HM, El-Haggar SM, Elbedewy TA. Comparative study to evaluate the effect of L-carnitine plus glimepiride versus glimepiride alone on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2019;13(1):167-73.

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 3        | 110. Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, El-Naggar AR, et al. The effect of 12 weeks                   |
| 4        | carnosine supplementation on renal functional integrity and oxidative stress in pediatric    |
| 5        | patients with diabetic nephropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Pediatr           |
| 6        | diabetes. 2018;19(3):470-7.  |
| 7        | 111 Ali S Ghanem Y Sharaki O et al. The impact of different regimens of vitamin              |
| 8        | d3 on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients Asian J Pharm Clin Res                 |
| 9        | $2010.12(12).21_6$   |
| 10       | 112 Elberhary NS Jamail EAD Zaki MA at al Vitamin B complex supplementation                  |
| 11       | 112. Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Zaki WA, et al. Vitamin B complex supplementation             |
| 12       | as a nonocysteme-lowering therapy for early stage diabetic hephropathy in pediatic           |
| 13       | patients with type T diabetes. A randomized controlled that. Clin Nutr. 2020;39(1):49-       |
| 14       |  |
| 15       | 113. Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Odeniyi IA, et al. Effect of Vitamin D                        |
| 16       | supplementation on glycemic control in Type 2 diabetes subjects in Lagos, Nigeria.           |
| 17       | Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2016;20(2):189-94.  |
| 18       | 114. Jayawardena R, Ranasinghe P, Galappatthy P, et al. Effects of zinc                      |
| 19       | supplementation on diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Diabetol</i> |
| 20       | Metab Syndr. 2012;4(1):13.   |
| 21       | 115. Wang X, Wu W, Zheng W, et al. Zinc supplementation improves glycemic                    |
| 22       | control for diabetes prevention and management: a systematic review and meta-                |
| 23       | analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;110(1):76-90.                 |
| 24       | 116. Daily JW, Yang M, Kim DS, et al. Efficacy of ginger for treating Type 2 diabetes:       |
| 25       | A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. J Ethn Foods.           |
| 20       | 2015;2(1):36-43.   |
| 2/       | 117. Zhu J, Chen H, Song Z, et al. Effects of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) on         |
| 28       | type 2 diabetes mellitus and components of the metabolic syndrome: A systematic              |
| 29       | review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evid Based Complement              |
| 50<br>21 | Alternat Med. 2018:2018.   |
| 37       | 118. Darvabevgi-Khotbehsara R. Golzarand M. Ghaffari MP. et al. Nigella sativa               |
| 33       | improves glucose homeostasis and serum lipids in type 2 diabetes: A systematic               |
| 34       | review and meta-analysis. Complement Ther Med. 2017:35:6-13.                                 |
| 35       | 119 Heshmati I Namazi N Effects of black seed (Nigella sativa) on metabolic                  |
| 36       | parameters in diabetes mellitus: A systematic review Complement Ther Med                     |
| 37       | 2015-23(2)·275-82  |
| 38       | 120 Vidal-Casariago A Burgos-Peláez R Martínez-Faedo C et al Metabolic effects               |
| 39       | of L-carniting on type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. Exp         |
| 40       | Clin Endocrinol Disbetes 2013:121(04):234.8  |
| 41       | 121 Yu V liang W Chen G at all corniting treatment of insulin resistance: A                  |
| 42       | systematic review and mote analysic Adv Clin Exp Mod 2017:26(2):322.8                        |
| 43       | 122 Deg LIN Vitamin C for Type 2 Disbotes Mellitus and Hypertension Arch Med                 |
| 44       | T22. Das ON. Vitamin C for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension. Arch Med               |
| 45       | Res. 2019,50(2).11-4.  |
| 46       | 123. Atknami-Ardekani M, Shojaoddiny-Ardekani A. Effect of vitamin C on blood                |
| 47       | giucose, serum lipids & serum insulin in type 2 diabetes patients. Indian J Med Res.         |
| 48       | 2007;126(5):471.   |
| 49       | 124. Maritim A, Sanders a, Watkins III J. Diabetes, oxidative stress, and antioxidants:      |
| 50       | a review. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2003;17(1):24-38.   |
| 51       | 125. Zhou C, Na L, Shan R, et al. Dietary vitamin C intake reduces the risk of type 2        |
| 52       | diabetes in Chinese adults: HOMA-IR and T-AOC as potential mediators. <i>Plos One</i> .      |
| 53       | 2016;11(9):e0163571.   |
| 54       | 126. Hosseinzadeh H, Nassiri-Asl M. Review of the protective effects of rutin on the         |
| 55       | metabolic function as an important dietary flavonoid. J Endocrinol Invest.                   |
| 56       | 2014;37(9):783-8.  |
| 57       | 127. Pittas AG, Dawson-Hughes B, Sheehan P, et al. Vitamin D Supplementation                 |
| 58       | and Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes. NEJM. 2019;381(6):520-30.                                 |
| 59       |  |
| 60       |  |
|          |  |

**BMJ** Open

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

Seida JC, Mitri J, Colmers IN, et al. Effect of vitamin D3 supplementation on 128. improving glucose homeostasis and preventing diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99(10):3551-60. Rashad H, Metwally FM, Ezzat SM, et al. Randomized double-blinded pilot 129. clinical study of the antidiabetic activity of Balanites aegyptiaca and UPLC-ESI-MS/MS identification of its metabolites. *Pharm Biol*. 2017;55(1):1954-61. 130. Helal EG, El-Wahab A, Samia M, et al. Antidiabetic and antihyperlipidemic effect of Balanites aegyptiaca seeds (aqueous extract) on diabetic rats. Egypt J Hosp *Med*. 2013;52(1):725-39. El-Makaky Y, Shalaby HK. The effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on 131. glycemic control in diabetic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Oral Dis. 2020:26:822-9. EI - Sharkawy HM, Anees MM, Van Dyke TE. Propolis improves periodontal 132. status and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. J Periodontol. 2016;87(12):1418-26. Tsobgny-Tsague NF, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nana ARN, et al. Effects of 133. nonsurgical periodontal treatment on glycated haemoglobin on type 2 diabetes patients (PARODIA 1 study): a randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan Africa population. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):28. Sahile AT, Mgutshini T, Ayehu SM. Oral Health Screening Status of Diabetes 134. Patients in Selected Hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018. Patient Relat Outcome Meas. 2020;11:173. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Africa. (2016). Promoting Oral 135. Health in Africa: Prevention and control of oral diseases and noma as part of essential noncommunicable disease interventions. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Africa. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/205886. Accessed 30 Jan 2021 Nteleki B, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. Conventional podiatric intervention and 136. phototherapy in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Semin Vasc Surg. 2015;28(3-4):172-83. Yakoot M, Abdelatif M, Helmy S. Efficacy of a new local limb salvage treatment 137. for limb-threatening diabetic foot wounds-a randomized controlled study. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2019;12:1659. 138. Wang HT, Yuan JQ, Zhang B, et al. Phototherapy for treating foot ulcers in people with diabetes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017(6). 139. Henshaw FR, Bolton T, Nube V, et al. Topical application of the bee hive protectant propolis is well tolerated and improves human diabetic foot ulcer healing in a prospective feasibility study. J Diabetes Complications. 2014;28(6):850-7. 140. Afkhamizadeh M, Aboutorabi R, Ravari H, et al. Topical propolis improves wound healing in patients with diabetic foot ulcer: a randomized controlled trial. Nat Prod Res. 2018;32(17):2096-9. Hegazi R, El-Gamal M, Abdel-Hady N, et al. Epidemiology of and risk factors for 141. type 2 diabetes in Egypt. Ann Glob Health. 2015;81(6):814-20. Sherwani SI, Khan HA, Ekhzaimy A, et al. Significance of HbA1c test in 142. diagnosis and prognosis of diabetic patients. Biomarker insights. 2016;11:BMI. S38440. OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group\*. "The Oxford 2011 Levels of 143. Evidence". Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=5653. Accessed 31 Jan 2021. 144. Matshego L. How Many Languages of Africa Are There? https://africa.com/many-african-languages/. Accessed 3 Aug 2020.

## Studies on patients with pre-DM

| Study name<br>registration<br>number<br>Design              | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Strategies to en  | hance physica                                   | l activity  |  |  |  |   |
| <b>RezkAllah</b><br>2019<br>ACTRN126170<br>00631303<br>RCT  | Egypt,<br>urban<br>07/2017-<br>01/2018          | Pre-DM, 25-45 yrs, BMI of<br>25–30 kg/m2, HbA1C 5.7–<br>6.4%, fasting glucose 100–<br>125 mg/dL, sedentary<br>lifestyle<br>No history of diabetes,<br>cancer, prediabetic<br>neuropathy, stroke,<br>pulmonary embolism, or<br>severe musculoskeletal<br>problems restricting physical<br>activity   | n=60<br>45 % females<br>age (yrs): 32.9±5.5<br>BMI (kg/m²): 28.3±1.4   | IG2 (n=20):<br>High-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>40 min/session<br>vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Low-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>25 min/session<br>Both with 90 % HR<br>maximum, 3 times/week<br>CG (n=20):<br>No exercise intervention<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Other:</u> fasting glucose                               | After 3 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: Benefit for I<br>4.87±0.34 (-26 %) vs. 5.13±0.57 (-<br>14.5 %) vs. 6.25±0.48 (+3.38 %)<br>(p=0.0001)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dL):<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: 90.8±4.13 (-<br>17.8 %) vs. 93.8±4.16 (-13.2 %) vs.<br>103.8±7.21 (+2.9 %) (p=0.0001) |
| Krawinkel<br>2018<br>DRKS<br>00005131<br>Cross-over-<br>RCT | Tanzania,<br>urban<br>10/2013-<br>03/2014       | Individuals with pre-DM<br>age (yrs): 30 -65,<br>FPG 5.6-6.9 mmol/l (100–<br>125 mg/dL) on 2 days or on<br>one day + HbA1c 5.7-7.5 %,<br>BMI 27–35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , BP 90/60-<br>160/110 mmHg, waist<br>circumference > 80/90 cm for<br>women / men<br>No chronic disease, taking<br>regular intake of medication,<br>identified glucose-6-<br>phosphatase-dehydrogenase<br>deficiency, heavy alcohol<br>consumption, pregnancy, | n=52<br>55% female<br>age (yrs): 47.5±8.7<br>HbA1c (%):5.85±0.43<br>FPG: (mmol/l):<br>5.34±0.49<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):29.6±2.2 | IG/CG (n=30):<br>started with bitter gourd<br>supplementation (2,5 g)<br>over 8 wks, followed by<br>placebo over 8 wks<br>vs.<br><u>CG/IG (n=31):</u><br>first placebo over 8 wks,<br>followed by bitter gourd<br>over 8 wks<br>washout period: 4 wks<br><u>Duration</u> 8 weeks                   | <u>Primary</u> :<br>FPG<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>HbA1c, Insulin, SBP, DBP,<br>lipids | after 8 wks<br><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG/CG: MD 0.31 (0.08-0.5<br><u>HbA1c: (%):</u><br>No differences (MD 0.05)   |

| umber  | Place,<br>setting and                                       | Inclusion / Exclusio   | Population<br>n criteria                    | Characteristics       | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                          | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with |
|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Design   | time  |  |   |                       |   |  | SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|  |   | lactation  |   |                       |   |  |  |
| MI: Body mai<br>IbA1c: haemc<br>ressure; SD: S | ss index; CG: Cor<br>oglobin A1c; IG/(<br>Standard-deviatio | CG: cross over from IG<br>CG: cross over from IG<br>on; wks: weeks; yrs: y | ossover from C<br>6 to CG; IG: inte<br>ears | ervention group; n: r | number of participants; MD: me                        | a pressure; DM: diabetes mo<br>an difference; RCT: randomi | ellitus; FPG: fasting plasma glucose;<br>ized controlled trial; SBP: Systolic blood      |
| ble 2: Char                                    | acteristics and   | results of studies   | on patients                                 | with pre-DM           |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | 6                     |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |                       |   |  |  |
Studies on patients with DM1

| Study name                        | Setting                           | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Educational str                   | ategies                           |   |  |  |   |  |
| Malipa 2013                       | Zambia                            | DM1, 16-19 yrs  | n=40<br>55% females  | <u>IG (n=20):</u> 1 meeting /wk<br>over 8 wks  | Compliance to treatment<br>(Rating scale for  | After 2 months:<br>Compliance: better in IG (11.0 vs. 30;  |
| RCT                               |                                   |   | 16-17 yrs: 35 %<br>18-19 yrs: 65 %<br>Compliance: worse in<br>IG 26.4 vs. 14.6<br>(p=0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes:<br>20.5<br>Worries about<br>diabetes: 20.5<br>Satisfaction with life:<br>20.5 | <u>CG (n=20);</u> waiting list<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 wks   | compliance)<br>Quality of life (impact and<br>worries about diabetes,<br>satisfaction with life)              | p<0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes: better in IG (16.8<br>vs. 24.2; p=0.045)<br>Worries about diabetes: better in IG<br>(14.32 vs. 26.68; p=0.001)<br>Satisfaction with life: better in IG (28.5<br>vs. 12.5; p<0.001) |
| Strategies to er                  | nhance physical                   | activity  |  |  |   |  |
| Salem 2010                        | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban           | DM1 for ≥3 years, 12-18 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥7.5 % for ≥6 months  | n=196<br>61.7 % female   | IG2 (n=73):<br>attended exercise   | glycemic control, plasma<br>lipids values, blood  | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT                               | 02/2009-<br>11/2009               | no significant diabetic<br>complications limiting<br>exercise like, uncontrolled<br>hypertension, diabetic keto-<br>acidosis, severe<br>hypoglycemia within the past<br>3 months, patients on lipid<br>lowering therapy | age (yrs): 14.78 ± 2.31<br>HbA1c (%): 8.7±1.7<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.6 ± 1.9  | sessions three<br>times/week<br>vs.<br><u>IG 1 (n=75):</u> attended<br>exercise sessions once<br>times/week<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=48):</u> no exercise<br><u>Duration: 6 months</u> | pressure, severity and<br>frequency of<br>hypoglycemia,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements and<br>insulin dose | Benefit for IG2 and IG1:<br>7.8 ± 1.0 vs. 8.1 ± 1.1 vs. 8.9 ± 1.3%<br>(p=0.2)  |
| Strategies on n                   | utrition                          |   |  |  |   |  |
| Abdulrhman<br>2013<br>NCT01554566 | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care | DM1, age > 2 yrs,<br>HbA1c< 10 %<br>no renal or hepatic   | n=20<br>50 % females<br>age (yrs): 11.3 ± 4.3<br>duration of diabetes  | <u>IG/ CG (n=10):</u><br>Honey consumption<br>(0.5 ml/kg body weight<br>per day)   | <u>Primary:</u> serum lipids, c-<br>peptide<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>anthropometric measures                  | After 12 weeks: (IG/CG vs. CG/IG):<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>• Benefit with CG/IG: 6.7±0.9 vs. 5.9±0.8<br>(p<0.01)   |
| Cross-over                        | 01/2010 -                         | impairment, coexisting  | (yrs): 4.7±4.5   | VS.  | (e.g. BMI), fasting and 2h-   | • no differences in change in period 1: -  |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populati  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary                               | Longest follow-up period<br>intervention effects (IG vs<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| RCT                              | 10 / 2011                     | diseases or therapies that<br>may affect body weight or<br>serum lipids | HbA1c (%):7.21± 0.76<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.5±22.5 | <u>CG/IG (n=10):</u> changed<br>after 12 wks and received<br>than honey<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 wks. | postprandial glucose,<br>HBA1c, serum lipid profile | 5.83 ± 13.66 vs. 2.94±8.82<br><u>Fasting glucose</u> (mg/dl):<br>• benefit with CG/IG: 142.7<br>116.7±19.4 (p<0.01)<br>benefit with IG/CG in perio<br>10.84 vs0.08±5.14 (p=0. |
| Mohamad                          | Egypt,                        | DM1, age 17 to 20 yrs   | n=64   | <u>IG (n=27):</u>   | Not specified:                                      | After 16 wks  |
| 2009                             | urban                         |   | 30 % female  | camel milk (500 ml)   | HbA1c, human C-peptide,                             | <u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
|                                  |                               | no acute metabolic  | age (yrs): 19.9±6.8  | +usual care   | lipid profile, serum                                | Benefit for IG: 7.16±1.84 v   |
| RCT                              |                               | complications like diabetic   | HbA1c (%): 9.52±2.08   | VS.   | insulin, anti-insulin                               | fasting glucose (mg/dl):  |
|                                  |                               | ketoacidosis, hypoglycaemia,  | fasting glucose  | <u>CG (n=27):</u>   | antibodies, creatinine                              | benefit for IG: 227.2±17.7  |
|                                  |                               | cardiovascular events, renal  | $(mg/dI): 228.7\pm13.5$  | usual care for diabetes   | clearance, albumin                                  | 98.9±16.2   |
|                                  |                               | or acute infections   | BIVII (Kg/m-):   | (i.e. diet, exercise, insulin   | extraction in 24 h urine,                           |   |
|                                  |                               |   | 10.0215.01   | Duration: 16 wooks  | fasting glucoso                                     |   |
| van der Hoogt                    | South Africa                  | DM1 age 4-17 yrs on insulin   | n=32   | IG1 (n=22).   | nrimary: neak sensor                                | Change over 12 weeks  |
| 2017                             | Southranica                   | pump therapy. HbA1c>9.6%  | 41% female   | 1 home-based low fat and  | glucose value post-meal.                            | Occurance of hypoglycaer  |
|                                  |                               | for $\geq$ 3months. BMI/age   | age (vrs): 10.4±4.0  | protein meal  | time to peak sensor                                 | 7 (32 %) vs. 1 patients after   |
| cross-over                       |                               | z.score -1 to < 3, total daily  | HbA1c (%): 8.2±0.8   | vs.   | glucose, time of first and                          | (- , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - ,  |
| RCT                              |                               | insulin use of >0,5 u/kg  | duration of Diabetes   | <u>IG2 (n=22):</u>  | largest correction bolus,                           |   |
|                                  |                               | no remission of diabetes,   | (yrs): 3.5 (1.5-8.0)   | 1 high fat and protein  | total correction insulin,                           |   |
|                                  |                               | smoking, coeliac disease,   |  | meal with identical   | total meal insulin,                                 |   |
|                                  |                               | cystic fibrosis, diseases or  |  | carbohydrate content  | additional insulin                                  |   |
|                                  |                               | medication that are   |  | two meals were  | required ,area under the                            |   |
|                                  |                               | associated with delayed   |  | consumed at dinner time   | sensor glucose response                             |   |
|                                  |                               | gastric emptying or altered   |  | (18:00) under parental  | curve (AUC) (≥ 8 mmol/L),                           |   |
|                                  |                               | digestation, glucocorticoids,   |  | supervision at least 1 day  | duration of elevated post-                          |   |
|                                  |                               | oral diabetic drugs, no acute   |  | apart within one month  | prandial glucose                                    |   |
| Medical device                   |                               | linesses  |  | Duration: 3months   |   |   |
|                                  |                               |   |  |   |   |   |
| Elbarbary                        | Egypt,                        | DM1, adolescents and adults   | n=73   | Insulin pump therapy  | Primary: hypoglycaemia                              | After 1 months:   |
| 2016                             | urban                         | who wished to fast the  | 68.3% female   | during Ramadan fasting  | Other: glucose value,                               | Glucose value (mg/dl):  |
|                                  |                               | month of Ramadan with   | age (yrs): 15.6±2.7  | 10 (  | number of 'full fasted                              | 152.5±17.3 vs. 141±33.8 (   |
| RCT                              | 06/2014-                      | Insulin pump for ≥6 months  | HbA1c (%): 7.65±0.9  | <u>IG (n=25):</u>   | days', emergency hospital                           | Complications:  |
|                                  | 07/2014                       | and attending the whole   | BMI (kg/m²):   | sensor with low glucose   | visit for diabetes-related                          | Number of hypoglycaemic   |

| Study name                              | Setting                       | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|   |                               | education session 2 months<br>before fasting and committed<br>to follow-up the given<br>instructions   | 24.56±3.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 5.8±2.9<br>on pump therapy<br>(yrs): 1.73±0.99 | suspension activation<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=35):</u><br>sensor without low<br>glucose suspension | problem   | 3.68±1.62 vs. 6.7±2.1 (p=0.001)<br>Number of hyperglycaemic excursion:<br>17.0±4.0 vs. 23.0±7.6 (p=0.001)<br>No severe hypoglycaemic events, no<br>episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis, no |
|   |                               | no diabetic ketoacidosis,<br>episodes of severe<br>hypoglycaemia or symptoms<br>of uncontrolled diabetes in  |  | activation<br><u>Duration</u> :1 month  |   | hyperglycaemic events associated wit<br>ketosis no deaths or device-related<br>SAE   |
|   |                               | the last 6 months, diabetic<br>microvascular complications<br>or macrovascular disease,  |  |   |   |  |
|   |                               | pregnant women   |  |   |   |  |
| Pharmacologica                          | al Strategies                 |  |  |   |   |  |
| Elbarbary<br>2018<br>NCT0292825<br>RCT  | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, age: 9 - 18 yrs, ≥ 5 yrs<br>disease duration, active<br>diabetic nephropathy in the<br>form of microalbuminuria,<br>HbA1c ≤ 8.5 %   | n=90<br>52.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 12.85±3.1<br>HbA1c (%):7.85±1.95                       | <u>IG (n=45):</u><br>1 g/d carnosine<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>control/placebo group     | <u>Primary</u> : change in<br>tubular damage marker<br><u>Secondary</u> : urinary<br>albumin excretion (UAE),<br>oxidative stress markers<br>Safety: any AE | After 12 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>• Benefit for IG: 7.4 ±1.3 vs. 8.3±2.4<br>• change -9.88±7.12 vs. 3.89±2.28<br>(p=0.005)<br>No adverse reactions were reported                     |
|   |                               | no infection, renal  |  | Patients in both groups   |   |  |
|   |                               | causes other than diabetes,<br>other diabetic complications,<br>hypersensitivity to carnosine  |  | captopril 25 mg<br>Duration: 12 wks   |   |  |
| <b>Elbarbary</b><br>2020<br>NCT03594240 | Egypt,<br>urban<br>03/2017-   | impairment due to other<br>causes other than diabetes,<br>other diabetic complications,<br>hypersensitivity to carnosine<br>DM1 on insulin therapy with<br>> 5 yrs of disease duration,<br>12-18 yrs, active<br>nephropathy, HbA1c< 8.5 %. | n=80<br>55% female<br>age (yrs): 15.4 ± 1.6<br>HbA1c (%):7.95±0.5                          | both groups received oral<br>angiotensis-converting-<br>enzyme inhibitors<br>(captopril)      | <u>Primary</u> : Cystatin C<br>diet, physical activity, and<br>metformin dosage   | after 12 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.5±0.6 vs. 8.0±0.6<br><u>Fasting glucose (mg/dl)</u> :   |

| ogistration      |                               | Populatio  | n                      | Intervention vs. Control                                | Outcomes                     | Results  |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics        | Description with duration                               | Primary and secondary        | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|                  |                               | elevated liver enzymes,<br>hyper-or hypothyroidism,<br>hypertension, neoplasm,<br>taking any vitamins or food<br>supplements within 1 months<br>before study start |                        | <u>CG (n=40)</u> : placebo<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 weeks |                              |  |
| 3MI: Body mas    | s index; CG: Cor              | ntrol group; CG/IG: Crossover from   | CG to IG; CI: Confider | nce interval; DM1: Type 1 diabet                        | es; FPG: fasting plasma gluc | ose; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG/CG:  |
|                  |                               | Less Resident Process and an   |                        |   |                              |  |
| Die 5. Chara     | icteristics and               | results of studies of patient  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |
|                  |                               |  |                        |   |                              |  |

## RCTs mainly including patients with DM2

| Study name                              | Setting   | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                       | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Educational stra                        | ategies   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Abaza 2017</b><br>NCT02868320<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>care,<br>03-07/2015 | DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read | n=73<br>56 % females<br>age (yrs): 51.5±9.2<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %<br>on insulin: 19.2 %<br>DM complication:<br>80.8 %<br>HbA1c (%): 9.7±2.7 | Diabetes awareness<br>program:<br>paper-based educations<br>material plus<br>IG (n=34): daily messages<br>and weekly reminders<br>addressing various<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=39):</u> paper-based<br>educations material<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks. | Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>Random blood glucose<br>levels, body weight,<br>adherence of treatment<br>and medication, diabetes<br>self-efficacy and<br>knowledge, rate of<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>frequency of<br>measurements, regular<br>exercise, patients<br>confidence in healthcare<br>provider and satisfaction,<br>healthcare provider's<br>reputation | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>No differences: 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>8.84±2.40, MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.983; p = 0.406)<br>Benefit with IG: 47 vs. 15 % achieved<br>the targeted 1% drop (p = 0.003)<br><u>Random blood glucose</u> (mg/dl):<br>No difference: 181±65 vs. 201±87<br>(p=0.288)<br><u>Treatment adherence (scores)</u> :<br>Benefit with IG in SCI 3.42±0.48 vs.<br>2.52±0.49 (p<0.001) and Morisky:<br>3.76±0.55 vs. 2.74±1.07 (p<0.001)<br><u>Hospital /ER admission</u> (%):<br>No differences: 0 vs. 10.3 (p=0.118) |
| Adibe 2013                              | Nigeria,<br>urban,                                  | DM2, age≥ 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin                      | n=220<br>58 % females  | <u>IG (n=110):</u><br>structured self-care   | <u>Primary</u> : incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net  | After 12 months:<br>Quality of life:   |
| RCT                                     | tertiary care                                       | therapy<br>no pregnancy  | age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.7±2.5,<br>60.5% with diabetes<br>> 5 yrs<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %   | education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=110):</u> usual /<br>conventional care<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months  | monetary benefit<br><u>Other:</u> quality of life  | <ul> <li>Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0.64 ± 0.10 (p=0.0001) improved single attributes except "hearing" functioning of the patients Costs:</li> <li>benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64± 0.15 QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.12 (0.07 to 0.16)</li> <li>incremental cost-utility ratio of \$571 per QALY</li> </ul>  |
| Adjei 2015                              | Ghana,<br>urban                                     | DM   | n=200<br>64.5% female  | IG: (n=100):<br>electronical reminder for  | Primary: Compliance with appointment dates   | After 6 months:<br><u>Adherence to appointment schedules</u>   |

| 1     |  |
|-------|--|
| 2     |  |
| 2     |  |
| 3     |  |
| 4     |  |
| 5     |  |
| 6     |  |
| 7     |  |
| ,     |  |
| 8     |  |
| 9     |  |
| 10    |  |
| 11    |  |
| 12    |  |
| 12    |  |
| 13    |  |
| 14    |  |
| 15    |  |
| 16    |  |
| 17    |  |
| 17    |  |
| 18    |  |
| 19    |  |
| 20    |  |
| 21    |  |
| 22    |  |
| 22    |  |
| 23    |  |
| 24    |  |
| 25    |  |
| 26    |  |
| 27    |  |
| 20    |  |
| 28    |  |
| 29    |  |
| 30    |  |
| 31    |  |
| 32    |  |
| 22    |  |
| 22    |  |
| 34    |  |
| 35    |  |
| 36    |  |
| 37    |  |
| 38    |  |
| 20    |  |
| 27    |  |
| 40    |  |
| 41    |  |
| 42    |  |
| 43    |  |
| 11    |  |
| +<br> |  |
| 45    |  |
| 46    |  |

| registration Place, Inclusion / Exclusion criteria Characteristics Description with duration Primary and secondary Longest follow-up period  |  |
|--|--|
| numbersetting andintervention effects (IGDesigntimeSD, 95%-Cl or p value   | iod with<br>G vs. CG) with   |
| RCT       age (yrs):       clinical appointments of<br>patients + alert system       Other: metabolic risk       (%)         < 50 yrs: 63 %  | 89.4 (p=0.010)<br>  <u>/ ):</u><br>14 vs. 8.85±2.63;<br>, p=0.022) |
| Amendezo         Rwanda,         DM2>3mths, age>21yrs         n=251         IG (n=115):         Primary: difference in         after 12 months:  |  |
| 2017urban,69.3% femalesstandard care plusHbA1cHbA1c (%):   |  |
| NCT02032108       tertiary care       no pregnancy or severe co-<br>morbid illnesses.       age (yrs): 50.9 ±10.9       monthly lifestyle       Secondary: fasting       Benefit for IG with med<br>of -1.70 (-2.09 to -1.31)         NCT02032108       tertiary care       no pregnancy or severe co-<br>morbid illnesses.       age (yrs): 50.9 ±10.9       monthly lifestyle       Secondary: fasting       Benefit for IG with med<br>of -1.70 (-2.09 to -1.31)  | dian reductions<br>) vs0.52 (-0.95                                 |
| RCT         (27.0-28.5)         min duration         diastolic blood pressure,         to -0.10); MD: -0.72 ( -  | -1.14 to -0.30;  |
| duration of diabetes : vs. BMI p< 0.001)   |  |
| <10 yrs: 73.7%, >10 CG (n=108): standard Fasting glucose (mmol, provide the standard Fasting glucose (mmol, provide the standard for the st    | I <u>/L):</u>  |
| Yrs: 16.3% Care 6.9 (6.45 to 7.36) vs. 9   | 9.02 (8.18 to  |
| 9.3  |  |
| Chraibi 2017 Egypt, DM2 with diagnosis ≥ 12 n=155 <u>IG (n=76)</u> : Primary: change in HbA1c Change over 5 months   | 5:   |
| NCT01589653 Indonesia, months, age≥18, currently 74.9% female patient driven titration of Secondary: proportion of HbA1c (%):  |  |
| Morocco, being treated with NPH age (yrs): 54.5 ±10.0 Biphasic insulin aspart 30 patients achieving the • Decreased in both arm  | ns with non-   |
| RCTSaudiInsulin for $\geq$ 3 months +BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):twice daily, 3 clinic visitsADA target of HbA1cinferiority between group   | oups: MD -0.23   |
| Arabia, metformin (1000-1500 mg) 29.05±4.9 vs. <7.0 % and the HbA1c (-0.54 to 0.08)  |  |
| Vietnam for $\ge 2$ months, HbA1c $\ge 7.0\%$ HbA1c (%): 8.6 $\pm 0.83$ <u>CG (n=79)</u> : target of <6.5% after • More patients reached   | d HbA1c <7.0%:   |
| $\leq$ 10%, Bivit $\leq$ 40.0 kg/m lasting glucose physician unven titration 20 weeks, FPG changes, 40.8 vs. 29.1 %, RR: 1.  | ./9 (U.8/ to 3.65)   |
| 07/2015 no treatment with duration of diabetes to 3 46)  | 0, NN. 1.32 (0.07  |
| thiazolidinedione, glucagon- (yrs): 9.5±5.8 Titration in both arms More patients reached   | d target HbA1c   |
| like peptide-1 receptor African patients: according to the titration levels without severe c   | or minor   |
| agonists, pramlintide within  • Egypt: 25.75 % protocol bases on self- hypoglycemic episodes   | s: <7.0%: 38 vs.   |
| the last 3 months , >1 IU/kg • Morocco: 27.7 % measured plasma glucose 27.8 %, RR: 1.52 (0.61  | to 3.79), <6.5%:   |
| NPH insulin daily; previousDiabetic nephropathyvalues, measured twice18 vs. 14.8 %; RR 1.13  | (0.36 to 3.52)   |
| use of premixed or bolus / neuropathy / daily on 3 preceding days, <u>FPG (mmol/l):</u>  |  |
| Insulin, > 1 severe retinopathy (%): 3.2 / telephone contact • Decreased in both arm   | ns with no   |
| difference between groups and the second difference between groups and the sec | oups: 0.95±0.28  |

| Study name                                      | Setting  | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|   |  | the previous 12 month,<br>impaired kidney or hepatic<br>function, proliferative<br>retinopathy or maculopathy<br>requiring treatment                                  | Macroangiopathy (%):<br>5.2   | necessary<br><u>Duration</u> : 20 weeks   |   | <ul> <li>vs. 0.67±0.28; MD: -0.28 (-1.07 to 0.<br/><u>Costs</u></li> <li>Less frequent clinic visits to healthca<br/>professionals in IG: 4.8±0.65 vs.<br/>7.5±1.42 visits/patient<br/><u>Complications:</u></li> <li>hypoglycemic episodes: no difference<br/>608.4 vs. 789.2 / 100 patient-years of<br/>exposure; RR: 0.74 (0.44; 1.23)<br/>treatment-emergent AEs:<br/>difference:_324.2 vs. 302.2 event<br/>100 patient-years of exposure</li> </ul> |
| <b>Debussche<br/>2018</b><br>NCT01485913<br>RCT | Mali,<br>urban,<br>secondary<br>care,<br>07/2011-<br>02/2013 | DM2, age 30-80 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥ 8 %,<br>no DM1, severe diabetes<br>complications or concomitant<br>illnesses that threatened<br>their functional or vital<br>prognosis | n=151<br>76.2% female<br>age (yrs): 52.5±9.8<br>BMI (kg/m²):28.6±5.4                | IG (n=76):<br>peer-led structured<br>patient education<br>received culturally<br>tailored structured<br>patient education (3<br>courses of 4 sessions)<br>delivered in the<br>community by five | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary:<br>anthropometric<br>indicators (weight and<br>BMI, waist<br>circumference), SBP, DBP,<br>anti-diabetic and anti-<br>hypertensive treatment,<br>knowledge score, dietary | Change to 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>• Benefit in IG: MD 1.05 % (-1.!<br>0.56) vs0.15 % (-0.56; 0.26) (1<br>0.006)   |
|   |  |   |   | trained peer educators<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=75): c</u> onventional<br>care alone<br><u>Duration</u> :1 yr   | practices   |  |
| Essien<br>2017                                  | Nigeria,<br>urban,   | DM1 or DM2, age: ≥ 18 yrs,<br>HbA1c> 8.5 %, able to engage  | n=118<br>60.2 % female  | IG: (n=59):<br>intensive and systematic   | Primary: HbA1c  | After 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>8.4 (8 to 8.0) up 10.2 (0.8 to 10.7)   |
| PACTR201302<br>00047835                         | care,<br>09/2013-  | no eye disease that would<br>limit the ability to read  | age (yrs). 52.7±10.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>28.9±7.5<br>HbA1c (%):10.7±1.6 | education programme<br>(invitation and<br>encouragement by  |   | $MD_a$ : -1.8 (-2.4 to -1.2); (p < 0.0001)   |
| RCT   | 05/2014  |   | type of diabetes<br>• DM1: 14.4 %<br>• DM2: 85.6 %                                  | clinical staff to attend 12<br>structured teaching<br>sessions)<br>vs.  |   |  |

| Study name  | Setting   | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|   |   |   |   | <u>CG (n=59):</u> conventional<br>disease-self-management<br>education<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months  |  |  |
| Fairall 2016<br>ISRCTN20283<br>604<br>Cluster-RCT | South Africa<br>,<br>urban/rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>03/2011 –<br>11 / 2011 | age ≥ 18 yrs , clinics providing<br>service for NCD Patients with<br>DM, hypertension, chronic<br>respiratory disease or<br>depression, with self-<br>reported hypoglycaemic (in<br>case of DM) | n= 38 public sector<br>primary care clinics,<br>4393 patients,<br>n=1842 with DM<br>73 % female<br>age (yrs):median,<br>IQR): 52 (42-61) vs. 52<br>(44-62)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30±8<br>HbA1c (%):9 (4-17), in<br>HbA1c in DM≥ 7 %:<br>77 % | IG (n=2166, 851 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses were trained to<br>use a primary care<br>programme to support<br>and expand nurses'role in<br>NCD care and contains a<br>clinical management tool<br>with enhances<br>prescribing provisions<br>vs.<br>CG (n=2227, 991 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses continued to use<br>the Lung Health and<br>HIV/AIDS approach with<br>usual training<br>Duration: 14 months | Primary (for DM):<br>treatment intensification<br>(addition or increase in<br>dose of metformin and/or<br>sulphonylurea, insulin,<br>ACE-inhibitor, aspirin,<br>statin | over 14 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>< 7 %: 41 vs. 38 %; RR 1.08 (0.77 to<br>1.52; p=0.638)<br>7-10 %: 69 vs. 55 %; RR 1.30 (1.16 to<br>1.47; p<0.001)<br>> 10 %: 71 vs. 73 %; RR 0.97 (0.81 to<br>1.16; p=0.703)<br><u>Treatment intensification rates* (%):</u><br>57% vs. 50%, RRa: 1.11 (0.99 to 1.26)<br>(p=0.083) for patients with DM |
| Hailu 2018<br>NCT03185689<br>RCT                  | Ethiopia,<br>urban,<br>02/2016-<br>10/2017                                      | DM2, age > 18 yrs<br>no DM1 or GDM, pregnant<br>women, severe cognitive or<br>physical impairment, and<br>terminally ill people   | n=220<br>33 % female<br>age (yrs): 54.5±10<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):25±4<br>HbA1c (%):10.5±4   | IG (n= 116):<br>Nurse-led disease-<br>management education:<br>6 sessions, supported<br>with illustrative pictures<br>handbooks and fliers,<br>customized to local<br>conditions by trained<br>nurses<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=104):</u><br>usual follow-up care<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 months  | Primary:<br>patients with target<br>HbA1c ( ≤ 7 %)<br><u>Secondary</u> : systolic and<br>diastolic blood pressure,<br>fasting glycose, BMI,<br>waist circumference     | Change over 9 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>No difference: 45 % vs. 50 % with<br>target values (p=0.21), MD: 2.88% (-<br>3.85 to -1.92) vs. 2.57% (-3.47 to -<br>1.67)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>Benefit with IG: 36 % vs.25 % with<br>target values, MD: -27 ( -45 to -9;<br>p=0.003)  |

| Page 43 | 3 of 155 |
|---------|----------|
|---------|----------|

| Study name                                     | Setting   | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design               | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                 | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Labhardt<br>2011<br>NCT00744458<br>Cluster-RCT | Cameroon<br>rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>08/2008-<br>02/2010 | newly detected adult patients<br>with DM2 and /or<br>hypertension in the<br>catchment area of nurse-led<br>health centres, staffed,<br>equipped and trained to care<br>for DM2 and hypertension | n=33 facilities, 221<br>patients<br>64% females<br>age (yrs): 59.8±12.7<br>diabetes: 15.4 %<br>Overweight (BMI 25-<br>29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.5 %<br>Obesity<br>(BMI> 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>20.4 % | IG 1 (11 centres, n=55):<br>incentive group<br>free treatment for<br>1 months for patients<br>who regularly attended<br>follow up visits<br>vs.<br>IG 2 (11 centres, n=77):<br>letter group: reminder<br>letters in case of a missed<br>follow-up visit<br>vs.<br>CG (11 centres, n=89):<br>no additional<br>intervention<br>Duration: 12 months            | Primary:<br>Patient retention at 1 yr<br>(≥ 12 follow-up visits<br>within 12 months)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Adherence with timely<br>attendance of follow-up<br>visit schemes and<br>changes in blood pressure<br>and blood glucose levels.   | After 12 months:<br>Retention rates (%):<br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2 vs.CG: 60 v<br>vs. 29 %; MD 34 (21 to 46) with no<br>differences between IG1 and IG2; I<br>5 (-22 to 12)<br>Loss to follow-up:<br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: IG1 vs. CG<br>0.44 (0.27 to 0.72; $p < 0.001$ )<br>IG2 vs. CG: HR 0.38 (0.24 to 0.61;<br>p < 0.001)<br>Adherence (%):<br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: 38 vs. 35 v<br>10; MD 26 (14 to 42), IG1 vs CG: M<br>28(13 to 37); IG2 vs. CG: MD 25 (13<br>37)<br>no difference between IG1 and IG2<br>MD 3 (-14 to 20)<br>FPG:<br>No differences between groups |
| Mash 2014                                      | South   | DM2 with any therapy  | n=34 public sector   | IG (17 health centres,  | Primary:   | After 12 months:   |
| Cluster RCT                                    | urban,<br>primary<br>care,<br>12/2010<br>-12/2012             | centres in the working class<br>areas of Cape Town<br>Metropole<br>no DM1, dementia, mental<br>illness or acute illness   | centres, 1570<br>patients<br>73.8% females<br>age (yrs): 56.1±11.6<br>HbA1c (%): 9.1±2.3   | 4 monthly sessions lasting<br>60 min with group<br>education about diabetes<br>topics (understanding<br>diabetes and medication,<br>living a healthy lifestyle<br>and preventing<br>complications), delivered<br>by a health promotion<br>officer vs.<br><u>CG (17 health centres,<br/>n=860):</u><br>usual care: ad hoc advice<br>during consultations and | self-care activities (5 %<br>weight loss, and a 1 %<br>reduction in HbA1c level)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>improved diabetes<br>specific self-efficacy,<br>locus of control, mean<br>blood pressure, mean<br>weight loss, mean waist<br>circumference, mean<br>HbA1c, mean total<br>cholesterol levels, quality<br>of life | No differences: 8.4±2.0 vs. 8.8±2.2<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.01 (-0.27 to 0.28; p=0.967)<br><u>Adherence (self-care activities):</u><br>No differences in scores of physica<br>activity, use of diet plan or medica<br>foot care or frequency of smoking<br><u>Quality of life:</u><br>No differences in physical function<br>role or social functioning, mental of<br>general health and pain<br><u>Costs:</u><br>Incremental cost effectiveness rat<br>1862 Dollar/ QALY gained  |

| Study name                                      | Setting   | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value                                       |
|   |   |   |   | occasional educational<br>talks in waiting room<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   |   |   |
| Muchiri 2015                                    | South<br>Africa.                                  | DM2, age 40-70 yrs attending community health centres.  | n=82<br>86.6 % female   | <u>IG (n=41):</u><br>education materials+ 8  | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br>Secondary:  | over 12 months<br>HbA1c (%):  |
| RCT   | rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>04/2010-<br>11/2011 | HbA1c≥ 8 %, blood sugar<br>levels ≥ 10 mmol/l, duration<br>of diabetes ≥ 1 yr<br>no insulin therapy, pregnant<br>women, full time employed  | age (yrs): 59±7.4<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30.9±6.9<br>HbA1c (%): 11.1±2.0<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6   | weekly group educational<br>sessions about diabetes<br>and nutrition, follow-up<br>sessions+vegetable<br>gardening<br><u>CG (n=41):</u><br>education materials<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months  | Other clinical outcomes<br>(BMI, blood pressure and<br>blood lipids), HbA1c,<br>dietary behaviours  | <ul> <li>no difference: 9.8±1.92 vs. 10.4±1.92;</li> <li>MD –0.63 (-0.26 to 1.50; p=0.16)</li> </ul>                                  |
| <b>Owolabi 2019</b><br>PACTR201810<br>599931422 | South Africa<br>urban/rural,<br>primary<br>care   | DM, age ≥18 yrs, DM<br>diagnosed at least in the last<br>6 months, currently receiving<br>treatment at the selected   | n=216<br>84.3 % females<br>age (yrs): 60.6±11.6<br>DM2 (%): 94  | IG (n=108):<br>daily SMS text-messaging<br>SMS at an agreed time of<br>the day according to  | Primary: Morning random<br>blood sugar<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>co-morbid outcomes  | Over 6 months:<br><u>Blood glucose levels</u> (mmol/L):<br>-1.58±5.29 vs1.95±4.69; MD 0.51(-<br>0.8 to 1.82) MD. 0.26 (-0.81 to 1.32) |
| RCT   | 07/2018-<br>04/2019                               | clinics, on stable medication<br>for ≥ 3 months prior to<br>recruitment, uncontrolled<br>glycaemic control,<br>in possession of a mobile<br>phone, able to retrieve and<br>read SMSs and willing to<br>receive SMSs<br>health or mental conditions<br>that could interfere with the<br>study, pregnant or planning<br>to get pregnant within the<br>next 6 months, debilitated or<br>handicapped in such a way<br>that obtaining<br>anthropometric<br>measurements could be | Treated with oral pills<br>(%): 75.5<br>Duration of DM (yrs):<br>9.1±7.4<br>Duration of DM<br>treatment<br>(yrs): 8.8±7.2<br>Hypertension (%):<br>83.0<br>Random blood<br>glucose (mmol/L):<br>14.34±3.9<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.2±6.2 | their needs, care plan and<br>goal with motivational<br>and support messages,<br>advice on lifestyle<br>behaviours (e.g. diets,<br>physical activity, smoking<br>cessation, medication and<br>appointment reminders)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=108):</u><br>usual diabetes care<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months | (hypertension and<br>obesity), obtained<br>through blood pressure<br>measurement,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements (body<br>weight, BMI)<br>acceptability, feasibility |   |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 45 of 155

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes                             | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary                | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) v<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|                                  |                               | challenging   |  |   |                                      |  |
| Sodipo 2017                      | Nigeria,                      | DM2 ≥ 18 yrs. on antidiabetic   | n=120  | IG (n=60):  | HbA1C, fasting glucose               | after 3 months:  |
| KC I                             | care,                         | no patients with  | gender: 50% female<br>age (yrs): 59±10.95<br>HbA1c (%): 8 7+2 45               | self-monitoring of blood<br>glucose before and after<br>meals 3 days a week for |                                      | HDAIC (%):<br>No difference: 7.2±2.0 vs.7.7±2.0  |
|                                  | 03/2013-                      | emergencies, chronic  | fasting glucose  | 12 weeks  |                                      | fasting glucose (mg/dl):   |
|                                  | 11/2013                       | complications such as<br>nephropathy, neuropathy<br>etc., those already using<br>glucometer | (mg/dl): 152±60.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 50%> 3yrs                  | <u>CG (n=60);</u> non SMBG<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks                          |                                      | No difference: 123.2±35.1 vs.<br>137.6±50.1 (p=0.087)  |
| Steyn 2013                       | South<br>Africa,              | public sector primary health<br>care clinics (CHC) with ≥ 25                                | 18 community health centres  | IG (9 clinics, n=229):<br>introduction of structured                            | primary: HbA1C in the diabetes group | After 3 months:<br>HbA1c (%):  |
| Cluster-RCT                      | urban,                        | diabetes and ≥ hypertension   | n=1096, of them  | clinical record with  | secondary:                           | IG: 8.8% vs. 8.8%; MDa -1.0 (-1.1  |
|                                  | primary                       | patients  | n= 456 with DM   | guidelines prompts after  | uncontrolled glycaemia               | 0.9)   |
|                                  | care,                         | age ≥15yrs, a documented  | age (yrs): 58.3 ± 11   | training of doctors in  | (HbA1c ≥7%) in the                   | <u>HbA1c ≥7% (%):</u>  |
|                                  |                               | attendee at the particular  | gender:74 % females  | their use and suggestions   | diabetes group.                      | no relevant difference: 64.1 vs. 6   |
|                                  | 1999-2000                     | CHC with $\geq 4$ visits during the   | BMI (kg/m²':   | to incorporate them in  |                                      | MD 0.90 (0.53 to 1.53)   |
|                                  |                               | previous year for   | $30.7 \pm 6.2$   | regular patient records,  |                                      |  |
|                                  |                               | received treatment for these  | NM1.5.8%   | vs  |                                      |  |
|                                  |                               | conditions at each visit  | <ul> <li>DM1: 5.8%</li> <li>DM2: 91.35%</li> <li>uncertain DM type:</li> </ul> | <u>CG (9 clinics, n= 227):</u><br>usual care with passively                     |                                      |  |
|                                  |                               | no patients_being unable to<br>answer a questionnaire                                       | 2.85%  | disseminated guidelines<br><u>Duration:</u> 1 year                              |                                      |  |
| Takenga 2014                     | Congo,<br>urban               | DM2, 35-75 yrs  | n=40<br>20 % females   | <u>IG (n=20):</u><br>self-management of   | <u>primary:</u> HbA1c                | after 2 months:<br>HbA1c (%):  |
| RCT                              |                               |   | age (yrs): 53.3 ± 10.1   | diabetes with Mobil DIAB  |                                      | Benefit for IG: 6.73±1.59 vs. vs.  |
|                                  |                               |   | HDA1C (%): 8.63  | (telemedical approach)  |                                      | 8.6±1.35 (MD -1.87 (-2.91 to -0.8  |
|                                  |                               |   |  | $\frac{vs.}{(n=20)}$  |                                      |  |
|                                  |                               |   |  | conventional therapy  |                                      |  |
|                                  |                               |   |  | without telemedical   |                                      |  |
|                                  |                               |   |  | system  |                                      |  |

| Study name  | Setting   | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                      | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|   |   |  |  | Duration: 60 days   |  |  |
| Tawfik 2016   | Egypt,<br>urban,  | DM2 for ≥ 1 yr, 40-79 yrs<br>attending an outpatient clinic  | n=255<br>53.7 % females  | <u>IG (n=127</u> ):<br>comprehensive  | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary:   | After 3 months:<br>HbA1c (%):  |
| RCT   | primary<br>care,<br>05/2015-<br>09/2015                           | no patients who were already<br>using a similar medication<br>chart, severe or terminal<br>health conditions, or patients<br>with behavioural health issue<br>that could make it difficult to<br>understand the<br>communication | age (yrs): 55.7±8.35<br>HbA1c (%): 8.14±1.3<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 8.3±1.3  | cardiovascular risk<br>communication<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=128):</u><br>standard usual care<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months  | Cardiovascular risk<br>perception, diabetes self-<br>care, cardiovascular risk<br>scores   | Benefit for IG: 7.5±0.8 vs. 8.12±0.9;<br>MD -0.62 (-0.85 to -0.39)<br><u>controlled HbA1c (%):</u><br>32.7 vs. 29.9  |
| <b>Thuita 2020</b><br>PACTR201910<br>518676391<br>RCT | Kenya<br>Secondary<br>care<br>recruitment<br>08/2016 -<br>10/2016 | DM2, 20-79 yrs with regular<br>attendance of an outpatient<br>clinic<br>Pregnancy, complications<br>such as renal failure,<br>congestive heart failure, or<br>stroke   | n=153<br>59.5 % females<br>age (yrs). 56±11.6<br>Family history of DM<br>(%): 46.6<br>Poor glycaemic<br>control (%) with<br>HbA1c>7%: 77.8<br>DM for 1-5 yrs (%):<br>58.2 %<br>Years with DM:<br>6.7±6.9<br>Oral medications (%):<br>82.4<br>BMP (kg/m2): 27±4.6<br>HbA1c (%): 8.49±1.9<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.0±3.3 | IG2 (n=51):<br>nutrition education<br>programme for 2 hrs<br>/week with peer-to-peer<br>support<br>vs.<br>IG1 (n=51):<br>Education programme<br>vs.<br>CG (n=51):<br>Standard care<br>Duration: 8 weeks | Primary: metabolic<br>syndrome prevalence<br>(MetS)<br><u>Other:</u> anthropometry<br>and clinical data, blood<br>pressure, blood glucose<br>and lipid profile, physical<br>activity levels, food intake | After 6 months:<br><u>Metabolic syndrome prevalence</u> : lower<br>with IG2:<br>Harmonized criteria:52.1 vs.69.4 vs.<br>91.3 (p<0.001)<br>WHO: 58.3 vs. 77.6 vs. 89.1 (p=0.003)<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Mean change: no differences -<br>$2.04\pm2.70$ vs. $1.48\pm2.73$ vs. $-0.73\pm2.71$<br>High HbA1c: no differences: 47.9 vs.<br>29.0 vs. 34.8 %<br>fasting glucose ( <u>mmol/l)</u> :<br>no differences: -2.59\pm0.66 vs<br>$2.95\pm0.64$ vs. $-1.55\pm0.68$<br>high fasting glucose: 79.2 vs. 83.7 vs.<br>91.3 % |

| Page 47 o | of 15 | 5 |
|-----------|-------|---|
|-----------|-------|---|

| Study name                       | Setting                                  | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time            | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wi<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Webb 2015<br>NCT01275040         | South<br>Africa,<br>urban,<br>primary    | primary health_care clinics,<br>patients with clinical<br>diagnosis of DM2 or DM1 for<br>≥5yrs, age ≥ 18 yrs   | n= 12 primary health<br>care clinics<br>n= 599<br>gender:68.5 % female   | IG (n=328):<br>mobile screening team<br>visits primary care clinic<br>and provides education  | Primary: HbA1c, detected<br>neuropathy, nephropathy<br>and retinopathy, HbA1c<br>categories       | after 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference: 8.54±2.11 vs. 8,76<br>MD-0.22 (-0.64, 0.20)   |
| Cluster RCT                      | care,<br>06/2010-                        |  | age (yrs): 57.8±10.5<br>HbA1c (%): 8.73±2.3<br>HbA1c ≥ 7 %: 73 %   | and active screening for<br>diabetic complications<br>(foot, kidney, cardiac and  | Secondary: detected<br>complications, referred<br>patients for complication                       | screening rate for complications:<br>60% increase of screening in all<br>complication indicator groups, in   |
|                                  | 03/2011                                  |  | <ul> <li>BMI (kg/m<sup>2)</sup>:<br/>30.8±6.7<br/>Typ of diabetes:</li> <li>DM1: 3.7 %,</li> <li>DM2: 70.3 %</li> <li>unknown: 26 %<br/>duration of Diabetes:</li> <li>&lt; 5 yrs: 47.3 %</li> <li>5-10 yrs: 22.0 %</li> </ul> | renal complications)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=273):</u><br>no mobile screening<br>team, routine care with<br>similar education for<br>patients. and health care<br>workers<br><u>Duration</u> : 1 yr | assessment or care, blood<br>pressure and lipid<br>control, costs, LDL<br>cholesterol, creatinine | groups testing of HbA1c and renal<br>complications (serum-creatinine)<br>increased , but no significant<br>difference , screening for eye<br>complications, only increased<br>significantly in IG<br>no significant difference in the<br>proportion of actions taken beween<br>and CG (p=0.83) |
| Strategies to er                 | nhance physica                           | lactivity  | <ul> <li>&gt; 10 yrs: 20.2 %</li> <li>unknown: 10.5 %</li> </ul>   |   |   |  |
| Asuako 2017                      | Ghana,                                   | DM, age: 20-68 yrs, ambulant   | n=12<br>83% female   | IG (n=7):<br>walking aerobic exercise   | FPG, Lipid profile, body  | Change over 2 months:  |
| RCT                              | tertiary<br>care,<br>08/2015-<br>03/2016 | complications with < 150<br>minutes /wk of moderate<br>physical activity<br>no SBP > 140 or DBP> 90<br>mmHg, bilateral or unilateral<br>lower or upper limbs | age (yrs): 83% were<br>46-55 yrs.<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):25.4±4.5<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l):9.33 ± 5.7<br>type of diabetes:  | sessions without<br>treadmills (3/week)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=5):</u><br>only activity of daily living   |   | Benefit for IG: 6.27 ± 0.91 vs. 8.00<br>0.96; MD 1.73 (-1.88 to -1.59; p<0   |
|                                  |  | amputation, use of insulin pump  | DM1: 17 %<br>DM2: 83 %<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs):<br>• 1-5 yrs: 25 %<br>• 6-10 yrs: 50 %  | Both continued regular<br>medical/clinical routines<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks  |   |  |

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | Setting<br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration   | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                      | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Fayehun 2018  | Nigeria,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>11/2014 | DM2, age_18-64 yrs,<br>Diagnosed ≥ 12 months, non-<br>insulin dependent, on dietary<br>control ± hypoglycemic<br>agents, able to walk without<br>limitations<br>no pregnant women,<br>smokers, prescription of | n= 46<br>63 % female<br>age (yrs): 54±7.7 (33-<br>64)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>22.4±3.3<br>HbA1c (%): 6.6 (5.3-<br>9.0)<br>duration of diabetes | IG (n=23):<br>Goal to accumulate<br>10000 steps per day<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=23)</u> :<br>normal activity habits<br><u>Duration</u> : 10 weeks  | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : step count | Change over 2.5 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 6.26 (6.19 to 6.33) vs.<br>6.82 (6.69 to 6.95); MD <sub>a</sub> : -0.74 (-1.32<br>to -0.02; p=0.015) |
|   |  | medications that might impair ability to walk  | (yrs):<7 yrs: 70 %,<br>>7 yrs 30 %   |   |  |  |
| Maharaj 2016  | Nigeria,<br>rural                        | DM2, non- insulin dependent,<br>blood glucose levels 6 -   | n=90<br>52 % females   | IG (n=45):<br>rebound exercise 3  | <u>Primary:</u><br>HbA1c , FPG, BMI                    | After 9 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :  |
| RCT   | 07/2013-                                 | 13 mmol/l  | age (yrs): 39.4 ± 8.6<br>(30-58)   | times/week for 20-<br>30 min, moderate  | <u>Other:</u><br>Heart and respiratory                 | Benefit for IG: 7.12±1.19 vs. 8.36±1.25;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.904 (0.832 to 0.984; p=0.017)  |
|   | 06/2014                                  | no cardiac, abdominal or<br>spinal surgery ≤ 6 months,<br>history of fractures of lower<br>limbs, spine, weakness,<br>deformities, loss of sensation<br>in the feet, retinopathy,<br>nephropathy               | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27.7±5.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.79±2.11<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 2.5±2.1   | intensity of 40-60 % of HR<br>maximum<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>watched videos and read<br>health magazines<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 weeks   | rates, blood pressure,<br>oxygen saturation            | <u>FPG (</u> mmol/l):<br>Benefit for IG: 6.92±1.21 vs. 8.73±1.23;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.787 (0.7345- 0.841; p=0.002)  |
| van Rooijen<br>2004                                   | South<br>Africa,                         | black women with DM2, age<br>40-65yrs, duration of DM ≥12  | n=158<br>gender:100 % females  | IG (n=80):<br>education+ incremental  | Primary: HbA1c, BMI<br>Secondary: walking              | Change over 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT   | 03/2002-<br>11/2002                      | no chest pain on effort,<br>possible previous myocardial<br>infarction and intermittent<br>claudication, cerebro-<br>vascular incidents, arthritis,<br>retinopathy   | age (yrs): 54-55<br>HbA1c (%): 9.35  | of daily physical activity<br>records+6 fortnightly<br>supervised aerobic<br>exercise classes<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=77):</u><br>education+ relaxation<br>exercise<br><u>Duration:</u> 12wks | distance (6 min walk)                                  | no amerence: 8.99±2.59 vs. 8.26±1.97   |
| Yan 2014  | Mozambiqu                                | DM2, male, age 40-70 yrs,  | n=41   | <u>IG (n=31):</u>   | plasma glucose, HbA1c                                  | Change over 3 months:  |
|   | e,                                       | diagnosis for ≥ 12 months  | 100% male  | low or vigorous intensity   |  | <u>HbA1c (%):</u>  |

| Page 49 | of 155 |
|---------|--------|
|---------|--------|

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| RCT                              | urban                         | no known diseases other<br>than DM2 and hypertension,<br>no diagnosed cardiovascular<br>diseases  | age(yrs): 54±2.5<br>HbA1c: 8.6±0.7<br>plasma glucose<br>(mmol/l): 9.65±1.2<br>BMI ( kg/m <sup>2)</sup> :<br>27.1 ± 1.0 | exercise 3-5 times/week<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=10):</u><br>walked 1 hour per day as<br>part of their daily lifestyle<br><u>Duration:12 wks</u> |   | reduction in both groups with no<br>differences between groups: 7.7±0.<br>vs. 7.7±0.8<br><u>Plasma glucose (mmol/l):</u><br>9.6 ± 0.7 vs. 11.1 ± 1.3 |
| Pharmacologic                    | al strategies                 |   |  |   |   |  |
| Distiller<br>2014                | South Africa                  | DM2 for $\ge$ 1 year with total<br>insulin requirement of<br>>200 U/d for $\ge$ 3 months,   | n=28<br>50% female<br>age (yrs): 51.7 (36-71)  | <u>IG (n=14):</u><br>regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+ metformin + exenatide  | Primary: HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : Body weight,<br>insulin dose,                  | Change to 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Significant improvement in both   |
| RCT                              |                               | BMI > 30 kg/m²,<br>HbA1c> 7,5 %, on long-term<br>metformin therapy (1.7–<br>2.5 g/d)  | HbA1c (%): 8.95 (7.6-<br>11.3)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 40.8<br>(31.2-47)  | (5 μg orally twice a day<br>for 1 month and titrated<br>to 10 μg)<br>vs.<br>CG (n=14):  | hypoglycemia  | groups<br>8.7→7.7(p=0.002) vs. 9.2→7.5<br>(p=0.0001)<br>With no difference between group<br>(MD: 0.28: p=0.80)                                       |
|                                  |                               | no pregnant or with<br>childbearing potential,<br>endocrinopathy, chronic<br>inflammatory or systematic<br>autoimmune disorder, CVD,<br>active carcinoma, chronic<br>illness, renal dysfunction,<br>gastroparesis, no<br>corticosteroids, DPP-4 |  | regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+metformin<br><u>Duration:</u> 6 months   |   | Complications:<br>Mild hypoglycaemia: 5 vs. 2 persor<br>with 20 vs. 5 events (p ≤ 0.001)   |
|                                  |                               | inhibitors, exenatide,<br>liraglutide, no anticipated<br>change in other concomitant<br>medication or insulin<br>resistence   |  |   |   |  |
| El-Haggar<br>2015                | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban       | DM2, age: 45-55 yrs, obese<br>(BMI≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), with<br>duration 5-10 yrs, treated   | n=48<br>79 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.1±4.6   | <u>IG1 (n=16):</u><br>glimepiride (3 mg/d) + 2<br>(1 mg twice/d)  | not specified:<br>glycemic markers,<br>metabolic markers,                           | Changes over 12 weeks:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>• Highest benefit for IG1: 7.1±0.86 v  |
| RCT                              | 01/2013-<br>04/2014           | with glimepiride alone<br>no Inflammatory disease,  | HbA1c (%): 7.83±0.87<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 193±50   | vs.<br><u>IG2 (n=16):</u><br>glimepiride (3 mg/d) +   | adiponectin, interleukin-<br>6, leukotriene B4, mast<br>cell tryptase, lipid panel, | <ul> <li>8.2±0.82 vs. 8.7±0.93 (p&lt; 0.05) fasting glucose (mg/dl):</li> <li>Highest benefit for IG1: 199±38</li> </ul>                             |

| Study name                       | Setting   | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results   |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|                                  |   | severe hepatic or renal<br>disease, epilepsy<br>pregnant/lactating females   | BMI (kg/m²):<br>37.6±4.6<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.7 ±2.6   | ketotifen (1 mg once/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=16):</u><br>glimepiride (3 mg/d)<br>alone<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks   | BMI  | 207.7±47.6 (p< 0.05)  |
| Malek 2015<br>RCT                | Egypt,<br>Algeria,<br>Tunesia,<br>South Africa<br>03/2010-<br>05/2012 | DM2, age ≥ 18 yrs, currently<br>treated with suboptimal dose<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;<br>HbA1c 7-11 % (under<br>metformin-monotherapy)<br>and ≤ 10 % (under<br>combination therapy),<br>BMI≤40 kg/m <sup>2</sup><br>no allergies or<br>contraindications to the<br>product, pregnant or<br>breastfeeding, impaired<br>hepatic or renal function,<br>cardiovascular history,<br>uncontrolled hypertension,<br>proliferative retinopathy,<br>macular oedema | n=403<br>age (yrs): 52.8±9.6<br>59.8 % female<br>HbA1c (%): 8.65<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.7±4.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.5±5.1 | Stepwise individual<br>insulin intensification of<br><u>IG (n=200)</u> :<br>basal-bolus insulin<br>analogues (insulin<br>detemir +Insulin aspart)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=203)</u> :<br>thrice daily biphasic<br>insulin aspart depending<br>on HbA1c-values over<br>50 wks | Primary:<br>HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>patients achieving HbA1c<br>< 7.0 %, prandial plasma<br>glucose | Change over 50 weeks:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Non-inferiority: 7.4 vs. 7.3; MD 0.1 (-<br>0.1 to 0.3 (full-analysis set), MD 0.2 (-<br>0.1 to 0.4 (per protocol)<br>40.3% and 44.9% achieved<br>HbA1c<7.0%<br><u>Hypoglycaemia (events/patient year):</u><br>9.4 vs. 9.8<br><u>Serious adverse events:</u><br>6.5 vs. 3.4 % with 1 treatment-related<br>SAE in CG<br><u>Adverse events:</u><br>58.5 vs. 63.1% |
| Strategies on f                  | ood supplement  | tation   |   |  |  |   |
|                                  |   |  |   |  |  |   |
|                                  |   |  |   |  |  |   |
|                                  |   | For pee  | r review only - http://k  | omjopen.bmj.com/site/abo   | out/guidelines.xhtml   |   |

| Page 51 | of | 155 |
|---------|----|-----|
|---------|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting  | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                            | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| <b>Ali 2019</b><br>RCT           | Egypt<br>Urban,<br>tertiary care<br>09/2017 –<br>04/2018 | DM2, oral antidiabetic agents<br>with no change of type and<br>dosage of antidiabetic agents<br>in the past 3 months, ≥ 30<br>years<br>insulin-dependence,<br>pregnancy, lactation, use of<br>Ca, multivitamins, Vitamin D<br>supplements, use of drugs<br>that affect Vitamin D status,<br>dietary Ca intake > 1500<br>mg/d, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism,<br>smoking, use of antiepileptic<br>drugs, sarcoidosis,<br>tuberculosis, potentially<br>terminal illness, inflammatory<br>bowel disease, liver or kidney | n=85<br>age (yrs): 54.6 ±2.8<br>68 % females<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.6±3.3<br>Diabetic duration<br>(yrs): 4.4±2.1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg(dL): 168±54.4<br>fasting serum insulin<br>(μIU/mL): 18.1±8.3<br>HbA1c(%):8.8±1.8 | oral antidiabetic agents<br>as usual +<br>IG 1 (n=22):<br>continuous oral Vitamin<br>D3 (4000 IU/ d)<br>vs.<br>IG 2 (n=22):<br>intermittent regimen of<br>Vitamin D3 (50 000 IU/<br>week)<br>vs.<br>IG 3 (n=21):<br>single IM injection of<br>300 000 IU of Vitamin D3<br>at the start of the study<br>vs.<br>CG (n=20): only oral<br>antidiabetic agents<br>Duration: 3 months | Not specified: serum<br>creatinine, blood urea<br>nitrogen, total and<br>ionized Ca, serum<br>phosphorus, fasting<br>glucose, fasting<br>serum insulin, 25(OH)D3<br>levels, HbA1c  | After 3 months:<br><u>fasting glucose</u> (mg(dL): higher<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -20.9±18.:<br>-23.0±37.9 vs3.5±6.9 vs. 1.0±5.6<br>(p<0.001)<br><u>fasting serum insulin</u> (μIU/mL): hig<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -4.44±5.2<br>5.88±4.6 vs1.55±9.4 vs. 0.10±1.0<br>(p< 0.001)<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):higher decrease in IG1 a<br>IG2: -0.81±0.77 vs0.82±0.87 vs<br>0.34±1.47 vs. 0.05±0.08 (p<0.001) |
| Anderson<br>2001<br>RCT          | Tunesia,<br>urban  | DM2 ≥ 5y, age< 65 yrs,<br>fasting glucose > 8 mmol/l<br>and HbA1C > 7.5 %<br>no pregnant or lactating<br>women, receiving trace<br>element supplements in past<br>3 months, with gastric or<br>diuretic treatment, acute<br>renal, acute infection or<br>recent surgery   | n=110<br>age (yrs): 53.2 ±16.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.1±1.0<br>HbA1c (%):8.82±3.25<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.45±0.<br>83<br>duration of diabetes<br>(months): 73.6±66   | $\frac{IG \ 1 \ (n=27):}{Zinc \ (30 \ mg/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG \ 2 \ (n=27):}{Chromium \ (400 \ \mu g/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG \ 3 \ (n=27):}{Zinc \ (30 \ mg \ /d) +}$ Chromium \ (400 \ \mu g \ /d)<br>vs.<br>$\frac{CG \ (n=29):}{placebo}$ Duration: 6 months  | Not specified:<br>HbA1C, fasting glucose<br>plasma concentrations of<br>zinc, copper, selenium,<br>urinary chromium and<br>zinc, Plasma<br>thiobarbituric acid<br>reactive substances,<br>copper-zinc-superoxid<br>dismutase, selenium -<br>glutathione peroxidase | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>7.7±1.6 vs. 7.4±1.4 vs. 8.1±1.6<br>CG: not reported  |
| Anyanwu<br>2016                  | Nigeria,<br>urban  | DM2, age 35-65 yrs on oral antidiabetics with vitamin D   | n=42<br>57.6 % female  | IG (n=21):<br>Vitamin D3 supplements  | Primary: HbA1c<br>Other: fasting glucose.  | Changes over 12 wks:<br>HbA1c (%):  |

| Study name                       | Setting                            | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time      | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| RCT                              |                                    | deficiency and poor glycemic<br>control (HbA1c > 6.5 %)<br>no patients on insulin,<br>pregnancy,<br>renal insufficiency, chronic<br>liver disease or alanine<br>transferase > 5 times upper<br>reference limit, tuberculosis,<br>diarrheal, or malabsorption<br>state  | age (yrs): 51.8±2.05<br>HbA1c (%): 7.88<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 152.8±56.5  | (3000 IU/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=21):</u><br>placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks  | levels of serum Vitamin<br>D, calcium, albumin,<br>phosphate, creatinine,<br>and alanine transaminase | <ul> <li>MD (IG vs. CG): -0.66 (-0.161 to 0.29) vs 0.38 (-0.08 to 0.84);</li> <li>MD: -1.04 (-2.09 to 0.01)</li> <li>change from poor glycemic control (HbA1c&gt;6.5 %) to normal HbA1c (%): benefit for IF: 33.3 vs9.1 (p&lt;0.05) fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br/>137.2±33.6 vs. 154±67.5 patient adherence (tablet counts, %): 62.2 vs. 59.9</li> </ul> |
| El Gayar 2019                    | Egypt,<br>urban,                   | DM2 for < 6 months, 30-60<br>yrs, HbA1c level < 9%,  | n=80<br>49 % female  | diet, physical activity, and metformin   | Not specified: glycemic status, lipid profile and   | After 8 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| KC I                             | outpatients<br>01/2017-<br>01/2018 | no insulin therapy, any<br>injectable or oral antidiabetic<br>medication other than<br>metformin, no smoking,<br>consumption of alcohol<br>or narcotic drugs, no acute<br>illnesses at the baseline<br>or during the study, no<br>pregnancy or lactation,<br>autoimmune disorder,<br>cardiac or renal diseases,<br>thyroid, chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, peptic ulcer, regular<br>consumption of ginger or<br>other herbal drugs,<br>hypersensitivity to ginger,<br>consumption of lipid lowering<br>drugs or oral contraceptive<br>pills or any supplements 2<br>months before starting<br>the study | age (yrs): 46.2 ± 9.1<br>HbA1c (%): 8.04±0.5<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 176.9±18.3<br>Fasting serum insulin<br>(mIU/L): 19.3±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.3±1.4 | IG (n=40):<br>ginger powder<br>supplementation (600<br>mg/capsule, 3<br>capsules/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=40):</u><br>Placebo<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 weeks | function  | decrease in both groups to 6.94±0.38<br>vs. 7.26±0.45<br><u>Fasting serum insulin</u> (mIU/L):<br>decrease in both groups to 12.86±2.59<br>vs. 13.21±2.08<br>fasting glucose_(mg/dl):<br>decrease in both groups to<br>120.88±9.06 vs. 151.70±13.23   |

| Page | 53 | of | 155 |
|------|----|----|-----|
|------|----|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                         | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wi<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value                     |
| El-Sheikh<br>2019                | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban         | DM2 on glimepiride alone,<br>age ≥30 yrs   | n= 72<br>67 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.6±8.7  | <u>IG (n=38):</u><br>glimepiride 2 mg twice<br>daily + L-carnitine 1 gm   | HbA1c, fasting glucose,<br>PPBG, fasting insulin,<br>extracellular part of  | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG: 7.41±0.5 vs. 9.5±0.                                |
| RCT                              |                                 | no insulin sensitizers,<br>steroids, NSAIDs, warfarin or<br>lipid lowering medications,<br>thyroid hormones, valproic<br>acid or suffered from: acute<br>or chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, end-stage renal<br>disease undergoing dialysis,<br>hypothyroidism epilepsy,<br>pregnant and breast-feeding<br>women  | HbA1c (%):9.76±1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl):194.84±20.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>34.4±5.45 | twice daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=34):</u><br>glimepiride dose 2 mg<br>twice daily<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months   | insulin regulated<br>aminopeptidase, tumor<br>necrosis factor-alpha,<br>visfatin and lipid panel,<br>BMI and homeostasis<br>model assessment of<br>insulin resistance | (p<0.001)<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dl):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 179.6±9.3 vs.<br>192.41±27.4 (p=0.018)            |
| Matter 2020<br>NCT03851055       | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients | DM, treated with insulin, 10<br>to 18 yrs, transfusion<br>dependent beta-thalassemia<br>maior  | n=80<br>52.5% females<br>age (yrs): 16.3±1.4<br>(range 12-18)  | diet schedule with<br>optimal macronutrient<br>distribution and<br>pharmacologic treatment                      | <u>Primary:</u> fasting glucose<br><u>Secondary:</u> fructosamine,<br>fasting C-peptide, and<br>HOMA-IR   | After 12 wks:<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dL):</u><br>higher decrease with IG to 116.9:<br>vs. 144.5±22.9 (p<0.001) |
| RCT                              | 08/2017 to<br>08/2018           | no other hemoglobinopathies<br>(e.g. a-thalassemia or sickle<br>thalassemia, disorders that<br>may affect glucose<br>homeostasis other than b-<br>TM, autoimmune diseases,<br>collagen diseases, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism, infections,<br>or tumours, or those who<br>were taking any vitamins or<br>food supplements < 1 month<br>before the study and<br>participating in a previous<br>investigational drug<br>study within 3 mo preceding | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 144.5±22.4   | IG (n=40):<br>zinc gluconate<br>(2x20 mg/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=40):</u><br>placebo<br><u>Duration:</u> 3 months | <u>safety:</u> any AEs (e.g.<br>nausea, vomiting,<br>abdominal pain, diarrhea,<br>constipation, and<br>reduction of appetite)   | <u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>higher in IG (no results reported)<br>no side effects were reported                          |
| Moustafa                         | Favnt                           | DM2 newly diagnosed  | n-62   | IG (n=29, 21 analysed):   | Glycemic control  | After 3 months:   |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| Study name                               | Setting  | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design         | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                  | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| <b>2019</b><br>RCT                       | urban,<br>outpatients<br>recruitment<br>02/2016-<br>03/2018    | (within a time duration ≤6<br>months), 18–60 yrs<br>other antidiabetic<br>medications, pregnant and<br>lactating women, major<br>organ dysfunction (hepatic<br>failure, active hepatitis, liver<br>cirrhosis or renal<br>complications), changed their<br>standard medications during<br>the 12 weeks of the study | 72% females<br>HbA1c(%): 7.51±1.4<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.4±51.6<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 33.9±6.1<br>family history of DM<br>(%): 78.5<br>retinopathy/altered<br>vision (%): 53<br>GDM (%): 9.2 | nigella sativa oil capsules<br>(3x 450 mg/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=33, 23 analysed):</u><br>metformin (2000 mg/d)<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months  | oxidative stress markers,<br>biochemical parameters,<br>weight/BMI/waist<br>circumference, total<br>antioxidant capacity TAC                                | HbA1c (%):<br>no difference: 7.01±0.83 vs. 6.55±0.72<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>no difference: 119.8±23.7 vs.<br>120.7±25.4<br><u>Complications</u> :<br>no differences in occurrence of chills,<br>sweating, tachycardia, lethargy/<br>weakness, polydipsia, polyuria, dry<br>skin, polyphagia, blurred vision, foot<br>problems, or tingling/numbness<br>foot problems lower in IG: 4.8% vs.<br>33.3%, (p = 0.025).  |
| <b>Ragheb 2020</b><br>NCT03437902<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients<br>care<br>02/2019-<br>05/2018 | DM2, receiving standard oral<br>hypoglycemic agents,<br>≥ 35 yrs,<br>no history of overt vascular<br>disease, renal or hepatic<br>failure or antioxidant<br>supplementation or insulin<br>therapy, no change of oral<br>hypoglycemic drugs   | n=70<br>age (yrs): 54.9±8.4<br>70 % females<br>BMI (kg(m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.5±5.7<br>HbA1c(%): 8.50±1.86<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 142.8±52.6   | IG2 (n=20):<br>Rutin (60) + vitamin C<br>(160 mg) 3x daily vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Vitamin C (500 mg) 1x<br>daily<br>vs.<br>CG (n=13);<br>only usual<br>oral antidiabetic<br>treatment<br>Duration: 8 weeks | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c,<br>oxidative stress marker,<br>antioxidant capacity,<br>insulin resistance, lipid<br>profile<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Quality of life | <ul> <li>After 2 months:<br/><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br/>no difference 7.494 ± 1.72 vs. 8.504 ± 2.059 vs. 8.504 ± 2.059 (p=0.1882)<br/>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br/>lower in IG2 and CG: 111.3 (IQR 93.3-135.2) vs. 144 (114.8-201) vs. 113.3 (94-152.2) (p=0.017)<br/><u>Quality of life</u> (SF 36):</li> <li>Benefit of physical functioning and<br/>energy domains in IG2 vs. CC<br/>(p=0.0049, p=0.0253).</li> <li>Benefit of role limitation to physical<br/>health and emotional improved in<br/>IG1 vs. CG (p=0.0267,p=0.0280)</li> <li>no difference between groups in<br/>the other domains (emotional well<br/>being, social functioning, pain and<br/>general health)</li> </ul> |

| Page | 55 | of | 155 |
|------|----|----|-----|
|------|----|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| <b>Rashad 2017</b><br>RCT        | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM2, 50-62 yrs<br>no insulin medication,<br>allergies, recent thromboses<br>or uncontrollable<br>hypertension   | n=34<br>43.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 55.5±6.15<br>HbA1c (%):6.75±1.2<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 8.5±1.4<br>postprandial plasma<br>glucose(mmol/l):<br>15.6±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.55±4<br>type of diabetes<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6.1 ± 2.2 | <u>IG (n=17):</u><br>Balanites aegyptiaca<br>extract (400 mg))<br>vs.<br><u>CG: (n=17)</u><br>placebo capsules (potato<br>maltodextrin <u>)</u><br><u>Duration</u> : 8 wks                          | glycemic markers, lipid<br>profile, FPG   | Change over 8 wks:<br><u>2h postprandial plasma glucose:</u><br>benefit for IG :26.88% decrease vs. C<br>2.6% increase<br><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u><br>benefit for IG: 7.8 ± 0.9 vs. CG: 8.5 ±<br>1.1 |
| Somanah<br>2012<br>NCT01248143   | Mauritius,<br>urban/rural     | newly diagnosed DM, age 25–<br>60 yrs fasting glucose range:<br>5.1–5.9 mmol/L  | n=127<br>47% female<br>age (yrs): range 25–60<br>Hb41c (%): 5 99+0 4   | <u>IG (n=44):</u><br>supplementation of a<br>fermented papaya   | HbA1C fasting glucose,<br>Lipid profile, diet score,<br>blood pressure, alanine<br>aminotransferace:                      | After 14 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference (p=0.448)<br>facting glucose (mg/dl.):   |
| RCT                              | 03/2011                       | no secondary complications,<br>non-smoker or stopped for ><br>6 months , alcoholic<br>consumption < 2 standard<br>drinks/day, post-menopausal<br>women without hormone<br>replacement treatment, no<br>glucose-lowering,<br>cholesterol-lowering or anti-<br>bypertension treatment | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 93.2±8.0<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.6 ±<br>3.7  | daily, over 12 wks),<br>followed by a 2 week<br>wash out period with the<br>same amount of water<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=56):</u> consumed an<br>equivalent amount of<br>water<br><u>Duration: 14wks</u> | aspartate<br>aminotransferase,<br>Ferritin, c-reactive<br>protein, uric acid,<br>microalbumin/urinary<br>creatinine ratio | <ul> <li>remained relatively unchanged in boths genders:</li> <li>males: 96.2±17.0 vs. 87.6±11.7</li> <li>females: 95.6±15.8 vs. 94.3±5.0</li> </ul>   |

| Study name  | Setting   | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                               | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value                                |
| El-Makaky<br>2020<br>NCT03783845<br>RCT                 | Egypt,<br>urban/rural<br>recruited<br>06/2015 to<br>03/2016 | DM2 for >5 yrs, 40-70 yrs,<br>HbA1c 7 to 9% at the last<br>medical evaluation, no<br>change in diabetes treatment<br>over the previous 3 months, $\geq$<br>6 permanent teeth excluding<br>third molars, clinical<br>attachment level and pocket<br>depth $\geq$ 4 mm in >30 % of the<br>sites, diagnosis of chronic<br>periodontitis based on the<br>presence of 4 teeth as a<br>minimum with $\geq$ 1 site<br>Pregnancy, alcoholism and<br>smoking, Presence of any<br>systemic disorders other than<br>hypertension and<br>diabetes, diabetic major<br>complications, antimicrobial<br>therapies or periodontal<br>therapies in the last 6<br>months, allergy to<br>metronidazole and<br>amoxicillin | n=88<br>56.8 % females<br>age (yrs): 52.6±6.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.16±0.72   | IG (n=44):<br>immediate periodontal<br>therapy: one-stage<br>scaling and root planning,<br>a combination of<br>systemic antibiotics<br>(amoxicillin 500 mg and<br>metronidazole 400 mg<br>3x/day for 2 weeks), and<br>oral hygiene instructions<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=44):</u><br>delayed periodontal<br>therapy after 3 months<br><u>Duration: 3 months</u> | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary: not named  | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>benefit for IG: 7.27±0.5 vs. 8.34±0.6<br>MD -1.07 (-1.32 to -0.83)                     |
| <b>El-Sharkawy</b><br><b>2016</b><br>NCT02794506<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>03/2015.                     | DM2 >5 yrs, >20 teeth,<br>chronic moderate or severe<br>periodontitis with probing<br>depth and clinical attachment<br>level >5 mm, bleeding by<br>probing, on oral<br>hypogylcemic drug therapy<br>> 6 months,<br>no smoking, use of  | n=50<br>34% female<br>age (yrs): 50.5 ± 7.4<br>(38 to 63)<br>HbA1c (%): 8.66 ±0.73<br>FPG (mg/dl): 183.5<br>±12.547<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.9±<br>3.1<br>duration of diabetes | IG (n=24): scaling and<br>root planing (SRP)+<br>400mg oral Propolis once<br>daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=26)</u> scaling and<br>root planing<br>(SRP)+Placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months   | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : FPG,<br>serum N-(carboxymethyl)<br>lysine, periodontal<br>parameters | after 6 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u><br>Benefit for IG 7.75± 0.48 vs.8.5±0.73<br>(p<0.01)<br><u>FPG(mg/dl)</u><br>Benefit for IG |

| Page | 57 | of | 155 |
|------|----|----|-----|
|------|----|----|-----|

| Study name<br>registration | Setting<br>Place,  | Populatic<br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration                                       | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                 | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| number<br>Design           | setting and time   |  |  |   | , ,   | intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|                            |  | antibiotics, non-steroidal or<br>anti-inflammatory drugs<br>within the last 3 months,<br>periodontal therapy ≤ 1 year,<br>retinopathy grade 3/4,<br>pregnancy, no contraceptive<br>drugs | (yrs): 8.1 ± 3.9<br>hypertension: 4.5%<br>neuropathy: 1.5%<br>retinopathy: 0.5%<br>nephropathy: 0% |   |   |  |
| Ghoneim<br>2013            | Egypt,   | DM, duration $\geq$ 15 yrs,<br>bilateral diabetic macular  | n=19 (38 eyes)<br>89.5 % female  | <u>IG (n=19):</u><br>one eye with 8 mg  | Primary: Visual acuity<br>Others: Intraocular     | after 6 months:<br><u>Complications:</u>   |
| RCT 03/2012                | 03/2010-   | no prior treatment with<br>intravitreal corticosteroids,<br>peribulbar steroid injection<br>within ≤ 6 months, pars<br>plana vitrectomy, history of                                      | age (yrs): 52.3±11.4   | vs.<br><u>CG (n=19):</u><br>other eye with4 mg of<br>triamcinolone acetonide                | lowering drugs,<br>complications                  | <ul> <li>no eyes with retinal detachment,<br/>vitreous haemorrhage, intraocular<br/>reaction or endophthalmitis.</li> <li>one eye in IG developed posterior<br/>subcapsular cataract.</li> </ul> |
|                            |  | glaucoma or steroid induced<br>IOP elevation, ischemic<br>maculopathy, foveal tracted,<br>IOP≥ 23 mmHg   |  | <u>Duration</u> : 6 months  |   |  |
| Nteleki 2015               | South<br>Africa.   | DM2 with neuropathic or<br>mixed (venous and arterial)   | n=7 with 14 lower<br>extremity ulcers  | standard podiatric<br>management and  | healing rate (area and<br>perimeter of the ulcer) | after 3 months:<br>Healing:  |
| RCT                        | urban  | ulcers; lower extremity ulcer;<br>stable or worsening ulcer that<br>has been present for ≥ 4<br>weeks  | 85 % male<br>age (yrs): 62<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 16.7                                  | <u>IG1 (n=2):</u><br>phototherapy to the<br>regional lymphatic nodes<br>and ulcer(s)<br>vs. |   | <ul> <li>The rate of healing increased in all three groups,</li> <li>67% of ulcers received some form phototherapeutic intervention, 40% those ulcers resolved completely or</li> </ul>          |
|                            | no acute cellulitis,<br>osteomyelitis, or gangrene,<br>renal, hepatic, hematologic,<br>neurologic, or immune |  | 8 weeks<br>no <u>AEs</u>   |   |   |  |
|                            |  | disease not related to<br>diabetes; presence of<br>malignant disease not in<br>remission for > 5 years; use of<br>oral or parenteral   |  | <u>CG (n=2):</u><br>placebo phototherapy<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks                      |   |  |

| Study name<br>registration | Setting<br>Place, | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration                            | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Design                     | time              |  |                       |  |                                   | SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
|                            |                   | corticosteroids,<br>immunosuppressive, or<br>cytotoxic agents; known<br>infection with human<br>immunodeficiency virus or<br>presence of AIDS; other leg<br>ulcers |                       |  |                                   |   |
| Saeed 2013                 | Egypt,            | DM, intractable diffuse  | n= 34 (34 eyes)       | <u>IG (n=15):</u><br>vitroctomy with romoval                                     | primary:<br>BCVA_control fovoal   | Changes over 12 months  |
| RCT                        | uibali            | without vitreomacular  | age (yrs): 55.5 ± 8.9 | of the posterior hyaloid,  | thickness                         | <ul> <li>Complications.</li> <li>Changes in BCVA and central foveal</li> </ul>  |
|                            | 11/2010-          | traction.  | duration of diabetes  | at the end of the  |                                   | thickness at 3, 6, and 12 (P< 0.01),  |
|                            | 07/2012           | central foveal thickness ≥300<br>μm  | (yrs): 24±5.4         | procedure injection of<br>intravitreal triamcinolone<br>acetonide (IVTA, 0.1 mL, |                                   | <ul> <li>better mean BCVA in IG at 12 months.</li> <li>Better mean <u>central foveal thickness</u> in<br/>IG at 12 months.</li> </ul> |
|                            |                   | no vitreomacular traction,   |                       | 40 mg/mL) +bevacizumab   |                                   | Major adverse events:   |
|                            |                   | active neovascularization of   |                       | (1.25 mg) +macular grid  |                                   | development of cataracts $(3/15 \text{ vs.})$   |
|                            |                   | retinopathy, an enlarged   |                       | VS.  |                                   | 6/15) and elevation of intraocular pressure (7/15 vs. 2/15)   |
|                            |                   | foveal avascular zone on   |                       | <u>CG (n=15);</u>  |                                   | pressure (7715 voi 2715)  |
|                            |                   | fluorescein angiography,   |                       | same intravitreal  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | neurosensory detachment on   |                       | injection combination  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | optical coherence  |                       | Duration: 12 months  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | diabetic macular edema   |                       |  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | within $\leq 3$ months, previous   |                       |  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | vitreoretinal surgery, other   |                       |  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | major ocular surgery within  |                       |  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | the previous 6 months, YAG   |                       |  |                                   |   |
|                            |                   | capsulotomy within ≤2  |                       |  |                                   |   |
| Tsohgny-                   | Cameroon          | DM2 >11teeth severe  | n=34                  | IG (n=17):   | Primary: change in HhA1c          | Change over 3 months:   |
| Tsague 2018                | urban,            | chronic periodontitis  | 56% female            | immediate ultrasonic   | Secondary: Plague index,          | HbA1c (%):  |
| NCT02745015                | tertiary          | according to the 2012  | age (yrs): 51.4 ± 8.8 | scaling, scaling and root  | gingival bleeding index,          | Benefit with IG: 6.7 ± 2.0 % vs. 8.1 ±  |
|                            | care,             | CDC-AAP classification,  | HbA1c (%):9.3 ± 1.3   | planning +subgingival  | pocket depth, clinical            | 2.6 %, MD: 2.2 (p=0.029)  |
| RCT                        |                   |  | BMI (kg/m²): 28.3±    | 10% povidone iodine  | attachment loss                   | adverse events:   |
|                            | 12/2014-          | no periodontal treatment,  | 5.4                   | irrigation   |                                   | 1 /15 patient reported tongue   |

| Page | 59 | of | 155 |
|------|----|----|-----|
|------|----|----|-----|

| registration<br>number<br>besignPlace,<br>setting and<br>DesignInclusion / Exclusion criteriaCharacteristicsDescription with durationPrimary and secondaryLongest follow<br>intervention ef<br>SD, 95%-Cl or<br>intervention ef<br>SD, 95%-Cl or<br>complications:<br>periodontal treatment 3<br>acute condition, use of<br>immunosuppressive<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontilis<br>clinical featuresInclusion / Exclusion criteriaCharacteristicsDescription with durationPrimary and secondary<br>intervention ef<br>SD, 95%-Cl or<br>intritation follow<br>rinse in IGYakoot 2019<br>NCT01531517Egypt,<br>urbanAdult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>foot ulcerationsn=119<br>gender:44.5% female<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>type of diabetes:conservative<br>warm normal salineprimary: complete<br>healing<br>secondary: reduction of<br>alreaction that may beafter 12 month<br>rate of complications:<br>periodontal treatment 3<br>medications or others drugs<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontilis<br>clinical featuresn=119<br>gender:44.5% female<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>tissue and irrigation with<br>warm normal saline<br>and<br>al reaction that may begender:44.5% female<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>tissue and irrigation with<br>warm normal saline<br>al reaction that may beafter 12 month<br>rate of complet<br>alter of complet | -up period with<br>fects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>o value<br>ving chlorhexidine n          |
|---|--|
| 05/2015alteration of DM treatment 6<br>mths prior to the study, onset<br>of systemic diseases or an<br>acute condition, use of<br>immunosuppressive<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontitis<br>clinical featuresduration of diabetes<br>(months): 55.5 ± 42.6<br>complications:<br>   | ving chlorhexidine n   |
| Yakoot 2019       Egypt,<br>urban       Adult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>limb-threatening diabetic<br>foot ulcerations       n=119       conservative<br>debridement of necrotic<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4       primary:<br>debridement of necrotic<br>tssue and irrigation with<br>type of diabetes:       primary:<br>debridement of necrotic<br>healing       omplete<br>rate of complete<br>secondary: reduction of<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>al reaction that may be  | S  |
| 07/2011-type of diabetes:warm normal salineinfection in the ulcer site,07/2013no life-threatening extensiveDM1: 22.9%andal reaction that may be07/2013no life-threatening extensiveDM1: 22.9%andal reaction that may be   | te healing (%):<br>32.4% vs. 12%; p=0.   |
| RCT gangrenous • DM2: 86.2% <u>IG (n=61):</u> due to study drug   |  |
| immediate amputations; bad       ointment composed of         general condition; shock or       royal jelly and panthenol         unstable vital signs; critically       vs.         ill with severe organ/system       CG (n=58):         dysfunctions or advanced       local application of         malignancy.       Panthenol  |  |
| dysfunctions or advanced local application of Panthenol duration: 12months ADA: American Diabetes Association; BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity; BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; CHC: Community health blood pressure; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM1: Type 1 diabetes; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG: intervention group; range; n: number of participants; NCD: Non-communicable disease; NPH: neutral protamine Hagedorn; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean difference; NCD disease ;RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SAE: Serious adverse events; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; SCI: Diabetes Self-C   | centre; DBP: Diastol<br>IQR: interquartile<br>Non-communicable<br>are Inventory; SD: |

# **RCTs on pregnant DM patients**

| Study name<br>registration<br>number<br>Design | Setting<br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Population</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs.<br>Control<br>Description with<br>duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | Results<br>Longest follow-up period<br>with intervention effects<br>(IG vs. CG) with SD, 95%-<br>Cl or p value | <b>Study name</b><br>registration number<br>Design   |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Strategies to in                               | ncrease physical                         | lactivity  |  |  |  |  |
| Embaby<br>2016<br>RCT                          | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>07/2014-<br>02/2015  | at increased risk for GDM due<br>to obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ),<br>age:> 25 yrs,<br>20-24th gestational wks,<br>multigravida, physically active<br>with ≥ 1 of the following 3<br>characteristics: history of<br>macrosomia, abnormal<br>glucose tolerance during<br>previous pregnancy or first<br>grade relative with DM2<br>no hypertension, GDM,<br>medications that affects<br>insulin secretion, serious<br>pulmonary disease, cardiac,<br>renal impairment and<br>malignancy | n=40<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.2±3.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.7±1.3<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 6.5±0.9<br>fasting insulin (IU/l):<br>15.78±1.58 | IG:<br>aerobic exercise program<br>(walking on treadmill)<br>three times weekly until<br>the end of 37 wks of<br>gestation + diet control.<br>vs.<br>CG:<br>diet control with usual<br>care given by<br>obstetricians and<br>midwives.<br>Duration: appr. 4 months | Fasting plasma glucose,<br>Insulin level   | Change to 37 <sup>th</sup> week of gestation:<br><u>FPG (mmol/l)</u><br>Benefit for IG: 4.26±0.67 vs. 5.07±0.54<br>(p=0.0001)<br><u>Fasting insulin (IU/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 10.59±1.10 vs.<br>12.43±1.44 (p=0.0001) |
| Other non-pha                                  | rmacological th                          | nerapies   |  |  |  |  |
| El-Shamy<br>2018                               | Egypt,<br>urban                          | GDM, age: 20-30 yrs,<br>gestational age: 24-26 wks,<br>BMI ≤ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , singleton live<br>fotus  | n=30<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 24.2±2.8<br>75 g OGTT (mg/dl):   | IG (n=15):<br>acupressure + standard<br>antenatal care   | Primary:<br>glycemic control,<br>requirement for insulin,<br>insulin resistance                                | Change over 3 months:<br>75 g OGTT (mg/dl):<br>Fasting: 116.1±0.1 vs. 118.2 ± 0.7<br>2b postprandial:  |
|  | 05/2017                                  | no high-risk pregnancy, bad<br>obstetric situations or<br>diseases, smoking, oral<br>sedatives   | <ul> <li>fasting glucose:<br/>129.05±0.6</li> <li>2h postprandial:<br/>146±1.65<br/>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>): 27±1.5</li> </ul>                                    | <u>CG (n=15):</u><br>standard antenatal care<br>only<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 weeks  | Secondary:<br>neonatal outcomes  | 125.3±1.2 vs. 127.3 ± 0.9<br>Complication (%):<br>5-min Apgar-Score < 7: 6.7 vs. 6.7 %   |
|  |  | For peer   | r review only - http://b   | omjopen.bmj.com/site/abo   | out/guidelines.xhtml   |  |

| Utz 2018<br>NCT02979756 | Marocco,<br>urban /<br>rural, | Health centres with ≥ 30<br>monthly antenatal care<br>consultations and all | 20 health centres<br>n= 215<br>age (yrs):27.6±6.6               | 20 clinics were<br>randomized→ 10 in each<br>group                  | Primary: birthweight<br>Secondary: maternal<br>weight gain, | Follow-up visits:<br>7.5±4.9 vs. 3.8±3.3 (p=0.001)  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cluster-RCT             | primary<br>care,              | pregnant women with newly<br>diagnosed GDM                                  | urban (%): 38.5<br>rural (%): 61.5                              | IG (n=120):<br>first screening for<br>GDM→positive tested           | glucose control, pregnancy complications.                   | FBG within the norm: better with IG<br><1/3 of all values: 7.6 vs. 32.6 %<br>1/3-2/3 of all values: 17.8 vs. 32.6 % |
|                         | 11/2016-<br>02/2018           | no DM2, DM1   |   | women received counselling on nutrition                             |   | >2/3 of all values: 74.6 vs. 34.8 %   |
|                         |                               |   |   | and exercise<br><u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=95):</u><br>routine practice |   | Macrosomia (birthweight>4000 g): 3.5<br>vs. 18.4 % (p<0.001)  |
| Pharmacologica          | l strategies                  |   |   |   |   |   |
| Ashoush 2016            | Egypt,<br>urban,              | GDM, mothers with 26–32-<br>week GDM (oral 2-h 75 G                         | n=95<br>100% female   | <u>IG (n = 47):</u><br>metformin (initial total                     | Primary: successful<br>maternal glycemic control            | Until delivery:<br>fasting glucose during treatment   |
| RCT                     | tertiary care                 | glucose tolerance test)<br>singleton pregnancies, failure                   | age (yrs): 31.8±3<br>HbA1c (%): 5.75 ±                          | dose 1000 mg/d with<br>meals, increase by 500 or                    | Secondary: maternal BMI, glycemic control                   | <ul><li>(mg/dl): better with IG:</li><li>during the last wk: 78±3.1 vs. 79.9±3.7</li></ul>                          |
|                         | 01/2014-<br>11/2014           | of satisfactory glycemic<br>control despite adequate diet                   | 0.55<br>75g OGTT (mg/dl)  | 850 mg every 1 or 2 wks toward target or up to a                    | parameters, maternal<br>weight gained during                | (p=0.008)<br>▶ during the last 2 wks: 78 9+3 5 vs   |
|                         | , -                           | and exercise for $\geq$ 1 wk  | <ul> <li>fasting: 106.05±4.6</li> <li>1b:310.25±11.6</li> </ul> | maximum dose of 2500 mg/d until delivery.                           | pregnancy, side effects to metformin, mode of               | 80.8±4.7 (p=0.029)  |
|                         |                               | no fetal anomalies on   | • 2h:176.65±9.4   | addition of insulin if  | delivery, gestational age                                   | no difference: 6.25 vs. 12.5 (p=0.254)  |
|                         |                               | ultrasonography, other<br>pregnancy complications,                          | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 31.2±1.4                              | needed)<br><u>vs.</u>   | at delivery, neonatal birthweight, macrosomia,              | neonatal hypoglycaemia (%):<br>12.8 vs. 14.6 (p=0.791)  |
|                         |                               | known intolerance to  |   | <u>CG (n = 48):</u>   | neonatal hypoglycemia,                                      | Maternal weight gain (Kg):  |
|                         |                               | metformin or risk factors for lactic acidosis                               |   | regular insulin + neutral<br>protamine Hagedorn (3:7)               | neonatal death,<br>congenital anomalies,                    | $4.4 \pm 0.6$ vs. $5.1 \pm 0.8$ (p=0.001)<br>neonatal congenital anomalies (%):                                     |
|                         |                               |   |   | (starting dose 0.7 units  | admission to neonatal                                       | 2.1 vs. 2.1 p= 0.747  |
|                         |                               |   |   | <u>/kg*d, adjusted to</u><br>achieve adequate                       | intensive care unit   | headache (%):<br>27.3 (metformin+insulin) vs. 5.6   |
|                         |                               |   |   | glycemic control at   |   | (metformin monotherapy) vs. 0%  |
|                         |                               |   |   | increments of 1<br>unit/10 mg glucose                               |   | (insulin monotherapy)   |
|                         |                               |   |   | higher than the desired   |   | 8.5 vs. 10.4 (p= 0.514)   |
|                         |                               |   |   | cut-off, short action   |   | Costs (Egyptian pounds): 89.66±0.96   |
|                         |                               |   |   |   |   |   |

BMJ Open

| <b>Beyuo 2015</b><br>ACTRN126140<br>00942651<br>RCT | Ghana,<br>urban<br>01/2013-<br>12/2013 | pregnant women with DM2<br>or GDM (plasma glucose<br>≥7 mmol/l after an overnight<br>fast or plasma glucose<br>concentration ≥11.1 mmol/l 2<br>hours after a 75 g glucose<br>drink), 20-30 wks gestation,<br>age: 18-45yrs, eligible for<br>insulin therapy<br>no T1DM, DM2 who have<br>previously failed to achieve<br>glycemic control on<br>metformin monotherapy,<br>allergies to metformin | n= 104<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 33.3±4.6<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 8<br>2HPG (mmol/l): 10.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 3.1±6.6<br>type of diabetes:<br>GDM (%): 65.9<br>DM2 (%): 34.0                      | IG (n=52):<br>Metformin (starting with<br>500 mg / d, gradually<br>increase over 2 wks to a<br>maximum dose of 2500<br>mg/d, insulin was added<br>if necessary)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=52):</u><br>insulin treatment (daily<br>dose 0.3 IU/kg, titrated to<br>achieve the glycemic<br>targets, if necessary,<br>admission to the ward<br>and therapy with soluble<br>insulin)<br>Duration: until delivery | Primary: 2-hour post<br>prandial blood glucose<br>(2HPG)<br>Secondary: fasting<br>glucose, 1HPG, maternal<br>weight gain, pregnancy<br>outcome and feto-<br>neonatal outcomes.   | Change from enrolment to delivery:<br>glycemic control (mmol/l):<br>fasting glucose:<br>no difference: 6.42±0.98 vs. 6.62±1.57<br>(p=0.928)<br>1HPG:<br>no difference: 8.95±1.27 vs. 9.62±1.44<br>(p=0.078)<br>2HPG:<br>benefit for IG: 7.84±1.43 vs. 9.05±1.89<br>(p=0.004)  |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Ibrahim 2014<br>NCT01915550<br>RCT                  | Egypt,<br>urban<br>08/2011-<br>04/2012 | GDM or pre-existing DM,<br>gestational age 20-34 wks<br>with insulin resistance<br>No DM1, secondary diabetes<br>or liver or renal impairment   | n=90<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.8 ± 5.4<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):31.83 ±<br>3.23<br>Gestational age: 28.7<br>± 3.7 wks<br>GDM: 43.3 %<br>Pre-existing DM:<br>56.7 % with median<br>duration of 4 (1-15)<br>yrs | IG (n=46):<br>Metformin (1500 mg,<br>raised to 2000 mg)<br>without increasing insulin<br>dose<br>Patients switched to CG if<br>treatment was not<br>successful to control<br>blood glucose<br>concentrations<br><u>CG (n=44):</u><br>insulin dose was<br>increased according to<br>the standard protocol  | Primary:<br>maternal gylcemic control<br>(fasting glucose<br>≤ 95 mg/dl and 2-HPG<br>≤ 120 mg/dl)<br>Secondary:<br>maternal bouts of<br>hypoglycemia, need for<br>another hospital<br>admission for<br>uncontrolled diabetes<br>during pregnancy,<br>gestational age at<br>delivery, mode of<br>delivery, birth weight,<br>birth trauma, congenital<br>anomalies, Apgar score,<br>neonatal hypoglycemia,<br>need for neonatal<br>intensive care unit<br>admission, adverse | <ul> <li>gylcemic control:</li> <li>better for CG: 76.1 vs. 100 %<br/>reached glycemic control (p=0.001)</li> <li>13 vs. 18.2 % had readmission for<br/>poor glycemic control</li> <li>6.5 vs. 22.7 % had bouts of<br/>maternal hypoglycaemia</li> <li>Complications:</li> <li>23.3 vs. 30.8 % had fetal<br/>macrosomia</li> <li>1 new-born in each group had<br/>congenital malformations</li> <li>7 vs. 38.5 % had neonatal<br/>hypoglycaemia</li> <li>18.6 vs. 41 % had NICU admission</li> <li>0 vs. 5.1 % had stillbirths</li> <li>11.6 vs. 25.6 % with respiratory<br/>distress syndrome</li> </ul> |

BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; GDM: gestational diabetes; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; 1 / 2HPG: 1 / 2-hour post prandial blood glucose; IG: intervention group; n: number of participants; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean difference; OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SD: Standard-deviation; wks: weeks; yrs: vears Table 5: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM For peer review only For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml 

BMJ Open

For peer review only

Page 65 of 155

BMJ Open

For peer review only R For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### isk of bias

| Study            | Sequence generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>Iment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors    | Incomplete<br>outcome data | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting | Other risk<br>of bias |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Abaza 2017       |                     |                                | 8   |  | 8                          | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Abdulrhman 2013  |                     | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   |  |                            | 8                                 | <mark></mark>         |
| Adibe 2013       |                     |                                | 8   | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ | 8                          | <mark>@</mark>                    |                       |
| Adjei 2015       | ☺                   | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   | $\odot$                                |                            | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Ali 2019         | ☺                   | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                         | ☺                          | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Amendezo 2017    | <mark>(</mark>      | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   |  | 8                          |                                   | 8                     |
| Anderson 2001    | <mark>(</mark> )    | <mark></mark>                  |   |  | ☺                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Anyanwu 2016     | <mark>(</mark>      | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Ashoush 2016     |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Asuako 2017      | <mark></mark>       | $\odot$                        | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                         |                            | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Beyuo 2015       | <mark>(</mark>      |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | 8                                 | 8                     |
| Chraibi 2017     | <mark>(</mark>      | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Debussche 2018   |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          |                            | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Distiller 2014   | <mark>(</mark>      |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Elbarbary 2016   | <mark>(</mark>      | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Elbarbary 2018   | $\odot$             |                                |   | <mark></mark>                          |                            | $\odot$                           | $\odot$               |
| Elbarbary 2020   |                     |                                |   | $\odot$                                |                            | 8                                 | <mark></mark>         |
| El Gayar 2019    |                     |                                |   | $\odot$                                | <mark>@</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| El-Haggar 2015   | <mark>⇔</mark>      | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                         | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| El-Makaky 2020   | <mark>(</mark>      |                                | 8   |  |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    | <mark>©</mark>        |
| El-Shamy 2018    | 8                   | 8                              | <mark></mark>                             | <mark>@</mark>                         |                            | <mark></mark>                     |                       |
| El-Sharkawy 2016 |                     | $\odot$                        |   | $\odot$                                |                            | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| El-Sheikh 2019   | <mark>(</mark>      | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | <mark>()</mark>                   | 8                     |
| Embaby 2016      | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Essien 2017      |                     | $\odot$                        | <mark></mark>                             | $\odot$                                | 8                          | ☺                                 |                       |
| Fairall 2016     | <mark></mark>       |                                | <mark></mark>                             | <mark></mark>                          |                            |                                   |                       |
| Fayehun 2018     | <mark>(</mark>      |                                | 8   | 8                                      |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Ghoneim 2013     |                     | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | <mark>@</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Hailu 2018       |                     | <mark></mark>                  | 8   |  | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Ibrahim 2014     |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Krawinkel 2018   |                     | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                      | 8                          |                                   |                       |

| Study                  | Sequence<br>generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>Iment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors | Incomplete<br>outcome data          | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting | Oth<br>of |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Labhardt 2011          |                        |                                | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      |                                     | $\odot$                           |           |
| Maharaj 2016           | <mark>©</mark>         | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | $\odot$                             | ☺                                   | <mark></mark>                     |           |
| Malek 2015             | <mark>©</mark>         | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      |                                     | <mark></mark>                     |           |
| Malipa 2013            | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                   | <mark>()</mark>                     | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Mash 2014              |                        | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | 8                                   | 8                                   |                                   |           |
| Matter 2020            |                        | $\odot$                        | $\odot$                                   |                                     |                                     | 8                                 |           |
| Mohamad 2009           | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      | ☺                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Moustafa 2019          | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Muchiri 2015           |                        |                                | 8   |                                     |                                     | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Nteleki 2015           | 8                      | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       |                                     | <mark>⇔</mark>                    |           |
| Owolabi 2019           | <mark>@</mark>         |                                | 8   | $\odot$                             |                                     | 8                                 |           |
| Rashad 2017            |                        |                                |   |                                     | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Ragheb 2020            |                        | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | 8                                   | 8                                   |                                   |           |
| RezkAllah 2019         |                        | $\odot$                        | 8   | $\odot$                             |                                     | $\odot$                           |           |
| Saeed 2013             | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                   | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Salem 2010             | <mark>©</mark>         | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                   | ☺                                   | <mark></mark>                     |           |
| Sodipo 2017            |                        | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Somanah 2012           | <mark>©</mark>         | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      | 8                                   | 8                                 |           |
| Steyn 2013             |                        | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Takenga 2014           | <mark>©</mark>         | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                       |                                     | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Tawfik 2016            | <mark></mark>          |                                |   |                                     | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Thuita 2020            | ☺                      |                                | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      |                                     | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Tsobgny-Tsague<br>2018 |                        | e                              | 8   |                                     | $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varTheta}}$ |                                   |           |
| Utz 2018               |                        |                                | 8   |                                     |                                     | 8                                 |           |
| Van der Hoogt 2017     | <mark></mark>          | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>                    |           |
| Van Rooijen 2004       |                        |                                | 8   |                                     |                                     | ☺                                 |           |
| Webb 2015              | <mark></mark>          | <mark>)</mark>                 | e   |                                     | 8                                   | $\odot$                           |           |
| Yakoot 2019            | <mark></mark>          | ©                              | 8   | 8                                   |                                     | 8                                 |           |
| Yan 2014               | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      |                                     | <mark>⇔</mark>                    |           |

Table 6: Judgements on risk of bias

to peer teries only

Supplement 2

for beer terien only

### Search strategies

### Medline (Ovid)

Search on 19.11.2018, 1470 references, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 541 references

| Nr. | Searches  |
|-----|---|
| 1.  | exp Diabetes Mellitus/  |
| 2.  | Diabetes.tw   |
| 3.  | or/1-2  |
| 4.  | Africa.tw   |
| 5.  | Exp Africa/   |
| 6.  | Algeria\$.tw or exp Algeria/  |
| 7.  | Angol\$.tw or exp Angola/   |
| 8.  | Benin\$.tw or exp Benin/  |
| 9.  | Botswan\$.tw or exp Botswana/                                       |
| 10. | Burkina Faso.tw or exp Burkina Faso/                                |
| 11. | Burund\$.tw or exp Burundi/   |
| 12. | Cameroon\$.tw or exp Cameroon/                                      |
| 13. | Cape Verde.tw or exp Cape Verde/                                    |
| 14. | Central African Republic\$.tw or exp Central African Republic/      |
| 15. | Chad\$.tw or exp Chad/  |
| 16. | Comoros\$.tw or exp Comoros/  |
| 17. | Cote d'Ivoire.tw or exp Cote d'Ivoire/                              |
| 18. | Democratic Republic of Congo.tw or exp Democratic Republic of Congo |
| 19. | Djibout\$.tw or exp Djibouti/                                       |
| 20. | Egypt\$.tw or exp Egypt/  |
| 21. | Equatorial Guinea\$.tw or exp Equatorial Guinea/                    |
| 22. | Eritrea\$.tw or exp Eritrea/  |
| 23. | Ethiop\$.tw or exp Ethiopia/  |
| 24. | Gabon\$.tw or exp Gabon/  |
| 25. | Gambia\$.tw or exp Gambia/  |
| 26. | Ghana\$.tw or exp Ghana/  |
| 27. | Guinea\$.tw or exp Guinea/  |
| 28. | Guinea-Bissau.tw or exp Guinea-Bissau/                              |
| 29. | Kenya\$.tw or exp Kenya/  |
| 30. | Lesoth\$.tw or exp Lesotho/   |
| 31. | Liberia\$.tw or exp Liberia/  |
| 32. | Libya\$.tw or exp Libya/  |
| 33. | Madagascar\$.tw or exp Madagascar/                                  |
| 34. | Malawi\$.tw or exp Malawi/  |
| Nr.            | Searches                                     |
|----------------|--|
| 35.            | Mali.tw or exp Mali/                         |
| 36.            | Mauritania\$.tw or exp Mauritania/           |
| 37.            | Mauritius\$.tw or exp Mauritius/             |
| 38.            | Morocc\$.tw or exp Morocco/                  |
| 39.            | Mozambique\$.tw or exp Mozambique/           |
| 40.            | Namibia\$.tw or exp Namibia/                 |
| 41.            | Niger.tw or exp Niger/                       |
| 42.            | Nigeria\$.tw or exp Nigeria/                 |
| 43.            | Rwanda\$.tw or exp Rwanda/                   |
| 44.            | (Sao Tome and Principe).tw                   |
| 45.            | Senegal\$.tw or exp Senegal/                 |
| 46.            | Sevchell\$.tw                                |
| 47             | Sierra Leone.tw or exp Sierra Leone/         |
| 48             | Somalia\$.tw or exp Somalia/                 |
| 40.<br>40      | South Africa\$ tw or exp South Africa de     |
| <del>5</del> 0 | South Sudan tw or exp South Sudan/           |
| 50.<br>51      | Sudan\$ tw or exp Sudan/                     |
| 51.<br>52      | Swaziland <sup>s</sup> tw or exp Swaziland/  |
| 52.            | Tanzania <sup>s</sup> tw or exp Tanzania/    |
| 53.<br>EA      |  |
| 54.<br>55      | Tupicia <sup>®</sup> twor exp Tupicia/       |
| 55.            |  |
| 56.<br>        | Zandaş.ıw or exp Oganda/                     |
| 57.            | Zampia\$.tw or exp Zampia/                   |
| 58.            | Zimbabweş.tw or exp Zimbabwe/                |
| 59.            | Somaliland\$.tw or exp Somaliland/           |
| 60.            | Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic.tw.         |
| 61.            | or/4-60                                      |
| 62.            | randomized controlled trial.pt.              |
| 64             | (randomized or randomised or randomly) ti ab |
| 65             | placebo ti ab                                |
| 66.            | trial.ti.ab.                                 |
| 67.            | groups.ti,ab.                                |
| 68.            | or/62-67                                     |
| 69.            | 3 and 61 and 68                              |
| 70.            | exp animals/ not humans.sh.                  |
| 71.            | 69 not 70                                    |
| 72.            | 71 not (comment or editorial).pt.            |

# CENTRAL

Search on 14.01.2019, 439 trials, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 244 trials

| 1  | Africa, explode all trees   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Algeria* or Angol* or Benin* or Botswan*                                    |
| 3  | (Burkina Faso) or Burund* or Cameroon* or (Cape Verde) or (Central African  |
|    | Republic)   |
| 4  | Chad* or Comoros* or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo*                                |
| 5  | Djibout* or Egypt* or (Equatorial Guinea*) or Eritrea*                      |
| 6  | Ethiop* or Gabon* or Gambia* or Ghana* or Guinea* or Guinea-Bissau          |
| 7  | Kenya* or Lesoth* or Liberia* or Libya* or Madagascar* or Malawi*           |
| 8  | Mali* or Mauritania* or Mauritius* or Morocc* or Mozambique* or Namibia* or |
|    | Niger*  |
| 9  | Nigeria* or Rwanda* or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal* or Seychell*     |
| 10 | Sierra Leone or Somalia* or (South Africa) or (South Sudan*) or Sudan* or   |
|    | Swasiland   |
| 11 | Tanzania* or Togo* or Tunisia* or Uganda* or Zambia* or Zimbabwe* or        |
|    | Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)                            |
| 12 | #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11            |
| 13 | MeSH descriptor Diabetes, this term only                                    |
| 14 | MESH descriptor Diabetes mellitus, explode all trees                        |
| 15 | Diabetes near 3 gestation*  |
| 16 | Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults  |
| 17 | Prediabetes   |
| 18 | Insulin resistan*   |
| 20 | HBA1C   |
| 21 | Diabet* near 3 (angiopath* or foot orfeet or retinopath*)                   |
| 22 | Diabet* near 3 (cardiomyopathy* or coma or ketoacido* or neuropath*)        |
| 23 | #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21                 |
| 32 | #12 and #23   |

# CINAHL

Search on 20.08.2020: 19 results

(Africa\$ or Africa\$ or Algeria\$ or Angol\$ or Benin\$ or Botswan\$ or (Burkina Faso) or Burund\$ or Cameroon\$ or (Cape Verde) or (Central African Republic) or Chad\$ or Comoros\$ or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo\$ Djibout\$ or Egypt\$ or (Equatorial Guinea\$) or Eritrea\$

| 2        |  |
|----------|--|
| 4        |  |
| 5<br>6   |  |
| 7        |  |
| 8<br>0   |  |
| 9<br>10  |  |
| 11       |  |
| 12       |  |
| 14       |  |
| 15<br>16 |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25<br>26 |  |
| 27       |  |
| 28       |  |
| 30       |  |
| 31       |  |
| 32<br>33 |  |
| 34       |  |
| 35<br>36 |  |
| 37       |  |
| 38<br>30 |  |
| 40       |  |
| 41       |  |
| 42<br>43 |  |
| 44       |  |
| 45<br>46 |  |
| 47       |  |
| 48<br>49 |  |
| 50       |  |
| 51<br>52 |  |
| 52       |  |
| 54<br>55 |  |
| 55<br>56 |  |
| 57       |  |
| 58<br>59 |  |
| 60       |  |

or Ethiop\$ or Gabon\$ or Gambia\$ or Ghana\$ or Guinea\$ or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya\$ or Lesoth\$ or Liberia\$ or Libya\$ or Madagascar\$ or Malawi\$ or Mali\$ or Mauritania\$ or Mauritius\$ or Morocc\$ or Mozambique\$ or Namibia\$ or Niger\$ or Nigeria\$ or Rwanda\$ or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal\$ or Seychell\$ or Sierra Leone or Somalia\$ or (South Africa) or (South Sudan\$) or Sudan\$ or Swasiland or Tanzania\$ or Togo\$ or Tunisia\$ or Uganda\$ or Zambia\$ or Zimbabwe\$ or Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)) in Abstract

- AND diabetes in Abstract
- AND
- randomized or rct or randomized in Abstract
- AND
- In English
- AND
- Peer-reviewed
- And
- Humans

# International Clinical Trials Registry Platform

Search on 9.-10.10.2019, update on 25.08.2020 (registration January 2019 to 31.08.2020) http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/AdvSearch.aspx

- 1. Africa or African in the Title and diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition, Recruitment status: all: 90 records for 90 trials (9.10.2019)
- 2. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Algeria or Angola or Benin or Botswana or Burkina Faso or Burundi or Cameroon or Central African Republic or Chad or Congo or Cite D'ivoire: 96 record for 63 trials

 diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Democratic Republic of Congo or Djibouti or Egypt or Equatorial Guinea or Eritrea or Ethiopia: 292 records for 159 trials

- 4. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition
  - Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Gabon or Gambia or Ghana or Guinea or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya or Lesotho or Liberia or Lybia: 22 records for 22 trials

5. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition

| 2         |  |
|-----------|--|
| 3         |  |
| 4         |  |
| 5         |  |
| 6         |  |
| 7         |  |
| ,<br>0    |  |
| 0         |  |
| 9         |  |
| 10        |  |
| 11        |  |
| 12        |  |
| 13        |  |
| 14        |  |
| 14        |  |
| 15        |  |
| 16        |  |
| 17        |  |
| 18        |  |
| 10        |  |
| 20        |  |
| 20        |  |
| 21        |  |
| 22        |  |
| 23        |  |
| 24        |  |
| 27<br>25  |  |
| 25        |  |
| 26        |  |
| 27        |  |
| 28        |  |
| 29        |  |
| 20        |  |
| 30        |  |
| 31        |  |
| 32        |  |
| 33        |  |
| 34        |  |
| 25        |  |
| 22        |  |
| 36        |  |
| 37        |  |
| 38        |  |
| 39        |  |
| 10        |  |
| 40        |  |
| 41        |  |
| 42        |  |
| 43        |  |
| 44        |  |
| Δ5        |  |
|           |  |
| 46        |  |
| 47        |  |
| 48        |  |
| 49        |  |
| 50        |  |
| 50<br>E 1 |  |
| 51        |  |
| 52        |  |
| 53        |  |
| 54        |  |
| 55        |  |
| 55        |  |
| 50        |  |
| 57        |  |
| 58        |  |
| 59        |  |

1 2

> Recruitment status: all
> Countries of recruitment: Madagascar or Malawi or Mali or Mauritania or Mauritius or Morocco or Mozambique: 96 records for 34 trials
> diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all
> Countries of recruitment: Nigeria: 13 records for 13 trials
> diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all
> countries of recruitment: Nigeria: 13 records for 13 trials
> diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all
> countries of recruitment: Namibia or Niger or Rwanda or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal or Seychelles or Sierra Leone or Somalia or South Sudan or Sudan or

Swaziland:

11 records for 11 trials

- diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition
   Recruitment status: all
   Countries of recruitment: South Africa: 1528 records for 429 trials:
- 9. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Togo or Tunesia or Ujanda or Zambia or Zimbabwe: 129 records for 50 trials

# African Journals Online

https://www.ajol.info/index.php/index/search/search?query=%28diabetes+or+diabetic+or+hb a1c%29+and+%28random+or+randomized+or+randomised%29&dateFromYear=2004&date FromMonth=01&dateFromDay=1&dateToYear=2020&dateToMonth=10&dateToDay=14&aut hors=

Advanced search 14.10.2020

Titel: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised) 30 results

# African Index Medicus Database

http://indexmedicus.afro.who.int/aim/opac\_css/index.php?lvl=search\_result&get\_query=4

Advanced search 14.10.2020

Titel, Expression booléenne: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised)

L'EZONI

122 results, no potentially eligible references

# 1 List of included and excluded studies

# 1.1 List of included studies

# Abaza 2017

Abaza H, Marschollek M. SMS education for the promotion of diabetes self-management in low & middle income countries: a pilot randomized controlled trial in Egypt. BMC public health. 2017;17(1):962.

Abaza H, Marschollek M, Schulze M. SMS Education for the Promotion of Diabetes Self-Management in Low & Middle Income Countries: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Egypt. Studies in Health Technology & Informatics. 2017;245:1209.

# Abdulrhman 2013

Abdulrhman MM, El-Hefnawy MH, Aly RH, Shatla RH, Mamdouh RM, Mahmoud DM, et al. Metabolic effects of honey in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover pilot study. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2013;16(1):66-72.

# Adibe 2013

Adibe MO, Ukwe CV, Aguwa CN. The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention on the Quality of Life of Nigerian Patients Receiving Treatment for Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):240-7.

Adibe MO, Aguwa CN, Ukwe CV. Cost-Utility Analysis of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention Versus Usual Care in Management of Nigerian Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):189-98.

# Adjei 2015

Adjei DN, Agyemang C, Dasah JB, Kuranchie P, Amoah AG. The effect of electronic reminders on risk management among diabetic patients in low resourced settings. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(6):818-21.

# Ali 2019

Ali S, Ghanem Y, Sharaki O, Hewedy W, al. e. The impact of different regimens of vitamin d3 on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. Asian journal of pharmaceutical and clinical research. 2019;12(12):21- 6.

# Amendezo 2017

Amendezo E, Walker Timothy D, Karamuka V, Robinson B, Kavabushi P, Ntirenganya C, et al. Effects of a lifestyle education program on glycemic control among patients with diabetes at Kigali University Hospital, Rwanda: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2017;126:129-37.

# Anderson 2001

 Anderson RA, Roussel AM, Zouari N, Mahjoub S, Matheau JM, Kerkeni A. Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2001;20(3):212-8.

### Anyanwu 2016

Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Odeniyi IA, Iwuala S, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Effect of Vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in Type 2 diabetes subjects in Lagos, Nigeria. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016;20(2):189-94.

# Ashoush 2016

Ashoush S, El-Said M, Fathi H, Abdelnaby M. Identification of metformin poor responders, requiring supplemental insulin, during randomization of metformin versus insulin for the control of gestational diabetes mellitus. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(6):640-7.

# Asuako 2017

Asuako B, Moses MO, Eghan BA, Sarpong PA. Fasting plasma glucose and lipid profiles of diabetic patients improve with aerobic exercise training. Ghana Medical Journal. 2017;51(3):120-7.

### Beyuo 2015

Beyuo T, Obed SA, Adjepong-Yamoah KK, Bugyei KA, Oppong SA, Marfoh K. Metformin versus Insulin in the Management of Pre-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2015;10(5):e0125712.

# Chraibi 2017

Chraibi A, Al-Herz S, Nguyen BD, Soeatmadji DW, Shinde A, Lakshmivenkataraman B, et al. An RCT Investigating Patient-Driven Versus Physician-Driven Titration of BIAsp 30 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled Using NPH Insulin. Diabetes Therapy Research, Treatment and Education of Diabetes and Related Disorders. 2017;8(4):767-80.

### Debussche 2018

Debussche X, Besancon S, Balcou-Debussche M, Ferdynus C, Delisle H, Huiart L, et al. Structured peer-led diabetes self-management and support in a low-income country: The ST2EP randomised controlled trial in Mali. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(1):e0191262.

### Distiller 2014

Distiller LA, Nortje H, Wellmann H, Amod A, Lombard L. A 24-week, prospective, randomized, open-label, treat-to-target pilot study of obese type 2 diabetes patients with severe insulin resistance to assess the addition of exenatide on the efficacy of U-500 regular insulin plus metformin. Endocrine practice. 2014;20(11):1143- 50.

El Gayar 2019

El Gayar MH, Aboromia MMM, Ibrahim NA, Abdel Hafiz MH. Effects of ginger powder supplementation on glycemic status and lipid profile in newly diagnosed obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obesity medicine. 2019;14.

### El-Haggar 2015

El-Haggar SM, Farrag WF, Kotkata FA. Effect of ketotifen in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(3):427-32.

## El-Makaky 2020

El-Makaky Y, Shalaby HK. The effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on glycemic control in diabetic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Oral diseases. 2020;26:822-9.

# El-Shamy 2018

El-Shamy FF, El-Kholy SS, Labib M, Kabel AM. Ameliorative potential of acupressure on gestational diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of complementary and integrative medicine. 2018; 16(1).

# El-Sheikh 2019

El-Sheikh HM, El-Haggar SM, Elbedewy TA. Comparative study to evaluate the effect of Lcarnitine plus glimepiride versus glimepiride alone on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes and metabolic syndrome: clinical research and reviews. 2019;13(1):167-73.

### El- Sharkawy 2016

El- Sharkawy HM, Anees MM, Van Dyke TE. Propolis improves periodontal status and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of periodontology. 2016;87(12):1418-26.

### Elbarbary 2016

Elbarbary NS. Effectiveness of the low-glucose suspend feature of insulin pump during fasting during Ramadan in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews. 2016;32(6):623- 33.

### Elbarbary 2018

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, El-Naggar AR, Hamouda MH, El-Hamamsy M. The effect of 12 weeks carnosine supplementation on renal functional integrity and oxidative stress in pediatric patients with diabetic nephropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Pediatric diabetes. 2018;19(3):470-7.

# Elbarbary 2020

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Zaki MA, Darwish YW, Ibrahim MZ, El-Hamamsy M. Vitamin B complex supplementation as a homocysteine-lowering therapy for early stage diabetic nephropathy in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Clinical Nutrition. 2020;39(1):49-56.

### Embaby 2016

Embaby H, Elsayed E, Fawzy M. Insulin Sensitivity and Plasma Glucose Response to Aerobic Exercise in Pregnant Women at Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2016;26(5):409- 14.

# Essien 2017

Essien O, Otu A, Umoh V, Enang O, Hicks JP, Walley J. Intensive Patient Education Improves Glycaemic Control in Diabetes Compared to Conventional Education: A Randomised Controlled Trial in a Nigerian Tertiary Care Hospital. PLoS ONE 2017;12(1):e0168835.

# Fairall 2016

Fairall LR, Folb N, Timmerman V, Lombard C, Steyn K, Bachmann MO, et al. Educational Outreach with an Integrated Clinical Tool for Nurse-Led Non-communicable Chronic Disease Management in Primary Care in South Africa: a Pragmatic Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. Plos medicine. 2016;13(11):e1002178.

# Fayehun 2018

Fayehun AF, Olowookere OO, Ogunbode AM, Adetunji AA, Esan A. Walking prescription of 10 000 steps per day in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial in Nigerian general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 2018;68(667):e139-e45.

# Ghoneim 2013

Ghoneim EM, Abd El Ghany AA. Behavior of intraocular pressure after intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide among egyptians. Ophthalmology and Therapy. 2013;2(2):121-30.

# Hailu 2018

Hailu FB, Hjortdahl P, Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2018;6:302.

# Ibrahim 2014

Ibrahim MI, Hamdy A, Shafik A, Taha S, Anwar M, Faris M. The role of adding metformin in insulin-resistant diabetic pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014;289(5):959-65.

# Krawinkel 2018

Krawinkel MB, Ludwig C, Swai ME, Yang RY, Chun KP, Habicht SD. Bitter gourd reduces elevated fasting plasma glucose levels in an intervention study among prediabetics in Tanzania. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2018;216:1-7.

# Labhardt 2011

Labhardt ND, Balo JR, Ndam M, Manga E, Stoll B. Improved retention rates with low-cost interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment of nurse-led care: a cluster-randomised trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2011;16(10):1276-84.

# Maharaj 2016

Maharaj SS, Nuhu JM. Rebound exercise: A beneficial adjuvant for sedentary non-insulindependent type 2 diabetic individuals in a rural environment. Australian Journal of Rural Health. 2016;24(2):123-9.

#### Malek 2015

 Malek R, Ajili F, Assaad-Khalil SH, Shinde A, Chen JW, Van den Berg E. Similar glucose control with basal-bolus regimen of insulin detemir plus insulin aspart and thrice-daily biphasic insulin aspart 30 in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: Results of a 50-week randomized clinical trial of stepwise insulin intensification. Diabetes & Metabolism. 2015;41(3):223-30.

#### Marais 2018

Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

### Malipa 2013

Malipa M, Menon J. The relationship between compliance and quality of life among adolescents with diabetes mellitus type1. Medical Journal of Zambia. 2013;40(3):93-103.

#### Mash 2014

Mash RJ, Rhode H, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S, Lombard C, Steyn K, et al. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in under-served communities in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(8):987-93.

Mash R, Kroukamp R, Gaziano T, Levitt N. Cost-effectiveness of a diabetes group education program delivered by health promoters with a guiding style in underserved communities in Cape Town, South Africa. Patient Education & Counseling. 2015;98(5):622-6.

#### Matter 2020

Matter RM, Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Darwish YW, Nada AS, Banoub VP. Zinc supplementation improves glucose homeostasis in patients with Î<sup>2</sup>-thalassemia major complicated with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition 2020;73.

#### Mohamad 2009

Mohamad RH, Zekry ZK, Al-Mehdar HA, Salama O, El-Shaieb SE, El-Basmy AA, et al. Camel milk as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes: verification of a traditional ethnomedical practice. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2009;12(2):461-5.

#### Moustafa 2019

Moustafa HAM, El Wakeel LM, Halawa MR, Sabri NA, El-Bahy AZ, Singab AN. Effect of Nigella Sativa oil versus metformin on glycemic control and biochemical parameters of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Endocrine. 2019;65(2):286- 94.

# Muchiri 2016

Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Effect of a nutrition education programme on clinical status and dietary behaviours of adults with type 2 diabetes in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Public Health Nutrition. 2016;19(1):142-55.

Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Impact of nutrition education on diabetes knowledge and attitudes of adults with type 2 diabetes living in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Journal of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes of South Africa. 2016;21(2):26-34.

# Nteleki 2015

Nteleki B, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. Conventional podiatric intervention and phototherapy in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Seminars in Vascular Surgery. 2015;28(3-4):172-83.

# Owolabi 2019

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Efficacy, acceptability and feasibility of daily text-messaging in promoting glycaemic control and other clinical outcomes in a low-resource setting of South Africa: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2019;14(11):e0224791.

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Impact of mobile phone text messaging intervention on adherence among patients with diabetes in a rural setting: A randomized controlled trial. Medicine. 2020;99(12):1-8.

# Ragheb 2020

Ragheb SR, El Wakeel LM, Nasr MS, Sabri NA. Impact of Rutin and Vitamin C combination on oxidative stress and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clinical nutrition ESPEN. 2020;35:128-35.

# Rashad 2017

Rashad H, Metwally FM, Ezzat SM, Salama MM, Hasheesh A, Abdel Motaal A. Randomized double-blinded pilot clinical study of the antidiabetic activity of Balanites aegyptiaca and UPLC-ESI-MS/MS identification of its metabolites. Pharmaceutical Biology. 2017;55(1):1954-61.

# RezkAllah 2019

RezkAllah SS, Takla MK. Effects of different dosages of interval training on glycemic control in people with prediabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes spectrum. 2019;32(2):125- 31.

# Saeed 2013

Saeed AM. Combined vitrectomy and intravitreal injection versus combined laser and injection for treatment of intractable diffuse diabetic macular edema. Clinical Ophthalmology. 2013;7:283-97.

# Salem 2010

Salem MA, Aboelasrar MA, Elbarbary NS, Elhilaly RA, Refaat YM. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2010;2(1):47.

### Sodipo 2017

 Sodipo OO, Adedokun A, Olusola AA. Effect of self-monitoring of blood glucose on glycaemic outcome among type 2 diabetic patients. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):208-13.

## Somanah 2012

Somanah J, Aruoma OI, Gunness TK, Kowelssur S, Dambala V, Murad F, et al. Effects of a short term supplementation of a fermented papaya preparation on biomarkers of diabetes mellitus in a randomized Mauritian population. Preventive Medicine. 2012;54 Suppl:S90-7.

# Steyn 2013

Steyn K, Lombard C, Gwebushe N, Fourie JM, Everett-Murphy K, Zwarenstein M, et al. Implementation of national guidelines, incorporated within structured diabetes and hypertension records at primary level care in Cape Town, South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Glob Health Action. 2013;6:20796.

# Takenga 2014

Takenga C, Berndt RD, Musongya O, Kitero J, Katoke R, Molo K, et al. An ICT-Based Diabetes Management System Tested for Health Care Delivery in the African Context. International Journal of Telemedicine & Applications. 2014;2014:437307.

# Tawfik 2016

Tawfik MY, Mohamed RA. The impact of communicating cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetics on patient risk perception, diabetes self-care, glycosylated hemoglobin, and cardiovascular risk. Journal of public health. 2016;24(2):153-64.

# Thuita 2020

Thuita AW, Kiage BN, Onyango AN, Makokha AO. Effect of a nutrition education programme on the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at a level 5 Hospital in Kenya: "a randomized controlled trial". BMC Nutr. 2020;6:30.

# Tsobgny-Tsague 2018

Tsobgny-Tsague NF, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nana ARN, Tankeu AT, Katte JC, Dehayem MY, et al. Effects of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on glycated haemoglobin on type 2 diabetes patients (PARODIA 1 study): a randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan Africa population. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):28.

# Utz 2018

Utz B, Assarag B, Smekens T, Ennassiri H, Lekhal T, El Ansari N, et al. Detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through primary health care services in Morocco: An effectiveness-implementation trial. PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0209322.

# van der Hoogt 2017

van der Hoogt M, van Dyk JC, Dolman RC, Pieters M. Protein and fat meal content increase insulin requirement in children with type 1 diabetes - Role of duration of diabetes. Journal of clinical and translational endocrinology. 2017;10:15- 21.

# van Rooijen 2004

van Rooijen AJ, Rheeder P, Eales CJ, Becker PJ. Effect of exercise versus relaxation on haemoglobin A1C in Black females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Qjm. 2004;97(6):343-51.

# Webb 2015

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Van Zyl DG. Diabetes care and complications in primary care in the Tshwane district of South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2015;9(2):147-54.

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Roux P. Screening in Primary Care for Diabetic Retinopathy, Maculopathy and Visual Loss in South Africa. Ophthalmologica. 2016;235(3):141-9.

Webb EM, Rheeder P. A cluster-randomized trial to estimate the effect of mobile screening and treatment feedback on HbA1c and diabetes-related complications in Tshwane primary health care clinics, South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2017;11(6):546-54.

# Yakoot 2019

Yakoot M, Abdelatif M, Helmy S. Efficacy of a new local limb salvage treatment for limbthreatening diabetic foot wounds-a randomized controlled study. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy. 2019;12:1659.

# Yan 2014

Yan H, Prista A, Ranadive SM, Damasceno A, Caupers P, Kanaley JA, et al. Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. Isrn Endocrinology Print. 2014;2014:864897.

# 1.2 List of excluded studies

# 1.2.1 Other design (28 references)

1. Abd El Hameed AA, Shreif HE, Mowafy HE. The role of continuing metformin therapy during pregnancy in the reduction of gestational diabetes and improving pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2011;16(3):204- 8.

2. Abdelaziz TS, Sadek KM. Effect of reducing medication regimen complexity on glycaemic control in patients with diabetes. Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine. 2019;57(1):23-9.

3. Agboola-Abu CF, Ohwovoriole AE, Akinlade KS. The effect of oral hypoglycaemic agents on dyslipidaemia in Nigerian patients with newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus--a prospective study. West African Journal of Medicine. 2000;19(2):126-31.

4. Assah FK, Atanga EN, Enoru S, Sobngwi E, Mbanya JC. Community-based peer support significantly improves metabolic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Yaounde, Cameroon. Diabetic Medicine. 2015;32(7):886-9.

5. Bahramikia S, Yazdanparast R. Phytochemistry and medicinal properties of Teucrium polium L. (Lamiaceae). Phytotherapy Research. 2012;26(11):1581-93.

6. Bailey CJ, Iqbal N, T'Joen C, List JF. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naive patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(10):951-9.

7. Bekkouche L, Bouchenak M, Malaisse WJ, Yahia DA. The Mediterranean diet adoption improves metabolic, oxidative, and inflammatory abnormalities in Algerian metabolic syndrome patients. Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung / Hormones et metabolisme [Hormone and metabolic research]. 2014;46(4):274-82.

8. Bello SI, Ganiyu KA, Dakop YO, Erah PO. Pharmacist's intervention in the control of blood sugar levels in randomised diabetes patients at a primary health care setting in Benin City. Nigerian Quarterly Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2012;22(4):245-8.

9. Brown J, Martis R, Hughes B, Rowan J, Crowther CA. Oral anti-diabetic pharmacological therapies for the treatment of women with gestational diabetes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017;1:CD011967.

10. Chou HS, Truitt KE, Moberly JB, Merante D, Choi Y, Mun Y, et al. A 26-week, placebo- and pioglitazone-controlled monotherapy study of rivoglitazone in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(11):1000-9.

11. De Caterina R, Andersson U, Alexander JH, Al-Khatib SM, Bahit MC, Goto S, et al. History of bleeding and outcomes with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation trial. American Heart Journal. 2016;175:175-83.

**BMJ** Open

12. De Luca G, Michael Gibson C, Bellandi F, Murphy S, Maioli M, Noc M, et al. Benefits of pharmacological facilitation with glycoprotein IIb-IIIa inhibitors in diabetic patients undergoing primary angioplasty for STEMI. A subanalysis of the EGYPT cooperation. Journal of Thrombosis & Thrombolysis. 2009;28(3):288-98.

13. El-Fattah AAA, Hamed MI, Sadek SE, Abu-Elhana AS. Insulin resistance in type II diabetes mellitus with liver cirrhosis. Global journal of pharmacology. 2013;7(2):109- 17.

14. Fullerton B, Siebenhofer A, Jeitler K, Horvath K, Semlitsch T, Berghold A, et al. Shortacting insulin analogues versus regular human insulin for adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016(6):CD012161.

15. Gessler N, Labhard ND, Stolt P, Manga E, Balo JR, Boffolo A, et al. The lesson of Monsieur Nouma: effects of a culturally sensitive communication tool to improve health-seeking behavior in rural Cameroon. Patient Education & Counseling. 2012;87(3):343-50.

16. Ibrahim MA, Sarhan, II, Halawa MR, Afify EN, Hebah HA, Al-Gohary EA, et al. Study of the effect of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in type 2 diabetic prevalent hemodialysis patients. Hemodialysis international. 2015;19:S11- S9.

17. Jingi AM, Noubiap JJ, Essouma M, Bigna JJ, Nansseu JR, Ellong A, et al. Association of insulin treatment versus oral hypoglycaemic agents with diabetic retinopathy and its severity in type 2 diabetes patients in Cameroon, sub-Saharan Africa. Annals of Translational Medicine. 2016;4(20):395.

18. Kadiri A, Al-Nakhi A, El-Ghazali S, Jabbar A, Al Arouj M, Akram J, et al. Treatment of type 1 diabetes with insulin lispro during Ramadan. Diabetes & metabolism. 2001;27(4 Pt 1):482- 6.

19. Kamau RK, Maina FW, Kigondu C, Mati JK. The effect of low-oestrogen combined pill, progestogen-only pill and medroxyprogesterone acetate on oral glucose tolerance test. East African Medical Journal. 1990;67(8):550-5.

20. Moghazy AM, Shams ME, Adly OA, Abbas AH, El-Badawy MA, Elsakka DM, et al. The clinical and cost effectiveness of bee honey dressing in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2010;89(3):276-81.

21. Notelovitz M. Sulphonylurea therapy in the treatment of the pregnant diabetic. South African Medical Journal Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir Geneeskunde. 1971;45(9):226-9.

22. Osman H, Khamis O, Elfeky M, El Amin Ali A, Abdelwahed M. Effect of short-term erythropoietin therapy on insulin resistance and serum levels of leptin and neuropeptide y in hemodialysis patients. Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;21(5):724- 30.

23. Razak A, Isaacs AA. Implementation and evaluation of a weight-reduction programme for diabetic patients at a primary health care facility in the western cape: a pilot study. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):189- 94.

**BMJ** Open

24. Schumm-Draeger PM, Burgess L, Koranyi L, Hruba V, Hamer-Maansson JE, de Bruin TW. Twice-daily dapagliflozin co-administered with metformin in type 2 diabetes: a 16-week randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):42-51.

25. Speechly DP, Buffenstein R. Appetite dysfunction in obese males: evidence for role of hyperinsulinaemia in passive overconsumption with a high fat diet. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2000;54(3):225- 33.

26. Todowede OO, Sartorius B. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome, discrete or comorbid diabetes and hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa among people living with HIV versus HIV-negative populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open. 2017;7(7):e016602.

27. Van Olmen J, Van Pelt M, Malombo B, Ku GM, Kanda D, Heang H, et al. Process evaluation of a mobile health intervention for people with diabetes in low income countries - the implementation of the TEXT4DSM study. Journal of Telemedicine & Telecare. 2017;23(1):96-105.

28. Werfalli M, Raubenheimer P, Engel M, Peer N, Kalula S, Kengne AP, et al. Effectiveness of community-based peer-led diabetes self-management programmes (COMP-DSMP) for improving clinical outcomes and quality of life of adults with diabetes in primary care settings in low and middle-income countries (LMIC): a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2015;5(7):e007635.

# 1.2.2 Other population (32 references)

1. Ali Hassan H, El-Gezeiry D, Nafaa TM, Baghdady I. Improved responsiveness of PCOS patients to clomiphene after CYP17a inhibitor. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics. 2001;18(11):608- 11.

Amador-Licona N, Guízar-Mendoza J, Vargas E, Sánchez-Camargo G, Zamora-Mata
 The short-term effect of a switch from glibenclamide to metformin on blood pressure and microalbuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Archives of medical research. 2000;31(6):571- 5.

3. Ashoush S, Abou-Gamrah A, Bayoumy H, Othman N. Chromium picolinate reduces insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome: randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(3):279- 85.

4. Ashtary-Larky D, Ghanavati M, Lamuchi-Deli N, Payami SA, Alavi-Rad S, Boustaninejad M, et al. Rapid Weight Loss vs. slow weight loss: which is more effective on body composition and metabolic risk factors? International journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;15(3) (no pagination).

#### **BMJ** Open

5. 6. Bashandy GMN, Boules NS, Taha FM. Effects of a single preoperative dose of N(2)-L-alanyl-L-glutamine on insulin resistance and plasma glutathione levels in the early postoperative period. Egyptian journal of anaesthesia. 2013;29(4):319- 24.

6. Bays HE, Evans JL, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2013;67(1):2-7.

7. Belinova L, Kahleova H, Malinska H, Topolcan O, Windrichova J, Oliyarnyk O, et al. The effect of meal frequency in a reduced-energy regimen on the gastrointestinal and appetite hormones in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised crossover study. Plos one. 2017;12(4):e0174820.

8. Campbell-Tofte JI, Mølgaard P, Josefsen K, Abdallah Z, Hansen SH, Cornett C, et al. Randomized and double-blinded pilot clinical study of the safety and anti-diabetic efficacy of the Rauvolfia-Citrus tea, as used in Nigerian traditional medicine. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2011;133(2):402- 11.10.

9. El-Haggar SM, Mostafa TM. Comparative clinical study between the effect of fenofibrate alone and its combination with pentoxifylline on biochemical parameters and liver stiffness in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology international. 2015;9(3):471- 9.

10. Gupta V, Keshari BB, Tiwari SK, Murthy K. A comparative study of Shilajatu and Asanadi Ghana Vati in the management of Madhumeha w.s.r. to type-2 diabetes mellitus. Ayu. 2016;37(2):120-4.

11. Hashim HA, Lakany NE, Sherief L. Combined metformin and clomiphene citrate versus laparoscopic ovarian diathermy for ovulation induction in clomiphene-resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2011;37(3):169-77.

12. Home PD, Bolli GB, Mathieu C, Deerochanawong C, Landgraf W, Candelas C, et al. Modulation of insulin dose titration using a hypoglycaemia-sensitive algorithm: insulin glargine versus neutral protamine Hagedorn insulin in insulin-naive people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):15-22.

13. Ismail NA, Ragab S, Abd El Baky ANE, Hamed M, Ibrahim ASA. Effect of oral curcumin administration on insulin resistance, serum resistin and fetuin-A in obese children: randomized placebo-controlled study. Research journal of pharmaceutical, biological and chemical sciences. 2014;5(1):887-96.

14. Kumari J, Mehta CS, Shukla VD, Dave AR, Shingala TM. A comparative clinical study of Nyagrodhadi Ghanavati and Virechana Karma in the management of Madhumeha. Ayu. 2010;31(3):300-4.

15. Malin SK, Hinnerichs KR, Echtenkamp BG, Evetovich TK, Engebretsen BJ. Effect of adiposity on insulin action after acute and chronic resistance exercise in non-diabetic women. European journal of applied physiology. 2013;113(12):2933- 41.

16. Malin SK, Kullman EL, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Pagadala MR, et al. A wholegrain diet reduces peripheral insulin resistance and improves glucose kinetics in obese adults: a randomized-controlled trial. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 2018;82:111-7.

17. Malin SK, Niemi N, Solomon TP, Haus JM, Kelly KR, Filion J, et al. Exercise training with weight loss and either a high- or low-glycemic index diet reduces metabolic syndrome severity in older adults. Annals of nutrition & metabolism. 2012;61(2):135- 41.

18. Manaf A, Tjandrawinata RR, Malinda D. Insulin sensitizer in prediabetes: a clinical study with DLBS3233, a combined bioactive fraction of Cinnamomum burmanii and Lagerstroemia speciosa. Drug design, development and therapy. 2016;10:1279- 89.

19. Mendez-Del Villar M, Gonzalez-Ortiz M, Martinez-Abundis E, Perez-Rubio KG, Cortez-Navarrete M. Effect of Irvingia gabonensis on Metabolic Syndrome, Insulin Sensitivity, and Insulin Secretion. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2018;21(6):568-74.

20. Mogharnasi M, TaheriChadorneshin H, Papoli-Baravati SA, Teymuri A. Effects of upper-body resistance exercise training on serum nesfatin-1 level, insulin resistance, and body composition in obese paraplegic men. Disability and health journal. 2018;(no pagination).

21. Nadkarni MA, Vyas SN, Baghel MS, Ravishankar B. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of Mustadi Ghanavati in hyperlipidemia. Ayu. 2010;31(3):287-93.

22. Ngo-Matip ME, Pieme CA, Azabji-Kenfack M, Biapa PC, Germaine N, Heike E, et al. Effects of Spirulina platensis supplementation on lipid profile in HIV-infected antiretroviral naïve patients in Yaounde-Cameroon: a randomized trial study. Lipids in health and disease. 2014;13:191.

23. Ngondi JL, Etoundi BC, Nyangono CB, Mbofung CM, Oben JE. IGOB131, a novel seed extract of the West African plant Irvingia gabonensis, significantly reduces body weight and improves metabolic parameters in overweight humans in a randomized double-blind placebo controlled investigation. Lipids in health and disease. 2009;8:7.

24. Philis-Tsimikas A, Del Prato S, Satman I, Bhargava A, Dharmalingam M, Skjoth TV, et al. Effect of insulin degludec versus sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled on oral antidiabetic agents. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2013;15(8):760-6.

25. Pieber TR, Treichel HC, Hompesch B, Philotheou A, Mordhorst L, Gall MA, et al. Comparison of insulin detemir and insulin glargine in subjects with Type 1 diabetes using intensive insulin therapy. Diabetic Medicine. 2007;24(6):635-42.

#### **BMJ** Open

26. Rizk AY, Bedaiwy MA, Al-Inany HG. N-acetyl-cysteine is a novel adjuvant to clomiphene citrate in clomiphene citrate-resistant patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertility and sterility. 2005;83(2):367-70.

27. Rost NS, Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Murphy SA, Crompton AE, Norden AD, et al. Outcomes With Edoxaban Versus Warfarin in Patients With Previous Cerebrovascular Events: Findings From ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 (Effective Anticoagulation With Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 48). Stroke. 2016;47(8):2075-82.

28. Shabana W, Teleb M, Dawod T, Abu Taha H, Abdulla A, Shahin A, et al. Outcome of alpha-blockers, with or without methylprednisolone combination, in medical expulsive therapy for lower ureteric stones: a prospective randomised study. Arab journal of urology. 2016;14(1):7- 11.

29. Strojek K, Yoon KH, Hruba V, Sugg J, Langkilde AM, Parikh S. Dapagliflozin Added to Glimepiride in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Sustains Glycemic Control and Weight Loss Over 48 Weeks: a Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel-Group, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Diabetes therapy. 2014;5(1):267- 83.

30. Timmers S, De Ligt M, Phielix E, Van De Weijer T, Hansen J, Moonen-Kornips E, et al. Resveratrol as add-on therapy in subjects with well-controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes care. 2016;39(12):2211- 7.

31. Van Olmen J, Kegels G, Korachais C, de Man J, Van Acker K, Kalobu JC, et al. The effect of text message support on diabetes self-management in developing countries - A randomised trial. Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology. 2017;7:33-41.

32. Vázquez C, Botella-Carretero JI, Corella D, Fiol M, Lage M, Lurbe E, et al. White fish reduces cardiovascular risk factors in patients with metabolic syndrome: the WISH-CARE study, a multicenter randomized clinical trial. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD. 2014;24(3):328- 35.

# 1.2.3 Other intervention (8 references)

1. Babiker R, Elmusharaf K, Keogh MB, Saeed AM. Effect of Gum Arabic (Acacia Senegal) supplementation on visceral adiposity index (VAI) and blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus as indicators of cardiovascular disease (CVD): a randomized and placebo-controlled clinical trial. Lipids in Health & Disease. 2018;17(1):56.

2. Dirajlal-Fargo S, Musiime V, Cook A, Mirembe G, Kenny J, Jiang Y, et al. Insulin Resistance and Markers of Inflammation in HIV-infected Ugandan Children in the CHAPAS-3 Trial. Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2017;36(8):761-7.

3. Djoumessi RN, Noubiap JJ, Kaze FF, Essouma M, Menanga AP, Kengne AP, et al. Effect of low-dose spironolactone on resistant hypertension in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a

randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan African population. BMC Research Notes. 2016;9:187.

4. Elnashar A, Fahmy M, Mansour A, Ibrahim K. N-acetyl cysteine vs. metformin in treatment of clomiphene citrate-resistant polycystic ovary syndrome: a prospective randomized controlled study. Fertility and sterility. 2007;88(2):406- 9.

5. Elsedeek M-EA, Elgindy E. Comparison between two clomiphene citrate protocols for induction of ovulation in clomiphene resistant polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2014;19(4):243- 7.

6. Gopalan A, Paramanund J, Shaw PA, Patel D, Friedman J, Brophy C, et al. Randomised controlled trial of alternative messages to increase enrolment in a healthy food programme among individuals with diabetes. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e012009.

7. Onyechi KC, Eseadi C, Okere AU, Onuigbo LN, Umoke PC, Anyaegbunam NJ, et al. Effects of cognitive behavioral coaching on depressive symptoms in a sample of type 2 diabetic inpatients in Nigeria. Medicine. 2016;95(31):e4444.

8. Shehata M, Hamza M. Impact of high loading dose of atorvastatin in diabetic patients with renal dysfunction undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. Cardiovascular therapeutics. 2015;33(2):35-41.

# 1.2.4 Other comparison (1 reference)

 1. Ahmed ME, Mohammed MS, Mahadi SI. Primary wound closure of diabetic foot ulcers by debridement and stitching. Journal of Wound Care. 2016;25(11):650-4.

### 1.2.5 Other outcome (7 references)

1. Belkhadir J, el Ghomari H, Klocker N, Mikou A, Nasciri M, Sabri M. Muslims with noninsulin dependent diabetes fasting during Ramadan: treatment with glibenclamide. BMJ. 1993;307(6899):292-5.

2. El-Tamalawy MM, Ibrahim OM, Hassan TM, El-Barbari AA. Effect of Combination Therapy of Ezetimibe and Atorvastatin on Remnant Lipoprotein Versus Double Atorvastatin Dose in Egyptian Diabetic Patients. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2018;58(1):34-41.

3. Hassanien M, Elawamy A, Kamel EZ, Khalifa WA, Abolfadl GM, Roushdy ASI, et al. Perineural Platelet-Rich Plasma for Diabetic Neuropathic Pain, Could It Make a Difference? Pain Medicine. 2020;21(4):757-65.

4. Lakhdar N, Denguezli M, Zaouali M, Zbidi A, Tabka Z, Bouassida A. Diet and diet combined with chronic aerobic exercise decreases body fat mass and alters plasma and adipose tissue inflammatory markers in obese women. Inflammation. 2013;36(6):1239- 47.

5. Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

6. Saied GM, Kamel RM, Labib AM, Said MT, Mohamed AZ. The diabetic foot and leg: combined He-Ne and infrared low-intensity lasers improve skin blood perfusion and prevent potential complications. A prospective study on 30 Egyptian patients. Lasers in Medical Science. 2011;26(5):627-32.

7. Tawfik MY. The Impact of Health Education Intervention for Prevention and Early Detection of Type 2 Diabetes in Women with Gestational Diabetes. Journal of Community Health. 2017;42(3):500-10.

1.2.6

# 1.2.7 Other publications (41 references)

1. Agbozo F, Abubakari A, Narh C, Jahn A. Are we missing pregnant women with gestational diabetes? Evidence from a diagnostic accuracy study comparing glycosuria, glycated haemoglobin, random and fasting glucose to oral glucose tolerance test. Tropical medicine and international health Conference: 10th european congress on tropical medicine and international health Belgium. 2017;22(Supplement 1):351- 2.

Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Vitamin D supplementation improves glycaemia in Vitamin D deficient nigerians with diabetes mellitus.
 Endocrine reviews Conference: 96th annual meeting and expo of the endocrine society,
 ENDO 2014 Chicago, IL united states Conference start: 20140621 Conference end: 20140624 Conference publication: (varpagings). 2014;35(no pagination).

3. Aronson R, Cohen O, Conget I, Runzis S, Castaneda J, de Portu S, et al. OpT2mise: a randomized controlled trial to compare insulin pump therapy with multiple daily injections in the treatment of type 2 diabetes-research design and methods. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics. 2014;16(7):414-20.

4. Azar ST, Echtay A, Wan Bebakar WM, Alaraj S, Berrah A, Omar M, et al. Efficacy and safety of liraglutide versus sulfonylurea both in combination with metformin during ramadan in subjects with type 2 diabetes (lira-ramadan): a randomized trial. Journal of endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa Conference: 51st congress of the society for endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa, SEMDSA 2016 South africa. 2016;21(1):14.

5. Balde N, Camara A, Sobngwi-Tambekou J, Balti EV, Tchatchoua A, Fezeu L, et al. Improving access to HbA1c in sub-Saharan Africa (IA3) cohort: cohort profile. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2017;27.

6. Chi CT. A Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, positive controlled clinical study to assess the efficacy and safety of Acetyl L-Carnitine in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Chictr. 2008.

**BMJ** Open

7. Elnashar A, El Maghraby H, Nafee T, Guiziry D, Fourtia I. Randomized controlled trial of the effects of metformin versus combined oral contraceptives in adolescent PCOS women through a 24 months follow up period. Human reproduction. 2015;30:i5.

 8. Evans JL, Bays H, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. Circulation. 2012;125(10 SUPPL. 1).

9. Goedecke JH, Mendham AE, Clamp L, Nono Nankam PA, Fortuin-de Smidt MC, Phiri L, et al. An Exercise Intervention to Unravel the Mechanisms Underlying Insulin Resistance in a Cohort of Black South African Women: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial and Baseline Characteristics of Participants. JMIR Research Protocols. 2018;7(4):e75.

10. Lanasri N, Nibouche NW, Atif L, Makhlouf L, Zeraoulia F, Hansal F, et al. Comparison of two therapeutic education methods in diabetic patients: a randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia Conference: 54th annual meeting of the european association for the study diabetes, EASD 2018 Germany. 2018;61(Supplement 1):S433.

11. Malin SK, Louis-Kullman E, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Godin JP, et al. Whole grain diet improves glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, and beta-cell function in overweight prediabetic adults. Diabetes. 2014;63:A78.

12. Malin SK, Samat A, Wolski K, Abood B, Pothier C, Bhatt DL, et al. Gastric bypass surgery enhances ghrelin suppression and improves beta-cell function and central obesity at 24 months in moderately obese adults with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2013;62:A729.

13. Mash B, Levitt N, Steyn K, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in underserved communities in South Africa: pragmatic cluster randomized control trial. BMC Family Practice. 2012;13:126.

14. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ng'ang'a M, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Gichuhi S, et al. Feasibility of a cluster randomized controlled trial on the effectiveness of peer-led health education interventions to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy in Kirinyaga, Kenya: a pilot trial. Pilot feasibility stud. 2020;6:102.

15. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Kim M, Ng'ang'a M, et al. Peer-support to increase uptake of screening for diabetic retinopathy: process evaluation of the DURE cluster randomized trial. Tropical Medicine & Health. 2020;48:1.

16. Mwangi N, Ng'ang'a M, Gakuo E, Gichuhi S, Macleod D, Moorman C, et al. Effectiveness of peer support to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy: study protocol for the DURE pragmatic cluster randomized clinical trial in Kirinyaga, Kenya. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):871.

17. Nassar WF, El-Ansary M, Shehab T, Abdelhameed M, Saad A, Esa W, et al. Effect of cell-free mesenchymal stem cells microvesicles (MVS) and exosomes therapy on beta-cell mass in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). Diabetes. 2015;64:A282.

**BMJ** Open

18. Nct. The Efficacy of Specialist Collaboration and Mobile Screening for Improving the Management of Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01275040. 2010.

19. Nct. Trial on an Educative Structured Intervention by Peer Educators to Improve HbA1c of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes in the Sikasso Region in Mali. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01153048. 2010.

20. Nct. Propolis Improves Glycemic Control in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Periodontitis. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct02794506. 2016.

21. Nct. Community- and mHealth-Based Integrated Management of Diabetes in Primary Healthcare in Rwanda. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03376607. 2017.

22. Nct. Nutrition Education Intervention for Adults With Type 2 Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03334773. 2017.

23. Nct. Helium-Neon Laser Therapy Versus Infrared Laser Therapy in Treating Patients With Diabetic Foot Ulcer. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03338517. 2017.

24. Nct. Diabetes Self-Management Education (DSME) and Its Effect on Clinical, Psychosocial, and Behavioral Outcomes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03185689. 2017.

25. Noakes TD. The Women's health initiative randomized controlled dietary modification trial: an inconvenient finding and the diet-heart hypothesis. South african medical journal. 2013;103(11):824- 5.

26. Orchard TJ, Sibomana L, Miller R. Evaluation of differing type 1 diabetes treatment regimens in youth in Rwanda. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:25- 6.

27. Pengpid S, Peltzer K, Skaal L. Efficacy of a church-based lifestyle intervention programme to control high normal blood pressure and/or high normal blood glucose in church members: a randomized controlled trial in Pretoria, South Africa. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:568.

28. Rockers PC, Wirtz VJ, Vian T, Onyango MA, Ashigbie PG, Laing R. Study protocol for a cluster-randomised controlled trial of an NCD access to medicines initiative: evaluation of Novartis Access in Kenya. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e013386.

29. Ross SM. African mango (IGOB131): a proprietary seed extract of Irvingia gabonensis is found to be effective in reducing body weight and improving metabolic parameters in overweight humans. Holistic nursing practice. 2011;25(4):215-7.

30. Salman SA, Farghaly TA, Attallah DA, Abdel-Hafeez HA, Shaaban OM. Insulin sensitizing agent (metformin) improves clinical pregnancy rate in clomiphene citrate resistant polycystic ovarian syndrome patients with acanthosis nigricans. Fertility and sterility. 2014;102(3 SUPPL. 1):e139.

31. Samir Elbarbary N, Abdel Rahman Ismail E, El-Naggar AR, Hany Hamouda M, El-Hamamsy M. Role of carnosine as an adjuvant therapy for diabetic nephropathy in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: relation to oxidative stress, renal functional integrity

Page 94 of 155

**BMJ** Open

and glycemic control. Pediatric diabetes Conference: 43rd annual meeting of the international society for pediatric and adolescent diabetes , ISPAD 2017 Austria. 2017;18(Supplement 25):115.

32. Sherif EM, El Tonbary KY, Abd Aziz MM. Comparative study between the use of insulin glargine and intermediate acting insulin (NPH) in type 1 diabetic children less than eight years old. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:46.

33. Sibomana L, Rwabufigiri B, Kaberuka V, Gishoma C, Rubanzana W, Miller RG, et al. Type 1 diabetes-related quality of life in Rwanda. Diabetes. 2015;64:A368.

34. Utz B, Assarag B, Essolbi A, Barkat A, El Ansari N, Fakhir B, et al. Improving detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through the primary level of care in Morocco: protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. Reproductive Health. 2017;14(1):75.

35. van Olmen J, Ku GM, van Pelt M, Kalobu JC, Hen H, Darras C, et al. The effectiveness of text messages support for diabetes self-management: protocol of the TEXT4DSM study in the democratic Republic of Congo, Cambodia and the Philippines. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:423.

36. Vedanthan R, Kamano JH, Lee H, Andama B, Bloomfield GS, DeLong AK, et al. Bridging Income Generation with Group Integrated Care for cardiovascular risk reduction: rationale and design of the BIGPIC study. American heart journal. 2017;188:175- 85.

37. Veleba J, Janovska P, Kuda O, Horakova O, Malinska H, Kazdova L, et al. Combined intervention with pioglitazone and N-3 fatty acids in metformin-treated diabetic patients. Obesity facts. 2015;8:213.

38. Viviers C, Van Rooijen AJ. Daily physical activity and diet intervention for individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised controlled trial. South african journal of clinical nutrition. 2010;23(3 SUPPL. 2):S35.

39. Wargny M, Kleinebreil L, Diop SN, Ndour-Mbaye M, Ba Diop M, Balkau B, et al. SMSbased intervention in type 2 diabetes: clinical trial in Senegal. BMJ innovations. 2018.

40. Zeghari L, Aboussaleh Y. Comparison of two approaches of nutritional education in the management of diabetes. Annals of nutrition and metabolism Conference: 21st international congress of nutrition, ICN 2017 Argentina. 2017;71(Supplement 2):903- 4.

41. Zennaki A, Niar S, Naceur M, Aichaoui H, Ouzzaa K, Aoui A, et al. Effect of paramedical treatment codified on balance, quality of life and knowledge of teenagers suffering from T1DM persisting imbalance. Pediatric diabetes (varpagings). 2015;16:89.

# Studies on patients with pre-DM

| Study name  | Setting                                   | Populatio   | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time             | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| Strategies to en  | nhance physica                            | l activity  |  |   |  |   |
| RezkAllah<br>2019<br>ACTRN126170<br>00631303<br>RCT         | Egypt,<br>urban<br>07/2017-<br>01/2018    | Pre-DM, 25-45 yrs, BMI of<br>25–30 kg/m2, HbA1C 5.7–<br>6.4%, fasting glucose 100–<br>125 mg/dL, sedentary<br>lifestyle<br>No history of diabetes,<br>cancer, prediabetic<br>neuropathy, stroke,<br>pulmonary embolism, or<br>severe musculoskeletal<br>problems restricting physical<br>activity   | n=60<br>45 % females<br>age (yrs): 32.9±5.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.3±1.4  | IG2 (n=20):<br>High-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>40 min/session<br>vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Low-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>25 min/session<br>Both with 90 % HR<br>maximum, 3 times/week<br><u>CG (n=20):</u><br>No exercise intervention<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Other:</u> fasting glucose                       | After 3 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: Benefit for IG:<br>4.87±0.34 (-26 %) vs. 5.13±0.57 (-<br>14.5 %) vs. 6.25±0.48 (+3.38 %)<br>(p=0.0001)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dL):<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: 90.8±4.13 (-<br>17.8 %) vs. 93.8±4.16 (-13.2 %) vs.<br>103.8±7.21 (+2.9 %) (p=0.0001) |
| Strategies on n   | utrition                                  |   |  |   |  |   |
| Krawinkel<br>2018<br>DRKS<br>00005131<br>Cross-over-<br>RCT | Tanzania,<br>urban<br>10/2013-<br>03/2014 | Individuals with pre-DM<br>age (yrs): 30 -65,<br>FPG 5.6-6.9 mmol/l (100–<br>125 mg/dL) on 2 days or on<br>one day + HbA1c 5.7-7.5 %,<br>BMI 27–35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , BP 90/60-<br>160/110 mmHg, waist<br>circumference > 80/90 cm for<br>women / men<br>No chronic disease, taking<br>regular intake of medication,<br>identified glucose-6-<br>phosphatase-dehydrogenase<br>deficiency, heavy alcohol<br>consumption, pregnancy, | n=52<br>55% female<br>age (yrs): 47.5±8.7<br>HbA1c (%):5.85±0.43<br>FPG: (mmol/l):<br>5.34±0.49<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):29.6±2.2 | IG/CG (n=30):<br>started with bitter gourd<br>supplementation (2,5 g)<br>over 8 wks, followed by<br>placebo over 8 wks<br>vs.<br>CG/IG (n=31):<br>first placebo over 8 wks,<br>followed by bitter gourd<br>over 8 wks<br>washout period: 4 wks<br>Duration 8 weeks  | Primary:<br>FPG<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>HbA1c, Insulin, SBP, DBP,<br>lipids | after 8 wks<br><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG/CG: MD 0.31 (0.08-0.54)<br><u>HbA1c: (%):</u><br>No differences (MD 0.05)   |

|  | Setting   |   | Population                            |   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results   |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| egistration<br>umber<br>Design                 | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                               | Inclusion / Exclusion o   | criteria                              | Characteristics                                 | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary                                      | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|  |   | lactation   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
| MI: Body mas<br>IbA1c: haemo<br>ressure; SD: S | ss index; CG: Con<br>oglobin A1c; IG/C<br>Standard-deviatio | trol group; CG/IG: Cross<br>CG: cross over from IG to<br>on; wks: weeks; yrs: yea | sover from (<br>o CG; IG: inte<br>irs | CG to IG; CI: Confider<br>ervention group; n: r | nce interval; DBP: Diastolic blood<br>number of participants; MD: me | d pressure; DM: diabetes me<br>an difference; RCT: randomi | ellitus; FPG: fasting plasma glucose;<br>zed controlled trial; SBP: Systolic blood              |
| blo 2: Chor                                    |   |   |                                       | with pro DM                                     |  |  |   |
| ble 2: Char                                    | acteristics and   | l results of studies o  | on patients                           | with pre-DM                                     |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |
|  |   |   |                                       |   |  |  |   |

# Studies on patients with DM1

| Study name                        | Setting                           | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Educational str                   | ategies                           |   |  |   |  |  |
| Malipa 2013                       | Zambia                            | DM1, 16-19 yrs  | n=40<br>55% females  | IG (n=20): 1 meeting /wk<br>over 8 wks  | Compliance to treatment<br>(Rating scale for   | After 2 months:<br>Compliance: better in IG (11.0 vs. 30;  |
| RCT                               |                                   |   | 16-17 yrs: 35 %<br>18-19 yrs: 65 %<br>Compliance: worse in<br>IG 26.4 vs. 14.6<br>(p=0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes:<br>20.5<br>Worries about<br>diabetes: 20.5<br>Satisfaction with life:<br>20.5 | <u>CG (n=20);</u> waiting list<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 wks  | compliance)<br>Quality of life (impact and<br>worries about diabetes,<br>satisfaction with life) | p<0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes: better in IG (16.8<br>vs. 24.2; p=0.045)<br>Worries about diabetes: better in IG<br>(14.32 vs. 26.68; p=0.001)<br>Satisfaction with life: better in IG (28.5<br>vs. 12.5; p<0.001) |
| Strategies to er                  | nhance physical                   | activity  |  |   |  |  |
| Salem 2010                        | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban           | DM1 for ≥3 years, 12-18 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥7.5 % for ≥6 months  | n=196<br>61.7 % female<br>age (yrs): 14.78 ± 2.31  | IG2 (n=73):<br>attended exercise<br>sessions three  | glycemic control, plasma<br>lipids values, blood<br>pressure, severity and                       | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1:   |
|                                   | 02/2009-<br>11/2009               | no significant diabetic<br>complications limiting<br>exercise like, uncontrolled<br>hypertension, diabetic keto-<br>acidosis, severe<br>hypoglycemia within the past<br>3 months, patients on lipid<br>lowering therapy | HbA1c (%): 8.7±1.7<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.6 ± 1.9   | times/week<br>vs.<br>IG 1 (n=75): attended<br>exercise sessions once<br>times/week<br>vs.<br>CG (n=48): no exercise<br>Duration: 6 months | frequency of<br>hypoglycemia,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements and<br>insulin dose              | 7.8 ± 1.0 vs. 8.1 ± 1.1 vs. 8.9 ± 1.3%<br>(p=0.2)  |
| Strategies on n                   | utrition                          |   |  |   |  |  |
| Abdulrhman<br>2013<br>NCT01554566 | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care | DM1, age > 2 yrs,<br>HbA1c< 10 %<br>no renal or hepatic   | n=20<br>50 % females<br>age (yrs): 11.3 ± 4.3<br>duration of diabetes  | <u>IG/ CG (n=10):</u><br>Honey consumption<br>(0.5 ml/kg body weight<br>per day)  | <u>Primary:</u> serum lipids, c-<br>peptide<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>anthropometric measures     | After 12 weeks: (IG/CG vs. CG/IG):<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>• Benefit with CG/IG: 6.7±0.9 vs. 5.9±0.8<br>(p<0.01)   |
| Cross-over                        | 01/2010 -                         | impairment, coexisting  | (yrs): 4.7±4.5   | VS.   | (e.g. BMI), fasting and 2h-  | • no differences in change in period 1: -  |

| Study name                                 | Setting                       | Populati  | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design           | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period<br>intervention effects (IG vs<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| RCT  | 10 / 2011                     | diseases or therapies that<br>may affect body weight or<br>serum lipids   | HbA1c (%):7.21± 0.76<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.5±22.5  | <u>CG/IG (n=10):</u> changed<br>after 12 wks and received<br>than honey<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 wks.   | postprandial glucose,<br>HBA1c, serum lipid profile  | 5.83 ± 13.66 vs. 2.94±8.82<br><u>Fasting glucose (mg/dl)</u> :<br>• benefit with CG/IG: 142.7<br>116.7±19.4 (p<0.01)<br>benefit with IG/CG in peri<br>10.84 vs0.08±5.14 (p=0. |
| Mohamad<br>2009<br>RCT                     | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, age 17 to 20 yrs<br>no acute metabolic<br>complications like diabetic<br>ketoacidosis, hypoglycaemia,<br>cardiovascular events, renal<br>or acute infections   | n=64<br>30 % female<br>age (yrs): 19.9±6.8<br>HbA1c (%): 9.52±2.08<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 228.7±13.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>18.82±3.01 | IG (n=27):<br>camel milk (500 ml)<br>+usual care<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=27):</u><br>usual care for diabetes<br>(i.e. diet, exercise, insulin<br>mixtard)<br><u>Duration</u> : 16 weeks  | Not specified:<br>HbA1c, human C-peptide,<br>lipid profile, serum<br>insulin, anti-insulin<br>antibodies, creatinine<br>clearance, albumin<br>extraction in 24 h urine,<br>BMI, Diabetes QoL score,<br>fasting glucose   | After 16 wks<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.16±1.84<br>fasting glucose_(mg/dl):<br>benefit for IG: 227.2±17.7<br>98.9±16.2   |
| van der Hoogt<br>2017<br>cross-over<br>RCT | South Africa                  | DM1, age 4-17 yrs on insulin<br>pump therapy, HbA1c>9,6%<br>for ≥3months, BMI/age<br>z.score -1 to < 3, total daily<br>insulin use of >0,5 u/kg<br>no remission of diabetes,<br>smoking, coeliac disease,<br>cystic fibrosis, diseases or<br>medication that are<br>associated with delayed<br>gastric emptying or altered<br>digestation, glucocorticoids,<br>oral diabetic drugs, no acute<br>illnesses | n=32<br>41% female<br>age (yrs): 10.4±4.0<br>HbA1c (%): 8.2±0.8<br>duration of Diabetes<br>(yrs): 3.5 (1.5-8.0)   | IG1 (n=22):<br>1 home-based_low fat and<br>protein meal<br>vs.<br>IG2 (n=22):<br>1 high fat and protein<br>meal with identical<br>carbohydrate content<br>two meals were<br>consumed at dinner time<br>(18:00) under parental<br>supervision at least 1 day<br>apart within one month<br><u>Duration:</u> 3months | primary: peak sensor<br>glucose value post-meal,<br>time to peak sensor<br>glucose, time of first and<br>largest correction bolus,<br>total correction insulin,<br>total meal insulin,<br>additional insulin<br>required ,area under the<br>sensor glucose response<br>curve (AUC) (≥ 8 mmol/L),<br>duration of elevated post-<br>prandial glucose | Change over 12 weeks<br><u>Occurance of hypoglycae</u><br>7 (32 %) vs. 1 patients after   |
| Medical device                             |                               |   |   |   |  |   |
| Elbarbary<br>2016                          | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, adolescents and adults<br>who wished to fast the<br>month of Ramadan with  | n=73<br>68.3% female<br>age (yrs): 15.6±2.7   | Insulin pump therapy<br>during Ramadan fasting  | <u>Primary:</u> hypoglycaemia<br><u>Other:</u> glucose value,<br>number of 'full fasted  | After 1 months:<br><u>Glucose value (mg/dl):</u><br>152.5±17.3 vs. 141±33.8 (   |
| RCT  | 06/2014-<br>07/2014           | insulin pump for ≥6 months<br>and attending the whole   | HbA1c (%): 7.65±0.9<br>BMI (kg/m²):   | IG (n=25):<br>sensor with low glucose   | days', emergency hospital  | Complications:<br>Number of hypoglycaemi  |

| Study name                                    | Setting                       | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design              | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|   |                               | education session 2 months<br>before fasting and committed<br>to follow-up the given<br>instructions  | 24.56±3.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 5.8±2.9<br>on pump therapy<br>(yrs): 1.73±0.99 | suspension activation<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=35):</u><br>sensor without low<br>glucose suspension  | problem   | 3.68±1.62 vs. 6.7±2.1 (p=0.001)<br>Number of hyperglycaemic excursion<br>17.0±4.0 vs. 23.0±7.6 (p=0.001)<br>No severe hypoglycaemic events, no<br>episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis, no |
|   |                               | no diabetic ketoacidosis,<br>episodes of severe<br>hypoglycaemia or symptoms<br>of uncontrolled diabetes in                                     |  | activation<br><u>Duration</u> :1 month   |   | hyperglycaemic events associated wi<br>ketosis no deaths or device-related<br>SAE   |
|   |                               | the last 6 months, diabetic<br>microvascular complications<br>or macrovascular disease,<br>pregnant women                                       |  |  |   |   |
| Pharmacologic                                 | al Strategies                 |   |  |  |   |   |
| <b>Elbarbary</b><br>2018<br>NCT0292825<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, age: 9 - 18 yrs, ≥ 5 yrs<br>disease duration, active<br>diabetic nephropathy in the<br>form of microalbuminuria,<br>HbA1c ≤ 8.5 %          | n=90<br>52.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 12.85±3.1<br>HbA1c (%):7.85±1.95                       | <u>IG (n=45):</u><br>1 g/d carnosine<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>control/placebo group      | Primary: change in<br>tubular damage marker<br><u>Secondary</u> : urinary<br>albumin excretion (UAE),<br>oxidative stress markers<br>Safety: any AE | After 12 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>• Benefit for IG: 7.4 ±1.3 vs. 8.3±2.4<br>• change -9.88±7.12 vs. 3.89±2.28<br>(p=0.005)<br>No adverse reactions were reported                    |
|   |                               | no infection, renal<br>impairment due to other<br>causes other than diabetes,<br>other diabetic complications,<br>hypersensitivity to carnosine |  | Patients in both groups<br>received oral ACE-Is<br>captopril 25 mg<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks |   |   |
| Elbarbary<br>2020                             | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1 on insulin therapy with<br>> 5 yrs of disease duration,<br>12-18 yrs, active<br>pephropathy, HbA1c< 8.5 %.                                  | n=80<br>55% female<br>age (yrs): 15.4 ± 1.6<br>HbA1c (%):7.95±0.5                          | both groups received oral<br>angiotensis-converting-<br>enzyme inhibitors<br>(captopril)       | <u>Primary</u> : Cystatin C<br>diet, physical activity, and<br>metformin dosage   | after 12 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.5±0.6 vs. 8.0±0.6<br>Fasting glucose (mg/dl):  |
| NCT03594240                                   | 03/2017-                      | (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)  | . ,  |  |   |   |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio  | n                      | Intervention vs. Control                               | Outcomes                     | Results   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics        | Description with duration                              | Primary and secondary        | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value |
|                                  |                               | elevated liver enzymes,<br>hyper-or hypothyroidism,<br>hypertension, neoplasm,<br>taking any vitamins or food<br>supplements within 1 months<br>before study start |                        | <u>CG (n=40):</u> placebo<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 weeks |                              |   |
| BMI: Body mas                    | s index; CG: Cor              | ntrol group; CG/IG: Crossover from   | CG to IG; CI: Confider | nce interval; DM1: Type 1 diabet                       | es; FPG: fasting plasma gluc | ose; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG/CG:   |
|                                  |                               |  |                        |  |                              |   |
|                                  |                               |  |                        |  |                              |   |
|                                  |                               | For peer   | review only - http:/   | //bmjopen.bmj.com/site/abc                             | out/guidelines.xhtml         |   |

# RCTs mainly including patients with DM2

| Study name                              | Setting   | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                       | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Educational stra                        | ategies   |  |  |   |  |  |
| <b>Abaza 2017</b><br>NCT02868320<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>care,<br>03-07/2015 | DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read       | n=73<br>56 % females<br>age (yrs): 51.5±9.2<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %<br>on insulin: 19.2 %<br>DM complication:<br>80.8 %<br>HbA1c (%): 9.7±2.7 | Diabetes awareness<br>program:<br>paper-based educations<br>material plus<br>IG (n=34): daily messages<br>and weekly reminders<br>addressing various<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br>CG (n=39): paper-based<br>educations material<br>Duration: 12 wks. | Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>Random blood glucose<br>levels, body weight,<br>adherence of treatment<br>and medication, diabetes<br>self-efficacy and<br>knowledge, rate of<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>frequency of<br>measurements, regular<br>exercise, patients<br>confidence in healthcare<br>provider and satisfaction,<br>healthcare provider's<br>reputation | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>No differences: 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>8.84±2.40, MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.983; p = 0.406)<br>Benefit with IG: 47 vs. 15 % achieved<br>the targeted 1% drop (p = 0.003)<br><u>Random blood glucose</u> (mg/dl):<br>No difference: 181±65 vs. 201±87<br>(p=0.288)<br><u>Treatment adherence (scores)</u> :<br>Benefit with IG in SCI 3.42±0.48 vs.<br>2.52±0.49 (p<0.001) and Morisky:<br>3.76±0.55 vs. 2.74±1.07 (p<0.001)<br><u>Hospital /ER admission</u> (%):<br>No differences: 0 vs. 10.3 (p=0.118) |
| Adibe 2013<br>RCT                       | Nigeria,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care                 | DM2, age≥ 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>therapy<br>no pregnancy | n=220<br>58 % females<br>age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.7±2.5,<br>60.5% with diabetes<br>> 5 yrs<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %                  | IG (n=110):<br>structured self-care<br>education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses<br>vs.<br>CG (n=110): usual /<br>conventional care<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   | <u>Primary</u> : incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>monetary benefit<br><u>Other:</u> quality of life   | After 12 months:<br><u>Quality of life:</u><br>• Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0.64 ±<br>0.10 (p=0.0001) improved single<br>attributes except "hearing" functioning<br>of the patients<br><u>Costs:</u><br>• benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64± 0.15<br>QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.12<br>(0.07 to 0.16)<br>• incremental cost-utility ratio of \$571<br>per QALY   |
| Adjei 2015                              | Ghana,<br>urban                                     | DM   | n=200<br>64.5% female  | IG: (n=100):<br>electronical reminder for   | Primary: Compliance with appointment dates   | After 6 months:<br><u>Adherence to appointment schedules</u>   |

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 2        |  |
| 2        |  |
| 4        |  |
| 5        |  |
| 6        |  |
| 7        |  |
| 8        |  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       |  |
| 12       |  |
| 12       |  |
| 13       |  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       |  |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 19       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 28       |  |
| 29       |  |
| 30       |  |
| 31       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 5Z       |  |
| 33       |  |
| 34       |  |
| 35       |  |
| 36       |  |
| 37       |  |
| 38       |  |
| 39       |  |
| 40       |  |
| <br>// 1 |  |
| 41<br>40 |  |
| 42       |  |
| 43       |  |
| 44       |  |
| 45       |  |
| 46       |  |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Population   |   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes                                      | Results  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria                             | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary                         | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |  |  |
| RCT                              |                               |  | age (yrs):<br>< 50 yrs: 63 %<br>> 50 yrs: 37 %<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 10.4±3.8 | clinical appointments of<br>patients + alert system<br>for abnormal laboratory<br>results<br>vs.<br><u>CG: (n=100):</u><br>usual diabetes care,<br>paper based method<br><u>Duration:</u> 6 months | <u>Other</u> : metabolic risk<br>factors, BMI | (%)<br>Benefit for IG: 97.8 vs. 89.4 (p=0.010)<br><u>Fasting glucose (mmol/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 8.04±2.14 vs. 8.85±2.63;<br>MD 0.4 (-0.59 to -0.36, p=0.022) |  |  |
| Amendezo                         | Rwanda,                       | DM2>3mths, age>21yrs                                       | n=251   | <u>IG (n=115):</u>   | Primary: difference in                        | after 12 months:   |  |  |
| 2017                             | urban,                        |  | 69.3% females   | standard care plus   | HbA1c   | <u>HbA1c (%):</u>  |  |  |
| NC102032108                      | tertiary care                 | no pregnancy or severe co-<br>morbid illnesses.            | age (yrs): $50.9 \pm 10.9$<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27.9                            | education sessions of 45   | secondary: fasting                            | Benefit for IG with median reductions of $-1.70(-2.09 \text{ to} -1.31) \text{ ys} -0.52(-0.95)$   |  |  |
| RCT                              |                               |  | (27.0-28.5)   | min duration   | diastolic blood pressure,                     | to -0.10); MD: -0.72 ( -1.14 to -0.30;   |  |  |
|                                  |                               |  | duration of diabetes :  | VS.  | BMI   | p< 0.001)  |  |  |
|                                  |                               |  | <10 yrs: 73.7%, >10   | <u>CG (n=108):</u> standard  |   | Fasting glucose (mmol/L):  |  |  |
|                                  |                               |  | yrs: 16.3%  | Care   |   | 6.9 (6.45 to 7.36) vs. 9.02 (8.18 to   |  |  |
|                                  |                               |  | 9.3   | Duration. 12 months  |   | 9.87) (p<0.001)  |  |  |
| Chraibi 2017                     | Egypt,                        | DM2 with diagnosis ≥ 12                                    | n=155   | <u>IG (n=76):</u>  | Primary: change in HbA1c                      | Change over 5 months:  |  |  |
| NCT01589653                      | Indonesia,                    | months, age≥18 , currently                                 | 74.9 % female   | patient driven titration of  | Secondary: proportion of                      | <u>HbA1c (%)</u> :   |  |  |
|                                  | Morocco,                      | being treated with NPH                                     | age (yrs): 54.5 ±10.0   | Biphasic insulin aspart 30   | patients achieving the                        | <ul> <li>Decreased in both arms with non-</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| RCT                              | Saudi                         | Insulin for $\geq$ 3 months +                              | BMI (kg/m²):  | twice daily, 3 clinic visits   | ADA target of HbA1c                           | inferiority between groups: MD -0.23   |  |  |
|                                  | Arabia,<br>Vietnam            | mettormin (1000-1500 mg) for $> 2$ months. HbA1c $> 7.0\%$ | $29.05\pm4.9$   | VS.<br>(G (n-79):  | <7.0 % and the HDA1C                          | (-0.54  to  0.08)  |  |  |
|                                  | vietnam                       | $\leq 10\%$ . BMI $\leq 40.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$               | fasting glucose   | physician driven titration   | 20 weeks. FPG changes.                        | • More patients reached HDA1C <7.0%.<br>40.8 vs 29.1 % RR 1 79 (0.87 to 3.65)  |  |  |
|                                  | 05/2012-                      |  | (mmol/L): 8.97  | twice daily, 6 clinic visits   | hypoglycemic episodes,                        | and <6.5%: 25 vs. 19 %; RR: 1.52 (0.67   |  |  |
|                                  | 07/2015                       | no treatment with  | duration of diabetes  |  |   | to 3.46)   |  |  |
|                                  |                               | thiazolidinedione, glucagon-                               | (yrs): 9.5±5.8  | Titration in both arms   |   | <ul> <li>More patients reached target HbA1c</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|                                  |                               | like peptide-1 receptor                                    | African patients:   | according to the titration   |   | levels without severe or minor   |  |  |
|                                  |                               | the last 3 months >1 III/kg                                | <ul> <li>Egypt: 25.75 %</li> <li>Morocco: 27.7 %</li> </ul>                             | measured plasma glucose  |   | hypoglycemic episodes: $<7.0\%$ : 38 vs.   |  |  |
|                                  |                               | NPH insulin daily; previous                                | Diabetic nephropathy  | values, measured twice   |   | 18 vs. 14.8 %: RR 1.13 (0.36 to 3.52)  |  |  |
|                                  |                               | use of premixed or bolus                                   | / neuropathy /  | daily on 3 preceding days,   |   | FPG (mmol/l):  |  |  |
|                                  |                               | insulin, > 1 severe  | retinopathy (%): 3.2 /  | telephone contact  |   | <ul> <li>Decreased in both arms with no</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|                                  |                               | hypoglycemic episode during                                | 16.1 / 3.2  | whenever deemed  |   | difference between groups: 0.95±0.28   |  |  |
|                                  |                               |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |

| Study name                              | Setting   | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
|   |   | the previous 12 month,<br>impaired kidney or hepatic<br>function, proliferative<br>retinopathy or maculopathy<br>requiring treatment | Macroangiopathy (%):<br>5.2  | necessary<br><u>Duration</u> : 20 weeks   |   | <ul> <li>vs. 0.67±0.28; MD: -0.28 (-1.07 to 0<br/><u>Costs</u></li> <li>Less frequent clinic visits to health<br/>professionals in IG: 4.8±0.65 vs.<br/>7.5±1.42 visits/patient<br/><u>Complications:</u></li> <li>hypoglycemic episodes: no differe<br/>608.4 vs. 789.2 / 100 patient-years<br/>exposure; RR: 0.74 (0.44; 1.23)<br/>treatment-emergent AEs:<br/>difference:_324.2 vs. 302.2 even<br/>100 patient-years of exposure</li> </ul> |
| Debussche<br>2018<br>NCT01485913<br>RCT | Mali,<br>urban,<br>secondary<br>care,<br>07/2011- | DM2, age 30-80 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥ 8 %,<br>no DM1, severe diabetes<br>complications or concomitant<br>illnesses that threatened          | n=151<br>76.2% female<br>age (yrs): 52.5±9.8<br>BMI (kg/m²):28.6±5.4 | IG (n=76):<br>peer-led structured<br>patient education<br>received culturally<br>tailored structured<br>patient education (3                                      | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary:<br>anthropometric<br>indicators (weight and<br>BMI, waist<br>circumference), SBP, DBP, | Change to 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>• Benefit in IG: MD 1.05 % (-1<br>0.56) vs0.15 % (-0.56; 0.26)<br>0.006)  |
|   | 02/2013   | their functional or vital prognosis  |  | courses of 4 sessions)<br>delivered in the<br>community by five<br>trained peer educators<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=75):</u> conventional<br>care alone<br>Duration:1 yr | anti-diabetic and anti-<br>hypertensive treatment,<br>knowledge score, dietary<br>practices                         |  |
| Essien                                  | Nigeria,  | DM1 or DM2, age: $\geq$ 18 yrs,  | n=118  | <u>IG: (n=59):</u>  | Primary: HbA1c  | After 6 months:  |
| 2017                                    | urban,  | HbA1c> 8.5 %, able to engage   | 60.2 % female  | intensive and systematic  |   | HbA1c (%):   |
| PACTR201202                             | care  | in moderate exercise,  | age (yrs): $52.7\pm10.5$<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):                | disease self-management   |   | 8.4 (8 to 8.9) VS. 10.2 (9.8 to 10.7)<br>MD $\cdot$ 1.8 (-2.4 to -1.2) $\cdot$ (n < 0.000  |
| 00047835                                | cure,   | no eve disease that would  | 28.9±7.5   | (invitation and   |   | $(10_a)$ . 1.0 ( 2.4 (0 1.2), (p < 0.000   |
|   | 09/2013-  | limit the ability to read  | HbA1c (%):10.7±1.6   | encouragement by  |   |  |
| RCT                                     | 05/2014   |  | type of diabetes<br>• DM1: 14.4 %<br>• DM2: 85.6 %                   | clinical staff to attend 12<br>structured teaching<br>sessions)   |   |  |

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 3        |  |
| 4        |  |
| -        |  |
| 2        |  |
| 6        |  |
| /        |  |
| 8        |  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       |  |
| 12       |  |
| 13       |  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       |  |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 19<br>20 |  |
| ∠∪<br>⊃1 |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 28       |  |
| 29       |  |
| 30       |  |
| 31       |  |
| 32       |  |
| 33       |  |
| 34       |  |
| 35       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 0C<br>7C |  |
| رد<br>در |  |
| 38       |  |
| 39       |  |
| 40       |  |
| 41       |  |
| 42       |  |
| 43       |  |
| 44       |  |
| 45       |  |
| 46       |  |

| Study name  | Setting   | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|   |   |   |   | <u>CG (n=59):</u> conventional<br>disease-self-management<br>education<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months  |  |  |
| Fairall 2016<br>ISRCTN20283<br>604<br>Cluster-RCT | South Africa<br>,<br>urban/rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>03/2011 –<br>11 / 2011 | age ≥ 18 yrs , clinics providing<br>service for NCD Patients with<br>DM, hypertension, chronic<br>respiratory disease or<br>depression, with self-<br>reported hypoglycaemic (in<br>case of DM) | n= 38 public sector<br>primary care clinics,<br>4393 patients,<br>n=1842 with DM<br>73 % female<br>age (yrs):median,<br>IQR): 52 (42-61) vs. 52<br>(44-62)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30±8<br>HbA1c (%):9 (4-17), in<br>HbA1c in DM≥ 7 %:<br>77 % | IG (n=2166, 851 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses were trained to<br>use a primary care<br>programme to support<br>and expand nurses'role in<br>NCD care and contains a<br>clinical management tool<br>with enhances<br>prescribing provisions<br>vs.<br>CG (n=2227, 991 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses continued to use<br>the Lung Health and<br>HIV/AIDS approach with<br>usual training<br>Duration: 14 months | Primary (for DM):<br>treatment intensification<br>(addition or increase in<br>dose of metformin and/or<br>sulphonylurea, insulin,<br>ACE-inhibitor, aspirin,<br>statin | over 14 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>< 7 %: 41 vs. 38 %; RR 1.08 (0.77 to<br>1.52; p=0.638)<br>7-10 %: 69 vs. 55 %; RR 1.30 (1.16 to<br>1.47; p<0.001)<br>> 10 %: 71 vs. 73 %; RR 0.97 (0.81 to<br>1.16; p=0.703)<br><u>Treatment intensification rates* (%):</u><br>57% vs. 50%, RRa: 1.11 (0.99 to 1.26)<br>(p=0.083) for patients with DM |
| Hailu 2018<br>NCT03185689<br>RCT                  | Ethiopia,<br>urban,<br>02/2016-<br>10/2017                                      | DM2, age > 18 yrs<br>no DM1 or GDM, pregnant<br>women, severe cognitive or<br>physical impairment, and<br>terminally ill people   | n=220<br>33 % female<br>age (yrs): 54.5±10<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):25±4<br>HbA1c (%):10.5±4   | IG (n= 116):<br>Nurse-led disease-<br>management education:<br>6 sessions, supported<br>with illustrative pictures<br>handbooks and fliers,<br>customized to local<br>conditions by trained<br>nurses<br>vs.<br>CG (n=104):<br>usual follow-up care<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 months   | Primary:<br>patients with target<br>HbA1c ( ≤ 7 %)<br><u>Secondary</u> : systolic and<br>diastolic blood pressure,<br>fasting glycose, BMI,<br>waist circumference     | Change over 9 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>• No difference: 45 % vs. 50 % with<br>target values (p=0.21), MD: 2.88% (-<br>3.85 to -1.92) vs. 2.57% (-3.47 to -<br>1.67)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>• Benefit with IG: 36 % vs.25 % with<br>target values, MD: -27 ( -45 to -9;<br>p=0.003)  |

| Page | 105 | of | 155 |
|------|-----|----|-----|
|------|-----|----|-----|

| Study name                                     | Setting   | Population  |  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design               | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                 | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Labhardt<br>2011<br>NCT00744458<br>Cluster-RCT | Cameroon<br>rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>08/2008-<br>02/2010 | newly detected adult patients<br>with DM2 and /or<br>hypertension in the<br>catchment area of nurse-led<br>health centres, staffed,<br>equipped and trained to care<br>for DM2 and hypertension | n=33 facilities, 221<br>patients<br>64% females<br>age (yrs): 59.8±12.7<br>diabetes: 15.4 %<br>Overweight (BMI 25-<br>29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.5 %<br>Obesity<br>(BMI> 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>20.4 % | IG 1 (11 centres, n=55):<br>incentive group<br>free treatment for<br>1 months for patients<br>who regularly attended<br>follow up visits<br>vs.<br>IG 2 (11 centres, n=77):<br>letter group: reminder<br>letters in case of a missed<br>follow-up visit<br>vs.<br>CG (11 centres, n=89):<br>no additional<br>intervention<br>Duration: 12 months            | Primary:<br>Patient retention at 1 yr<br>(≥ 12 follow-up visits<br>within 12 months)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Adherence with timely<br>attendance of follow-up<br>visit schemes and<br>changes in blood pressure<br>and blood glucose levels.   | After 12 months:<br>Retention rates (%):<br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2 vs.CG: 60 vs. 6<br>vs. 29 %; MD 34 (21 to 46) with no<br>differences between IG1 and IG2; MD<br>5 (-22 to 12)<br>Loss to follow-up:<br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: IG1 vs. CG: HI<br>0.44 (0.27 to 0.72; p< 0.001)<br>IG2 vs. CG: HR 0.38 (0.24 to 0.61;<br>p<0.001)<br>Adherence (%):<br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: 38 vs. 35 vs.<br>10; MD 26 (14 to 42), IG1 vs CG: MD<br>28(13 to 37); IG2 vs. CG: MD 25 (13 to<br>37)<br>no difference between IG1 and IG2:<br>MD 3 (-14 to 20)<br>FPG:<br>No differences between groups |
| Mash 2014                                      | South   | DM2 with any therapy  | n=34 public sector   | IG (17 health centres,  | Primary:   | After 12 months:   |
| Cluster RCT                                    | urban,<br>primary<br>care,<br>12/2010<br>-12/2012             | centres in the working class<br>areas of Cape Town<br>Metropole<br>no DM1, dementia, mental<br>illness or acute illness   | centres, 1570<br>patients<br>73.8% females<br>age (yrs): 56.1±11.6<br>HbA1c (%): 9.1±2.3   | 4 monthly sessions lasting<br>60 min with group<br>education about diabetes<br>topics (understanding<br>diabetes and medication,<br>living a healthy lifestyle<br>and preventing<br>complications), delivered<br>by a health promotion<br>officer vs.<br><u>CG (17 health centres,<br/>n=860):</u><br>usual care: ad hoc advice<br>during consultations and | self-care activities (5 %<br>weight loss, and a 1 %<br>reduction in HbA1c level)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>improved diabetes<br>specific self-efficacy,<br>locus of control, mean<br>blood pressure, mean<br>weight loss, mean waist<br>circumference, mean<br>HbA1c, mean total<br>cholesterol levels, quality<br>of life | No differences: 8.4±2.0 vs. 8.8±2.2;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.01 (-0.27 to 0.28; p=0.967)<br><u>Adherence (self-care activities)</u> :<br>No differences in scores of physical<br>activity, use of diet plan or medicatior<br>foot care or frequency of smoking<br><u>Quality of life</u> :<br>No differences in physical functioning,<br>role or social functioning, mental or<br>general health and pain<br><u>Costs</u> :<br>Incremental cost effectiveness ratio:<br>1862 Dollar/ QALY gained  |

| 2  |  |
|----|--|
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
| 29 |  |
| 30 |  |
| 31 |  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 |  |
| 34 |  |
| 35 |  |
| 36 |  |
| 37 |  |
| 38 |  |
| 39 |  |
| 40 |  |
| 41 |  |
| 42 |  |
| 43 |  |
| 44 |  |
| 45 |  |
| 46 |  |

| Chudu yana a                     | Catting   | Demulati  |   |  | Outcomes  | Desults  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                     | Population Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value      |
|                                  |   |   |   | occasional educational talks in waiting room <u>Duration</u> : 12 months   |   |  |
| Muchiri 2015                     | South<br>Africa.                                  | DM2, age 40-70 yrs attending community health centres.  | n=82<br>86.6 % female   | IG (n=41):<br>education materials+ 8   | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br>Secondary:  | over 12 months<br>HbA1c (%):   |
| RCT                              | rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>04/2010-<br>11/2011 | HbA1c $\geq$ 8 %, blood sugar<br>levels $\geq$ 10 mmol/l, duration<br>of diabetes $\geq$ 1 yr<br>no insulin therapy, pregnant<br>women, full time employed  | age (yrs): 59±7.4<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30.9±6.9<br>HbA1c (%): 11.1±2.0<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6   | weekly group educational<br>sessions about diabetes<br>and nutrition, follow-up<br>sessions+vegetable<br>gardening<br><u>CG (n=41):</u><br>education materials<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months  | Other clinical outcomes<br>(BMI, blood pressure and<br>blood lipids), HbA1c,<br>dietary behaviours  | <ul> <li>no difference: 9.8±1.92 vs. 10.4±1.92;</li> <li>MD -0.63 (-0.26 to 1.50; p=0.16)</li> </ul> |
| Owolabi 2019                     | South Africa                                      | DM, age ≥18 yrs, DM   | n=216   | <u>IG (n=108):</u>   | Primary: Morning random   | Over 6 months:   |
| 599931422                        | primary<br>care                                   | 6 months, currently receiving<br>treatment at the selected  | 84.3 % females<br>age (yrs): 60.6±11.6<br>DM2 (%): 94   | SMS at an agreed time of the day, according to   | <u>Secondary</u> :<br>co-morbid outcomes  | -1.58±5.29 vs1.95±4.69; MD 0.51(-<br>0.8 to 1.82), MD <sub>a</sub> 0.26 (-0.81 to 1.32)              |
| RCT                              | 07/2018-<br>04/2019                               | clinics, on stable medication<br>for ≥ 3 months prior to<br>recruitment, uncontrolled<br>glycaemic control,<br>in possession of a mobile<br>phone, able to retrieve and<br>read SMSs and willing to<br>receive SMSs<br>health or mental conditions<br>that could interfere with the<br>study, pregnant or planning<br>to get pregnant within the<br>next 6 months, debilitated or<br>handicapped in such a way<br>that obtaining<br>anthropometric<br>measurements could be | Treated with oral pills<br>(%): 75.5<br>Duration of DM (yrs):<br>9.1±7.4<br>Duration of DM<br>treatment<br>(yrs): 8.8±7.2<br>Hypertension (%):<br>83.0<br>Random blood<br>glucose (mmol/L):<br>14.34±3.9<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.2±6.2 | their needs, care plan and<br>goal with motivational<br>and support messages,<br>advice on lifestyle<br>behaviours (e.g. diets,<br>physical activity, smoking<br>cessation, medication and<br>appointment reminders)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=108):</u><br>usual diabetes care<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months | (hypertension and<br>obesity), obtained<br>through blood pressure<br>measurement,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements (body<br>weight, BMI)<br>acceptability, feasibility |  |
Page 107 of 155

 BMJ Open

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes                              | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary                 | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) v<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|                                  |                               | challenging   |  |   |                                       |  |
| Sodipo 2017                      | Nigeria,                      | $DM2 \ge 18$ yrs. on antidiabetic   | n=120  | <u>IG (n=60):</u>   | HbA1C, fasting glucose                | after 3 months:  |
| RCI                              | primary<br>care,              | no natients with  | gender: 50% female<br>age (yrs): 59±10.95<br>HbA1c (%): 8 7+2 45               | Self-monitoring of blood<br>glucose before and after<br>meals 3 days a week for |                                       | HDA1C (%):<br>No difference: 7.2±2.0 vs.7.7±2.<br>0 174)                                     |
|                                  | 03/2013-                      | emergencies, chronic  | fasting glucose  | 12 weeks  |                                       | fasting glucose (mg/dl):   |
|                                  | 11/2013                       | complications such as<br>nephropathy, neuropathy<br>etc., those already using<br>glucometer | (mg/dl): 152±60.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 50%> 3yrs                  | <u>CG (n=60);</u> non SMBG<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks                          |                                       | No difference: 123.2±35.1 vs.<br>137.6±50.1 (p=0.087)  |
| Steyn 2013                       | South<br>Africa,              | public sector primary health<br>care clinics (CHC) with ≥ 25                                | 18 community health centres  | IG (9 clinics, n=229):<br>introduction of structured                            | primary: HbA1C in the diabetes group  | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| Cluster-RCT                      | urban,<br>primary             | diabetes and ≥ hypertension<br>patients   | n=1096, of them<br>n= 456 with DM  | clinical record with guidelines prompts after                                   | secondary:<br>uncontrolled glycaemia  | IG: 8.8% vs. 8.8%; MDa -1.0 (-1.1<br>0.9)  |
|                                  | care,                         | age ≥15yrs, a documented<br>attendee at the particular                                      | age (yrs): 58.3 ± 11<br>gender:74 % females                                    | training of doctors in their use and suggestions                                | (HbA1c ≥7%) in the<br>diabetes group. | <u>HbA1c <math>\geq</math>7% (%):</u><br>no relevant difference: 64.1 vs. (                  |
|                                  | 1999-2000                     | CHC with ≥ 4 visits during the<br>previous year for<br>hypertension or diabetes who         | BMI (kg/m <sup>2)</sup> :<br>30.7 ± 6.2<br>Type of Diabetes:                   | to incorporate them in<br>regular patient records,<br>contact over 1 year       |                                       | MD 0.90 (0.53 to 1.53)   |
|                                  |                               | received treatment for these conditions at each visit                                       | <ul> <li>DM1: 5.8%</li> <li>DM2: 91.35%</li> <li>uncertain DM type:</li> </ul> | vs.<br><u>CG (9 clinics, n= 227):</u><br>usual care with passively              |                                       |  |
|                                  |                               | no patients_being unable to<br>answer a questionnaire                                       | 2.85%  | disseminated guidelines<br><u>Duration:</u> 1 year                              |                                       |  |
| Takenga 2014                     | Congo,<br>urban               | DM2, 35-75 yrs  | n=40<br>20 % females   | <u>IG (n=20):</u><br>self-management of   | primary: HbA1c                        | after 2 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT                              |                               |   | age (yrs): 53.3 ± 10.1<br>HbA1c (%): 8.63                                      | diabetes with Mobil DIAB<br>(telemedical approach)                              |                                       | Benefit for IG: 6.73±1.59 vs. vs.<br>8.6±1.35 (MD -1.87 (-2.91 to -0.8                       |
|                                  |                               |   |  | <u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=20):</u><br>conventional therapy                         |                                       |  |
|                                  |                               |   |  | without telemedical   |                                       |  |

| Study name                              | Setting                             | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time       | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
|   |                                     |  |   | Duration: 60 days  |   |   |
| Tawfik 2016                             | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>primary         | DM2 for $\geq$ 1 yr, 40-79 yrs<br>attending an outpatient clinic   | n=255<br>53.7 % females<br>age (yrs): 55.7±8.35   | IG (n=127):<br>comprehensive<br>cardiovascular risk  | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Cardiovascular risk   | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.5±0.8 vs. 8.12±0.9;<br>MD: 0.62 ( 0.85 to 0.20)   |
|   | 05/2015-<br>09/2015                 | using a similar medication<br>chart, severe or terminal<br>health conditions, or patients<br>with behavioural health issue<br>that could make it difficult to<br>understand the<br>communication | duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 8.3±1.3  | vs.<br><u>CG (n=128):</u><br>standard usual care<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months   | care, cardiovascular risk<br>scores   | <u>controlled HbA1c (%):</u><br>32.7 vs. 29.9   |
| Thuita 2020<br>PACTR201910<br>518676391 | Kenya<br>Secondary<br>care          | DM2, 20-79 yrs with regular attendance of an outpatient clinic   | n=153<br>59.5 % females<br>age (yrs). 56±11.6   | IG2 (n=51):<br>nutrition education<br>programme for 2 hrs  | <u>Primary:</u> metabolic<br>syndrome prevalence<br>(MetS)  | After 6 months:<br><u>Metabolic syndrome prevalence</u> : lower<br>with IG2:  |
| RCT                                     | recruitment<br>08/2016 -<br>10/2016 | Pregnancy, complications<br>such as renal failure,<br>congestive heart failure, or<br>stroke   | Family history of DM<br>(%): 46.6<br>Poor glycaemic<br>control (%) with<br>HbA1c>7%: 77.8<br>DM for 1-5 yrs (%):<br>58.2 %<br>Years with DM:<br>6.7±6.9<br>Oral medications (%):<br>82.4<br>BMP (kg/m2): 27±4.6<br>HbA1c (%): 8.49±1.9<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.0±3.3 | /week with peer-to-peer<br>support<br>vs.<br><u>IG1 (n=51):</u><br>Education programme<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=51):</u><br>Standard care<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks | <u>Other:</u> anthropometry<br>and clinical data, blood<br>pressure, blood glucose<br>and lipid profile, physical<br>activity levels, food intake | Harmonized criteria:52.1 vs.69.4 vs.<br>91.3 (p<0.001)<br>WHO: 58.3 vs. 77.6 vs. 89.1 (p=0.003)<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Mean change: no differences -<br>2.04±2.70 vs. 1.48±2.73 vs0.73±2.71<br>High HbA1c: no differences: 47.9 vs.<br>29.0 vs. 34.8 %<br>fasting glucose ( <u>mmol/l):</u><br>no differences: -2.59±0.66 vs<br>2.95±0.64 vs1.55±0.68<br>high fasting glucose: 79.2 vs. 83.7 vs.<br>91.3 % |

| Page | 109 | of | 155 |
|------|-----|----|-----|
|------|-----|----|-----|

 BMJ Open

| Study name                              | Setting   | Populati   | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| Webb 2015<br>NCT01275040<br>Cluster RCT | South<br>Africa,<br>urban,<br>primary<br>care,<br>06/2010-<br>03/2011 | primary health_care clinics,<br>patients with clinical<br>diagnosis of DM2 or DM1_for<br>≥5yrs, age ≥ 18 yrs   | n= 12 primary health<br>care clinics<br>n= 599<br>gender:68.5 % female<br>age (yrs): 57.8 $\pm$ 10.5<br>HbA1c (%): 8.73 $\pm$ 2.3<br>HbA1c $\geq$ 7 %: 73 %<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>30.8 $\pm$ 6.7<br>Typ of diabetes:<br>DM1: 3.7 %,<br>DM2: 70.3 %<br>unknown: 26 %<br>duration of Diabetes:<br>< 5 yrs: 47.3 %<br>5-10 yrs: 22.0 %<br>> 10 yrs: 20.2 % | IG (n=328):<br>mobile screening team<br>visits primary care clinic<br>and provides education<br>and active screening for<br>diabetic complications<br>(foot, kidney, cardiac and<br>renal complications)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=273):</u><br>no mobile screening<br>team, routine care with<br>similar education for<br>patients. and health care<br>workers<br><u>Duration</u> : 1 yr | Primary: HbA1c, detected<br>neuropathy, nephropathy<br>and retinopathy, HbA1c<br>categories<br><u>Secondary:</u> detected<br>complications, referred<br>patients for complication<br>assessment or care, blood<br>pressure and lipid<br>control, costs, LDL<br>cholesterol, creatinine | after 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference: 8.54±2.11 vs. 8,76 ±<br>MD-0.22 (-0.64, 0.20)<br><u>screening rate for complications:</u> if<br>60% increase of screening in all<br>complication indicator groups, in<br>groups testing of HbA1c and rena<br>complications (serum-creatinine)<br>increased , but no significant<br>difference , screening for eye<br>complications, only increased<br>significantly in IG<br>no significant difference in the<br>proportion of actions taken bewee<br>and CG (p=0.83) |
| Strategies to er                        | nhance physica  | l activity   |   |   |  |  |
| ASUAKO 2017<br>RCT                      | urban,<br>tertiary<br>care,<br>08/2015-<br>03/2016                    | patients, without diabetes<br>complications with < 150<br>minutes /wk of moderate<br>physical activity<br>no SBP > 140 or DBP> 90<br>mmHg, bilateral or unilateral<br>lower or upper limbs<br>amputation, use of insulin<br>pump | <ul> <li>n=12</li> <li>83% female</li> <li>age (yrs): 83% were</li> <li>46-55 yrs.</li> <li>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>):25.4±4.5</li> <li>fasting glucose</li> <li>(mmol/l):9.33 ± 5.7</li> <li>type of diabetes:</li> <li>DM1: 17 %</li> <li>DM2: 83 %</li> <li>duration of diabetes</li> <li>(yrs):</li> <li>1-5 yrs: 25 %</li> <li>6-10 yrs: 50 %</li> </ul>        | walking aerobic exercise<br>sessions without<br>treadmills (3/week)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=5):</u><br>only activity of daily living<br>Both continued regular<br>medical/clinical routines<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks   | weight, BMI  | Change over 2 months:<br><u>FPG (mmol/l)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG: 6.27 ± 0.91 vs. 8.00<br>0.96; MD 1.73 (-1.88 to -1.59; p<0   |

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | Setting<br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration   | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                      | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Fayehun 2018  | Nigeria,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>11/2014 | DM2, age_18-64 yrs,<br>Diagnosed ≥ 12 months, non-<br>insulin dependent, on dietary<br>control ± hypoglycemic<br>agents, able to walk without<br>limitations                                     | n= 46<br>63 % female<br>age (yrs): 54±7.7 (33-<br>64)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>22.4±3.3<br>HbA1c (%): 6.6 (5.3- | IG (n=23):<br>Goal to accumulate<br>10000 steps per day<br>vs.<br>CG (n=23):<br>normal activity habits<br><u>Duration</u> : 10 weeks  | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : step count | Change over 2.5 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 6.26 (6.19 to 6.33) vs.<br>6.82 (6.69 to 6.95); MD <sub>a</sub> : -0.74 (-1.32<br>to -0.02; p=0.015) |
|   |  | no pregnant women,<br>smokers, prescription of<br>medications that might<br>impair ability to walk   | 9.0)<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs):<7 yrs: 70 %,<br>>7 yrs 30 %   |   |  |  |
| Maharaj 2016  | Nigeria,<br>rural                        | DM2, non- insulin dependent,<br>blood glucose levels 6 -   | n=90<br>52 % females   | <u>IG (n=45):</u><br>rebound exercise 3   | <u>Primary:</u><br>HbA1c , FPG, BMI                    | After 9 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :  |
| RCT   | 07/2013-                                 | 13 mmol/l  | age (yrs): 39.4 ± 8.6<br>(30-58)   | times/week for 20-<br>30 min, moderate  | <u>Other:</u><br>Heart and respiratory                 | Benefit for IG: 7.12±1.19 vs. 8.36±1.25;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.904 (0.832 to 0.984; p=0.017)  |
|   | 06/2014                                  | no cardiac, abdominal or<br>spinal surgery ≤ 6 months,<br>history of fractures of lower<br>limbs, spine, weakness,<br>deformities, loss of sensation<br>in the feet, retinopathy,<br>nephropathy | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27.7±5.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.79±2.11<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 2.5±2.1                   | intensity of 40-60 % of HR<br>maximum<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>watched videos and read<br>health magazines<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 weeks   | rates, blood pressure,<br>oxygen saturation            | <u>FPG (</u> mmol/l):<br>Benefit for IG: 6.92±1.21 vs. 8.73±1.23;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.787 (0.7345- 0.841; p=0.002)  |
| van Rooijen<br>2004                                   | South<br>Africa,                         | black women with DM2, age<br>40-65yrs, duration of DM ≥12  | n=158<br>gender:100 % females  | IG (n=80):<br>education+ incremental  | Primary: HbA1c, BMI<br>Secondary: walking              | Change over 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference: 8 0012 50 vs. 8 2611 07   |
| RCT   | 03/2002-<br>11/2002                      | no_chest pain on effort,<br>possible previous myocardial<br>infarction and intermittent<br>claudication, cerebro-<br>vascular incidents, arthritis,<br>retinopathy                               | HbA1c (%): 9.35  | of daily physical activity<br>records+6 fortnightly<br>supervised aerobic<br>exercise classes<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=77):</u><br>education+ relaxation<br>exercise<br><u>Duration:</u> 12wks |  | no unicience. 0.3512.35 vs. 8.2011.37  |
| Yan 2014  | Mozambiqu                                | DM2, male, age 40-70 yrs,  | n=41   | <u>IG (n=31):</u>   | plasma glucose, HbA1c                                  | Change over 3 months:  |
|   | e,                                       | diagnosis for ≥ 12 months  | 100% male  | low or vigorous intensity   |  | <u>HbA1c (%):</u>  |

| Page | 1 | 1 | 1 | of | 155 |
|------|---|---|---|----|-----|
|------|---|---|---|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populati  | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| RCT                              | urban                         | no known diseases other<br>than DM2 and hypertension,<br>no diagnosed cardiovascular<br>diseases  | age(yrs): 54±2.5<br>HbA1c: 8.6±0.7<br>plasma glucose<br>(mmol/l): 9.65±1.2<br>BMI ( kg/m <sup>2)</sup> :<br>27.1 ± 1.0 | exercise 3-5 times/week<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=10):</u><br>walked 1 hour per day as<br>part of their daily lifestyle<br><u>Duration:12 wks</u>                              |   | reduction in both groups with no<br>differences between groups: 7.7±0<br>vs. 7.7±0.8<br><u>Plasma glucose (mmol/l):</u><br>9.6 ± 0.7 vs. 11.1 ± 1.3   |
| Pharmacologic                    | al strategies                 |   |  |  |   |   |
| Distiller<br>2014                | South Africa                  | DM2 for $\ge 1$ year with total<br>insulin requirement of<br>>200 U/d for $\ge 3$ months,   | n=28<br>50% female<br>age (yrs): 51.7 (36-71)  | <u>IG (n=14):</u><br>regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+ metformin + exenatide   | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : Body weight,<br>insulin dose,          | Change to 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Significant improvement in both  |
| RCT                              |                               | BMI > 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ,<br>HbA1c> 7,5 %, on long-term<br>metformin therapy (1.7–<br>2.5 g/d)<br>no pregnant or with<br>childbearing potential,<br>endocrinopathy, chronic<br>inflammatory or systematic<br>autoimmune disorder, CVD,<br>active carcinoma, chronic<br>illness, renal dysfunction,<br>gastroparesis, no<br>corticosteroids, DPP-4<br>inhibitors, exenatide,<br>liraglutide, no anticipated | HbA1c (%): 8.95 (7.6-<br>11.3)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 40.8<br>(31.2-47)  | (5 μg orally twice a day<br>for 1 month and titrated<br>to 10 μg)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=14):</u><br>regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+metformin<br><u>Duration:</u> 6 months | hypoglycemia  | groups<br>8.7→7.7(p=0.002) vs. $9.2$ →7.5<br>(p=0.0001)<br>With no difference between group<br>(MD: 0.28; p=0.80)<br><u>Complications:</u><br>Mild hypoglycaemia: 5 vs. 2 perso<br>with 20 vs. 5 events (p ≤ 0.001) |
| El-Haggar                        | Fayot                         | change in other concomitant<br>medication or insulin<br>resistence  | n-48   | IG1 (n-16):  | not specified.  | Changes over 12 weeks:  |
| 2015                             | urban                         | (BMI≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), with<br>duration 5-10 yrs, treated   | 79 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.1±4.6   | glimepiride $(3 \text{ mg/d}) + 2$<br>(1  mg twice/d)  | glycemic markers,<br>metabolic markers,   | <ul> <li>HbA1c (%):</li> <li>Highest benefit for IG1: 7.1±0.86 v</li> </ul>   |
| RCT                              | 01/2013-<br>04/2014           | with glimepiride alone<br>no Inflammatory disease,  | HbA1c (%): 7.83±0.87<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 193±50   | vs.<br><u>IG2 (n=16):</u><br>glimepiride (3 mg/d) +  | adiponectin, interleukin-<br>6, leukotriene B4, mast<br>cell tryptase, lipid panel, | <ul> <li>8.2±0.82 vs. 8.7±0.93 (p&lt; 0.05) fasting glucose (mg/dl):</li> <li>Highest benefit for IG1: 199±38</li> </ul>  |

| registration<br>number<br>besign       Place,<br>setting and<br>besign       Inclusion / Exclusion criteria<br>time       Characteristics       Description with duration       Primary and secondary       Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>5D, 95%-CI or p value         Inclusion / Exclusion criteria<br>time       severe hepatic or renal<br>disease, epilepsy<br>pregnant/lactating females       BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>37.6±4.6       ketotifen (1 mg once/d)<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.7 ±2.6       BMI       207.7± 47.6 (p< 0.05)         Malek 2015       Egypt,<br>Algeria,<br>South Africa       DM2, age ≥ 18 yrs, currently<br>treated with suboptimal dose<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;<br>HbA1c 7-11% (under<br>metformin-monotherapy)<br>03/2010-       n=403<br>age (yrs): 52.8±9.6<br>59.8 % female<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>and suboptimal dose<br>(yrs): 7.5±5.1       Primary:<br>CG (n=200):<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): | Study name                       | Setting   | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results  |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| severe hepatic or renal<br>disease, epilepsy<br>pregnant/lactating females       BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>37.6 ± 4.6<br>(vs.       ketotifen (1 mg once/d)<br>vs.       BMI       207.7 ± 47.6 (p< 0.05)         Malek 2015       Egypt,<br>Algeria,       DM2, age ≥ 18 yrs, currently<br>reated with suboptimal dose<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;       n=403       Stepwise individual<br>age (yrs): 52.8±9.6       Primary:<br>insulin intensification of<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):       Change over 50 weeks:         RCT       Tunesia,<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;       59.8 % female       IG (n=200):<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):       Secondary:<br>analogues (insulin<br>vector metformin-monotherapy)       Non-inferiority: 7.4 vs. 7.3; MD 0.1 (-<br>bM1 (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):         03/2010-       and ≤ 10 % (under<br>objector)       29.7 ± 4.5       detemir +Insulin aspart)<br>disease       generative (insulin<br>vector)       Secondary:<br>secondary:       Non-inferiority: 7.4 vs. 7.3; MD 0.1 (-<br>bM1 (cg/m):         03/2010-       and ≤ 10 % (under<br>objector)       29.7 ± 4.5       detemir +Insulin aspart)<br>duration of diabetes<br>metformin-monotherapy),<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )       CG (n=203):<br>thrice daily biphasic       9.4 vs. 9.8       9.4 vs. 9.8         no allergies or<br>contraindications to the<br>product, pregnant or<br>breastfeeding, impaired<br>hepatic or renal function,<br>cardiovascular history,<br>uncontrolled hypertension,<br>ardiovascular history,<br>uncontrolled hypertension,<br>area       Null       Yes 0.43.1%  | registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Malek 2015       Egypt,<br>Algeria,       DM2, age ≥ 18 yrs, currently<br>treated with suboptimal dose<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;       n=403<br>age (yrs): 52.8±9.6       Stepwise individual<br>insulin intensification of<br>BS.8 % female       Primary:<br>Insulin intensification of<br>BS.8 % female       Change over 50 weeks:<br>HbA1c (%):         RCT       Tunesia,<br>South Africa       of oral anti-diabetic drugs;<br>BM1 (rational diabetic)       59.8 % female       IG (n=200):<br>basal-bolus insulin       Secondary:<br>patients achieving HbA1c       Non-inferiority: 7.4 vs. 7.3; MD 0.1 (-<br>0.1 to 0.3 (full-analysis set), MD 0.2 (-<br>metformin-monotherapy)         03/2010-       and ≤ 10 % (under       29.7±4.5       detemir +Insulin aspart)       glucose       40.3% and 44.9% achieved<br>HbA1c<7.0%   |                                  |   | severe hepatic or renal<br>disease, epilepsy<br>pregnant/lactating females   | BMI (kg/m²):<br>37.6±4.6<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.7 ±2.6   | ketotifen (1 mg once/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=16):</u><br>glimepiride (3 mg/d)<br>alone<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks   | BMI  | 207.7± 47.6 (p< 0.05)  |
| macular oedema   | Malek 2015<br>RCT                | Egypt,<br>Algeria,<br>Tunesia,<br>South Africa<br>03/2010-<br>05/2012 | DM2, age ≥ 18 yrs, currently<br>treated with suboptimal dose<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;<br>HbA1c 7-11 % (under<br>metformin-monotherapy)<br>and ≤ 10 % (under<br>combination therapy),<br>BMI≤40 kg/m <sup>2</sup><br>no allergies or<br>contraindications to the<br>product, pregnant or<br>breastfeeding, impaired<br>hepatic or renal function,<br>cardiovascular history,<br>uncontrolled hypertension,<br>proliferative retinopathy,<br>macular oedema | n=403<br>age (yrs): 52.8±9.6<br>59.8 % female<br>HbA1c (%): 8.65<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.7±4.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.5±5.1 | Stepwise individual<br>insulin intensification of<br><u>IG (n=200)</u> :<br>basal-bolus insulin<br>analogues (insulin<br>detemir +Insulin aspart)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=203)</u> :<br>thrice daily biphasic<br>insulin aspart depending<br>on HbA1c-values over<br>50 wks | Primary:<br>HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>patients achieving HbA1c<br>< 7.0 %, prandial plasma<br>glucose | Change over 50 weeks:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Non-inferiority: 7.4 vs. 7.3; MD 0.1 (-<br>0.1 to 0.3 (full-analysis set), MD 0.2 (-<br>0.1 to 0.4 (per protocol)<br>40.3% and 44.9% achieved<br>HbA1c<7.0%<br><u>Hypoglycaemia (events/patient year)</u> :<br>9.4 vs. 9.8<br><u>Serious adverse events</u> :<br>6.5 vs. 3.4 % with 1 treatment-related<br>SAE in CG<br><u>Adverse events</u> :<br>58.5 vs. 63.1% |
|  |                                  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |                                  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |                                  |   | For pee  | review only - http://r  | omiopen hmi com/site/abo   | out/quidelines xhtml   |  |

| Page | 1 | 13 | of | 1 | 55 |
|------|---|----|----|---|----|
|------|---|----|----|---|----|

| Study name                       | Setting  | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                            | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| <b>Ali 2019</b><br>RCT           | Egypt<br>Urban,<br>tertiary care<br>09/2017 –<br>04/2018 | DM2, oral antidiabetic agents<br>with no change of type and<br>dosage of antidiabetic agents<br>in the past 3 months, ≥ 30<br>years<br>insulin-dependence,<br>pregnancy, lactation, use of<br>Ca, multivitamins, Vitamin D<br>supplements, use of drugs<br>that affect Vitamin D status,<br>dietary Ca intake > 1500<br>mg/d, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism,<br>smoking, use of antiepileptic<br>drugs, sarcoidosis,<br>tuberculosis, potentially<br>terminal illness, inflammatory<br>bowel disease, liver or kidney | n=85<br>age (yrs): 54.6 ±2.8<br>68 % females<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.6±3.3<br>Diabetic duration<br>(yrs): 4.4±2.1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg(dL): 168±54.4<br>fasting serum insulin<br>(µIU/mL): 18.1±8.3<br>HbA1c(%):8.8±1.8 | oral antidiabetic agents<br>as usual +<br><u>IG 1 (n=22):</u><br>continuous oral Vitamin<br>D3 (4000 IU/ d)<br>vs.<br><u>IG 2 (n=22):</u><br>intermittent regimen of<br>Vitamin D3 (50 000 IU/<br>week)<br>vs.<br><u>IG 3 (n=21):</u><br>single IM injection of<br>300 000 IU of Vitamin D3<br>at the start of the study<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=20):</u> only oral<br>antidiabetic agents<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months | Not specified: serum<br>creatinine, blood urea<br>nitrogen, total and<br>ionized Ca, serum<br>phosphorus, fasting<br>glucose, fasting<br>serum insulin, 25(OH)D3<br>levels, HbA1c  | After 3 months:<br><u>fasting glucose</u> (mg(dL): higher<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -20.9±18<br>-23.0±37.9 vs3.5±6.9 vs. 1.0±5.6<br>(p<0.001)<br><u>fasting serum insulin</u> (µIU/mL): hig<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -4.44±5.2<br>5.88±4.6 vs1.55±9.4 vs. 0.10±1.0<br>(p<0.001)<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):higher decrease in IG1<br>IG2: -0.81±0.77 vs0.82±0.87 vs<br>0.34±1.47 vs. 0.05±0.08 (p<0.001) |
| Anderson<br>2001<br>RCT          | Tunesia,<br>urban  | DM2 ≥ 5y, age< 65 yrs,<br>fasting glucose > 8 mmol/l<br>and HbA1C > 7.5 %<br>no pregnant or lactating<br>women, receiving trace<br>element supplements in past<br>3 months, with gastric or<br>diuretic treatment, acute<br>renal, acute infection or<br>recent surgery   | n=110<br>age (yrs): 53.2 ±16.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.1±1.0<br>HbA1c (%):8.82±3.25<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.45±0.<br>83<br>duration of diabetes<br>(months): 73.6±66   | $\frac{IG \ 1 \ (n=27):}{Zinc \ (30 \ mg/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG \ 2 \ (n=27):}{Chromium \ (400 \ \mu g/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG \ 3 \ (n=27):}{Zinc \ (30 \ mg \ /d) +}$ Chromium \ (400 \ \mu g \ /d)<br>vs.<br>$\frac{CG \ (n=29):}{placebo}$ Duration: 6 months  | Not specified:<br>HbA1C, fasting glucose<br>plasma concentrations of<br>zinc, copper, selenium,<br>urinary chromium and<br>zinc, Plasma<br>thiobarbituric acid<br>reactive substances,<br>copper-zinc-superoxid<br>dismutase, selenium -<br>glutathione peroxidase | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>7.7±1.6 vs. 7.4±1.4 vs. 8.1±1.6<br>CG: not reported   |
| Anyanwu<br>2016                  | Nigeria,<br>urban  | DM2, age 35-65 yrs on oral antidiabetics with vitamin D   | n=42<br>57.6 % female  | <u>IG (n=21):</u><br>Vitamin D3 supplements   | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br><u>Other:</u> fasting glucose,   | Changes over 12 wks:<br>HbA1c (%):   |

| Study name<br>registration<br>number | Setting<br>Place,<br>setting and | <b>Populatic</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | on<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs. Control Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary   | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Design                               | time                             |   |   |   |   | SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
| RCT                                  |                                  | deficiency and poor glycemic<br>control (HbA1c > 6.5 %)   | age (yrs): 51.8±2.05<br>HbA1c (%): 7.88<br>fasting glucose  | (3000 IU/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=21):</u>  | levels of serum Vitamin<br>D, calcium, albumin,<br>phosphate, creatinine, | <ul> <li>MD (IG vs. CG): -0.66 (-0.161 to 0.29) vs 0.38 (-0.08 to 0.84);</li> <li>MD: -1.04 (-2.09 to 0.01)</li> </ul>  |
|                                      |                                  | no patients on insulin,<br>pregnancy,<br>renal insufficiency, chronic<br>liver disease or alanine<br>transferase > 5 times upper<br>reference limit, tuberculosis,<br>diarrheal, or malabsorption<br>state  | (mg/dl): 152.8±56.5   | placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks   | and alanine transaminase  | <ul> <li>change from poor glycemic control<br/>(HbA1c&gt;6.5 %) to normal HbA1c (%):<br/>benefit for IF: 33.3 vs9.1 (p&lt;0.05)<br/><u>fasting glucose (mg/dl):</u><br/>137.2±33.6 vs. 154±67.5<br/><u>patient adherence</u> (tablet counts, %):<br/>62.2 vs. 59.9</li> </ul> |
| El Gayar 2019                        | Egypt,<br>urban,                 | DM2 for < 6 months, 30-60<br>yrs, HbA1c level < 9%,   | n=80<br>49 % female   | diet, physical activity, and metformin  | <u>Not specified:</u> glycemic status, lipid profile and                  | After 8 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT                                  | outpatients                      | BMI≥30 kg/m²  | age (yrs): 46.2 ± 9.1<br>HbA1c (%): 8.04±0.5  | IG (n=40):<br>ginger powder   | beta-cell<br>function   | decrease in both groups to 6.94±0.38<br>vs. 7.26±0.45   |
|                                      | 01/2018                          | injectable or oral antidiabetic<br>medication other than<br>metformin, no smoking,<br>consumption of alcohol<br>or narcotic drugs, no acute<br>illnesses at the baseline<br>or during the study, no<br>pregnancy or lactation,<br>autoimmune disorder,<br>cardiac or renal diseases,<br>thyroid, chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, peptic ulcer, regular<br>consumption of ginger or<br>other herbal drugs,<br>hypersensitivity to ginger,<br>consumption of lipid lowering<br>drugs or oral contraceptive<br>pills or any supplements 2<br>months before starting<br>the study | (mg/dl): 176.9±18.3<br>Fasting serum insulin<br>(mIU/L): 19.3±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.3±1.4 | mg/capsule, 3<br>capsules/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=40):</u><br>Placebo<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 weeks |   | decrease in both groups to 12.86±2.59<br>vs. 13.21±2.08<br>fasting glucose_(mg/dl):<br>decrease in both groups to<br>120.88±9.06 vs. 151.70±13.23   |

| Page | 1 | 15 | of | 155 |
|------|---|----|----|-----|
|------|---|----|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                         | Populatio  | n   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| El-Sheikh<br>2019<br>RCT         | Egypt,<br>urban                 | DM2 on glimepiride alone,<br>age ≥30 yrs<br>no insulin sensitizers,<br>steroids, NSAIDs, warfarin or<br>lipid lowering medications,<br>thyroid hormones, valproic<br>acid or suffered from: acute<br>or chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, end-stage renal<br>disease undergoing dialysis,<br>hypothyroidism epilepsy,<br>pregnant and breast-feeding<br>women  | n= 72<br>67 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.6±8.7<br>HbA1c (%):9.76±1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl):194.84±20.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>34.4±5.45 | IG (n=38):<br>glimepiride 2 mg twice<br>daily + L-carnitine 1 gm<br>twice daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=34):</u><br>glimepiride dose 2 mg<br>twice daily<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months | HbA1c, fasting glucose,<br>PPBG, fasting insulin,<br>extracellular part of<br>insulin regulated<br>aminopeptidase, tumor<br>necrosis factor-alpha,<br>visfatin and lipid panel,<br>BMI and homeostasis<br>model assessment of<br>insulin resistance | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG: 7.41±0.5 vs. 9.5±0.7<br>(p<0.001)<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dl):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 179.6±9.3 vs.<br>192.41±27.4 (p=0.018) |
| Matter 2020<br>NCT03851055       | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients | DM, treated with insulin, 10<br>to 18 yrs, transfusion<br>dependent beta-thalassemia<br>major  | n=80<br>52.5% females<br>age (yrs): 16.3±1.4<br>(range 12-18)   | diet schedule with<br>optimal macronutrient<br>distribution and<br>pharmacologic treatment  | <u>Primary:</u> fasting glucose<br><u>Secondary:</u> fructosamine,<br>fasting C-peptide, and<br>HOMA-IR   | After 12 wks:<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dL):</u><br>higher decrease with IG to 116.9±/<br>vs 144 5+22 9 (n<0 001)   |
| RCT                              | 08/2017 to<br>08/2018           | no other hemoglobinopathies<br>(e.g. a-thalassemia or sickle<br>thalassemia, disorders that<br>may affect glucose<br>homeostasis other than b-<br>TM, autoimmune diseases,<br>collagen diseases, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism, infections,<br>or tumours, or those who<br>were taking any vitamins or<br>food supplements < 1 month<br>before the study and<br>participating in a previous<br>investigational drug<br>study within 3 mo preceding | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 144.5±22.4  | IG (n=40):<br>zinc gluconate<br>(2x20 mg/d)<br>vs.<br>CG (n=40):<br>placebo<br>Duration: 3 months   | <u>safety:</u> any AEs (e.g.<br>nausea, vomiting,<br>abdominal pain, diarrhea,<br>constipation, and<br>reduction of appetite)   | <u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>higher in IG (no results reported)<br>no side effects were reported  |
|                                  | E an an t                       | Screening  | - C2  | IC (n-20, 21  analyzed)   | Chromic control   | After 2 menthes   |

| Study name                        | Setting  | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                  | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| <b>2019</b><br>RCT                | urban,<br>outpatients<br>recruitment<br>02/2016-<br>03/2018    | (within a time duration ≤6<br>months), 18–60 yrs<br>other antidiabetic<br>medications, pregnant and<br>lactating women, major<br>organ dysfunction (hepatic<br>failure, active hepatitis, liver<br>cirrhosis or renal<br>complications), changed their<br>standard medications during<br>the 12 weeks of the study | 72% females<br>HbA1c(%): 7.51±1.4<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.4±51.6<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 33.9±6.1<br>family history of DM<br>(%): 78.5<br>retinopathy/altered<br>vision (%): 53<br>GDM (%): 9.2 | nigella sativa oil capsules<br>(3x 450 mg/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=33, 23 analysed):</u><br>metformin (2000 mg/d)<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months  | oxidative stress markers,<br>biochemical parameters,<br>weight/BMI/waist<br>circumference, total<br>antioxidant capacity TAC                                | HbA1c (%):<br>no difference: 7.01±0.83 vs. 6.55±0.72<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>no difference: 119.8±23.7 vs.<br>120.7±25.4<br><u>Complications</u> :<br>no differences in occurrence of chills,<br>sweating, tachycardia, lethargy/<br>weakness, polydipsia, polyuria, dry<br>skin, polyphagia, blurred vision, foot<br>problems, or tingling/numbness<br>foot problems lower in IG: 4.8% vs.<br>33.3% (p = 0.025).  |
| Ragheb 2020<br>NCT03437902<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients<br>care<br>02/2019-<br>05/2018 | DM2, receiving standard oral<br>hypoglycemic agents,<br>≥ 35 yrs,<br>no history of overt vascular<br>disease, renal or hepatic<br>failure or antioxidant<br>supplementation or insulin<br>therapy, no change of oral<br>hypoglycemic drugs   | n=70<br>age (yrs): 54.9±8.4<br>70 % females<br>BMI (kg(m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.5±5.7<br>HbA1c(%): 8.50±1.86<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 142.8±52.6   | IG2 (n=20):<br>Rutin (60) + vitamin C<br>(160 mg) 3x daily vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Vitamin C (500 mg) 1x<br>daily<br>vs.<br>CG (n=13);<br>only usual<br>oral antidiabetic<br>treatment<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c,<br>oxidative stress marker,<br>antioxidant capacity,<br>insulin resistance, lipid<br>profile<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Quality of life | After 2 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference 7.494 $\pm$ 1.72 vs. 8.504 $\pm$<br>2.059 vs. 8.504 $\pm$ 2.059 (p=0.1882)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>lower in IG2 and CG: 111.3 (IQR 93.3-<br>135.2) vs. 144 (114.8-201) vs. 113.3<br>(94-152.2) (p=0.017)<br><u>Quality of life</u> (SF 36):<br>• Benefit of physical functioning and<br>energy domains in IG2 vs. CG<br>(p=0.0049, p=0.0253).<br>• Benefit of role limitation to physical<br>health and emotional improved in<br>IG1 vs. CG (p=0.0267,p=0.0280)<br>• no difference between groups in<br>the other domains (emotional well-<br>being, social functioning, pain and<br>general health) |

| Page | 1 | 17 | of | 155 |
|------|---|----|----|-----|
|------|---|----|----|-----|

|                                       | Setting  | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design      | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                    | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| Rashad 2017                           | Egypt,<br>urban                                  | DM2, 50-62 yrs<br>no insulin medication,<br>allergies, recent thromboses<br>or uncontrollable<br>hypertension  | n=34<br>43.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 55.5±6.15<br>HbA1c (%):6.75±1.2<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 8.5±1.4<br>postprandial plasma<br>glucose(mmol/l):<br>15.6±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.55±4<br>type of diabetes<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6.1 ± 2.2 | IG (n=17):<br>Balanites aegyptiaca<br>extract (400 mg))<br>vs.<br><u>CG: (n=17)</u><br>placebo capsules (potato<br>maltodextrin <u>)</u><br><u>Duration</u> : 8 wks  | glycemic markers, lipid<br>profile, FPG   | Change over 8 wks:<br><u>2h postprandial plasma glucose:</u><br>benefit for IG :26.88% decrease vs. Co<br>2.6% increase<br><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u><br>benefit for IG: 7.8 ± 0.9 vs. CG: 8.5 ±<br>1.1                                 |
| Somanah<br>2012<br>NCT01248143<br>RCT | Mauritius,<br>urban/rural<br>11/2010-<br>03/2011 | newly diagnosed DM, age 25–<br>60 yrs fasting glucose range:<br>5.1–5.9 mmol/L<br>no secondary complications,<br>non-smoker or stopped for ><br>6 months , alcoholic<br>consumption < 2 standard<br>drinks/day, post-menopausal<br>women without hormone | n=127<br>47% female<br>age (yrs): range 25–60<br>HbA1c (%): 5.99±0.4<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 93.2±8.0<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.6 ±<br>3.7  | IG (n=44):<br>supplementation of a<br>fermented papaya<br>preparation (6g/d twice<br>daily, over 12 wks),<br>followed by a 2 week<br>wash out period with the<br>same amount of water<br>vs.<br>CG (n=56): consumed an | HbA1C fasting glucose,<br>Lipid profile, diet score,<br>blood pressure, alanine<br>aminotransferase;<br>aspartate<br>aminotransferase,<br>Ferritin, c-reactive<br>protein, uric acid,<br>microalbumin/urinary<br>creatinine ratio | After 14 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference (p=0.448)<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dL):</u><br>• remained relatively unchanged in<br>boths genders:<br>• males: 96.2±17.0 vs. 87.6±11.7<br>• females: 95.6±15.8 vs. 94.3±5.0 |
|                                       |  | glucose-lowering,<br>cholesterol-lowering or anti-   |  | equivalent amount of water<br>Duration: 14wks  |   |   |

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time             | Populatic<br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | on<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary   | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value              |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| El-Makaky<br>2020<br>NCT03783845<br>RCT               | Egypt,<br>urban/rural<br>recruited<br>06/2015 to<br>03/2016 | DM2 for >5 yrs, 40-70 yrs,<br>HbA1c 7 to 9% at the last<br>medical evaluation, no<br>change in diabetes treatment<br>over the previous 3 months, $\geq$<br>6 permanent teeth excluding<br>third molars, clinical<br>attachment level and pocket<br>depth $\geq$ 4 mm in >30 % of the<br>sites, diagnosis of chronic<br>periodontitis based on the<br>presence of 4 teeth as a<br>minimum with $\geq$ 1 site<br>Pregnancy, alcoholism and<br>smoking, Presence of any<br>systemic disorders other than<br>hypertension and<br>diabetes, diabetic major<br>complications, antimicrobial<br>therapies in the last 6<br>months, allergy to<br>metronidazole and<br>amoxicillin | n=88<br>56.8 % females<br>age (yrs): 52.6±6.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.16±0.72   | IG (n=44):<br>immediate periodontal<br>therapy: one-stage<br>scaling and root planning,<br>a combination of<br>systemic antibiotics<br>(amoxicillin 500 mg and<br>metronidazole 400 mg<br>3x/day for 2 weeks), and<br>oral hygiene instructions<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=44):</u><br>delayed periodontal<br>therapy after 3 months<br><u>Duration: 3 months</u> | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary: not named  | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>benefit for IG: 7.27±0.5 vs. 8.34±0.64:<br>MD -1.07 (-1.32 to -0.83)                   |
| El-Sharkawy<br>2016<br>NCT02794506<br>RCT             | Egypt,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>03/2015.                     | DM2 >5 yrs, >20 teeth,<br>chronic moderate or severe<br>periodontitis with probing<br>depth and clinical attachment<br>level >5 mm, bleeding by<br>probing, on oral<br>hypogylcemic drug therapy<br>> 6 months,<br>no smoking, use of  | n=50<br>34% female<br>age (yrs): 50.5 ± 7.4<br>(38 to 63)<br>HbA1c (%): 8.66 ±0.73<br>FPG (mg/dl): 183.5<br>±12.547<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.9±<br>3.1<br>duration of diabetes | IG (n=24): scaling and<br>root planing (SRP)+<br>400mg oral Propolis once<br>daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=26)</u> scaling and<br>root planing<br>(SRP)+Placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months   | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary: FPG,<br>serum N-(carboxymethyl)<br>lysine, periodontal<br>parameters | after 6 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u><br>Benefit for IG 7.75± 0.48 vs.8.5±0.73<br>(p<0.01)<br><u>FPG(mg/dl)</u><br>Benefit for IG |

| Page | 1 | 19 | of | 155 |
|------|---|----|----|-----|
|------|---|----|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|                                  |                               | antibiotics, non-steroidal or<br>anti-inflammatory drugs<br>within the last 3 months,<br>periodontal therapy ≤ 1 year,<br>retinopathy grade 3/4,<br>pregnancy, no contraceptive<br>drugs   | (yrs): 8.1 ± 3.9<br>hypertension: 4.5%<br>neuropathy: 1.5%<br>retinopathy: 0.5%<br>nephropathy: 0% |  |  |  |
| Ghoneim<br>2013                  | Egypt,<br>03/2010-            | DM, duration ≥ 15 yrs,<br>bilateral diabetic macular<br>edema (> 6 months)   | n=19 (38 eyes)<br>89.5 % female<br>age (yrs): 52 3+11 4  | <u>IG (n=19):</u><br>one eye with 8 mg<br>triamcinolone acetonide  | <u>Primary</u> : Visual acuity<br><u>Others:</u> Intraocular<br>pressure (IOP) IOP | after 6 months:<br><u>Complications:</u><br>• no eves with retinal detachment  |
| RCT                              | 03/2012                       | no prior treatment with<br>intravitreal corticosteroids,<br>peribulbar steroid injection<br>within ≤ 6 months, pars<br>plana vitrectomy, history of  | б <u>е</u> (уз): 52.5211.4   | vs.<br><u>CG (n=19):</u><br>other eye with4 mg of<br>triamcinolone acetonide   | lowering drugs,<br>complications   | <ul> <li>no cycs with termin detachment, vitreous haemorrhage, intraocular reaction or endophthalmitis.</li> <li>one eye in IG developed posterior subcapsular cataract.</li> </ul>                              |
|                                  |                               | glaucoma or steroid induced<br>IOP elevation, ischemic<br>maculopathy, foveal tracted,<br>IOP≥ 23 mmHg   |  | <u>Duration</u> : 6 months   |  |  |
| Nteleki 2015                     | South<br>Africa.              | DM2 with neuropathic or mixed (venous and arterial)  | n=7 with 14 lower<br>extremity ulcers  | standard podiatric<br>management and   | healing rate (area and perimeter of the ulcer)                                     | after 3 months:<br>Healing:  |
| RCT                              | urban                         | ulcers; lower extremity ulcer;<br>stable or worsening ulcer that<br>has been present for ≥ 4<br>weeks<br>no acute cellulitis,<br>osteomyelitis, or gangrene,<br>renal, hepatic, hematologic,<br>neurologic, or immune<br>disease not related to<br>diabetes; presence of<br>malignant disease not in | 85 % male<br>age (yrs): 62<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 16.7                                  | IG1 (n=2):<br>phototherapy to the<br>regional lymphatic nodes<br>and ulcer(s)<br>vs.<br>IG2 (n=3):<br>phototherapy on the<br>ulcer<br>vs.<br>CG (n=2):<br>placebo phototherapy<br>Duration: 12 weeks |  | <ul> <li>The rate of healing increased in all three groups,</li> <li>67% of ulcers received some form of phototherapeutic intervention, 40% those ulcers resolved completely ov 8 weeks no <u>AEs</u></li> </ul> |
|                                  |                               | remission for > 5 years; use of<br>oral or parenteral  |  | <u></u> ,  |  |  |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control                            | Outcomes                 | Results   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration                           | Primary and secondary    | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value |
|                                  |                               | corticosteroids,<br>immunosuppressive, or<br>cytotoxic agents; known<br>infection with human<br>immunodeficiency virus or<br>presence of AIDS; other leg<br>ulcers |   |   |                          |   |
| Saeed 2013                       | Egypt,                        | DM, intractable diffuse  | n= 34 (34 eyes)   | <u>IG (n=15):</u>                                   | primary:                 | Changes over 12 months  |
| DOT                              | urban                         | diabetic macular edema   | 50% females   | vitrectomy with removal                             | BCVA, central foveal     | Complications:  |
| RCI                              | 11/2010-                      | traction   | age (yrs): 55.5 $\pm$ 8.9 duration of diabetes                  | of the posterior hyaloid,                           | thickness                | • Changes in BCVA and central foveal  |
|                                  | 07/2012                       | central foveal thickness ≥300  | (vrs): 24±5.4   | procedure injection of                              |                          | better mean BCVA in IG at 12 months.  |
|                                  |                               | μm   |   | intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA, 0.1 mL, |                          | • Better mean <u>central foveal thickness</u> in IG at 12 months.                               |
|                                  |                               | no vitreomacular traction,   |   | 40 mg/mL) +bevacizumab                              |                          | Major adverse events:   |
|                                  |                               | active neovascularization of   |   | (1.25 mg) +macular grid                             |                          | development of cataracts (3/15 vs.  |
|                                  |                               | proliferative diabetic   |   | laser photocoagulation                              |                          | 6/15) and elevation of intraocular  |
|                                  |                               | foveal avascular zone on   |   | vs.<br>CG (n=15):                                   |                          | pressure (7/15 vs. 2/15)  |
|                                  |                               | fluorescein angiography.   |   | same intravitreal                                   |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | neurosensory detachment on   |   | injection combination                               |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | optical coherence  |   | Duration: 12 months                                 |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | tomography, treatment for  |   |   |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | diabetic macular edema   |   |   |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | within $\leq 3$ months, previous   |   |   |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | major ocular surgery within  |   |   |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | the previous 6 months, YAG   |   |   |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | capsulotomy within ≤2  |   |   |                          |   |
|                                  |                               | months, macular pathology  |   |   |                          |   |
| Tsobgny-                         | Cameroon,                     | DM2, >11teeth, severe  | n=34  | <u>IG (n=17):</u>                                   | Primary: change in HbA1c | Change over 3 months:   |
| Tsague 2018                      | urban,                        | chronic periodontitis  | 56% female  | immediate ultrasonic                                | Secondary: Plaque index, | <u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| NCT02745015                      | tertiary                      | according to the 2012  | age (yrs): 51.4 $\pm$ 8.8                                       | scaling, scaling and root                           | gingival bleeding index, | Benefit with IG: 6.7 $\pm$ 2.0 % vs. 8.1 $\pm$  |
| RCT                              | care,                         | CDC-AAP classification,  | HUALC (%):9.3 $\pm$ 1.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 29.2 $\pm$ | planning +subgingival                               | attachment loss          | 2.0 %, WD: 2.2 (p=0.029)  |
| NCI                              | 12/2014-                      | no periodontal treatment   | 5.4   | irrigation  |                          | 1 /15 patient reported tongue   |
|                                  | 12/2014                       |  | 5.1   |   |                          | 1,10 patient reported tongae  |

| Page | 121 | of | 155 |
|------|-----|----|-----|
|------|-----|----|-----|

| registration place, inclusion / Exclusion criteria Characteristics Description with duration Primary and secondary time Longest follow-up period with intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with spin spin to the study, onset of systemic diseases or an acute condition, use of immunosuppressive metropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontal treatment 3 months later metropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontal treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications foot (%): 3 able to alter periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications or others drugs or presence of conditions able to alter periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications foot (%): 8 additions of other dealing (%): 8 additions of other dealing (%): 8 additions of neuropathy (%): 7 months at the periodontial treatment of neuropathy (%): 7 medications foot (%): 8 additions of neuropathy (%): 7 medications foot (%): 8 additions of neuropathy (%): 7 medications foot (%): 8 additions foot (%): 8 addition for (%): 8 addition for (%): 8 addition for (%): 8 addition foot (%): 8   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteriaChalteration of DM treatment 6<br>mths prior to the study, onset<br>of systemic diseases or an<br>acute condition, use of<br>immunosuppressive<br>medications or others drugs<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontitis<br>clinical featuresneu<br>neu<br>neu<br>immunosuppressive<br>nepi<br>medications or others drugs<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontitis<br>clinical featuresn=11<br>gend<br>age<br>typeAdult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>limb-threatening diabetic<br>gangrenous<br>lesions that needed<br>immediate amputations; bad<br>general condition; shock or<br>unstable vital signs; critically<br>ill with severe organ/systemn=12<br>clinical features | haracteristics<br>ration of diabetes<br>onths): 55.5 ± 42.6<br>nplications:<br>uropathy (%): 40<br>ohropathy (%): 7<br>inopathy (%): 7<br>betic foot (%): 3<br>119<br>nder:44.5% female<br>e (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>e of diabetes:<br>11: 22.9%<br>12: 86.2% | Vs.<br><u>CG(n=17):</u><br>periodontal treatment 3<br>months later<br><u>Duration:</u> 3 months<br>conservative<br>debridement of necrotic<br>tissue and irrigation with<br>warm normal saline<br>and<br><u>IG (n=61):</u><br>local application of<br>ointment composed of<br>royal jelly and panthenol | Primary and secondary<br>primary: complete<br>healing<br>secondary: reduction of<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>al reaction that may be<br>due to study drug | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value<br>irritation following chlorhexidine mo<br>rinse in IG<br>after 12 months<br>rate of complete healing (%):<br>Benefit for IG: 32.4% vs. 12%; p=0.03 |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 05/2015       alteration of DM treatment 6<br>mths prior to the study, onset<br>of systemic diseases or an<br>acute condition, use of<br>immunosuppressive<br>medications or others drugs<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontils<br>clinical features       vs.<br>(G(n=17):<br>months later<br><u>Duration:</u> 3 months       irritation following chlorhexidine i<br>rinse in IG         Yakoot 2019       Egypt,<br>urban       Adult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>foot ulcerations       n=119<br>gender:44.5% female<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>type of diabetes:       conservative<br>debridement of necrotic<br>tissue and irrigation with<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>type of diabetes:       primary: complete<br>healing<br>secondary: reduction of<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>edbridement of necrotic<br>tissue and irrigation with<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>type of diabetes:       n=119<br>gender:44.5% female<br>age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>type of diabetes:       genefit for IG: 32.4% vs. 12%; p=0<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>edbridement of<br>infection in the ulcer s | alteration of DM treatment 6<br>mths prior to the study, onsetdura<br>(moof systemic diseases or an<br>acute condition, use of<br>immunosuppressive<br>medications or others drugs<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontitis<br>clinical featuresneu<br>neu<br>nepAdult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>foot ulcerationsn=1:<br>gend<br>age<br>typeno life-threatening diabetic<br>gangrenous<br>lesions that needed<br>immediate amputations; bad<br>general condition; shock or<br>unstable vital signs; critically<br>ill with severe organ/systemdura<br>(mo  | ration of diabetes<br>onths): $55.5 \pm 42.6$<br>mplications:<br>uropathy (%): 40<br>ohropathy (%): 7<br>inopathy (%): 7<br>betic foot (%): 3<br>119<br>nder:44.5% female<br>e (yrs): 54.7 $\pm 8.4$<br>e of diabetes:<br>11: 22.9%<br>12: 86.2%          | vs.<br><u>CG(n=17):</u><br>periodontal treatment 3<br>months later<br><u>Duration:</u> 3 months<br>conservative<br>debridement of necrotic<br>tissue and irrigation with<br>warm normal saline<br>and<br><u>IG (n=61):</u><br>local application of<br>ointment composed of<br>royal jelly and panthenol | primary: complete<br>healing<br><u>secondary:</u> reduction of<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>al reaction that may be<br>due to study drug                   | irritation following chlorhexidine mo<br>rinse in IG<br>after 12 months<br>rate of complete healing (%):<br>Benefit for IG: 32.4% vs. 12%; p=0.03  |
| Yakoot 2019<br>urban       Egypt,<br>urban       Adult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>limb-threatening diabetic,<br>for ulcerations       n=119       conservative<br>debridement of necrotic,<br>healing       primary: complete<br>healing       after 12 months         NCT01531517       for ulcerations       gender:44.5% femole<br>diabetes:       debridement of necrotic,<br>heage (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4       tissue and irrigation with<br>type of diabetes:       secondary: reduction of<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>al reaction that may be       Benefit for IG: 32.4% vs. 12%; p=0         07/2013       no life-threatening extensive<br>gangrenous       DM1: 22.9%       and       al reaction that may be       be-infection in the ulcer site,<br>al reaction that may be       be-infection in the ulcer site,<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>infection of<br>initment composed of<br>general condition; shock or<br>unstable vital signs; critically<br>ill with severe organ/system<br>dysfunctions or advance<br>malignancy.       VS.       Concel seplication of<br>initment composed of<br>peneral condition; shock or<br>unstable vital signs; critically<br>ill with severe organ/system<br>dysfunctions or advance<br>malignancy.       VS.         ADA: America Disbetes Association; BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuty; BMI: Body mass Index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; CHC: Communitable cleares; VDI: 12pe 1 diabetes; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; HB3-L: haemoglobin A1c; IG: int=rventiong group; IQR: interquertile<br>range; n: number of participants; NCD: Non-communicable cleares; VII: neutral proteimi: FAGE dorn; SDI: Subtes Self-Care Inventor; SDI:<br>Standard-deviatior; SMBG: selfmontoring of blood glucose; wis: weeks; yrs: years         ADA: America Disotes Association; BCVA: B   | Adult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>limb-threatening diabetic<br>foot ulcerationsn=1:<br>gene<br>age<br>typeno life-threatening extensive<br>gangrenous• DM2<br>• DM2lesions that needed<br>immediate amputations; bad<br>general condition; shock or<br>unstable vital signs; critically<br>ill with severe organ/system   | 119<br>nder:44.5% female<br>e (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>e of diabetes:<br>11: 22.9%<br>12: 86.2%  | conservative<br>debridement of necrotic<br>tissue and irrigation with<br>warm normal saline<br>and<br><u>IG (n=61):</u><br>local application of<br>ointment composed of<br>royal jelly and panthenol  | primary: complete<br>healing<br><u>secondary:</u> reduction of<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>al reaction that may be<br>due to study drug                   | after 12 months<br>rate of complete healing (%):<br>Benefit for IG: 32.4% vs. 12%; p=0.0   |
| 07/2013       no life-threatening extensive       • DM1: 22.9%       and       al reaction that may be         RCT       gangrenous       • DM1: 22.9%       and       ointment composed of         Iesions that needed       local application of       ointment composed of         general condition; shock or       royal jelly and panthenol       unstable vital signs; critically       vs.         ill with severe organ/system       CG (n=58):       of (n=58):       of (n=58):         dysfunctions or advanced       local application of       ouration in the mere sevents; SPC: Softward       of (n=58):         ADA: American Diabetes Association; BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity; BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; CHC: Community health centre; DBP: Diasto         blood pressure; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM1: Type 1 diabetes; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; HDA1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG: intervention group; IQR: interquartile         range; n: number of participants; NCD: Non-communicable disease; NPH: neutral protamine Hagedorn; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean difference; NCD: Non-communicable         disease ;RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SAE: Serious adverse events; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; SCI: Diabetes Self-Care Inventory; SD:         Standard-deviation; SMBG: self-monitoring of blood glucose; wks: weeks; yrs: years         'a'bell       the the the the the the theat the theat the the the theat theat the theat the thea  | no life-threatening extensive • DM:<br>gangrenous • DM:<br>lesions that needed<br>immediate amputations; bad<br>general condition; shock or<br>unstable vital signs; critically<br>ill with severe organ/system   | 11: 22.9%<br>12: 86.2%  | and<br><u>IG (n=61):</u><br>local application of<br>ointment composed of<br>royal jelly and panthenol   | al reaction that may be<br>due to study drug   |  |
| ADA: American Diabetes Association; BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity; BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; CHC: Community health centre; DBP: Diasto blood pressure; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM1: Type 1 diabetes; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG: intervention group; IQR: interquartile range; n: number of participants; NCD: Non-communicable disease; NPH: neutral protamine Hagedorn; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean difference; NCD: Non-communicable disease; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SAE: Serious adverse events; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; SCI: Diabetes Self-Care Inventory; SD: Standard-deviation; SMBG: self-monitoring of blood glucose; wks: weeks; yrs: years <b>Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM2</b>   | dysfunctions or advanced malignancy.  |   | <u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=58):</u><br>local application of<br>Panthenol<br>duration: 12months  |  |  |
| Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM2   | malignancy.<br>iation; BCVA: Best-corrected visual acui<br>mellitus; DM1: Type 1 diabetes; DM2: ty<br>its; NCD: Non-communicable disease; N<br>rolled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjus<br>f-monitoring of blood glucose; wks: wee   | uity; BMI: Body mass i<br>cype 2 diabetes; FPG:<br>IPH: neutral protamir<br>sted relative risk; SAE<br>eeks; yrs: years   | Panthenol<br><u>duration:</u> 12months<br>index; CG: Control group; CI:<br>fasting plasma glucose; HbA<br>ne Hagedorn; MD: mean diff<br>E: Serious adverse events; SB   | Confidence interval; CHC: Co<br>1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG: interence; MDa: adjusted mean<br>P: Systolic blood pressure; SC   | ommunity health centre; DBP: Diastol<br>ervention group; IQR: interquartile<br>difference; NCD: Non-communicable<br>II: Diabetes Self-Care Inventory; SD:  |
|  | d results of studies on patients wit  | ith DM2   |   |  |  |
|  | d   | results of studies on patients w  | results of studies on patients with DM2   | results of studies on patients with DM2  | results of studies on patients with DM2  |

# **RCTs on pregnant DM patients**

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Population</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs.<br>Control<br>Description with<br>duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary   | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period<br>with intervention effects<br>(IG vs. CG) with SD, 95%-<br>Cl or p value | <b>Study name</b><br>registration number<br>Design   |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Strategies to in                                      | ncrease physica                                 | lactivity  |  |   |   |  |
| Embaby<br>2016<br>RCT                                 | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>07/2014-<br>02/2015         | at increased risk for GDM due<br>to obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ),<br>age:> 25 yrs,<br>20-24th gestational wks,<br>multigravida, physically active<br>with ≥ 1 of the following 3<br>characteristics: history of<br>macrosomia, abnormal<br>glucose tolerance during<br>previous pregnancy or first<br>grade relative with DM2<br>no hypertension, GDM,<br>medications that affects<br>insulin secretion, serious<br>pulmonary disease, cardiac,<br>renal impairment and<br>malignancy | n=40<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.2±3.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.7±1.3<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 6.5±0.9<br>fasting insulin (IU/I):<br>15.78±1.58 | IG:<br>aerobic exercise program<br>(walking on treadmill)<br>three times weekly until<br>the end of 37 wks of<br>gestation + diet control.<br>vs.<br><u>CG:</u><br>diet control with usual<br>care given by<br>obstetricians and<br>midwives.<br><u>Duration</u> : appr. 4 months | Fasting plasma glucose,<br>Insulin level  | Change to 37 <sup>th</sup> week of gestation:<br><u>FPG (mmol/l)</u><br>Benefit for IG: 4.26±0.67 vs. 5.07±0.54<br>(p=0.0001)<br><u>Fasting insulin (IU/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 10.59±1.10 vs.<br>12.43±1.44 (p=0.0001) |
| Other non-pha   | armacological th                                | nerapies   |  |   |   |  |
| El-Shamy<br>2018                                      | Egypt,<br>urban                                 | GDM, age: 20-30 yrs,<br>gestational age: 24-26 wks,<br>BMI ≤ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , singleton live   | n=30<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 24.2±2.8   | IG (n=15):<br>acupressure + standard<br>antenatal care  | Primary:<br>glycemic control,<br>requirement for insulin.   | Change over 3 months:<br>75 g OGTT (mg/dl):<br>Fasting: 116.1±0.1 vs. 118.2 ± 0.7  |
| RCT   | 12/2016-<br>05/2017                             | fetus<br>no high-risk pregnancy, bad<br>obstetric situations or<br>diseases, smoking, oral<br>sedatives  | 75 g OGTT (mg/dl):<br>• fasting glucose:<br>129.05±0.6<br>• 2h postprandial:<br>146±1.65<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27±1.5                                     | vs.<br><u>CG (n=15):</u><br><u>s</u> tandard antenatal care<br>only<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 weeks  | insulin resistance<br>Secondary:<br>neonatal outcomes   | 2h postprandial:<br>125.3±1.2 vs. 127.3 ± 0.9<br>Complication (%):<br>5-min Apgar-Score < 7: 6.7 vs. 6.7 %   |
|   |   | For peer   | r review only - http://b   | mjopen.bmj.com/site/abo   | out/guidelines.xhtml  |  |

| Utz 2018       | Marocco,            | Health centres with $\geq$ 30                   | 20 health centres                  | 20 clinics were                            | Primary: birthweight             | Follow-up visits:   |
|----------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| NCT02979756    | urban /<br>rural,   | monthly antenatal care<br>consultations and all | n= 215<br>age (yrs):27.6±6.6       | randomized→ 10 in each<br>group            | Secondary: maternal weight gain, | 7.5±4.9 vs. 3.8±3.3 (p=0.001)   |
| Cluster-RCT    | primary             | pregnant women with newly                       | urban (%): 38.5                    | <u>IG (n=120):</u>                         | glucose control,                 | FBG within the norm: better with IG   |
|                | care,               | diagnosed GDM                                   | rural (%): 61.5                    | first screening for<br>GDM→positive tested | pregnancy complications.         | <1/3 of all values: 7.6 vs. 32.6 %<br>1/3-2/3 of all values: 17.8 vs. 32.6 %        |
|                | 11/2016-<br>02/2018 | no DM2, DM1                                     |                                    | women received                             |                                  | >2/3 of all values: 74.6 vs. 34.8 %   |
|                | 02,2010             |   |                                    | and exercise                               |                                  | Macrosomia (birthweight>4000 g): 3.   |
|                |                     |   |                                    | VS.  |                                  | vs. 18.4 % (p<0.001)  |
|                |                     |   |                                    | <u>CG (n=95):</u>                          |                                  |   |
|                |                     |   |                                    | routine practice                           |                                  |   |
| Pharmacologica | l strategies        |   |                                    |  |                                  |   |
| Ashoush 2016   | Egypt,              | GDM, mothers with 26–32-                        | n=95                               | <u>IG (n = 47):</u>                        | Primary: successful              | Until delivery:   |
|                | urban,              | week GDM (oral 2-h 75 G                         | 100% female                        | metformin (initial total                   | maternal glycemic control        | fasting glucose during treatment  |
| RCT            | tertiary care       | glucose tolerance test)                         | age (yrs): 31.8±3                  | dose 1000 mg/d with                        | Secondary: maternal BMI,         | (mg/dl): better with IG:  |
|                | 01/2014             | singleton pregnancies, failure                  | HbA1c (%): 5.75 ±                  | meals, increase by 500 or                  | glycemic control                 | <ul> <li>during the last wk: 78±3.1 vs. 79.9±3.1</li> </ul>                         |
|                | 01/2014-            | of satisfactory glycemic                        | 0.55<br>75 a OCTT (m a (dl))       | 850 mg every 1 or 2 wks                    | parameters, maternal             | (p=0.008)   |
|                | 11/2014             | and exercise for $\geq 1$ wk                    | • fasting: 106.05±4.6              | maximum dose of                            | pregnancy, side effects to       | <ul> <li>during the last 2 wks: 78.9±3.5 vs.</li> <li>80.8±4.7 (p=0.029)</li> </ul> |
|                |                     |   | • 1h:310.25±11.6                   | 2500 mg/d until delivery,                  | metformin, mode of               | maternal hypoglycaemia (%):   |
|                |                     | no fetal anomalies on                           | • 2h:176.65±9.4                    | addition of insulin if                     | delivery, gestational age        | no difference: 6.25 vs. 12.5 (p=0.254)  |
|                |                     | ultrasonography, other                          | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 31.2±1.4 | needed)                                    | at delivery, neonatal            | neonatal hypoglycaemia (%):   |
|                |                     | pregnancy complications,                        |                                    | <u>VS.</u>                                 | birthweight, macrosomia,         | 12.8 vs. 14.6 (p=0.791)   |
|                |                     | known intolerance to                            |                                    | <u>CG (n = 48):</u>                        | neonatal hypoglycemia,           | Maternal weight gain (Kg):  |
|                |                     | metformin or risk factors for                   |                                    | <u>regular insulin + neutral</u>           | neonatal death,                  | 4.4 ± 0.6 vs. 5.1 ± 0.8 (p=0.001)   |
|                |                     | lactic acidosis                                 |                                    | protamine Hagedorn (3:7)                   | congenital anomalies,            | neonatal congenital anomalies (%):  |
|                |                     |   |                                    | (starting dose 0.7 units                   | admission to neonatal            | 2.1 vs. 2.1 p= 0.747  |
|                |                     |   |                                    | <u>/kg*d, adjusted to</u>                  | intensive care unit              | headache (%):   |
|                |                     |   |                                    | achieve adequate                           |                                  | 27.3 (metformin+insulin) vs. 5.6  |
|                |                     |   |                                    | increments of 1                            |                                  | (metformin monotherapy) vs. 0%  |
|                |                     |   |                                    | unit/10 mg glucose                         |                                  | (Insulin monotherapy)   |
|                |                     |   |                                    | higher than the desired                    |                                  | 85 vs = 10 A (n = 0.51A)  |
|                |                     |   |                                    | cut-off, short action                      |                                  | Costs (Egyntian nounds): 89 66+0 96   |
|                |                     |   |                                    |  |                                  | Costs (EB) plan pounds). 05.00±0.50   |

BMJ Open

Duration: until delivery

| <b>Beyuo 2015</b><br>ACTRN126140<br>00942651<br>RCT | Ghana,<br>urban<br>01/2013-<br>12/2013 | pregnant women with DM2<br>or GDM (plasma glucose<br>≥7 mmol/l after an overnight<br>fast or plasma glucose<br>concentration ≥11.1 mmol/l 2<br>hours after a 75 g glucose<br>drink), 20-30 wks gestation,<br>age: 18-45yrs, eligible for<br>insulin therapy<br>no T1DM, DM2 who have<br>previously failed to achieve<br>glycemic control on<br>metformin monotherapy, | n= 104<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 33.3±4.6<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 8<br>2HPG (mmol/l): 10.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 3.1±6.6<br>type of diabetes:<br>GDM (%): 65.9<br>DM2 (%): 34.0 | IG (n=52):<br>Metformin (starting with<br>500 mg / d, gradually<br>increase over 2 wks to a<br>maximum dose of 2500<br>mg/d, insulin was added<br>if necessary)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=52):</u><br>insulin treatment (daily<br>dose 0.3 IU/kg, titrated to<br>achieve the glycemic<br>targets, if necessary,<br>admission to the ward | Primary: 2-hour post<br>prandial blood glucose<br>(2HPG)<br>Secondary: fasting<br>glucose, 1HPG, maternal<br>weight gain, pregnancy<br>outcome and feto-<br>neonatal outcomes.   | Change from enrolment to delivery:<br>glycemic control (mmol/l):<br>fasting glucose:<br>no difference: 6.42±0.98 vs. 6.62±1.57<br>(p=0.928)<br>1HPG:<br>no difference: 8.95±1.27 vs. 9.62±1.44<br>(p=0.078)<br>2HPG:<br>benefit for IG: 7.84±1.43 vs. 9.05±1.89<br>(p=0.004)   |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| <b>Ibrahim 2014</b><br>NCT01915550                  | Egypt,<br>urban                        | GDM or pre-existing DM,<br>gestational age 20-34 wks<br>with insulin resistance   | n=90<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.8 ± 5.4  | insulin)<br><u>Duration:</u> until delivery<br><u>IG (n=46):</u><br>Metformin (1500 mg,<br>raised to 2000 mg)   | Primary:<br>maternal gylcemic control<br>(fasting glucose  | gylcemic control:<br>• better for CG: 76.1 vs. 100 %<br>reached glycemic control (p=0.001)   |
| RCT   | 08/2011-<br>04/2012                    | No DM1, secondary diabetes<br>or liver or renal impairment  | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):31.83 ±<br>3.23<br>Gestational age: 28.7<br>± 3.7 wks<br>GDM: 43.3 %<br>Pre-existing DM:<br>56.7 % with median<br>duration of 4 (1-15)<br>yrs                            | without increasing insulin<br>dose<br>Patients switched to CG if<br>treatment was not<br>successful to control<br>blood glucose<br>concentrations<br><u>CG (n=44):</u><br>insulin dose was<br>increased according to<br>the standard protocol   | ≤ 95 mg/dl and 2-HPG ≤ 120 mg/dl) Secondary: maternal bouts of hypoglycemia, need for another hospital admission for uncontrolled diabetes during pregnancy, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, birth weight, birth trauma, congenital anomalies, Apgar score, neonatal hypoglycemia, need for neonatal intensive care unit admission, adverse neonatal outcomes | <ul> <li>13 vs. 18.2 % had readmission for<br/>poor glycemic control</li> <li>6.5 vs. 22.7 % had bouts of<br/>maternal hypoglycaemia</li> <li>Complications: <ul> <li>23.3 vs. 30.8 % had fetal<br/>macrosomia</li> <li>1 new-born in each group had<br/>congenital malformations</li> <li>7 vs. 38.5 % had neonatal<br/>hypoglycaemia</li> <li>18.6 vs. 41 % had NICU admission</li> <li>0 vs. 5.1 % had stillbirths</li> <li>11.6 vs. 25.6 % with respiratory<br/>distress syndrome</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

| 2                     |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| 3                     | BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; GDM: gestational diabetes;    |
| 4                     | HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; 1 / 2HPG: 1 / 2-hour post prandial blood glucose; IG: intervention group; n: number of participants; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean      |
| 5                     | difference; OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SD: Standard-deviation; wks: weeks; yrs: |
| 6                     | years   |
| 7                     | Table 5: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM   |
| 8                     |   |
| 9                     |   |
| 10                    |   |
| 11                    |   |
| 12                    |   |
| 13                    |   |
| 14                    |   |
| 15                    |   |
| 16                    |   |
| 17                    |   |
| 18                    |   |
| 19                    |   |
| 20                    |   |
| 21                    |   |
| 22                    |   |
| 23                    |   |
| 24                    |   |
| 25                    |   |
| 26                    |   |
| 27                    |   |
| 28                    |   |
| 29                    |   |
| 30                    |   |
| 31                    |   |
| 32                    |   |
| 33                    |   |
| 34                    |   |
| 35                    |   |
| 36                    |   |
| 3/                    |   |
| 38                    |   |
| 39                    |   |
| 4U<br>41              |   |
| 41<br>40              |   |
| 42<br>12              |   |
| 45<br>11              | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml   |
| - <del>14</del><br>15 |   |
| 40                    |   |

BMJ Open

For peer review only

Page 127 of 155

BMJ Open

For peer review only R For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

## isk of bias

| Study            | Sequence generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>lment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors | Incomplete<br>outcome data | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting | Other risk<br>of bias |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Abaza 2017       |                     | ©                              | 8   |                                     | 8                          | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Abdulrhman 2013  |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | ©                                   |                            | 8                                 | <mark>©</mark>        |
| Adibe 2013       |                     |                                | 8   | 8                                   | 8                          | <mark>©</mark>                    |                       |
| Adjei 2015       | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | 0                                   |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Ali 2019         | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | <mark>@</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Amendezo 2017    | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | $\odot$                             | 8                          |                                   | 8                     |
| Anderson 2001    | <mark>(</mark>      | <mark></mark>                  | $\odot$                                   |                                     | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Anyanwu 2016     | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     |                       |
| Ashoush 2016     |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | O                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Asuako 2017      | <mark>⇔</mark>      |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Beyuo 2015       | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | 8                                 | 8                     |
| Chraibi 2017     | <mark>⇔</mark>      | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Debussche 2018   |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | O                          | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Distiller 2014   | <mark>⇔</mark>      |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Elbarbary 2016   | <mark></mark>       | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Elbarbary 2018   |                     |                                | $\odot$                                   | <mark></mark>                       |                            | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Elbarbary 2020   |                     |                                |   | $\odot$                             | O                          | 8                                 | ☺                     |
| El Gayar 2019    |                     |                                |   | $\odot$                             | <mark>@</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| El-Haggar 2015   | <mark></mark>       | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | <mark>@</mark>             | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| El-Makaky 2020   | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   | $\odot$                             |                            | <mark></mark>                     | ☺                     |
| El-Shamy 2018    | 8                   | <mark>©</mark>                 | <mark></mark>                             | <mark></mark>                       |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| El-Sharkawy 2016 |                     |                                |   | $\odot$                             |                            | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| El-Sheikh 2019   | <mark></mark>       | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Embaby 2016      | <mark>⇔</mark>      | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Essien 2017      |                     |                                | <mark></mark>                             | $\odot$                             | 8                          | ☺                                 |                       |
| Fairall 2016     | <mark></mark>       |                                | <mark></mark>                             | <mark></mark>                       |                            | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Fayehun 2018     | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   | 8                                   | ©                          | <mark></mark>                     |                       |
| Ghoneim 2013     |                     | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | <mark>@</mark>             | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Hailu 2018       |                     | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | $\odot$                             | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Ibrahim 2014     |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          |                                   | 8                     |
| Krawinkel 2018   |                     | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                   | 8                          | $\odot$                           |                       |

Page 129 of 155

| Study                  | Sequence<br>generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>Iment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors    | Incomplete<br>outcome data | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting | Othe<br>of I   |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Labhardt 2011          |                        | ©                              | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                         |                            |                                   | (              |
| Maharaj 2016           | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | $\odot$                                | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>@</mark>                    | Ċ              |
| Malek 2015             | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                         |                            | <mark></mark>                     | e              |
| Malipa 2013            | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                      | ☺                          | <mark>©</mark>                    | (e             |
| Mash 2014              | $\odot$                | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>©</mark>                         | 8                          | $\odot$                           | 6              |
| Matter 2020            |                        |                                |   |  |                            | 8                                 | (              |
| Mohamad 2009           | <mark></mark>          | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | <mark>@</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | (e             |
| Moustafa 2019          | <mark></mark>          | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | <mark>(</mark> )                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    | E              |
| Muchiri 2015           |                        |                                | 8   |  |                            | <mark></mark>                     | Ċ              |
| Nteleki 2015           | 8                      | <mark>(</mark> )               | <mark>8</mark>                            |  |                            | <mark></mark>                     | C              |
| Owolabi 2019           | <mark>@</mark>         |                                | 8   | $\odot$                                |                            | 8                                 | C              |
| Rashad 2017            |                        |                                |   |  | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | (e             |
| Ragheb 2020            |                        | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ | 8                          | $\odot$                           |                |
| RezkAllah 2019         | <u></u>                |                                | <mark>8</mark>                            | $\odot$                                |                            | $\odot$                           | (              |
| Saeed 2013             | <mark>©</mark>         | <mark>(</mark> )               | <mark>8</mark>                            | 8                                      | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | (e             |
| Salem 2010             | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark></mark>                  | <mark>8</mark>                            | 8                                      | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark></mark>                     | (e             |
| Sodipo 2017            |                        | <mark></mark>                  | <mark>8</mark>                            | <mark>(</mark> )                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark> )                  | 6              |
| Somanah 2012           | <mark>@</mark>         | <mark>(</mark> )               | <mark>8</mark>                            | <mark>(</mark> )                       | 8                          | 8                                 | (e             |
| Steyn 2013             |                        | <mark>())</mark>               | <mark>8</mark>                            | <mark>())</mark>                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark> )                  |                |
| Takenga 2014           |                        | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | <mark>())</mark>                       |                            | <mark>(</mark> )                  | Ċ              |
| Tawfik 2016            | <mark>©</mark>         |                                |   | $\odot$                                | 8                          | <mark>(</mark> )                  |                |
| Thuita 2020            | <mark>©</mark>         |                                | 8   | <mark>(</mark> )                       | ©                          | <mark>(</mark> )                  |                |
| Tsobgny-Tsague<br>2018 |                        | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   |  | 8                          |                                   | (              |
| Utz 2018               |                        |                                | 8   | $\odot$                                |                            | 8                                 | <mark>(</mark> |
| Van der Hoogt 2017     | <mark></mark>          | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | Ċ              |
| Van Rooijen 2004       |                        |                                | 8   |  |                            | <mark></mark>                     | (e             |
| Webb 2015              | <mark></mark>          | <mark>©</mark>                 | <mark>@</mark>                            |  | 8                          |                                   | C              |
| Yakoot 2019            | <mark></mark>          |                                | 8   | <mark>©</mark>                         |                            | 8                                 | e              |
| Yan 2014               | ☺                      | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                         |                            | <mark></mark>                     | e              |

Table 6: Judgements on risk of bias

#### Supplement 2

# Search strategies

## Medline (Ovid)

Search on 19.11.2018, 1470 references, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 541 references

| Nr. | Searches  |
|-----|---|
| 1.  | exp Diabetes Mellitus/  |
| 2.  | Diabetes.tw   |
| 3.  | or/1-2  |
| 4.  | Africa.tw   |
| 5.  | Exp Africa/   |
| 6.  | Algeria\$.tw or exp Algeria/  |
| 7.  | Angol\$.tw or exp Angola/   |
| 8.  | Benin\$.tw or exp Benin/  |
| 9.  | Botswan\$.tw or exp Botswana/                                       |
| 10. | Burkina Faso.tw or exp Burkina Faso/                                |
| 11. | Burund\$.tw or exp Burundi/   |
| 12. | Cameroon\$.tw or exp Cameroon/                                      |
| 13. | Cape Verde.tw or exp Cape Verde/                                    |
| 14. | Central African Republic\$.tw or exp Central African Republic/      |
| 15. | Chad\$.tw or exp Chad/  |
| 16. | Comoros\$.tw or exp Comoros/  |
| 17. | Cote d'Ivoire.tw or exp Cote d'Ivoire/                              |
| 18. | Democratic Republic of Congo.tw or exp Democratic Republic of Congo |
| 19. | Djibout\$.tw or exp Djibouti/                                       |
| 20. | Egypt\$.tw or exp Egypt/  |
| 21. | Equatorial Guinea\$.tw or exp Equatorial Guinea/                    |
| 22. | Eritrea\$.tw or exp Eritrea/  |
| 23. | Ethiop\$.tw or exp Ethiopia/  |
| 24. | Gabon\$.tw or exp Gabon/  |
| 25. | Gambia\$.tw or exp Gambia/  |
| 26. | Ghana\$.tw or exp Ghana/  |
| 27. | Guinea\$.tw or exp Guinea/  |
| 28. | Guinea-Bissau.tw or exp Guinea-Bissau/                              |
| 29. | Kenya\$.tw or exp Kenya/  |
| 30. | Lesoth\$.tw or exp Lesotho/   |
| 31. | Liberia\$.tw or exp Liberia/  |
| 32. | Libya\$.tw or exp Libya/  |
| 33. | Madagascar\$.tw or exp Madagascar/                                  |
| 34. | Malawi\$.tw or exp Malawi/  |

| Nr.       | Searches                                     |
|-----------|--|
| 35.       | Mali.tw or exp Mali/                         |
| 36.       | Mauritania\$.tw or exp Mauritania/           |
| 37.       | Mauritius\$.tw or exp Mauritius/             |
| 38.       | Morocc\$.tw or exp Morocco/                  |
| 39.       | Mozambique\$.tw or exp Mozambique/           |
| 40.       | Namibia\$.tw or exp Namibia/                 |
| 41.       | Niger.tw or exp Niger/                       |
| 42.       | Nigeria\$.tw or exp Nigeria/                 |
| 43.       | Rwanda\$.tw or exp Rwanda/                   |
| 44.       | (Sao Tome and Principe).tw                   |
| 45.       | Senegal\$.tw or exp Senegal/                 |
| 46.       | Seychell\$.tw                                |
| 47.       | Sierra Leone.tw or exp Sierra Leone/         |
| 48.       | Somalia\$.tw or exp Somalia/                 |
| 49.       | South Africa\$.tw or exp South Africa.de     |
| 50        | South Sudan.tw or exp South Sudan/           |
| 51.       | Sudan\$.tw or exp Sudan/                     |
| 52.       | Swaziland\$.tw or exp Swaziland/             |
| 53        | Tanzania\$.tw or exp Tanzania/               |
| 54.       | Togo\$ tw or exp Togo/                       |
| 55        | Tunisia\$.tw or exp Tunisia/                 |
| 56<br>56  | Uganda\$.tw or exp Uganda/                   |
| 57.       | Zambia\$.tw or exp Zambia/                   |
| 58<br>58  | Zimbabwe\$ tw or exp Zimbabwe/               |
| 50.<br>59 | Somaliland\$.tw or exp Somaliland/           |
| 60.<br>60 | Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic tw          |
| 61        | or/4-60                                      |
| 62.       | randomized controlled trial.pt.              |
| 63.       | controlled clinical trial.pt.                |
| 64.       | (randomized or randomised or randomly).ti,ab |
| 65.       | placebo.ti,ab.                               |
| 66.       | trial.ti,ab.                                 |
| 67.       | groups.ti,ab.                                |
| 68.       | or/62-67                                     |
| 69.       | 3 and 61 and 68                              |
| 70.       | exp animals/ not humans.sh.                  |
| 71.       | 69 not 70                                    |
| 72.       | 71 not (comment or editorial).pt.            |
|           |  |

#### CENTRAL

Search on 14.01.2019, 439 trials, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 244 trials

| 1  | Africa, explode all trees   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Algeria* or Angol* or Benin* or Botswan*                                    |
| 3  | (Burkina Faso) or Burund* or Cameroon* or (Cape Verde) or (Central African  |
|    | Republic)   |
| 4  | Chad* or Comoros* or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo*                                |
| 5  | Djibout* or Egypt* or (Equatorial Guinea*) or Eritrea*                      |
| 6  | Ethiop* or Gabon* or Gambia* or Ghana* or Guinea* or Guinea-Bissau          |
| 7  | Kenya* or Lesoth* or Liberia* or Libya* or Madagascar* or Malawi*           |
| 8  | Mali* or Mauritania* or Mauritius* or Morocc* or Mozambique* or Namibia* or |
|    | Niger*  |
| 9  | Nigeria* or Rwanda* or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal* or Seychell*     |
| 10 | Sierra Leone or Somalia* or (South Africa) or (South Sudan*) or Sudan* or   |
|    | Swasiland   |
| 11 | Tanzania* or Togo* or Tunisia* or Uganda* or Zambia* or Zimbabwe* or        |
|    | Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)                            |
| 12 | #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11            |
| 13 | MeSH descriptor Diabetes, this term only                                    |
| 14 | MESH descriptor Diabetes mellitus, explode all trees                        |
| 15 | Diabetes near 3 gestation*  |
| 16 | Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults  |
| 17 | Prediabetes   |
| 18 | Insulin resistan*   |
| 20 | HBA1C   |
| 21 | Diabet* near 3 (angiopath* or foot orfeet or retinopath*)                   |
| 22 | Diabet* near 3 (cardiomyopathy* or coma or ketoacido* or neuropath*)        |
| 23 | #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21                 |
| 32 | #12 and #23   |

#### CINAHL

Search on 20.08.2020: 19 results

(Africa\$ or Africa\$ or Algeria\$ or Angol\$ or Benin\$ or Botswan\$ or (Burkina Faso) or Burund\$ or Cameroon\$ or (Cape Verde) or (Central African Republic) or Chad\$ or Comoros\$ or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo\$ Djibout\$ or Egypt\$ or (Equatorial Guinea\$) or Eritrea\$

| 2        |  |
|----------|--|
| 3        |  |
| 4        |  |
| 5        |  |
| 6        |  |
| 7        |  |
| 8        |  |
| a        |  |
| 10       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       |  |
| 12       |  |
| 13       |  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       |  |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 19       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 2/       |  |
| 28       |  |
| 29       |  |
| 30       |  |
| 31       |  |
| 32       |  |
| 33       |  |
| 31       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 35       |  |
| 36       |  |
| 37       |  |
| 38       |  |
| 39       |  |
| 40       |  |
| 41       |  |
| 10       |  |
| +∠<br>⊿⊃ |  |
| 43       |  |
| 44       |  |
| 45       |  |
| 46       |  |
| 47       |  |
| 48       |  |
| 49       |  |
| 50       |  |
| 50       |  |
| 51       |  |
| 52       |  |
| 53       |  |
| 54       |  |
| 55       |  |
| 56       |  |
| 57       |  |
| 58       |  |
| 50       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 00       |  |

or Ethiop\$ or Gabon\$ or Gambia\$ or Ghana\$ or Guinea\$ or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya\$ or Lesoth\$ or Liberia\$ or Libya\$ or Madagascar\$ or Malawi\$ or Mali\$ or Mauritania\$ or Mauritius\$ or Morocc\$ or Mozambique\$ or Namibia\$ or Niger\$ or Nigeria\$ or Rwanda\$ or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal\$ or Seychell\$ or Sierra Leone or Somalia\$ or (South Africa) or (South Sudan\$) or Sudan\$ or Swasiland or Tanzania\$ or Togo\$ or Tunisia\$ or Uganda\$ or Zambia\$ or Zimbabwe\$ or Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)) in Abstract

- AND
- diabetes in Abstract
- AND
  - randomized or rct or randomized in Abstract
  - AND
  - In English
  - AND
  - Peer-reviewed
  - And
    - Humans

## International Clinical Trials Registry Platform

Search on 9.-10.10.2019, update on 25.08.2020 (registration January 2019 to 31.08.2020) http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/AdvSearch.aspx

- 1. Africa or African in the Title and diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition, Recruitment status: all: 90 records for 90 trials (9.10.2019)
- 2. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Algeria or Angola or Benin or Botswana or Burkina Faso or Burundi or Cameroon or Central African Republic or Chad or Congo or Cite D'ivoire: 96 record for 63 trials

 diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Democratic Republic of Congo or Djibouti or Egypt or Equatorial Guinea or Eritrea or Ethiopia: 292 records for 159 trials

- 4. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition
  - Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Gabon or Gambia or Ghana or Guinea or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya or Lesotho or Liberia or Lybia: 22 records for 22 trials

5. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition

| 2          |  |
|------------|--|
| з          |  |
| 1          |  |
| 4          |  |
| 5          |  |
| 6          |  |
| 7          |  |
| 8          |  |
| 9          |  |
| 10         |  |
| 11         |  |
| 11         |  |
| 12         |  |
| 13         |  |
| 14         |  |
| 15         |  |
| 16         |  |
| 17         |  |
| 10         |  |
| 10         |  |
| 19         |  |
| 20         |  |
| 21         |  |
| 22         |  |
| 23         |  |
| ∠_)<br>^ / |  |
| 24         |  |
| 25         |  |
| 26         |  |
| 27         |  |
| 28         |  |
| 29         |  |
| 20         |  |
| 20         |  |
| 31         |  |
| 32         |  |
| 33         |  |
| 34         |  |
| 35         |  |
| 26         |  |
| 50         |  |
| 37         |  |
| 38         |  |
| 39         |  |
| 40         |  |
| 41         |  |
| <u>4</u> 2 |  |
| -⊤∠<br>⁄\⊃ |  |
| 43         |  |
| 44         |  |
| 45         |  |
| 46         |  |
| 47         |  |
| 48         |  |
| 10         |  |
| 49         |  |
| 50         |  |
| 51         |  |
| 52         |  |
| 53         |  |
| 54         |  |
| 55         |  |
| 22         |  |
| 56         |  |
| 57         |  |
| 58         |  |
| 59         |  |

1

|    | Recruitment status: all  |
|----|--|
|    | Countries of recruitment: Madagascar or Malawi or Mali or Mauritania or Mauritius or |
|    | Morocco or Mozambique: 96 records for 34 trials                                      |
| 6. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
|    | Recruitment status: all  |
|    | Countries of recruitment: Nigeria: 13 records for 13 trials                          |
| 7. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
|    | Recruitment status: all  |
|    | Countries of recruitment: Namibia or Niger or Rwanda or (Sao Tome and Principe) or   |
|    | Senegal or Seychelles or Sierra Leone or Somalia or South Sudan or Sudan or          |
|    | Swaziland:   |

11 records for 11 trials

- diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition
   Recruitment status: all
   Countries of recruitment: South Africa: 1528 records for 429 trials:
- diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Togo or Tunesia or Ujanda or Zambia or Zimbabwe: 129 records for 50 trials

# African Journals Online

https://www.ajol.info/index.php/index/search/search?query=%28diabetes+or+diabetic+or+hb a1c%29+and+%28random+or+randomized+or+randomised%29&dateFromYear=2004&date FromMonth=01&dateFromDay=1&dateToYear=2020&dateToMonth=10&dateToDay=14&aut hors=

Advanced search 14.10.2020 Titel: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised) 30 results

## African Index Medicus Database

http://indexmedicus.afro.who.int/aim/opac\_css/index.php?lvl=search\_result&get\_query=4

Advanced search 14.10.2020

Titel, Expression booléenne: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised)

N.C.Z.O.N.L

122 results, no potentially eligible references

# 1 List of included and excluded studies

## 1.1 List of included studies

## Abaza 2017

Abaza H, Marschollek M. SMS education for the promotion of diabetes self-management in low & middle income countries: a pilot randomized controlled trial in Egypt. BMC public health. 2017;17(1):962.

Abaza H, Marschollek M, Schulze M. SMS Education for the Promotion of Diabetes Self-Management in Low & Middle Income Countries: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Egypt. Studies in Health Technology & Informatics. 2017;245:1209.

### Abdulrhman 2013

Abdulrhman MM, El-Hefnawy MH, Aly RH, Shatla RH, Mamdouh RM, Mahmoud DM, et al. Metabolic effects of honey in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover pilot study. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2013;16(1):66-72.

### Adibe 2013

Adibe MO, Ukwe CV, Aguwa CN. The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention on the Quality of Life of Nigerian Patients Receiving Treatment for Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):240-7.

Adibe MO, Aguwa CN, Ukwe CV. Cost-Utility Analysis of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention Versus Usual Care in Management of Nigerian Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):189-98.

## Adjei 2015

Adjei DN, Agyemang C, Dasah JB, Kuranchie P, Amoah AG. The effect of electronic reminders on risk management among diabetic patients in low resourced settings. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(6):818-21.

## Ali 2019

Ali S, Ghanem Y, Sharaki O, Hewedy W, al. e. The impact of different regimens of vitamin d3 on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. Asian journal of pharmaceutical and clinical research. 2019;12(12):21-6.

## Amendezo 2017

Amendezo E, Walker Timothy D, Karamuka V, Robinson B, Kavabushi P, Ntirenganya C, et al. Effects of a lifestyle education program on glycemic control among patients with diabetes at Kigali University Hospital, Rwanda: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2017;126:129-37.

### Anderson 2001

 Anderson RA, Roussel AM, Zouari N, Mahjoub S, Matheau JM, Kerkeni A. Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2001;20(3):212-8.

#### Anyanwu 2016

Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Odeniyi IA, Iwuala S, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Effect of Vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in Type 2 diabetes subjects in Lagos, Nigeria. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016;20(2):189-94.

#### Ashoush 2016

Ashoush S, El-Said M, Fathi H, Abdelnaby M. Identification of metformin poor responders, requiring supplemental insulin, during randomization of metformin versus insulin for the control of gestational diabetes mellitus. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(6):640-7.

#### Asuako 2017

Asuako B, Moses MO, Eghan BA, Sarpong PA. Fasting plasma glucose and lipid profiles of diabetic patients improve with aerobic exercise training. Ghana Medical Journal. 2017;51(3):120-7.

#### Beyuo 2015

Beyuo T, Obed SA, Adjepong-Yamoah KK, Bugyei KA, Oppong SA, Marfoh K. Metformin versus Insulin in the Management of Pre-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2015;10(5):e0125712.

#### Chraibi 2017

Chraibi A, Al-Herz S, Nguyen BD, Soeatmadji DW, Shinde A, Lakshmivenkataraman B, et al. An RCT Investigating Patient-Driven Versus Physician-Driven Titration of BIAsp 30 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled Using NPH Insulin. Diabetes Therapy Research, Treatment and Education of Diabetes and Related Disorders. 2017;8(4):767-80.

#### Debussche 2018

Debussche X, Besancon S, Balcou-Debussche M, Ferdynus C, Delisle H, Huiart L, et al. Structured peer-led diabetes self-management and support in a low-income country: The ST2EP randomised controlled trial in Mali. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(1):e0191262.

#### Distiller 2014

Distiller LA, Nortje H, Wellmann H, Amod A, Lombard L. A 24-week, prospective, randomized, open-label, treat-to-target pilot study of obese type 2 diabetes patients with severe insulin resistance to assess the addition of exenatide on the efficacy of U-500 regular insulin plus metformin. Endocrine practice. 2014;20(11):1143-50.

#### El Gayar 2019

El Gayar MH, Aboromia MMM, Ibrahim NA, Abdel Hafiz MH. Effects of ginger powder supplementation on glycemic status and lipid profile in newly diagnosed obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obesity medicine. 2019;14.

#### El-Haggar 2015

 El-Haggar SM, Farrag WF, Kotkata FA. Effect of ketotifen in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(3):427-32.

#### El-Makaky 2020

El-Makaky Y, Shalaby HK. The effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on glycemic control in diabetic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Oral diseases. 2020;26:822-9.

#### El-Shamy 2018

El-Shamy FF, El-Kholy SS, Labib M, Kabel AM. Ameliorative potential of acupressure on gestational diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of complementary and integrative medicine. 2018; 16(1).

#### El-Sheikh 2019

El-Sheikh HM, El-Haggar SM, Elbedewy TA. Comparative study to evaluate the effect of Lcarnitine plus glimepiride versus glimepiride alone on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes and metabolic syndrome: clinical research and reviews. 2019;13(1):167-73.

#### El-Sharkawy 2016

El-Sharkawy HM, Anees MM, Van Dyke TE. Propolis improves periodontal status and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of periodontology. 2016;87(12):1418-26.

#### Elbarbary 2016

Elbarbary NS. Effectiveness of the low-glucose suspend feature of insulin pump during fasting during Ramadan in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews. 2016;32(6):623-33.

#### Elbarbary 2018

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, El-Naggar AR, Hamouda MH, El-Hamamsy M. The effect of 12 weeks carnosine supplementation on renal functional integrity and oxidative stress in pediatric patients with diabetic nephropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Pediatric diabetes. 2018;19(3):470-7.

#### Elbarbary 2020

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Zaki MA, Darwish YW, Ibrahim MZ, El-Hamamsy M. Vitamin B complex supplementation as a homocysteine-lowering therapy for early stage diabetic nephropathy in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Clinical Nutrition. 2020;39(1):49-56.

#### Embaby 2016

 Embaby H, Elsayed E, Fawzy M. Insulin Sensitivity and Plasma Glucose Response to Aerobic Exercise in Pregnant Women at Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2016;26(5):409-14.

#### Essien 2017

Essien O, Otu A, Umoh V, Enang O, Hicks JP, Walley J. Intensive Patient Education Improves Glycaemic Control in Diabetes Compared to Conventional Education: A Randomised Controlled Trial in a Nigerian Tertiary Care Hospital. PLoS ONE 2017;12(1):e0168835.

#### Fairall 2016

Fairall LR, Folb N, Timmerman V, Lombard C, Steyn K, Bachmann MO, et al. Educational Outreach with an Integrated Clinical Tool for Nurse-Led Non-communicable Chronic Disease Management in Primary Care in South Africa: a Pragmatic Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. Plos medicine. 2016;13(11):e1002178.

#### Fayehun 2018

Fayehun AF, Olowookere OO, Ogunbode AM, Adetunji AA, Esan A. Walking prescription of 10 000 steps per day in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial in Nigerian general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 2018;68(667):e139-e45.

#### Ghoneim 2013

Ghoneim EM, Abd El Ghany AA. Behavior of intraocular pressure after intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide among egyptians. Ophthalmology and Therapy. 2013;2(2):121-30.

#### Hailu 2018

Hailu FB, Hjortdahl P, Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2018;6:302.

#### Ibrahim 2014

Ibrahim MI, Hamdy A, Shafik A, Taha S, Anwar M, Faris M. The role of adding metformin in insulin-resistant diabetic pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014;289(5):959-65.

#### Krawinkel 2018

Krawinkel MB, Ludwig C, Swai ME, Yang RY, Chun KP, Habicht SD. Bitter gourd reduces elevated fasting plasma glucose levels in an intervention study among prediabetics in Tanzania. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2018;216:1-7.

#### Labhardt 2011

Labhardt ND, Balo JR, Ndam M, Manga E, Stoll B. Improved retention rates with low-cost interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment of nurse-led care: a cluster-randomised trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2011;16(10):1276-84.

#### Maharaj 2016

Maharaj SS, Nuhu JM. Rebound exercise: A beneficial adjuvant for sedentary non-insulindependent type 2 diabetic individuals in a rural environment. Australian Journal of Rural Health. 2016;24(2):123-9.

#### Malek 2015

 Malek R, Ajili F, Assaad-Khalil SH, Shinde A, Chen JW, Van den Berg E. Similar glucose control with basal-bolus regimen of insulin detemir plus insulin aspart and thrice-daily biphasic insulin aspart 30 in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: Results of a 50-week randomized clinical trial of stepwise insulin intensification. Diabetes & Metabolism. 2015;41(3):223-30.

#### Marais 2018

Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

#### Malipa 2013

Malipa M, Menon J. The relationship between compliance and quality of life among adolescents with diabetes mellitus type1. Medical Journal of Zambia. 2013;40(3):93-103.

#### Mash 2014

Mash RJ, Rhode H, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S, Lombard C, Steyn K, et al. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in under-served communities in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(8):987-93.

Mash R, Kroukamp R, Gaziano T, Levitt N. Cost-effectiveness of a diabetes group education program delivered by health promoters with a guiding style in underserved communities in Cape Town, South Africa. Patient Education & Counseling. 2015;98(5):622-6.

#### Matter 2020

Matter RM, Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Darwish YW, Nada AS, Banoub VP. Zinc supplementation improves glucose homeostasis in patients with î<sup>2</sup>-thalassemia major complicated with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition 2020;73.

#### Mohamad 2009

Mohamad RH, Zekry ZK, Al-Mehdar HA, Salama O, El-Shaieb SE, El-Basmy AA, et al. Camel milk as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes: verification of a traditional ethnomedical practice. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2009;12(2):461-5.

#### Moustafa 2019

Moustafa HAM, El Wakeel LM, Halawa MR, Sabri NA, El-Bahy AZ, Singab AN. Effect of Nigella Sativa oil versus metformin on glycemic control and biochemical parameters of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Endocrine. 2019;65(2):286-94.

#### Muchiri 2016
Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Effect of a nutrition education programme on clinical status and dietary behaviours of adults with type 2 diabetes in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Public Health Nutrition. 2016;19(1):142-55. Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Impact of nutrition education on diabetes knowledge

and attitudes of adults with type 2 diabetes living in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Journal of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes of South Africa. 2016;21(2):26-34.

## Nteleki 2015

Nteleki B, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. Conventional podiatric intervention and phototherapy in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Seminars in Vascular Surgery. 2015;28(3-4):172-83.

# Owolabi 2019

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Efficacy, acceptability and feasibility of daily text-messaging in promoting glycaemic control and other clinical outcomes in a low-resource setting of South Africa: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2019;14(11):e0224791.

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Impact of mobile phone text messaging intervention on adherence among patients with diabetes in a rural setting: A randomized controlled trial. Medicine. 2020;99(12):1-8.

#### Ragheb 2020

Ragheb SR, El Wakeel LM, Nasr MS, Sabri NA. Impact of Rutin and Vitamin C combination on oxidative stress and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clinical nutrition ESPEN. 2020;35:128-35.

# Rashad 2017

Rashad H, Metwally FM, Ezzat SM, Salama MM, Hasheesh A, Abdel Motaal A. Randomized double-blinded pilot clinical study of the antidiabetic activity of Balanites aegyptiaca and UPLC-ESI-MS/MS identification of its metabolites. Pharmaceutical Biology. 2017;55(1):1954-61.

# RezkAllah 2019

RezkAllah SS, Takla MK. Effects of different dosages of interval training on glycemic control in people with prediabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes spectrum. 2019;32(2):125-31.

# Saeed 2013

Saeed AM. Combined vitrectomy and intravitreal injection versus combined laser and injection for treatment of intractable diffuse diabetic macular edema. Clinical Ophthalmology. 2013;7:283-97.

#### Salem 2010

Salem MA, Aboelasrar MA, Elbarbary NS, Elhilaly RA, Refaat YM. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2010;2(1):47.

#### Sodipo 2017

 Sodipo OO, Adedokun A, Olusola AA. Effect of self-monitoring of blood glucose on glycaemic outcome among type 2 diabetic patients. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):208-13.

#### Somanah 2012

Somanah J, Aruoma OI, Gunness TK, Kowelssur S, Dambala V, Murad F, et al. Effects of a short term supplementation of a fermented papaya preparation on biomarkers of diabetes mellitus in a randomized Mauritian population. Preventive Medicine. 2012;54 Suppl:S90-7.

#### Steyn 2013

Steyn K, Lombard C, Gwebushe N, Fourie JM, Everett-Murphy K, Zwarenstein M, et al. Implementation of national guidelines, incorporated within structured diabetes and hypertension records at primary level care in Cape Town, South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Glob Health Action. 2013;6:20796.

# Takenga 2014

Takenga C, Berndt RD, Musongya O, Kitero J, Katoke R, Molo K, et al. An ICT-Based Diabetes Management System Tested for Health Care Delivery in the African Context. International Journal of Telemedicine & Applications. 2014;2014:437307.

# Tawfik 2016

Tawfik MY, Mohamed RA. The impact of communicating cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetics on patient risk perception, diabetes self-care, glycosylated hemoglobin, and cardiovascular risk. Journal of public health. 2016;24(2):153-64.

# Thuita 2020

Thuita AW, Kiage BN, Onyango AN, Makokha AO. Effect of a nutrition education programme on the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at a level 5 Hospital in Kenya: "a randomized controlled trial". BMC Nutr. 2020;6:30.

# Tsobgny-Tsague 2018

Tsobgny-Tsague NF, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nana ARN, Tankeu AT, Katte JC, Dehayem MY, et al. Effects of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on glycated haemoglobin on type 2 diabetes patients (PARODIA 1 study): a randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan Africa population. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):28.

# Utz 2018

Utz B, Assarag B, Smekens T, Ennassiri H, Lekhal T, El Ansari N, et al. Detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through primary health care services in Morocco: An effectiveness-implementation trial. PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0209322.

# van der Hoogt 2017

van der Hoogt M, van Dyk JC, Dolman RC, Pieters M. Protein and fat meal content increase insulin requirement in children with type 1 diabetes - Role of duration of diabetes. Journal of clinical and translational endocrinology. 2017;10:15-21.

# van Rooijen 2004

van Rooijen AJ, Rheeder P, Eales CJ, Becker PJ. Effect of exercise versus relaxation on haemoglobin A1C in Black females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Qjm. 2004;97(6):343-51.

# Webb 2015

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Van Zyl DG. Diabetes care and complications in primary care in the Tshwane district of South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2015;9(2):147-54.

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Roux P. Screening in Primary Care for Diabetic Retinopathy, Maculopathy and Visual Loss in South Africa. Ophthalmologica. 2016;235(3):141-9.

Webb EM, Rheeder P. A cluster-randomized trial to estimate the effect of mobile screening and treatment feedback on HbA1c and diabetes-related complications in Tshwane primary health care clinics, South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2017;11(6):546-54.

# Yakoot 2019

Yakoot M, Abdelatif M, Helmy S. Efficacy of a new local limb salvage treatment for limbthreatening diabetic foot wounds-a randomized controlled study. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy. 2019;12:1659.

# Yan 2014

Yan H, Prista A, Ranadive SM, Damasceno A, Caupers P, Kanaley JA, et al. Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. Isrn Endocrinology Print. 2014;2014:864897.

# 1.2 List of excluded studies

# 1.2.1 Other design (28 references)

1. Abd El Hameed AA, Shreif HE, Mowafy HE. The role of continuing metformin therapy during pregnancy in the reduction of gestational diabetes and improving pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2011;16(3):204-8.

2. Abdelaziz TS, Sadek KM. Effect of reducing medication regimen complexity on glycaemic control in patients with diabetes. Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine. 2019;57(1):23-9.

3. Agboola-Abu CF, Ohwovoriole AE, Akinlade KS. The effect of oral hypoglycaemic agents on dyslipidaemia in Nigerian patients with newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus--a prospective study. West African Journal of Medicine. 2000;19(2):126-31.

4. Assah FK, Atanga EN, Enoru S, Sobngwi E, Mbanya JC. Community-based peer support significantly improves metabolic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Yaounde, Cameroon. Diabetic Medicine. 2015;32(7):886-9.

5. Bahramikia S, Yazdanparast R. Phytochemistry and medicinal properties of Teucrium polium L. (Lamiaceae). Phytotherapy Research. 2012;26(11):1581-93.

6. Bailey CJ, Iqbal N, T'Joen C, List JF. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naive patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(10):951-9.

7. Bekkouche L, Bouchenak M, Malaisse WJ, Yahia DA. The Mediterranean diet adoption improves metabolic, oxidative, and inflammatory abnormalities in Algerian metabolic syndrome patients. Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung / Hormones et metabolisme [Hormone and metabolic research]. 2014;46(4):274-82.

8. Bello SI, Ganiyu KA, Dakop YO, Erah PO. Pharmacist's intervention in the control of blood sugar levels in randomised diabetes patients at a primary health care setting in Benin City. Nigerian Quarterly Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2012;22(4):245-8.

9. Brown J, Martis R, Hughes B, Rowan J, Crowther CA. Oral anti-diabetic pharmacological therapies for the treatment of women with gestational diabetes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017;1:CD011967.

10. Chou HS, Truitt KE, Moberly JB, Merante D, Choi Y, Mun Y, et al. A 26-week, placebo- and pioglitazone-controlled monotherapy study of rivoglitazone in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(11):1000-9.

11. De Caterina R, Andersson U, Alexander JH, Al-Khatib SM, Bahit MC, Goto S, et al. History of bleeding and outcomes with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation trial. American Heart Journal. 2016;175:175-83.

**BMJ** Open

12. De Luca G, Michael Gibson C, Bellandi F, Murphy S, Maioli M, Noc M, et al. Benefits of pharmacological facilitation with glycoprotein IIb-IIIa inhibitors in diabetic patients undergoing primary angioplasty for STEMI. A subanalysis of the EGYPT cooperation. Journal of Thrombosis & Thrombolysis. 2009;28(3):288-98.

13. EI-Fattah AAA, Hamed MI, Sadek SE, Abu-Elhana AS. Insulin resistance in type II diabetes mellitus with liver cirrhosis. Global journal of pharmacology. 2013;7(2):109-17.

14. Fullerton B, Siebenhofer A, Jeitler K, Horvath K, Semlitsch T, Berghold A, et al. Shortacting insulin analogues versus regular human insulin for adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016(6):CD012161.

15. Gessler N, Labhard ND, Stolt P, Manga E, Balo JR, Boffolo A, et al. The lesson of Monsieur Nouma: effects of a culturally sensitive communication tool to improve health-seeking behavior in rural Cameroon. Patient Education & Counseling. 2012;87(3):343-50.

16. Ibrahim MA, Sarhan, II, Halawa MR, Afify EN, Hebah HA, Al-Gohary EA, et al. Study of the effect of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in type 2 diabetic prevalent hemodialysis patients. Hemodialysis international. 2015;19:S11-S9.

17. Jingi AM, Noubiap JJ, Essouma M, Bigna JJ, Nansseu JR, Ellong A, et al. Association of insulin treatment versus oral hypoglycaemic agents with diabetic retinopathy and its severity in type 2 diabetes patients in Cameroon, sub-Saharan Africa. Annals of Translational Medicine. 2016;4(20):395.

18. Kadiri A, Al-Nakhi A, El-Ghazali S, Jabbar A, Al Arouj M, Akram J, et al. Treatment of type 1 diabetes with insulin lispro during Ramadan. Diabetes & metabolism. 2001;27(4 Pt 1):482-6.

19. Kamau RK, Maina FW, Kigondu C, Mati JK. The effect of low-oestrogen combined pill, progestogen-only pill and medroxyprogesterone acetate on oral glucose tolerance test. East African Medical Journal. 1990;67(8):550-5.

20. Moghazy AM, Shams ME, Adly OA, Abbas AH, El-Badawy MA, Elsakka DM, et al. The clinical and cost effectiveness of bee honey dressing in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2010;89(3):276-81.

21. Notelovitz M. Sulphonylurea therapy in the treatment of the pregnant diabetic. South African Medical Journal Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir Geneeskunde. 1971;45(9):226-9.

22. Osman H, Khamis O, Elfeky M, El Amin Ali A, Abdelwahed M. Effect of short-term erythropoietin therapy on insulin resistance and serum levels of leptin and neuropeptide y in hemodialysis patients. Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;21(5):724-30.

23. Razak A, Isaacs AA. Implementation and evaluation of a weight-reduction programme for diabetic patients at a primary health care facility in the western cape: a pilot study. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):189-94.

**BMJ** Open

24. Schumm-Draeger PM, Burgess L, Koranyi L, Hruba V, Hamer-Maansson JE, de Bruin TW. Twice-daily dapagliflozin co-administered with metformin in type 2 diabetes: a 16-week randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):42-51.

25. Speechly DP, Buffenstein R. Appetite dysfunction in obese males: evidence for role of hyperinsulinaemia in passive overconsumption with a high fat diet. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2000;54(3):225-33.

26. Todowede OO, Sartorius B. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome, discrete or comorbid diabetes and hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa among people living with HIV versus HIV-negative populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open. 2017;7(7):e016602.

27. Van Olmen J, Van Pelt M, Malombo B, Ku GM, Kanda D, Heang H, et al. Process evaluation of a mobile health intervention for people with diabetes in low income countries - the implementation of the TEXT4DSM study. Journal of Telemedicine & Telecare. 2017;23(1):96-105.

28. Werfalli M, Raubenheimer P, Engel M, Peer N, Kalula S, Kengne AP, et al. Effectiveness of community-based peer-led diabetes self-management programmes (COMP-DSMP) for improving clinical outcomes and quality of life of adults with diabetes in primary care settings in low and middle-income countries (LMIC): a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2015;5(7):e007635.

# 1.2.2 Other population (32 references)

1. Ali Hassan H, El-Gezeiry D, Nafaa TM, Baghdady I. Improved responsiveness of PCOS patients to clomiphene after CYP17a inhibitor. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics. 2001;18(11):608-11.

Amador-Licona N, Guízar-Mendoza J, Vargas E, Sánchez-Camargo G, Zamora-Mata
 The short-term effect of a switch from glibenclamide to metformin on blood pressure and microalbuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Archives of medical research. 2000;31(6):571-5.

3. Ashoush S, Abou-Gamrah A, Bayoumy H, Othman N. Chromium picolinate reduces insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome: randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(3):279-85.

4. Ashtary-Larky D, Ghanavati M, Lamuchi-Deli N, Payami SA, Alavi-Rad S, Boustaninejad M, et al. Rapid Weight Loss vs. slow weight loss: which is more effective on body composition and metabolic risk factors? International journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;15(3) (no pagination).

**BMJ** Open

5. 6. Bashandy GMN, Boules NS, Taha FM. Effects of a single preoperative dose of N(2)-L-alanyl-L-glutamine on insulin resistance and plasma glutathione levels in the early postoperative period. Egyptian journal of anaesthesia. 2013;29(4):319-24.

6. Bays HE, Evans JL, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2013;67(1):2-7.

7. Belinova L, Kahleova H, Malinska H, Topolcan O, Windrichova J, Oliyarnyk O, et al. The effect of meal frequency in a reduced-energy regimen on the gastrointestinal and appetite hormones in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised crossover study. Plos one. 2017;12(4):e0174820.

8. Campbell-Tofte JI, Mølgaard P, Josefsen K, Abdallah Z, Hansen SH, Cornett C, et al. Randomized and double-blinded pilot clinical study of the safety and anti-diabetic efficacy of the Rauvolfia-Citrus tea, as used in Nigerian traditional medicine. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2011;133(2):402-11.10.

9. El-Haggar SM, Mostafa TM. Comparative clinical study between the effect of fenofibrate alone and its combination with pentoxifylline on biochemical parameters and liver stiffness in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology international. 2015;9(3):471-9.

10. Gupta V, Keshari BB, Tiwari SK, Murthy K. A comparative study of Shilajatu and Asanadi Ghana Vati in the management of Madhumeha w.s.r. to type-2 diabetes mellitus. Ayu. 2016;37(2):120-4.

11. Hashim HA, Lakany NE, Sherief L. Combined metformin and clomiphene citrate versus laparoscopic ovarian diathermy for ovulation induction in clomiphene-resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2011;37(3):169-77.

12. Home PD, Bolli GB, Mathieu C, Deerochanawong C, Landgraf W, Candelas C, et al. Modulation of insulin dose titration using a hypoglycaemia-sensitive algorithm: insulin glargine versus neutral protamine Hagedorn insulin in insulin-naive people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):15-22.

13. Ismail NA, Ragab S, Abd El Baky ANE, Hamed M, Ibrahim ASA. Effect of oral curcumin administration on insulin resistance, serum resistin and fetuin-A in obese children: randomized placebo-controlled study. Research journal of pharmaceutical, biological and chemical sciences. 2014;5(1):887-96.

14. Kumari J, Mehta CS, Shukla VD, Dave AR, Shingala TM. A comparative clinical study of Nyagrodhadi Ghanavati and Virechana Karma in the management of Madhumeha. Ayu. 2010;31(3):300-4.

15. Malin SK, Hinnerichs KR, Echtenkamp BG, Evetovich TK, Engebretsen BJ. Effect of adiposity on insulin action after acute and chronic resistance exercise in non-diabetic women. European journal of applied physiology. 2013;113(12):2933-41.

16. Malin SK, Kullman EL, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Pagadala MR, et al. A wholegrain diet reduces peripheral insulin resistance and improves glucose kinetics in obese adults: a randomized-controlled trial. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 2018;82:111-7.

17. Malin SK, Niemi N, Solomon TP, Haus JM, Kelly KR, Filion J, et al. Exercise training with weight loss and either a high- or low-glycemic index diet reduces metabolic syndrome severity in older adults. Annals of nutrition & metabolism. 2012;61(2):135-41.

18. Manaf A, Tjandrawinata RR, Malinda D. Insulin sensitizer in prediabetes: a clinical study with DLBS3233, a combined bioactive fraction of Cinnamomum burmanii and Lagerstroemia speciosa. Drug design, development and therapy. 2016;10:1279-89.

19. Mendez-Del Villar M, Gonzalez-Ortiz M, Martinez-Abundis E, Perez-Rubio KG, Cortez-Navarrete M. Effect of Irvingia gabonensis on Metabolic Syndrome, Insulin Sensitivity, and Insulin Secretion. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2018;21(6):568-74.

20. Mogharnasi M, TaheriChadorneshin H, Papoli-Baravati SA, Teymuri A. Effects of upper-body resistance exercise training on serum nesfatin-1 level, insulin resistance, and body composition in obese paraplegic men. Disability and health journal. 2018;(no pagination).

21. Nadkarni MA, Vyas SN, Baghel MS, Ravishankar B. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of Mustadi Ghanavati in hyperlipidemia. Ayu. 2010;31(3):287-93.

22. Ngo-Matip ME, Pieme CA, Azabji-Kenfack M, Biapa PC, Germaine N, Heike E, et al. Effects of Spirulina platensis supplementation on lipid profile in HIV-infected antiretroviral naïve patients in Yaounde-Cameroon: a randomized trial study. Lipids in health and disease. 2014;13:191.

23. Ngondi JL, Etoundi BC, Nyangono CB, Mbofung CM, Oben JE. IGOB131, a novel seed extract of the West African plant Irvingia gabonensis, significantly reduces body weight and improves metabolic parameters in overweight humans in a randomized double-blind placebo controlled investigation. Lipids in health and disease. 2009;8:7.

24. Philis-Tsimikas A, Del Prato S, Satman I, Bhargava A, Dharmalingam M, Skjoth TV, et al. Effect of insulin degludec versus sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled on oral antidiabetic agents. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2013;15(8):760-6.

25. Pieber TR, Treichel HC, Hompesch B, Philotheou A, Mordhorst L, Gall MA, et al. Comparison of insulin detemir and insulin glargine in subjects with Type 1 diabetes using intensive insulin therapy. Diabetic Medicine. 2007;24(6):635-42.

#### **BMJ** Open

26. Rizk AY, Bedaiwy MA, Al-Inany HG. N-acetyl-cysteine is a novel adjuvant to clomiphene citrate in clomiphene citrate-resistant patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertility and sterility. 2005;83(2):367-70.

27. Rost NS, Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Murphy SA, Crompton AE, Norden AD, et al. Outcomes With Edoxaban Versus Warfarin in Patients With Previous Cerebrovascular Events: Findings From ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 (Effective Anticoagulation With Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 48). Stroke. 2016;47(8):2075-82.

28. Shabana W, Teleb M, Dawod T, Abu Taha H, Abdulla A, Shahin A, et al. Outcome of alpha-blockers, with or without methylprednisolone combination, in medical expulsive therapy for lower ureteric stones: a prospective randomised study. Arab journal of urology. 2016;14(1):7-11.

29. Strojek K, Yoon KH, Hruba V, Sugg J, Langkilde AM, Parikh S. Dapagliflozin Added to Glimepiride in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Sustains Glycemic Control and Weight Loss Over 48 Weeks: a Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel-Group, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Diabetes therapy. 2014;5(1):267-83.

30. Timmers S, De Ligt M, Phielix E, Van De Weijer T, Hansen J, Moonen-Kornips E, et al. Resveratrol as add-on therapy in subjects with well-controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes care. 2016;39(12):2211-7.

31. Van Olmen J, Kegels G, Korachais C, de Man J, Van Acker K, Kalobu JC, et al. The effect of text message support on diabetes self-management in developing countries - A randomised trial. Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology. 2017;7:33-41.

32. Vázquez C, Botella-Carretero JI, Corella D, Fiol M, Lage M, Lurbe E, et al. White fish reduces cardiovascular risk factors in patients with metabolic syndrome: the WISH-CARE study, a multicenter randomized clinical trial. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD. 2014;24(3):328-35.

# **1.2.3 Other intervention (8 references)**

1. Babiker R, Elmusharaf K, Keogh MB, Saeed AM. Effect of Gum Arabic (Acacia Senegal) supplementation on visceral adiposity index (VAI) and blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus as indicators of cardiovascular disease (CVD): a randomized and placebo-controlled clinical trial. Lipids in Health & Disease. 2018;17(1):56.

2. Dirajlal-Fargo S, Musiime V, Cook A, Mirembe G, Kenny J, Jiang Y, et al. Insulin Resistance and Markers of Inflammation in HIV-infected Ugandan Children in the CHAPAS-3 Trial. Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2017;36(8):761-7.

3. Djoumessi RN, Noubiap JJ, Kaze FF, Essouma M, Menanga AP, Kengne AP, et al. Effect of low-dose spironolactone on resistant hypertension in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a

randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan African population. BMC Research Notes. 2016;9:187.

4. Elnashar A, Fahmy M, Mansour A, Ibrahim K. N-acetyl cysteine vs. metformin in treatment of clomiphene citrate-resistant polycystic ovary syndrome: a prospective randomized controlled study. Fertility and sterility. 2007;88(2):406-9.

5. Elsedeek M-EA, Elgindy E. Comparison between two clomiphene citrate protocols for induction of ovulation in clomiphene resistant polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2014;19(4):243-7.

6. Gopalan A, Paramanund J, Shaw PA, Patel D, Friedman J, Brophy C, et al. Randomised controlled trial of alternative messages to increase enrolment in a healthy food programme among individuals with diabetes. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e012009.

7. Onyechi KC, Eseadi C, Okere AU, Onuigbo LN, Umoke PC, Anyaegbunam NJ, et al. Effects of cognitive behavioral coaching on depressive symptoms in a sample of type 2 diabetic inpatients in Nigeria. Medicine. 2016;95(31):e4444.

8. Shehata M, Hamza M. Impact of high loading dose of atorvastatin in diabetic patients with renal dysfunction undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. Cardiovascular therapeutics. 2015;33(2):35-41.

# 1.2.4 Other comparison (1 reference)

 1. Ahmed ME, Mohammed MS, Mahadi SI. Primary wound closure of diabetic foot ulcers by debridement and stitching. Journal of Wound Care. 2016;25(11):650-4.

#### 1.2.5 Other outcome (7 references)

1. Belkhadir J, el Ghomari H, Klocker N, Mikou A, Nasciri M, Sabri M. Muslims with noninsulin dependent diabetes fasting during Ramadan: treatment with glibenclamide. BMJ. 1993;307(6899):292-5.

2. El-Tamalawy MM, Ibrahim OM, Hassan TM, El-Barbari AA. Effect of Combination Therapy of Ezetimibe and Atorvastatin on Remnant Lipoprotein Versus Double Atorvastatin Dose in Egyptian Diabetic Patients. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2018;58(1):34-41.

3. Hassanien M, Elawamy A, Kamel EZ, Khalifa WA, Abolfadl GM, Roushdy ASI, et al. Perineural Platelet-Rich Plasma for Diabetic Neuropathic Pain, Could It Make a Difference? Pain Medicine. 2020;21(4):757-65.

4. Lakhdar N, Denguezli M, Zaouali M, Zbidi A, Tabka Z, Bouassida A. Diet and diet combined with chronic aerobic exercise decreases body fat mass and alters plasma and adipose tissue inflammatory markers in obese women. Inflammation. 2013;36(6):1239-47.

5. Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

Page 153 of 155

6. Saied GM, Kamel RM, Labib AM, Said MT, Mohamed AZ. The diabetic foot and leg: combined He-Ne and infrared low-intensity lasers improve skin blood perfusion and prevent potential complications. A prospective study on 30 Egyptian patients. Lasers in Medical Science. 2011;26(5):627-32.

7. Tawfik MY. The Impact of Health Education Intervention for Prevention and Early Detection of Type 2 Diabetes in Women with Gestational Diabetes. Journal of Community Health. 2017;42(3):500-10.

1.2.6

# 1.2.7 Other publications (41 references)

1. Agbozo F, Abubakari A, Narh C, Jahn A. Are we missing pregnant women with gestational diabetes? Evidence from a diagnostic accuracy study comparing glycosuria, glycated haemoglobin, random and fasting glucose to oral glucose tolerance test. Tropical medicine and international health Conference: 10th european congress on tropical medicine and international health Belgium. 2017;22(Supplement 1):351-2.

Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Vitamin D supplementation improves glycaemia in Vitamin D deficient nigerians with diabetes mellitus.
 Endocrine reviews Conference: 96th annual meeting and expo of the endocrine society,
 ENDO 2014 Chicago, IL united states Conference start: 20140621 Conference end: 20140624 Conference publication: (varpagings). 2014;35(no pagination).

3. Aronson R, Cohen O, Conget I, Runzis S, Castaneda J, de Portu S, et al. OpT2mise: a randomized controlled trial to compare insulin pump therapy with multiple daily injections in the treatment of type 2 diabetes-research design and methods. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics. 2014;16(7):414-20.

4. Azar ST, Echtay A, Wan Bebakar WM, Alaraj S, Berrah A, Omar M, et al. Efficacy and safety of liraglutide versus sulfonylurea both in combination with metformin during ramadan in subjects with type 2 diabetes (lira-ramadan): a randomized trial. Journal of endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa Conference: 51st congress of the society for endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa, SEMDSA 2016 South africa. 2016;21(1):14.

5. Balde N, Camara A, Sobngwi-Tambekou J, Balti EV, Tchatchoua A, Fezeu L, et al. Improving access to HbA1c in sub-Saharan Africa (IA3) cohort: cohort profile. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2017;27.

6. Chi CT. A Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, positive controlled clinical study to assess the efficacy and safety of Acetyl L-Carnitine in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Chictr. 2008.

7. Elnashar A, El Maghraby H, Nafee T, Guiziry D, Fourtia I. Randomized controlled trial of the effects of metformin versus combined oral contraceptives in adolescent PCOS women through a 24 months follow up period. Human reproduction. 2015;30:i5.

 8. Evans JL, Bays H, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. Circulation. 2012;125(10 SUPPL. 1).

Goedecke JH, Mendham AE, Clamp L, Nono Nankam PA, Fortuin-de Smidt MC, Phiri
 L, et al. An Exercise Intervention to Unravel the Mechanisms Underlying Insulin Resistance
 in a Cohort of Black South African Women: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial and
 Baseline Characteristics of Participants. JMIR Research Protocols. 2018;7(4):e75.

10. Lanasri N, Nibouche NW, Atif L, Makhlouf L, Zeraoulia F, Hansal F, et al. Comparison of two therapeutic education methods in diabetic patients: a randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia Conference: 54th annual meeting of the european association for the study diabetes, EASD 2018 Germany. 2018;61(Supplement 1):S433.

11. Malin SK, Louis-Kullman E, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Godin JP, et al. Whole grain diet improves glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, and beta-cell function in overweight prediabetic adults. Diabetes. 2014;63:A78.

12. Malin SK, Samat A, Wolski K, Abood B, Pothier C, Bhatt DL, et al. Gastric bypass surgery enhances ghrelin suppression and improves beta-cell function and central obesity at 24 months in moderately obese adults with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2013;62:A729.

13. Mash B, Levitt N, Steyn K, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in underserved communities in South Africa: pragmatic cluster randomized control trial. BMC Family Practice. 2012;13:126.

14. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ng'ang'a M, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Gichuhi S, et al. Feasibility of a cluster randomized controlled trial on the effectiveness of peer-led health education interventions to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy in Kirinyaga, Kenya: a pilot trial. Pilot feasibility stud. 2020;6:102.

15. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Kim M, Ng'ang'a M, et al. Peer-support to increase uptake of screening for diabetic retinopathy: process evaluation of the DURE cluster randomized trial. Tropical Medicine & Health. 2020;48:1.

16. Mwangi N, Ng'ang'a M, Gakuo E, Gichuhi S, Macleod D, Moorman C, et al. Effectiveness of peer support to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy: study protocol for the DURE pragmatic cluster randomized clinical trial in Kirinyaga, Kenya. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):871.

17. Nassar WF, El-Ansary M, Shehab T, Abdelhameed M, Saad A, Esa W, et al. Effect of cell-free mesenchymal stem cells microvesicles (MVS) and exosomes therapy on beta-cell mass in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). Diabetes. 2015;64:A282.

**BMJ** Open

18. Nct. The Efficacy of Specialist Collaboration and Mobile Screening for Improving the Management of Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01275040. 2010.

19. Nct. Trial on an Educative Structured Intervention by Peer Educators to Improve HbA1c of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes in the Sikasso Region in Mali. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01153048. 2010.

20. Nct. Propolis Improves Glycemic Control in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Periodontitis. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct02794506. 2016.

21. Nct. Community- and mHealth-Based Integrated Management of Diabetes in Primary Healthcare in Rwanda. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03376607. 2017.

22. Nct. Nutrition Education Intervention for Adults With Type 2 Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03334773. 2017.

23. Nct. Helium-Neon Laser Therapy Versus Infrared Laser Therapy in Treating Patients With Diabetic Foot Ulcer. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03338517. 2017.

24. Nct. Diabetes Self-Management Education (DSME) and Its Effect on Clinical, Psychosocial, and Behavioral Outcomes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03185689. 2017.

25. Noakes TD. The Women's health initiative randomized controlled dietary modification trial: an inconvenient finding and the diet-heart hypothesis. South african medical journal. 2013;103(11):824-5.

26. Orchard TJ, Sibomana L, Miller R. Evaluation of differing type 1 diabetes treatment regimens in youth in Rwanda. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:25-6.

27. Pengpid S, Peltzer K, Skaal L. Efficacy of a church-based lifestyle intervention programme to control high normal blood pressure and/or high normal blood glucose in church members: a randomized controlled trial in Pretoria, South Africa. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:568.

28. Rockers PC, Wirtz VJ, Vian T, Onyango MA, Ashigbie PG, Laing R. Study protocol for a cluster-randomised controlled trial of an NCD access to medicines initiative: evaluation of Novartis Access in Kenya. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e013386.

29. Ross SM. African mango (IGOB131): a proprietary seed extract of Irvingia gabonensis is found to be effective in reducing body weight and improving metabolic parameters in overweight humans. Holistic nursing practice. 2011;25(4):215-7.

30. Salman SA, Farghaly TA, Attallah DA, Abdel-Hafeez HA, Shaaban OM. Insulin sensitizing agent (metformin) improves clinical pregnancy rate in clomiphene citrate resistant polycystic ovarian syndrome patients with acanthosis nigricans. Fertility and sterility. 2014;102(3 SUPPL. 1):e139.

31. Samir Elbarbary N, Abdel Rahman Ismail E, El-Naggar AR, Hany Hamouda M, El-Hamamsy M. Role of carnosine as an adjuvant therapy for diabetic nephropathy in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: relation to oxidative stress, renal functional integrity **BMJ** Open

and glycemic control. Pediatric diabetes Conference: 43rd annual meeting of the international society for pediatric and adolescent diabetes , ISPAD 2017 Austria. 2017;18(Supplement 25):115.

32. Sherif EM, El Tonbary KY, Abd Aziz MM. Comparative study between the use of insulin glargine and intermediate acting insulin (NPH) in type 1 diabetic children less than eight years old. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:46.

33. Sibomana L, Rwabufigiri B, Kaberuka V, Gishoma C, Rubanzana W, Miller RG, et al. Type 1 diabetes-related quality of life in Rwanda. Diabetes. 2015;64:A368.

34. Utz B, Assarag B, Essolbi A, Barkat A, El Ansari N, Fakhir B, et al. Improving detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through the primary level of care in Morocco: protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. Reproductive Health. 2017;14(1):75.

35. van Olmen J, Ku GM, van Pelt M, Kalobu JC, Hen H, Darras C, et al. The effectiveness of text messages support for diabetes self-management: protocol of the TEXT4DSM study in the democratic Republic of Congo, Cambodia and the Philippines. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:423.

36. Vedanthan R, Kamano JH, Lee H, Andama B, Bloomfield GS, DeLong AK, et al. Bridging Income Generation with Group Integrated Care for cardiovascular risk reduction: rationale and design of the BIGPIC study. American heart journal. 2017;188:175-85.

37. Veleba J, Janovska P, Kuda O, Horakova O, Malinska H, Kazdova L, et al. Combined intervention with pioglitazone and N-3 fatty acids in metformin-treated diabetic patients. Obesity facts. 2015;8:213.

38. Viviers C, Van Rooijen AJ. Daily physical activity and diet intervention for individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised controlled trial. South african journal of clinical nutrition. 2010;23(3 SUPPL. 2):S35.

39. Wargny M, Kleinebreil L, Diop SN, Ndour-Mbaye M, Ba Diop M, Balkau B, et al. SMSbased intervention in type 2 diabetes: clinical trial in Senegal. BMJ innovations. 2018.

40. Zeghari L, Aboussaleh Y. Comparison of two approaches of nutritional education in the management of diabetes. Annals of nutrition and metabolism Conference: 21st international congress of nutrition, ICN 2017 Argentina. 2017;71(Supplement 2):903-4.

41. Zennaki A, Niar S, Naceur M, Aichaoui H, Ouzzaa K, Aoui A, et al. Effect of paramedical treatment codified on balance, quality of life and knowledge of teenagers suffering from T1DM persisting imbalance. Pediatric diabetes (varpagings). 2015;16:89.

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

# Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetes in African countries - a systematic review

| Journal:                             | BMJ Open   |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Manuscript ID                        | bmjopen-2021-050021.R1   |  |  |
| Article Type:                        | Original research  |  |  |
| Date Submitted by the Author:        | 24-Jan-2022  |  |  |
| Complete List of Authors:            | Sandholzer-Yilmaz, Angelika; Martin Luther University of Halle<br>Wittenberg Faculty of Medicine, Institute of General Practice and Family<br>Medicine; University of Göttingen, Department of Haematology and<br>Oncology,<br>Kroeber, Eric; Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg Medizinische<br>Fakultät, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine<br>Ayele, Wondimu; Addis Ababa University, Department of Preventive<br>Medicine<br>Frese, T; University of Halle-Wittenberg,, Institute of General Pracitce &<br>Family Medicine of the Medical Faculty<br>Kantelhardt, Eva; Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenber, Institute for<br>Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics<br>Unverzagt, Susanne; Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine,<br>Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg; Department of General<br>Practice, University Leipzig |  |  |
| <b>Primary Subject<br/>Heading</b> : | Diabetes and endocrinology   |  |  |
| Secondary Subject Heading:           | Diagnostics, Medical education and training, Medical management,<br>Nutrition and metabolism, Global health  |  |  |
| Keywords:                            | Diabetes in pregnancy < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, Diabetes & endocrinology < INTERNAL MEDICINE, General diabetes < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY  |  |  |
|                                      | ·  |  |  |

# SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez on

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetes

#### in African countries - a systematic review

Sandholzer-Yilmaz AS, Kroeber ES, Ayele W, Frese T, Kantelhardt EJ, Unverzagt S

Mrs. Angelika Sabine Sandholzer-Yilmaz: Center of Health Sciences, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: angelika.sandholzer@med.uni-goettingen.de

Dr. Eric Sven Kroeber: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. (Shared first author) Email: eric.kroeber@posteo.de

Dr. Wondimu Ayele: School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University, P.O. Box: 9086, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Email: wondaay@gmail.com

Prof. Dr. Thomas Frese: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: thomas.frese@uk-halle.de

Dr. Eva Johanna Kantelhardt: Center of Health Sciences, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: eva.kantelhardt@uk-halle.de

Prof. Dr. Susanne Unverzagt: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: susanne.unverzagt@uk-halle.de

Total word count: 5915

#### Corresponding author:

Mrs. Angelika Sabine Sandholzer-Yilmaz: University Medical Center Göttingen, Department of Haematology and Oncology, George-August-Univerity Göttingen, Robert-Koch-Straße 40, 37075 Göttingen, Germany. Email: angelika.sandholzer@med.uni-goettingen.de

# ABSTRACT

**Objectives** The epidemiological transition from infectious to chronic diseases leads to novel challenges in African health systems. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing dramatically. Undiagnosed and undertreated DM leads to numerous complications including end-organ damage and death. Our objectives were to collect the best locally generated evidence on DM interventions, identify knowledge gaps, and determine underexplored research areas.

Design A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

**Participants and setting** African patients in primary, secondary and tertiary prevention, diagnosis and treatment DM type 1 (DM1), type 2 (DM2) and gestational DM (GDM).

**Outcome** All-cause mortality, glycemic control, complications, quality of life, hospital admission, treatment adherence and costs.

**Data sources** Articles published in MEDLINE Ovid, CENTRAL, CINAHL, African Journals Online and African Index Medicus and the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform in English language without time restrictions. The systematic search was last updated in October 2020.

**Results** Out of 3736 identified publications, we included 60 eligible studies conducted in 15 countries, 75 % were conducted in urban health care settings, including 10,112 participants. We included eight studies on DM1, six on GDM, two on pre-DM, 37 on mainly DM2 including seven on DM related complications. The design of the studied intervention was heterogeneous with a focus on educational strategies. The other studies investigated the efficacy of nutritional strategies including food supplementations, pharmacological strategies and strategies to enhance physical activity. Seven studies included interventions on DM-related complications.

**Conclusions**: Research activities increased in recent years, but available evidence is still not representative for all African countries. There is a big lack of evidence in primary health care and rural settings, implementation research, pharmacological interventions, especially in poorer countries. Nevertheless, the identified studies offer a variety of effective interventions that can inform medical care and future research.

# PROSPERO registration number: CRD42019122785.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, Africa, systematic review, randomized-controlled trial

# Strengths and limitations of this systematic review

- This systematic review includes studies at the highest level of evidence to provide an overview of the best available interventions to prevent, diagnose and treat DM in the African context.
- Inclusion criteria are restricted to randomized controlled trials conducted in African countries published in English language with no restrictions on time of publication.
- We performed a systematic search in four international databases and updated the search in October 2020.
- The main aim of our systematic review is to provide an overview of interventions for DM. Meta-analyses are restricted to regularly reported results on HbA1c as strong clinical outcome indicator of an efficient DM management.
- Limited external validity due to the origin from few countries and urban areas, results concentrate on glycemic control due to short follow-up periods.



#### INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) and other non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are responsible for a double burden in African countries due to the epidemiological transition from communicable to non-communicable diseases and resulting disabilities and deaths (1-3). In Africa, around 19.4 million adults are living with DM. Prevalence rates range from 4.7 % in Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) to 12.2 % in the Middle East and North Africa region (4). Due to the increasing prevalence of risk factors such as obesity and westernized lifestyle, the prevalence of DM is expected to increase by 96 % in SSA until 2045 (4). Currently, about 50 to 60 % of adults living with DM in African countries are undiagnosed (4, 5). Low awareness as well as genetic differences and lifestyle habits result in very heterogeneous prevalence rates of DM between different countries in Africa as well as rural and urban regions (6, 7). Undiagnosed and undertreated DM can result in organ damage, and lead to complications like cardiovascular diseases, peripheral neuropathy, retinopathy and diabetic foot (7, 8). Moreover, these factors attribute to substantial financial costs for households and governments (9). Recently, almost one fifth of COVID-19 deaths in African countries occurred among DM patients (10).

The United Nations 2030 Agenda aims to reduce the burden of premature mortality from NCD including DM through improvement in prevention and treatment (11). Proven and effective actions to prevent or delay the onset of DM base on the empowerment of the population, patients and health care providers (12). Measures on DM include early detection in primary health-care settings, lifestyle modifications including diet, physical activity and, if necessary, medication. Primary prevention programs include lifestyle measures to reduce consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, mandatory detailed labels on food packaging as well as education and awareness campaigns to increase physical activity are crucial since onset of DM can be detained (13). Moreover, health systems must ensure technical and financial resources as well as training of healthcare staff to recognize the symptoms of DM, to perform and interpret diagnostic tests and provide adequate treatment and care (4). Since DM patients need regular specialist assessment, a functioning referral system is necessary (14). Concerning pharmacotherapy, prioritization of metformin, gliclazide and human insulin is recommended (15). Glucometers, needles and test strips should be provided for people with DM (4).

Only a fraction of patients in African countries have access to the same treatment as recommended in high-income countries (16, 17). At the moment, most guideline recommendations in LMIC are based on studies conducted in high-income Western countries (18). These general management strategies have to be adjusted to local

contexts in African countries including environmental, cultural and social aspects like the relatively young age of patients, co-infections, long distances to health-care facilities, traditional beliefs, decision making in the families and socioeconomic status. Furthermore, there is a huge genetic diversity on the African continent (19, 20).

The purpose of this review was to collect the best locally generated evidence, regarding preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic intervention on DM, as the lack of evidence is one of the major challenges to prevent and control DM in African countries. Therefore, we aimed to address existing knowledge gaps and identify unexplored research areas in the African context. This may support the formulation of local evidence-based strategies to systematically strengthen clinical and preventive capacities of healthcare systems in African countries.

#### METHODS

We prospectively registered a protocol of this systematic review in the PROSPERO International Prospective Register of systematic reviews (CRD42019122785). This systematic review follows the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (21) and the methods described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (22).

#### Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

This systematic review includes studies conducted in African countries on the efficacy of interventions for prevention, diagnosis and treatment of patients with DM including prediabetes, type 1 (DM1), type 2 (DM2) and gestational DM (GDM). Primary outcome was defined to be all-cause mortality. Secondary outcomes included glycemic control (HbA1c, fasting serum or plasma glucose, insulin resistance, oral glucose tolerance test), quality of life, treatment adherence, hospital admissions, complications of DM and resulting costs (see Table 1 for detailed inclusion criteria).

We included full-text publications on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (e.g. individual RCTs, cluster-RCTs and randomized cross-over trials) according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) (23) published in English language. We excluded international multicenter studies with less than 50 % of sites in African countries to ensure that the study location was in Africa.

| Design and setting | RCTs, mainly conducted in African countries (at least 50 % African countries in international   |  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
|                    | studies)  |  |  |
| Population         | <ul> <li>African patients in primary, secondary or tertiary prevention with a clinical diagnosis of</li> <li>Prediabetes</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus type 1 (DM1, due to autoimmune β-cell destruction)</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2, due to a progressive loss of adequate β-cell insulin secretion)</li> </ul> |  |  |

|               | was not clearly overt diabetes prior to gestation)<br>As described by the authors  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Interventions | All interventions to of prevent, diagnose and treat diabetes   |  |  |  |  |
| Comparison    | Placebo or standard care<br>Another intervention or the same intervention with a different dose or timing  |  |  |  |  |
| Outcome       | <ul> <li><u>Primary:</u> all-cause mortality</li> <li><u>Secondary:</u> <ul> <li>glucose control (HbA1c, oral glucose tolerance test, insulin resistance, fasting serum or blood glucose)</li> <li>complications             <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul> |  |  |  |  |
| Publications  | Full-text publications according to CONSORT  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

# Systematic search

We performed a systematic search in electronic bibliographic databases (MEDLINE Ovid, CENTRAL, International Clinical Trials Registry Platform of the WHO) as planned in the protocol and added a search in CINAHL andc regional electronic databases (African Journals Online and African Index Medicus) (see Online Supplemental File 1 material). All searches were performed without time constrictions. The last search was conducted in October 2020. Search strings were based on Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and terms on DM, Africa, a list of all 54 African countries and terms related to RCTs. All references retrieved from the literature search were exported into a reference manager software (EndNote) (24). Duplicate references were identified in case of congruence of authors, title, year and journal and thusly deleted. The search strategy is available in the supplementary file.

# Study selection and data extraction.

Two authors independently checked titles and abstracts based on the inclusion criteria (Table 1). The full texts of all potentially eligible papers were assessed for final inclusion. All disagreements were resolved by discussion until consensus was obtained (21). All reported information on the following were extracted and checked by another author:

- publications, registration and design,
- time and place (country, urban/ rural setting and health care setting)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

- study population (inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size and baseline characteristics on age, gender, type and length of DM, body mass index (BMI) and gylcemic control at baseline)
- intervention and control groups with the number of randomized participants per group and duration of the interventions
- outcomes (classified into primary, secondary, non-specified) and
- results on pre-planned outcomes within the longest follow up period with intervention effects with their 95 % confidence intervals (CI) and level of significance.

The study names were defined by the surname of the first author and the year of the first full-text publication of the results. We compared study and patient characteristics across studies to ensure that each included study represents a unique publication of study data. In cross-over RCTs, only data from the first period were used (25).

#### Quality assessment and risk of bias

 Risk of bias was judged based on seven specific categories (sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants/personnel, blinding of outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting and other sources of bias) of the Cochrane risk of bias tool as 'low', 'high' or 'unclear' (22). Judgments were done by two of the authors and all discrepancies were resolved by discussion.

Judgments on blinding and incomplete outcome data were based on the primary outcome of included studies. Selective outcome reporting was defined as low when the study protocol with pre-defined primary and secondary outcomes was available and high when any result of pre-planned outcomes was missing. Incomplete outcome data was judged as high when more than 10% of randomized participants dropped out from analyses. Other sources of bias were judged as high risk of bias including missing reporting of sample size calculation, no description of a primary endpoint, and relevant differences of main baseline characteristics between intervention and control groups (22).

# Data synthesis

The results of all pre-defined outcomes were described. Effect sizes on HbA1c for the longest follow-up period were visualized in forest plots using RevMan (26). Negative mean differences (MDs) describe lower HbA1c in the intervention compared to the control group. Statistically significant results on HbA1c with MDs over 0.25 % for HbA1c were considered clinically relevant. Heterogeneity was interpreted based on the

| 1        |  |  |
|----------|--|--|
| 2        |  |  |
| 3        |  |  |
| 4        |  |  |
| 5        |  |  |
| 6        |  |  |
| 7        |  |  |
| 8        |  |  |
| 9        |  |  |
| 10       |  |  |
| 10       |  |  |
| 11       |  |  |
| 12       |  |  |
| 13       |  |  |
| 14       |  |  |
| 15       |  |  |
| 16       |  |  |
| 17       |  |  |
| 18       |  |  |
| 19       |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |
| 21       |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |
| 23       |  |  |
| 24       |  |  |
| 25       |  |  |
| 26       |  |  |
| 27       |  |  |
| 28       |  |  |
| 29       |  |  |
| 30       |  |  |
| 31       |  |  |
| 32       |  |  |
| 33       |  |  |
| 34       |  |  |
| 35       |  |  |
| 36       |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |
| 3/       |  |  |
| 38       |  |  |
| 39       |  |  |
| 40       |  |  |
| 41       |  |  |
| 42       |  |  |
| 43       |  |  |
| 44       |  |  |
| 45       |  |  |
| 46       |  |  |
| 47       |  |  |
| 48       |  |  |
| 10       |  |  |
| +9<br>E0 |  |  |
| 50       |  |  |
| 51       |  |  |
| 52       |  |  |
| 53       |  |  |
| 54       |  |  |
| 55       |  |  |
| 56       |  |  |
| 57       |  |  |
| 58       |  |  |
| 59       |  |  |

 $I^2$  statistics as not important ( $I^2 < 30$  %), moderate (30-60 %) and substantial ( $I^2 > 60$  %) (22).

# **Protocol registration**

We registered a protocol of this systematic review on the PROSPERO website: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/ under the registration number: CRD42019122785.

# **Patient and Public Involvement**

There is no patient involved

#### RESULTS

A total of 2865 references were identified from electronic databases and 871 additional trials from the Clinical Trials Registry Platform were checked. We evaluated 185 potentially eligible full-text publications and included 60 eligible studies in 68 publications in this review (Figure 1 and Supplementary file).

Figure 1: PRISMA flow chart describing the process of study selection

# Setting

In total 60 studies, which were conducted in 64 study centers of 15 African countries; North Africa (33 studies from four countries), West Africa (10 studies from three countries), East Africa (seven studies from 7 countries), Central Africa (three studies from two countries) and Southern Africa (11 studies only from South Africa) were included. Two studies (Malek 2015 and Chraibi 2017) were conducted in more than one African country and partially conducted in non-African countries. Chraibi (2017) was conducted in Egypt, Morocco, South Arabia and Vietnam. Malek (2015) included four study centers in Algeria, Tunisia, Egypt and South Africa. Those additional study centers are presented in brackets behind the country names in Figure 2. Egypt, South Africa and Nigeria are the three study centers included most often in this review (Figure 2 and supplemenary Table 1 available in the supplement).

Figure 2: Geographical regions, countries, and type of DM of the included studies

Seventy-five percent of the studies (45/60) were set in urban areas, 5 % (3/60) were in rural areas only. The setting of the remaining 20 % (12/60 studies) was mixed or remained unclear. The majority, 83 % (50/60) of the studies, were conducted in secondary and tertiary health care centers, while 17 % (10/60) took place in primary care settings.

Though the search had no time restrictions, the oldest eligible study (Anderson 2001) was published in 2001. More than 60 % of the studies were published since 2015, and 22 % of them had been published in 2019 or 2020 (see supplementary Table 1 available in the supplement).

# Design

Fifty parallel-group studies randomized individual participants with DM. Six clusterrandomized studies (Fairall 2016, Labhardt 2011, Mash 2014, Steyn 2013, Utz 2018,

Webb 2015) randomly assigned health care facilities to intervention and control groups. In three randomized cross-over studies (Abdulrhman 2013, Krawinkel 2018, van der Hoogt 2017) each participant received different interventions in a random sequence, and in one study (Ghoneim 2013) each patient received two different treatment doses for each eye based on a random allocation of eyes and doses.

#### Interventions for patients with pre-DM

Two studies randomized a total of 112 overweight or obese patients (BMI 25–35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with pre-DM (HbA1c 5.7-7.5 %) and a mean age of 32.9 and 47.5 years (see supplementary Table 1: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with pre-DM available in the supplement). These studies stated the efficacy regarding glycemic control of low and high volume, high-intensity interval training strategies (RezkAllah 2019), and the consumption of bitter gourd to improve glucose control (Krawinkel 2018).

#### Interventions for patients with DM1

A total of 8 studies were conducted including 595 patients diagnosed with DM1 (Abdulrhman 2013, Elbarbary 2016, Elbarbary 2018, Elbarbary 2020, Malipa 2013, Mohamad 2009, Salem 2010, van der Hoogt 2017) (see supplementary Table 2 Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM1 available in the supplement). They mainly included children, adolescents, and young adults with a mean age between 10.4-19.9 years. The mean duration of DM ranged from 3.5 to 8.6 years and the mean baseline HbA1c from 7.21 to 9.52 %. The studies investigated heterogeneous strategies. Malipa 2013 showed the efficacy of weekly meetings to improve treatment compliance, reduce impact and worries about DM and improve general life satisfaction in adolescents. Salem 2010 evaluated the efficacy of two exercise programs to reduce cardiovascular risk with no relevant effect on glucose control. Three studies investigated different nutritional strategies and stated the beneficial effects of honey (Abdulrhman 2013) and camel milk (Mohamad 2009) on glucose control. Meals with low fat and protein (van der Hoogt 2017) caused less frequent hypoglycemic events. Elbarbary 2016 showed the efficacy of a low-glucose suspension algorithm during Ramadan to reduce the number of hypo- and hyperglycemic excursions. Two studies on food supplementation stated improved glycemic control with carnosine (Elbarbary 2018), but no benefit from a vitamin B complex (Elbarbary 2020).

#### Interventions for patients with DM2

A total of 44 studies were conducted including 8881 patients suffering from DM2 or different diabetic illnesses (see supplementary Table 3: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM2 available in the supplement). Most studies included patients with a mean age between 50 and 60 years, only four studies included younger patients (Adjei 2015, El Gayar 2019, Matter 2020, Maharaj 2016). Most studies included more females than males. These studies presented a wide variety of patients in different stages of DM2 and general conditions. They ranged from newly diagnosed DM (El Gayar 2019, Labhardt 2011, Mostafa 2019, Owolabi 2019, Somanah 2012), non-insulin dependency or oral insulin therapy (Adibe 2013, Ali 2019, Fayehun 2018, Maharaj 2016, Malek 2015, Ragheb 2020) to durations of over 10 years with severe DM related complications (Abaza 2017, Nteleki 2015, Tsobigny-Tsague 2018, El-Shakawy 2016, Ghoneim 2013, Saeed 2013, Yakoot 2019). Thus, mean baseline HbA1c ranged from 6.75% to 11.1%. Most studies included high proportions of overweight and obese participants with mean BMIs ranging from 22.4 to 40.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

#### **Educational strategies**

A total of 19 studies with 6942 patients and follow-up periods between 2-14 months investigated the impact of educational strategies on diabetes treatment. These included providing information about lifestyle modification measures, dietary recommendations, drug-based therapy, DM-related complications and self-management. Training sessions were provided based on group-based educational sessions or individual treatment plans by nursing staff or pharmacists and complemented by lectures, discussion services, brochures, newsletters, computer programs, electronic communication devices and tele-monitoring systems. Three of these studies were led by nurses (Adibe 2013, Hailu 2018, Labhardt 2011) and two cluster-randomized studies trained nurses to expand their role in the treatment of patients with NCDs (Fairall 2016) or aimed to improve guideline implementation in the treatment of patients with DM (Steyn 2013).

Three studies (Abaza 2017, Adjei 2015, Labhardt 2011) reported results on treatment adherence. All strategies lead to improved adherence, measured by improved perception of patients to treatment recommendations (Abaza 2017) or higher regularity of appointment schedules (Adjei 2015, Labhardt 2011). Two studies (Adibe 2013, Mash 2014) reported results on costs with lower costs for patients receiving educational strategies. Two studies reported fewer admissions to different health-care facilities (hospital or emergency room and clinic visits) (Abaza 2017, Chraibi 2017).

 Results on quality of life were reported in two studies with follow-up periods over 12 months and conflicting results. A structured self-care education program by pharmacists and nurses (Adibe 2013) improved quality of life, but no benefit was shown after group education by trained professionals (Mash 2014).

The majority of the educational strategies resulted in lower mean HbA1c levels in the intervention groups with a clinically relevant mean decrease of -0.66 % (95 %-CI -0.94 to -0.39) and substantial heterogeneity between results of different studies (I<sup>2</sup>=64 %) (Figure 3).

**Figure 3:** Results of educational strategies on HbA1c levels or changes of HbA1c levels of patients with DM2

#### Strategies to enhance physical activity

Five studies with 359 participants evaluated the efficacy of different strategies to enhance physical activity on glucose control. Strategies included counselling, setting goals and training sessions with different intensities or both over periods between 8-12 weeks.

Two studies were successful in lowering HbA1c where patients were given goals to accumulate 10,000 steps per day (Fayehun 2018) or patients were allocated to rebound exercise (Maharaj 2016). A third study investigated the effects of aerobic exercise training and was able to decrease fasting plasma glucose (27).

Two other exercise interventions failed to reduce HbA1c by incremental exercises compared to relaxation (Van Rooijen 2004) or higher intensity of exercises (Yan 2014) (Figure 4). Results were not pooled due to considerable heterogeneity with different directions of treatment effects.

**Figure 4:** Results of strategies to enhance physical activity on HbA1c levels of patients with DM2

#### Pharmacological strategies

Three studies with 479 participants tested the efficacy of pharmacological treatment strategies on glucose control of patients with DM2. El-Haggar 2015 found ketotifen and glimepiride an effective dual therapy. Malek 2015 described the non-inferiority of once-

daily basal-bolus insulin analogues and thrice daily insulin therapy. Distiller 2014 did not find an additional improvement with exenatide in addition to insulin and metformin therapy on glycemic control.

#### Strategies on food supplementations

Several different food supplementations were tested in 10 studies including 762 participants. Vitamin D3 supplementation had a positive effect on glycemic control in two studies (Ali 2019, Anyanwu 2016). Four studies tested the effect of plant-based substances. Ginger powder and balantines aegyptiaca (desert date) extract regimes supported glucose control (El Gayar 2019, Rashad 2017). Nigella sativa (black cumin) oil capsules slightly improved glucose control but were inferior to metformin (Moustafa 2019). A regime based on fermented papaya did show beneficial results (Somanah 2012). Anderson 2001 and Matter 2020 showed positive effects of zinc/ chromium in chronic DM and zinc supplementation in diabetic beta-thalassemia major patients. The addition of rutin and vitamin C did not improve the results of oral antidiabetics (Ragheb 2020). The addition of I-carnitine improved diabetic control achieved by glimepiride treatment (El-Sheikh 2019).

#### Strategies on the treatment of DM related complications

Seven studies with 351 participants and follow-up periods between 3-12 months evaluated different strategies to treat possibly DM-related complications including periodontitis (3 studies), foot ulcerations (2 studies) and macular edema (2 studies). EI-Makaky 2020 and Tsobgny-Tsague 2018 described the benefit of immediate vs. delayed non-surgical periodontal interventions on glucose control and EI-Sharkawy 2016 stated the effectiveness of propolis as an additive in periodontitis treatment. Two studies stated a benefit of combined phototherapy and podiatric management (Nteleki 2015) and an additional local ointment application of royal jelly and panthenol (Yakoot 2019) on the healing of lower extremity ulcers. Ghoneim 2013 and Saeed 2013 tested different diabetic macular edema treatment strategies. Both studies described generally positive treatment effects but also considerable adverse events including rise of intra ocular pressure and glaucoma.

#### Interventions for patients with DM in a pregnant woman

Six studies included a total of 574 pregnant women at increased risk for gestational DM (GDM) (Embaby 2016), with newly diagnosed GDM (Utz 2018, El-Shamy 2018, Ashoush 2016) or with newly diagnosed GDM or pre-existing DM (Beyuo 2015, Ibrahim 2014) between the 20<sup>th</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy. The mean age ranged from 24.2-

33.3 years (see supplementary Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM available in the supplements).

Three studies (Ashoush 2016, Beyuo 2015, Ibrahim 2014) with 289 participants examined metformin as an additional medication to insulin in comparison to insulin therapy only. Effects on glycemic control of metformin supported therapy ranged from a relevant decrease (Ashoush 2016) to no effect on fasting plasma glucose, but beneficial effect on two hour plasma glucose in a 75 g OGTT (Beyuo 2015) in women without insulin resistance. Adding metformin to insulin therapy of pregnant women with insulin resistant diabetes was associated with several benefits concerning the time of hospital stay, reduced occurrence of maternal or neonatal hyperglycemia, less neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions and reduced cases of respiratory distress syndrome (Ibrahim 2014).

The other studies (285 participants) investigated non-pharmacological interventions. The tested interventions were aerobic exercise program (treadmill walking) (Embaby 2016), acupressure (EI-Shamy 2018) and screening for GDM, followed by nutritional and exercise counseling for positive tested women (Utz 2018). The aerobic exercise program resulted in a relevant reduction of fasting plasma glucose until delivery (Embaby 2016). The acupressure intervention did not manage to show a benefit regarding glycemic control (EI-Shamy 2018). Screening, counselling and intensive follow-up were able to improve glycemic control and reduce the number of newborns with macrosomia (Utz 2018).

# Potential biases

None of the included studies was categorized as low risk of bias in all seven domains only (see supplementary Table 5: Judgement on risk of bias available in the supplements).

The most common restriction on study quality was found in the domain performance bias due to a lack of blinding of participants and personnel in 48 studies. Detection bias due to blinding of the outcome assessors was judged as high or unclear in 38 studies. 14 studies with high risk of bias due to no blinding of participants and personnel, reported adequate methods to ensure blinding of the outcome assessors.

Another frequent problem was an incomplete analyses of outcome data in 26 studies defined as a loss to follow-up over 10 % of randomized participants or per-protocol analyses.

In 23 studies a protocol was available. Risk of bias due to selective outcome reporting was judged as low in 15 studies. High risk of bias, meaning lack of reporting of results

of some pre-planned outcomes was judged in eight studies (Abdulrhman 2013, Beyuo 2015, Elbarbary 2020, Matter 2020, Owolabi 2019, Somanah 2012, Utz 2018 Yakoot 2019).

In the domain sequence generation, two studies were assessed as high risk. El- Nteleki 2015 randomized only seven patients into three different treatment groups. Shamy 2018 used a non-probability sampling method on the basis of the hospital admission code and was subsequently judged as high risk in domains sequence generation and allocation concealment.

In 31 studies, we identified further methodological limitations including missing reporting of information on sample-size calculation, definition of primary and secondary target criteria, relevant differences regarding baseline characteristics or reporting of intermediate results only.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### DISCUSSION

This systematic review describes interventions from 60 studies to summarize the available randomized trials on to prevention, diagnosis and treatment of DM with a total of 12,113 participants from 15 African countries. Several promising interventions were identified that can be used in settings with limited resources or involved locally available materials. Despite a trend of increasing research activity in recent years, many areas of diabetes research in African countries are still underexplored leaving knowledge gaps that should be tackled in the future.

#### Scarcity of randomized DM trials in African countries

While 60 included randomized trials are not nothing it also means an average only slightly higher than one randomized DM study per country for all types of diabetes that has ever been conducted and published. Only two studies on pre-diabetic interventions have been conducted, despite a clear need and aim to tackle early to avoid the future DM burden that is expected to arise (17). Implementation research, considered important in addressing know-do gaps in real-world settings, especially in primary care settings are still very rare (28). Implementing evidence-based care while observing, evaluating und publishing it's result deems crucial in the massive challenge of creating diabetes care infrastructure for millions of diabetes patients. Nevertheless, forty-three of the 60 studies have been conducted since 2015 demonstrating at positive trend of research activity.

# Rural vs. urban, primary vs. secondary care and geographic disparities

Three out of four studies were set in urban areas and only 5 % (3/60) were set in rural areas only. Despite decreasing population shares over the last decades, still almost 60 % of people in Sub-Saharan Africa are living in rural areas with rising absolute numbers (currently about 667 million) (29). Despite diabetes being considered to be associated with westernized lifestyle more prevalent in urban areas, prevalence rates in rural areas are still high, in some parts even higher (30, 31).

Moreover, the majority (83 %) of the studies were conducted in secondary and tertiary health care centers, leaving less than one fifth in primary care settings were most routine and day-to-day diabetes care should be carried out to support people in their everyday life with this chronic long-term illness to prevent long-term consequences.

Another considerable aspect is the geographical distribution of the conducted studies. Almost half (46%) of the included trial were conducted in Egypt, the country ranking 2<sup>nd</sup> on the African Infrastructure Development Index 2018 with the highest prevalence in **BMJ** Open

Northern Africa (32). South Africa, ranking fourth on the index, contributed another share of 18% (11 studies) (7). Almost three quarters of the studies were set in the top ten ranking countries on that list, all Northern and Southern Africa leaving huge blank spaces in Central, Western and Eastern Africa including countries with high prevalences including Kenya and Zimbabwe and pointing to both the infrastructural necessities of research as well as the structural development that is still ahead before to increase research activity (33). The broad majority of included studies was conducted in urban settings, this is likely due to the better health care infrastructure and thusly the increased practicability of research. Health care workers, including doctors and nurses, seem to prefer providing services in urban areas leading to an even higher deficit of health care access in rural areas. The consequence is limited generalizability of the results on the needs of the rural population.

#### Screening strategies to diagnose DM and its complications

The rate of undiagnosed patients with DM is estimated to be between 3.9 % in SSA (34) and 12 % in North Africa (35). This might be related to genetic disparities in the development level of the health care system and awareness in the general population (19). The high rates of undiagnosed DM highlight a high need for research on and implementation of DM screening strategies in the African context. We identified two studies (36-38) investigating primary care strategies to detect and manage women with GDM (36) and screen diabetic patients for complications (39). The observed GDM prevalence of 23.7% among pregnant Moroccan women underlines the importance of regular screening and management to enable early interventions at a primary care level (36). A diabetic population receiving primary care found a high rate of complications including retinopathy, maculopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, possible infarction and severe erectile dysfunction (37-39).

#### Intervention for patients with pre-DM for primary prevention of DM

We identified two studies patients (40, 41) with elevated blood glucose levels below diagnosis criteria of DM improving glucose levels via interval training bitter gourd, a plant with antidiabetic properties that is consumed in many Asian as well as some African countries. Both studies offer effective strategies, but further research is necessary, exemplarily on early educational strategies, as a measure of patient empowerment and early tackling of DM (42).

# Educational strategies for patients and health-care providers

Education is essential for effective diabetes control. It must be accomplished at, personal (patient empowement), community (raise the awereness of the disease and its risk factors) and health care provider level (training of medical staff to diagnose, monitor and treat it correctely) to manage the rising burden of diabetes (43).

Due to complex challenges for DM patients and health care providers, educational campaigns are necessary to support health care providers and empower patients to manage their disease-associated decisions, lifestyle habits and medication use. Best benefits are proposed to be achieved by continuous individualized education, guided by patients' concerns, preferences and needs (12, 44).

Several studies on DM2 (45-57), DM1 (58) and GDM (36) investigated long-term interventions to support patient empowerment based on improved knowledge, motivation, and capacity to take control of their disease (12). Three studies trialed nurse-led (46, 52, 53, 59) and 2 studies investigated strategies to train healthcare providers in the management of patients with DM (60, 61). Improvement of patient empowerment improved adherence and glucose control, fewer admissions to healthcare facilities and lower costs. Only two studies reported on the quality of life with heterogeneous results (46, 59, 62).

Currently, the COVID-19 pandemic has forced all nations to implement alternative, oftentimes digital strategies including tele-monitoring and teleconsultation to continue care of NCDs (63). The application of telemedicine in DM management showed beneficial results (55, 64). Lifestyle focused messages might be an effective low-cost option to keep patients motivated to adhere to healthy lifestyles and further research seems advisable (65).

All included studies were adapted to local contexts and the trialed strategies hold the promise of adaptability to health care systems in other African and LMIC. Moreover, the tasks of nurses in NCD care could be reshaped and expanded in countries with comparably few physicians in order to improve DM diagnostics, treatment and education.

# Strategies to increase physical activity

As in the literature (GDM (66), DM1 (67, 68) and DM2 (69, 70)), exercise therapy generally showed positive effects (DM2 (27, 71-74), DM1 (75), GDM (76)) on glycemic control. Exemplarily, four weeks by setting the goal to accumulate 10,000 steps per day significantly reduced HbA1C levels (71). Due to limited follow up periods, it is advisable to target long-term adherence to these strategies in future research.

# Pharmacological strategies

Currently, the available research on pharmacological interventions for DM is sparse in Africa. We identified only six studies (3 on DM2 (77-79), 3 on GDM (80-82)) testing pharmacological interventions as a central part of DM care (83) despite known differences between African and European Americans (19). This might be attributable to our criteria excluding international studies with less than 50% of the sites in African countries (e.g. (84-89)). Many major multi centric pharmacological studies only have few study centers in Africa. Nevertheless, in-depth research into differing effectiveness of diabetic medications is still lacking.

reported the usability and safety of a basal-bolus insulin regime with stepwise intensification in an African setting The efficacy of basal-bolus insulin regimes, as an easy to handle, practical DM treatment option was successfully tested by Malek 2015 (79) and has been previously described in other settings (90, 91). Further research should consider regional contexts like availability of medication, practicability of the medication (e.g. insulin needs proper storage (92, 93)) lifestyle habits and genetic aspects (94, 95). Consideration of findings on African American cohorts seems advisable (96, 97).

# Strategies on nutrition and food supplementations

Nutritional and food supplementation interventions can successfully be used supporting pharmacological care or in early and pre-DM stages improving glycemic control, lipid profiles and management of DM-related complications (98-110). In this review, nutritional interventions (40, 111-113), including long-term consumption of honey (111), camel milk (112) and a low fat and protein content of meals (113) with positive effects on metabolic control. Camel milk, traditionally used for treatment of DM in arid areas of Africa and Asia, improves glycemic control, reduces insulin requirement and limits diabetic complications (114). Rashad 2017 (115) stated the beneficial effects of balanites aegyptiaca (desert date) extract on glycemic control. This evergreen tree is common in arid regions in Africa and was traditionally used in Egyptian traditional medicine (116).

Several food supplementations (zinc-gluconate (117) and zinc-chromium (118) supplementations, ginger powder (119), Nigella sativa oil capsules (120), L-carnitine (121), L-carnosine (122) as well as vitamin B, C or D supplementation (62, 123-125)) had positive effects on glycemic control.

# Strategies on the treatment of DM related complications

Page 21 of 100

Three studies tested the role of periodontitis treatment in diabetic patients (126-128). Tsobgny-Tsague et al. (128) and El-Makaky et al. (126) described the importance of early treatment start, resulting in favorable patient outcomes in periodontal health and glucose control. El-Sharkawy et al. (127) found propolis to be a favorable addition to planing and scaling. In an Ethiopian cohort, only 21% of DM patients received oral health screening (129). The WHO regards oral health as a crucial component of health care with 12-14 % of 35 to 44-year-old Africans suffering from periodontitis (130). Treatment options for diabetic wounds were tested in two studies (131, 132). Phototherapy in addition to usual care was first trialed in an African cohort of patients suffering from diabetic foot ulcers, showing beneficial wound healing outcomes. Similar results were described in other settings (133). The addition of propolis to usual care regimes showed improved wound healing. These findings are supported by studies from other settings (134, 135).

# Strength and limitations

The external validity of this systematic review is limited by the focus on a limited number of countries and urban health care setting. The included studies were set in 15 of the 54 African countries with a focus on the North African region, especially Egypt. Egypt is the country with the highest known prevalence of DM in the African continent (4, 7). This might be related to economic expansion and urbanization, but also due to specific dietary issues (e.g. white bread, polished rice, trans fats), reduced physical activity due to prohibition of exercise in public places, shortage of exercise facilities, poor physical education in schools. Poor diet and physical inactivity are causing a high rate of overweight and obesity among the Egyptian population (136).

Our pre-planned primary outcome was mortality which was not reported in any of the included studies. Since DM is a chronic disease with a slow progression and long-term development of organ damage, the survival time is higher than the follow-up time of most of the studies. The included studies looked at long-term treatment strategies rather than treatment for acute hypo- or hyperglycemic events that can lead to acutely fatal events. Nevertheless, long-term glucose control, being represented by the HbA1c value is one of the strongest clinical-outcome indicators of efficient DM management and health outcomes (137). It is easy to measure and serves as a representation of the individual's average blood glucose levels in the previous 3 months (137). Furthermore, it is up to discussion if improvement of glycemic control based on blood glucose measures like HbA1C are necessary the best strategic in LMIC or if diabetes
complications are more effectively prevented by targeting bloodpressure or blood lipids (138).

Next, this review does not include non-randomized study types including prospective cohort trials or qualitative research, probable not taking into account the evidence that has been accumulated. Nevertheless, our aim was to search for randomized trials, since these study types, if conducted well, have a high evidence quality, allowing to minimize biases. Moreover, many of the studies included had a high risk of bias.

This systematic review includes studies as the highest level of evidence to investigate the benefits and harms of interventions (139). We included studies published in the English language without time restrictions. Language bias was shown to be unlikely. Despite the high linguistic diversity on the African continent, the languages mostly spoken are English, Arabic, and French (140). Eventually, we did not exclude any study due to the publication language, but we might have missed studies from journals that are not listened in searched databases.

#### CONCLUSION

This systematic review shows an increasing number of studies due to the rising prevalence and awareness of DM in African countries. However, the number of highquality studies is still low and emphasizes knowledge gaps and underexplored research areas. Available studies are not representative of all African regions and were mainly conducted in urban areas of higher developed countries. Especially primary care settings and implementation research are underrepresented.

An improvement of the prognosis of DM patients in Africa requires adequate technical and financial resources, training of healthcare staff and the implementation of comprehensive strategies to improve early diagnostics, adherence to medical treatment and subsequent regular checks. The identified studies offer a variety of effective approaches as a basis for local guidelines in the different fields of action in DM care adjusted to regional circumstances.

### Ethics approval

No ethical approval is necessary

### Authors contribution

Sandholzer-Yilmaz AS developed the concept of the review, performed the initial systematic search in the International Trials Registry, screened the references, extracted study data in 2019, wrote a draft of the manuscript and worked in the

40 41

42 43

44 45 46

47 48

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| 2        |   |
| 3        | coauthors comments on the final version of the manuscript and finally submitted the   |
| 4        | monuporint  |
| 5        | manuscript.   |
| 6<br>7   | Kroeber ES updated the systematic search, added a search in 2 regional databases,     |
| 8        | screened the updated search results and extracted the updated data and wrote the      |
| 9        | final version of the manuscrint   |
| 10       |   |
| 11       | Unverzagt S has expertise in systematic reviews and is the guarantor of the           |
| 12       | methodological quality of the systematic review, developed the review concept has     |
| 13<br>14 |   |
| 15       | registered the protocol, performed the systematic search in 2 databases, screened all |
| 16       | references, checked the initial as well as the updated data extraction and wrote the  |
| 17       | final version of this manuscript  |
| 18       |   |
| 19       | Ayele W provided expertise on the needs of evidence in the African context, developed |
| 20       | the review concept discussed the protocol and critically read and commented on the    |
| 21       |   |
| 22       | manuscript.   |
| 23<br>24 | Frese T and provided expertise on primary care, developed the review concept,         |
| 25       | aritically road and commented on the many corint                                      |
| 26       | chically read and commented on the manuscript.  |
| 27       | Kantelhardt EJ provided expertise on the needs of evidence in the African context,    |
| 28       | developed the review concent, critically read and commented on the manuscript         |
| 29       |   |
| 30       |   |
| 31       | Sandhalzar Vilmaz AS and Krachar ES are joint first authors of this manuscript        |
| 32       | Sandhoizer-Thimaz AS and Ribeber ES are joint hist authors of this manuscript.        |
| 33       |   |
| 34       |   |
| 35       | Acknowledgements:   |
| 36       |   |

Dawn Bielawski did the proofreading.

## **Funding statement**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

## **Competing interests statement**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Page 24 of 100

# References

1. Kushitor MK, Boatemaa S. The double burden of disease and the challenge of health access: Evidence from Access, Bottlenecks, Cost and Equity facility survey in Ghana. PLoS One. 2018;13(3):e0194677.

2. Misganaw A, Mariam DH, Araya T. The double mortality burden among adults in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2006-2009. Preventing chronic disease. 2012;9.

3. Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1736-88.

4. Federation ID. IDF Diabetes Atlas 9th edition <u>https://www.diabetesatlas.org/en/</u>: International Diabetes Federation; 2019 [

5. Beagley J, Guariguata L, Weil C, Motala AA. Global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2014;103(2):150-60.

6. Asmelash D, Asmelash Y. The Burden of Undiagnosed Diabetes Mellitus in Adult African Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2019;2019:4134937.

7. Bos M, Agyemang C. Prevalence and complications of diabetes mellitus in Northern Africa, a systematic review. BMC public health. 2013;13(1):387.

8. Awadalla H, Noor SK, Elmadhoun WM, Almobarak AO, Elmak NE, Abdelaziz SI, et al. Diabetes complications in Sudanese individuals with type 2 diabetes: overlooked problems in sub-Saharan Africa? Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2017;11:S1047-S51.

9. Mutyambizi C, Pavlova M, Chola L, Hongoro C, Groot W. Cost of diabetes mellitus in Africa: a systematic review of existing literature. Globalization and health. 2018;14(1):3.

10. Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, Guthrie B, Wang HH, Mercer SW, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8(8):e1003-e17.

11. Nations U. Sustainable development goals. Goal 3: Ensure healthy living and promote well-being for all at all ages 2019 [Assessed 29/09/2020]. Available from: https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/health/.

12. Gómez-Velasco DV, Almeda-Valdes P, Martagón AJ, Galán-Ramírez GA, Aguilar-Salinas CA. Empowerment of patients with type 2 diabetes: current perspectives. Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity: targets and therapy. 2019;12:1311.

13. Audain KA, Levy L, Ellahi B. Sugar sweetened beverage consumption in the early years and implications for type 2 diabetes: A sub-Saharan Africa context. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 2019;78(4):547-53.

14. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD: The Task Force for diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). European heart journal. 2020;41(2):255-323.

15. Organization WH. World Health Organization model list of essential medicines: 21st list 2019. World Health Organization; 2019.

16. Association AD. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care. 2020;43(Suppl 1):S14.

17. Roglic G. WHO Global report on diabetes: A summary. International Journal of Noncommunicable Diseases. 2016;1(1):3.

2 3 Checkley W, Ghannem H, Irazola V, Kimaiyo S, Levitt NS, Miranda JJ, et al. 18. 4 Management of NCD in low-and middle-income countries. Global heart. 2014;9(4):431-5 43. 6 Gomez F, Hirbo J, Tishkoff SA. Genetic variation and adaptation in Africa: 19. 7 implications for human evolution and disease. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in 8 biology. 2014;6(7):a008524. 9 20. Owolabi MO, Yaria JO, Daivadanam M, Makanjuola AI, Parker G, Oldenburg B, 10 et al. Gaps in guidelines for the management of diabetes in low-and middle-income 11 versus high-income countries—a systematic review. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(5):1097-12 105. 13 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items 21. 14 for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS med. 15 2009;6(7):e1000097. 16 Higgins JPT GS. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions 22. 17 version 5.1. 0 [updated March 2011]. Collaboration TC, editor. Available from 18 19 www.handbook.cochrane.org. 8.3.2020. 2011. Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gøtzsche PC, Devereaux P, et al. 20 23. 21 CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel 22 group randomised trials. International journal of surgery. 2012;10(1):28-55. 23 Team TE. EndNote. EndNote X9 ed. Philadelphia, PA: Clarivate; 2013. 24. 24 Higgins JPT, Eldridge S, Li Te. Chapter 23: Including variants on randomized 25. 25 trials. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al., 26 editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 61 27 (updated September 2020. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook: Cochrane; 2020. 28 (RevMan) RM. Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The 26. 29 Cochrane Collaboration2014. 30 Asuako B, Moses MO, Eghan BA, Sarpong PA. Fasting plasma glucose and 27. 31 lipid profiles of diabetic patients improve with aerobic exercise training. Ghana Medical 32 Journal. 2017;51(3):120-7. 33 Theobald S, Brandes N, Gyapong M, El-Saharty S, Proctor E, Diaz T, et al. 28. 34 Implementation research: new imperatives and opportunities in global health. The 35 Lancet. 2018;392(10160):2214-28. 36 LLC M. Sub-Saharan Africa Rural Population 1960-2022 2022 [Available from: 37 29. https://www.macrotrends.net/countries/SSF/sub-saharan-africa-/rural-population. 38 Chiwanga FS, Njelekela MA, Diamond MB, Bajunirwe F, Guwatudde D, 30. 39 40 Nankya-Mutyoba J, et al. Urban and rural prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes and 41 risk factors associated with diabetes in Tanzania and Uganda. Glob Health Action. 42 2016;9:31440. 43 Price AJ, Crampin AC, Amberbir A, Kayuni-Chihana N, Musicha C, Tafatatha T, 31. 44 et al. Prevalence of obesity, hypertension, and diabetes, and cascade of care in sub-45 Saharan Africa: a cross-sectional, population-based study in rural and urban Malawi. 46 The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2018;6(3):208-22. 47 Bank AD. The Africa Infrastructure Development Index (AIDI) 2018 2018 32. 48 https://www.icafrica.org/en/knowledge-hub/article/the-africa-[Available from: 49 infrastructure-development-index-aidi-2018-358/. 50 Hall V, Thomsen RW, Henriksen O, Lohse N. Diabetes in Sub Saharan Africa 33. 51 1999-2011: epidemiology and public health implications. A systematic review. BMC 52 public health. 2011;11(1):1-12. 53 34. Federation ID. IDF Diabetes Atlas. Africa 54 https://diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/material/20191218 144539 afr factsheet e 55 n.pdf2019 [ 56 Federation ID. IDF Diabetes Artlas. Muddle East and North Africa 35. 57 https://www.diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/material/20191218 144557 mena fac 58 59 tsheet en.pdf2019 [ 60

**BMJ** Open

36. Utz B, Assarag B, Smekens T, Ennassiri H, Lekhal T, El Ansari N, et al. Detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through primary health care services in Morocco: An effectiveness-implementation trial. PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0209322.
37. Webb EM, Rheeder P. A cluster-randomized trial to estimate the effect of

mobile screening and treatment feedback on HbA1c and diabetes-related complications in Tshwane primary health care clinics, South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2017;11(6):546-54.

38. Webb EM, Rheeder P, Roux P. Screening in Primary Care for Diabetic Retinopathy, Maculopathy and Visual Loss in South Africa. Ophthalmologica. 2016;235(3):141-9.

39. Webb EM, Rheeder P, Van Zyl DG. Diabetes care and complications in primary care in the Tshwane district of South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2015;9(2):147-54.

40. Krawinkel MB, Ludwig C, Swai ME, Yang RY, Chun KP, Habicht SD. Bitter gourd reduces elevated fasting plasma glucose levels in an intervention study among prediabetics in Tanzania. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2018;216:1-7.

41. RezkAllah SS, Takla MK. Effects of different dosages of interval training on glycemic control in people with prediabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes spectrum. 2019;32(2):125-31.

42. Mogueo A, Oga-Omenka C, Hatem M, Kuate Defo B. Effectiveness of interventions based on patient empowerment in the control of type 2 diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa: A review of randomized controlled trials. Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolism.e00174.

43. Atun R, Davies JI, Gale EA, Bärnighausen T, Beran D, Kengne AP, et al. Diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa: from clinical care to health policy. The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology. 2017;5(8):622-67.

44. Beck J, Greenwood DA, Blanton L, Bollinger ST, Butcher MK, Condon JE, et al. 2017 National Standards for Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support. Diabetes Educ. 2018;44(1):35-50.

45. Abaza H, Marschollek M, Schulze M. SMS Education for the Promotion of Diabetes Self-Management in Low & Middle Income Countries: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Egypt. Studies in Health Technology & Informatics. 2017;245:1209.

46. Adibe MO, Ukwe CV, Aguwa CN. The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention on the Quality of Life of Nigerian Patients Receiving Treatment for Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):240-7.

47. Adjei DN, Agyemang C, Dasah JB, Kuranchie P, Amoah AG. The effect of electronic reminders on risk management among diabetic patients in low resourced settings. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(6):818-21.

48. Amendezo E, Walker Timothy D, Karamuka V, Robinson B, Kavabushi P, Ntirenganya C, et al. Effects of a lifestyle education program on glycemic control among patients with diabetes at Kigali University Hospital, Rwanda: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2017;126:129-37.

49. Chraibi A, Al-Herz S, Nguyen BD, Soeatmadji DW, Shinde A, Lakshmivenkataraman B, et al. An RCT Investigating Patient-Driven Versus Physician-Driven Titration of BIAsp 30 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled Using NPH Insulin.[Erratum appears in Diabetes Ther. 2017 Jun 20;::; PMID: 28634880]. Diabetes Therapy Research, Treatment and Education of Diabetes and Related Disorders. 2017;8(4):767-80.

50. Debussche X, Besancon S, Balcou-Debussche M, Ferdynus C, Delisle H, Huiart L, et al. Structured peer-led diabetes self-management and support in a low-income country: The ST2EP randomised controlled trial in Mali. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2018;13(1):e0191262.

51. Essien O, Otu A, Umoh V, Enang O, Hicks JP, Walley J. Intensive Patient Education Improves Glycaemic Control in Diabetes Compared to Conventional

Education: A Randomised Controlled Trial in a Nigerian Tertiary Care Hospital. PLoS ONE 2017;12(1):e0168835.

52. Hailu FB, Hjortdahl P, Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2018;6:302.

53. Labhardt ND, Balo JR, Ndam M, Manga E, Stoll B. Improved retention rates with low-cost interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment of nurse-led care: a cluster-randomised trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2011;16(10):1276-84.

54. Mash RJ, Rhode H, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S, Lombard C, Steyn K, et al. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in under-served communities in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(8):987-93.

55. Takenga C, Berndt RD, Musongya O, Kitero J, Katoke R, Molo K, et al. An ICT-Based Diabetes Management System Tested for Health Care Delivery in the African Context. International Journal of Telemedicine & Applications. 2014;2014:437307.

56. Tawfik MY, Mohamed RA. The impact of communicating cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetics on patient risk perception, diabetes self-care, glycosylated hemoglobin, and cardiovascular risk. Journal of public health (germany). 2016;24(2):153-64.

57. Thuita AW, Kiage BN, Onyango AN, Makokha AO. Effect of a nutrition education programme on the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at a level 5 Hospital in Kenya: "a randomized controlled trial". BMC Nutr. 2020;6:30.

58. Malipa M, Menon J. The relationship between compliance and quality of life among adolescents with diabetes mellitus type1. Medical Journal of Zambia. 2013;40(3):93-103.

59. Adibe MO, Aguwa CN, Ukwe CV. Cost-Utility Analysis of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention Versus Usual Care in Management of Nigerian Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):189-98.

60. Fairall LR, Folb N, Timmerman V, Lombard C, Steyn K, Bachmann MO, et al. Educational Outreach with an Integrated Clinical Tool for Nurse-Led Noncommunicable Chronic Disease Management in Primary Care in South Africa: a Pragmatic Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. Plos medicine. 2016;13(11):e1002178.

61. Steyn K, Lombard C, Gwebushe N, Fourie JM, Everett-Murphy K, Zwarenstein M, et al. Implementation of national guidelines, incorporated within structured diabetes and hypertension records at primary level care in Cape Town, South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Glob Health Action. 2013;6:20796.

62. Ragheb SR, El Wakeel LM, Nasr MS, Sabri NA. Impact of Rutin and Vitamin C combination on oxidative stress and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clinical nutrition ESPEN. 2020;35:128-35.

63. Advisor E. Telemedicine for Diabetes Management During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond Telemedicine for Diabetes Management During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond - Endocrinology Advisor.2020 [

64. Tchero H, Kangambega P, Briatte C, Brunet-Houdard S, Retali G-R, Rusch E. Clinical effectiveness of telemedicine in diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of 42 randomized controlled trials. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2019;25(7):569-83.

65. Haider R, Sudini L, Chow CK, Cheung NW. Mobile phone text messaging in improving glycaemic control for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2019;150:27-37.

66. Russo LM, Nobles C, Ertel KA, Chasan-Taber L, Whitcomb BW. Physical activity interventions in pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2015;125(3):576-82.

67. Quirk H, Blake H, Tennyson R, Randell T, Glazebrook C. Physical activity interventions in children and young people with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(10):1163-73.

68. Aljawarneh YM, Wardell DW, Wood GL, Rozmus CL. A systematic review of physical activity and exercise on physiological and biochemical outcomes in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. 2019;51(3):337-45.

69. Pan B, Ge L, Xun Y-q, Chen Y-j, Gao C-y, Han X, et al. Exercise training modalities in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2018;15(1):72.

70. Smith AD, Crippa A, Woodcock J, Brage S. Physical activity and incident type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and dose–response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Springer; 2016.

71. Fayehun AF, Olowookere OO, Ogunbode AM, Adetunji AA, Esan A. Walking prescription of 10 000 steps per day in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial in Nigerian general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 2018;68(667):e139-e45.

72. Maharaj SS, Nuhu JM. Rebound exercise: A beneficial adjuvant for sedentary non-insulin-dependent type 2 diabetic individuals in a rural environment. Australian Journal of Rural Health. 2016;24(2):123-9.

73. van Rooijen AJ, Rheeder P, Eales CJ, Becker PJ. Effect of exercise versus relaxation on haemoglobin A1C in Black females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Qjm. 2004;97(6):343-51.

74. Yan H, Prista A, Ranadive SM, Damasceno A, Caupers P, Kanaley JA, et al. Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. Isrn Endocrinology Print. 2014;2014:864897.

75. Salem MA, Aboelasrar MA, Elbarbary NS, Elhilaly RA, Refaat YM. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2010;2(1):47.

76. Embaby H, Elsayed E, Fawzy M. Insulin Sensitivity and Plasma Glucose Response to Aerobic Exercise in Pregnant Women at Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2016;26(5):409-14.

77. Distiller LA, Nortje H, Wellmann H, Amod A, Lombard L. A 24-week, prospective, randomized, open-label, treat-to-target pilot study of obese type 2 diabetes patients with severe insulin resistance to assess the addition of exenatide on the efficacy of U-500 regular insulin plus metformin. Endocrine practice. 2014;20(11):1143-50.

78. El-Haggar SM, Farrag WF, Kotkata FA. Effect of ketotifen in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(3):427-32.

79. Malek R, Ajili F, Assaad-Khalil SH, Shinde A, Chen JW, Van den Berg E. Similar glucose control with basal-bolus regimen of insulin detemir plus insulin aspart and thrice-daily biphasic insulin aspart 30 in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: Results of a 50-week randomized clinical trial of stepwise insulin intensification. Diabetes & Metabolism. 2015;41(3):223-30.

80. Ashoush S, El-Said M, Fathi H, Abdelnaby M. Identification of metformin poor responders, requiring supplemental insulin, during randomization of metformin versus insulin for the control of gestational diabetes mellitus. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(6):640-7.

81. Beyuo T, Obed SA, Adjepong-Yamoah KK, Bugyei KA, Oppong SA, Marfoh K. Metformin versus Insulin in the Management of Pre-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2015;10(5):e0125712.

82. Ibrahim MI, Hamdy A, Shafik A, Taha S, Anwar M, Faris M. The role of adding metformin in insulin-resistant diabetic pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014;289(5):959-65.

| 1         |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|
| 2         |  |  |
| 3         |  |  |
| 4         |  |  |
| 5         |  |  |
| 6         |  |  |
| 7         |  |  |
| ,         |  |  |
| 8         |  |  |
| 9         |  |  |
| 10        |  |  |
| 11        |  |  |
| 12        |  |  |
| 13        |  |  |
| 14        |  |  |
| 15        |  |  |
| 16        |  |  |
| 10        |  |  |
| 17        |  |  |
| 18        |  |  |
| 19        |  |  |
| 20        |  |  |
| 21        |  |  |
| 22        |  |  |
| 23        |  |  |
| 23        |  |  |
| 24        |  |  |
| 25        |  |  |
| 26        |  |  |
| 27        |  |  |
| 28        |  |  |
| 29        |  |  |
| 30        |  |  |
| 31        |  |  |
| 27        |  |  |
| 32        |  |  |
| 33        |  |  |
| 34        |  |  |
| 35        |  |  |
| 36        |  |  |
| 37        |  |  |
| 38        |  |  |
| 30        |  |  |
| 39        |  |  |
| 40        |  |  |
| 41        |  |  |
| 42        |  |  |
| 43        |  |  |
| 44        |  |  |
| 45        |  |  |
| 46        |  |  |
| 10        |  |  |
| -1/<br>10 |  |  |
| 48        |  |  |
| 49        |  |  |
| 50        |  |  |
| 51        |  |  |
| 52        |  |  |
| 53        |  |  |
| 54        |  |  |
| 54        |  |  |
| 55        |  |  |
| 56        |  |  |
| 57        |  |  |
| 58        |  |  |
| 59        |  |  |
| 60        |  |  |

83. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(2):255-323.

84. Bailey CJ, Iqbal N, T'Joen C, List JF. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naive patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(10):951-9.

85. Chou HS, Truitt KE, Moberly JB, Merante D, Choi Y, Mun Y, et al. A 26-week, placebo- and pioglitazone-controlled monotherapy study of rivoglitazone in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(11):1000-9.

86. De Caterina R, Andersson U, Alexander JH, Al-Khatib SM, Bahit MC, Goto S, et al. History of bleeding and outcomes with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation trial. American Heart Journal. 2016;175:175-83.

87. Kadiri A, Al-Nakhi A, El-Ghazali S, Jabbar A, Al Arouj M, Akram J, et al. Treatment of type 1 diabetes with insulin lispro during Ramadan. Diabetes & metabolism. 2001;27(4 Pt 1):482-6.

88. Schumm-Draeger PM, Burgess L, Koranyi L, Hruba V, Hamer-Maansson JE, de Bruin TW. Twice-daily dapagliflozin co-administered with metformin in type 2 diabetes: a 16-week randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):42-51.

89. Van Olmen J, Van Pelt M, Malombo B, Ku GM, Kanda D, Heang H, et al. Process evaluation of a mobile health intervention for people with diabetes in low income countries - the implementation of the TEXT4DSM study. Journal of Telemedicine & Telecare. 2017;23(1):96-105.

90. Wang C, Mamza J, Idris I. Biphasic vs basal bolus insulin regimen in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetic Medicine. 2015;32(5):585-94.

91. Meece J. Basal insulin intensification in patients with type 2 diabetes: a review. Diabetes Therapy. 2018;9(3):877-90.

92. Heinemann L, Braune K, Carter A, Zayani A, Krämer LA. Insulin storage: a critical reappraisal. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology. 2020:1932296819900258.

93. Bahendeka S, Kaushik R, Swai AB, Otieno F, Bajaj S, Kalra S, et al. EADSG guidelines: insulin storage and optimisation of injection technique in diabetes management. Diabetes Therapy. 2019;10(2):341-66.

94. Asamoah EA, Obirikorang C, Acheampong E, Annani-Akollor ME, Laing EF, Owiredu E-W, et al. Heritability and Genetics of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of diabetes research. 2020;2020.

95. Onengut-Gumuscu S, Chen WM, Robertson CC, Bonnie JK, Farber E, Zhu Z, et al. Type 1 Diabetes Risk in African-Ancestry Participants and Utility of an Ancestry-Specific Genetic Risk Score. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(3):406-15.

96. Cheng C-Y, Reich D, Haiman CA, Tandon A, Patterson N, Elizabeth S, et al. African ancestry and its correlation to type 2 diabetes in African Americans: a genetic admixture analysis in three US population cohorts. PloS one. 2012;7(3):e32840.

97. Ng MC, Shriner D, Chen BH, Li J, Chen W-M, Guo X, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies in African Americans provides insights into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes. PLoS Genet. 2014;10(8):e1004517.

98. Jayawardena R, Ranasinghe P, Galappatthy P, Malkanthi R, Constantine G, Katulanda P. Effects of zinc supplementation on diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2012;4(1):13.

99. Wang X, Wu W, Zheng W, Fang X, Chen L, Rink L, et al. Zinc supplementation improves glycemic control for diabetes prevention and management: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2019;110(1):76-90.

100. Daily JW, Yang M, Kim DS, Park S. Efficacy of ginger for treating Type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Journal of Ethnic Foods. 2015;2(1):36-43.
101. Zhu J, Chen H, Song Z, Wang X, Sun Z. Effects of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) on type 2 diabetes mellitus and components of the metabolic syndrome: A

Roscoe) on type 2 diabetes mellitus and components of the metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine. 2018;2018. 102 Darvabevgi-Khotbehsara R Golzarand M Ghaffari MP Diafarian K Nigella

102. Daryabeygi-Khotbehsara R, Golzarand M, Ghaffari MP, Djafarian K. Nigella sativa improves glucose homeostasis and serum lipids in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Complementary therapies in medicine. 2017;35:6-13.

103. Heshmati J, Namazi N. Effects of black seed (Nigella sativa) on metabolic parameters in diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. Complementary therapies in medicine. 2015;23(2):275-82.

104. Vidal-Casariego A, Burgos-Peláez R, Martínez-Faedo C, Calvo-Gracia F, Valero-Zanuy M, Luengo-Pérez L, et al. Metabolic effects of L-carnitine on type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes. 2013;121(04):234-8.

105. Xu Y, Jiang W, Chen G, Zhu W, Ding W, Ge Z, et al. L-carnitine treatment of insulin resistance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Advances in Clinical and Experimental Medicine. 2017;26(2):333-8.

106. Das UN. Vitamin C for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension. Archives of medical research. 2019;50(2):11-4.

107. Afkhami-Ardekani M, Shojaoddiny-Ardekani A. Effect of vitamin C on blood glucose, serum lipids & serum insulin in type 2 diabetes patients. Indian Journal of medical research. 2007;126(5):471.

108. Maritim A, Sanders a, Watkins Iii J. Diabetes, oxidative stress, and antioxidants: a review. Journal of biochemical and molecular toxicology. 2003;17(1):24-38.

109. Zhou C, Na L, Shan R, Cheng Y, Li Y, Wu X, et al. Dietary vitamin C intake reduces the risk of type 2 diabetes in Chinese adults: HOMA-IR and T-AOC as potential mediators. Plos one. 2016;11(9):e0163571.

110. Hosseinzadeh H, Nassiri-Asl M. Review of the protective effects of rutin on the metabolic function as an important dietary flavonoid. Journal of endocrinological investigation. 2014;37(9):783-8.

111. Abdulrhman MM, El-Hefnawy MH, Aly RH, Shatla RH, Mamdouh RM, Mahmoud DM, et al. Metabolic effects of honey in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover pilot study. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2013;16(1):66-72.

112. Mohamad RH, Zekry ZK, Al-Mehdar HA, Salama O, El-Shaieb SE, El-Basmy AA, et al. Camel milk as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes: verification of a traditional ethnomedical practice. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2009;12(2):461-5.

113. van der Hoogt M, van Dyk JC, Dolman RC, Pieters M. Protein and fat meal content increase insulin requirement in children with type 1 diabetes - Role of duration of diabetes. Journal of clinical and translational endocrinology. 2017;10:15-21.

114. Shori AB. Camel milk as a potential therapy for controlling diabetes and its complications: A review of in vivo studies. Journal of food and drug analysis. 2015;23(4):609-18.

115. Rashad H, Metwally FM, Ezzat SM, Salama MM, Hasheesh A, Abdel Motaal A. Randomized double-blinded pilot clinical study of the antidiabetic activity of Balanites aegyptiaca and UPLC-ESI-MS/MS identification of its metabolites. Pharmaceutical Biology. 2017;55(1):1954-61.

116. Helal EG, El-Wahab A, Samia M, El Refaey H, Mohammad AA. Antidiabetic and antihyperlipidemic effect of Balanites aegyptiaca seeds (aqueous extract) on diabetic rats. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2013;52(1):725-39.

117. Matter RM, Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Darwish YW, Nada AS, Banoub VP. Zinc supplementation improves glucose homeostasis in patients with Î<sup>2</sup>-thalassemia major

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 1  |  |
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
| 29 |  |
| 30 |  |
| 31 |  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 |  |
| 34 |  |
| 35 |  |
| 36 |  |
| 37 |  |
| 2/ |  |
| 20 |  |
| 39 |  |
| 40 |  |
| 41 |  |
| 42 |  |
| 43 |  |
| 44 |  |
| 45 |  |
| 46 |  |
| 47 |  |
| 48 |  |
| 49 |  |
| 50 |  |
| 50 |  |
| 51 |  |
| 52 |  |
| 53 |  |
| 54 |  |
| 55 |  |
| 56 |  |
| 57 |  |
| 58 |  |
| 59 |  |

complicated with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif). 2020;73.

118. Anderson RA, Roussel AM, Zouari N, Mahjoub S, Matheau JM, Kerkeni A. Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2001;20(3):212-8.

119. El Gayar MH, Aboromia MMM, Ibrahim NA, Abdel Hafiz MH. Effects of ginger powder supplementation on glycemic status and lipid profile in newly diagnosed obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obesity medicine. 2019;14.

120. Moustafa HAM, El Wakeel LM, Halawa MR, Sabri NA, El-Bahy AZ, Singab AN. Effect of Nigella Sativa oil versus metformin on glycemic control and biochemical parameters of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Endocrine. 2019;65(2):286-94.

121. El-Sheikh HM, El-Haggar SM, Elbedewy TA. Comparative study to evaluate the effect of L-carnitine plus glimepiride versus glimepiride alone on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes and metabolic syndrome: clinical research and reviews. 2019;13(1):167-73.

122. Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, El-Naggar AR, Hamouda MH, El-Hamamsy M. The effect of 12 weeks carnosine supplementation on renal functional integrity and oxidative stress in pediatric patients with diabetic nephropathy: a randomized placebocontrolled trial. Pediatric diabetes. 2018;19(3):470-7.

123. Ali S, Ghanem Y, Sharaki O, Hewedy W, al. e. The impact of different regimens of vitamin d3 on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. Asian journal of pharmaceutical and clinical research. 2019;12(12):21-6.

124. Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Zaki MA, Darwish YW, Ibrahim MZ, El-Hamamsy M. Vitamin B complex supplementation as a homocysteine-lowering therapy for early stage diabetic nephropathy in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Clinical Nutrition. 2020;39(1):49-56.

125. Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Odeniyi IA, Iwuala S, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Effect of Vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in Type 2 diabetes subjects in Lagos, Nigeria. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016;20(2):189-94.

126. El-Makaky Y, Shalaby HK. The effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on glycemic control in diabetic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Oral diseases. 2020;26:822-9.

127. El-Sharkawy HM, Anees MM, Van Dyke TE. Propolis improves periodontal status and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of periodontology. 2016;87(12):1418-26.

128. Tsobgny-Tsague NF, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nana ARN, Tankeu AT, Katte JC, Dehayem MY, et al. Effects of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on glycated haemoglobin on type 2 diabetes patients (PARODIA 1 study): a randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan Africa population. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):28.

129. Sahile AT, Mgutshini T, Ayehu SM. Oral Health Screening Status of Diabetes Patients in Selected Hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018. Patient Related Outcome Measures. 2020;11:173.

130. Organization WH. Promoting Oral Health in Africa: Prevention and control of oral diseases and noma as part of essential noncommunicable disease interventions. 2016.

131. Nteleki B, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. Conventional podiatric intervention and phototherapy in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Seminars in Vascular Surgery. 2015;28(3-4):172-83.

132. Yakoot M, Abdelatif M, Helmy S. Efficacy of a new local limb salvage treatment for limb-threatening diabetic foot wounds-a randomized controlled study. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy. 2019;12:1659.

133. Wang HT, Yuan JQ, Zhang B, Dong ML, Mao C, Hu D. Phototherapy for treating foot ulcers in people with diabetes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017(6).

134. Henshaw FR, Bolton T, Nube V, Hood A, Veldhoen D, Pfrunder L, et al. Topical application of the bee hive protectant propolis is well tolerated and improves human diabetic foot ulcer healing in a prospective feasibility study. Journal of Diabetes and its Complications. 2014;28(6):850-7.

135. Afkhamizadeh M, Aboutorabi R, Ravari H, Fathi Najafi M, Ataei Azimi S, Javadian Langaroodi A, et al. Topical propolis improves wound healing in patients with diabetic foot ulcer: a randomized controlled trial. Natural product research. 2018;32(17):2096-9.

136. Hegazi R, El-Gamal M, Abdel-Hady N, Hamdy O. Epidemiology of and risk factors for type 2 diabetes in Egypt. Ann Glob Health. 2015;81(6):814-20.

137. Sherwani SI, Khan HA, Ekhzaimy A, Masood A, Sakharkar MK. Significance of HbA1c test in diagnosis and prognosis of diabetic patients. Biomarker insights. 2016;11:BMI. S38440.

138. Basu S, Flood D, Geldsetzer P, Theilmann M, Marcus ME, Ebert C, et al. Estimated effect of increased diagnosis, treatment, and control of diabetes and its associated cardiovascular risk factors among low-income and middle-income countries: a microsimulation model. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(11):e1539-e52.

139. Medicine OCfE-B. "The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence". https://www.cebm.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/CEBM-Levels-of-Evidence-2.1.pdf; 2011 [

140. Maho JF. How many languages are there in Africa, really? TRENDS IN LINGUISTICS STUDIES AND MONOGRAPHS. 2004;156:279-96.

E. E. ONL





### BMJ Open

| 1       |  |
|---------|--|
| 2       |  |
| 3       | Education Control Mean Difference Mean Difference Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl |
|         | 1.1.1 End of follow-up   |
| 5       | Abaza 2017 (Egypt) 8.73 1.98 34 8.84 2.4 39 5.7% -0.11 [-1.12, 0.90]   |
| 7       | Essien 2017 (Nigeria) 8.4 1.67 53 10.2 2.19 51 8.4% -1.80 [-2.55, -1.05]   |
| 7<br>9  | Mash 2014 (South Africa) 8.4 2 391 8.8 2.2 475 17.5% -0.40 [-0.68, -0.12]  |
| 0       | Muchiri 2016 (South Africa) 9.8 1.92 41 10.4 1.92 41 7.4% -0.60 [-1.43, 0.23]  |
| 9<br>10 | Takenga 2014 (Congo) 6.73 1.59 17 8.6 1.35 14 5.4% -1.87 [-2.91,-0.83]   |
| 10      | Tawfik 2016 (Egypt) 7.5 0.8 107 8.12 0.9 107 18.6% -0.62 [-0.85, -0.39] =  |
| 11      | Webb 2015 (South Africa) 8.54 2.11 225 8.76 2.22 191 14.4% -0.22 [-0.64, 0.20]<br>Subtotal (95% CI) 1038 1078 100.0% -0.66 [-0.94, -0.39] ◆  |
| 12      | Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.10; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 21.97, df = 8 (P = 0.005); l <sup>2</sup> = 64%                                   |
| 13      | Test for overall effect: Z = 4.66 (P < 0.00001)  |
| 15      | 1.1.2 Change until end of follow-up  |
| 16      | Debussche 2018 (Mali) -1.05 2.09 70 -0.15 1.75 70 38.0% -0.90 [-1.54, -0.26]   |
| 17      | Hailu 2018 (Ethiopia) -2.88 4.28 78 -2.57 3.59 64 29.7% -0.31 [-1.60, 0.98]  |
| 18      | Subtotal (95% Cl) 196 180 100.0% -1.33 [-2.65, -0.01]  |
| 19      | Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 1.08; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 10.57, df = 2 (P = 0.005); l <sup>2</sup> = 81%                                   |
| 20      | TESTION OVER AN ENEUL $\Delta = 1.86$ (F = 0.03)   |
| 21      | -1 $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$  |
| 22      | Favours education Favours control  |
| 23      |  |
| 23      |  |
| 25      |  |
| 26      |  |
| 27      |  |
| 28      |  |
| 29      |  |
| 30      |  |
| 31      |  |
| 32      |  |
| 33      |  |
| 34      |  |
| 35      |  |
| 36      |  |
| 37      |  |
| 38      |  |
| 39      |  |
| 40      |  |
| 41      |  |
| 42      |  |
| 43      |  |
| 44      |  |
| 45      |  |
| 46      |  |
| 47      |  |
| 48      |  |
| 49      |  |
| 50      |  |
| 51      |  |
| 52      |  |
| 53      |  |
| 54      |  |
| 55      |  |
| 50      |  |
| 5/      |  |
| 58      |  |
| 59      |  |
| 60      |  |

| 2   |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
|-----|--|---------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------|-------|----------------------|---|
| 3   |  | Physica       | l educatio | n        | Co           | ontrol |       | Mean Difference      | Mean Difference                           |
| 4 - | Study or Subgroup                                | Mean          | SD T       | otal     | Mean         | SD     | Total | IV, Fixed, 95% CI    | IV, Fixed, 95% CI                         |
| 5   | Fayehun 2018 (Nigeria)<br>Maharai 2016 (Nigeria) | 6.26<br>7 1 2 | 0.17       | 23<br>46 | 6.82<br>g pe | 0.32   | 23    | -0.56 [-0.71, -0.41] | <sup>+</sup>                              |
| 6   | van Rooijen 2004 (South Africa)                  | 8.99          | 2.59       | 75       | 8.26         | 1.97   | 74    | 0.73 [-0.01, 1.47]   | · _+-                                     |
| 7   | Yan 2014 (Mozambique)                            | 7.7           | 2.23       | 31       | 7.7          | 2.53   | 10    | 0.00 [-1.75, 1.75]   |   |
| 8   |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      | -4 -2 0 2 4                               |
| 9   |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      | Favours physical activity Favours control |
| 10  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 11  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 12  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 13  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 14  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 15  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 16  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 17  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 18  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 19  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 20  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 21  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 22  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 23  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 24  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 25  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 26  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 20  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 27  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 20  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 30  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 31  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 37  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 32  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 34  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 35  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 36  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 37  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 38  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 39  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 40  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 41  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 42  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 43  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 44  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 45  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 46  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 47  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 48  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 49  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 50  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 51  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 52  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 53  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 54  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 55  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 56  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 57  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |

# Studies on patients with pre-DM

| Study name<br>registration<br>number<br>Design             | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                                | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Strategies to en   | hance physical                                  | lactivity  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>RezkAllah</b><br>2019<br>ACTRN126170<br>00631303<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban<br>07/2017-<br>01/2018          | Pre-DM, 25-45 yrs, BMI of<br>25–30 kg/m2, HbA1C 5.7–<br>6.4%, fasting glucose 100–<br>125 mg/dL, sedentary<br>lifestyle<br>No history of diabetes,<br>cancer, prediabetic<br>neuropathy, stroke,<br>pulmonary embolism, or<br>severe musculoskeletal<br>problems restricting physical<br>activity  | n=60<br>45 % females<br>age (yrs): 32.9±5.5<br>BMI (kg/m²): 28.3±1.4   | IG2 (n=20):<br>High-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>40 min/session<br>vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Low-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>25 min/session<br>Both with 90 % HR<br>maximum, 3 times/week<br>CG (n=20):<br>No exercise intervention<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Other:</u> fasting glucose           | After 3 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: Benefit for IG<br>4.87±0.34 (-26 %) vs. 5.13±0.57 (-<br>14.5 %) vs. 6.25±0.48 (+3.38 %)<br>(p=0.0001)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dL):<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: 90.8±4.13 (-<br>17.8 %) vs. 93.8±4.16 (-13.2 %) vs.<br>103.8±7.21 (+2.9 %) (p=0.0001) |
| Strategies on nu   | utrition  |  | - 52   |  | Duine e u  | often O vulc   |
| 2018<br>DRKS<br>00005131<br>Cross-over-<br>RCT             | urban<br>10/2013-<br>03/2014                    | age (yrs): 30 -65,<br>FPG 5.6-6.9 mmol/l (100–<br>125 mg/dL) on 2 days or on<br>one day + HbA1c 5.7-7.5 %,<br>BMI 27–35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , BP 90/60-<br>160/110 mmHg, waist<br>circumference > 80/90 cm for<br>women / men<br>No chronic disease, taking<br>regular intake of medication,<br>identified glucose-6-<br>phosphatase-dehydrogenase<br>deficiency, heavy alcohol<br>consumption, pregnancy, | 55% female<br>age (yrs): 47.5±8.7<br>HbA1c (%):5.85±0.43<br>FPG: (mmol/l):<br>5.34±0.49<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):29.6±2.2 | started with bitter gourd<br>supplementation (2,5 g)<br>over 8 wks, followed by<br>placebo over 8 wks<br>vs.<br><u>CG/IG (n=31):</u><br>first placebo over 8 wks,<br>followed by bitter gourd<br>over 8 wks<br>washout period: 4 wks<br><u>Duration</u> 8 weeks                                    | FPG<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>HbA1c, Insulin, SBP, DBP,<br>lipids | FPG (mmol/l):<br>Benefit for IG/CG: MD 0.31 (0.08-0.5<br><u>HbA1c: (%):</u><br>No differences (MD 0.05)  |

| egistration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time          | Po<br>Inclusion / Exclusion crite | ppulation<br>eria Characteristics | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
|                                 |  | lactation                         |                                   |   |  |   |
| BMI: Body mas<br>HbA1c: haemo   | ss index; CG: Cor<br>oglobin A1c; IG/( | CG: cross over from IG to C       | G; IG: intervention group; n:     | number of participants; MD: me                        | an difference; RCT: randomi  | ellitus; FPG: fasting plasma glucose;<br>ized controlled trial; SBP: Systolic blood                               |
| pressure; SD: S                 | Standard-deviatio                      | on; wks: weeks; yrs: years        |                                   |   |  |   |
| upplementar                     | ry Table 1: Cha                        | aracteristics and results o       | of studies on patients with       | pre-DM  |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   | boot in the second seco |   |

 Studies on patients with DM1

| Study name                        | Setting                           | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Educational str                   | ategies                           |   |  |  |   |  |
| Malipa 2013                       | Zambia                            | DM1, 16-19 yrs  | n=40<br>55% females  | <u>IG (n=20):</u> 1 meeting /wk<br>over 8 wks  | Compliance to treatment<br>(Rating scale for  | After 2 months:<br>Compliance: better in IG (11.0 vs. 30;  |
| RCT                               |                                   |   | 16-17 yrs: 35 %<br>18-19 yrs: 65 %<br>Compliance: worse in<br>IG 26.4 vs. 14.6<br>(p=0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes:<br>20.5<br>Worries about<br>diabetes: 20.5<br>Satisfaction with life:<br>20.5 | <u>CG (n=20);</u> waiting list<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 wks   | compliance)<br>Quality of life (impact and<br>worries about diabetes,<br>satisfaction with life)              | p<0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes: better in IG (16.8<br>vs. 24.2; p=0.045)<br>Worries about diabetes: better in IG<br>(14.32 vs. 26.68; p=0.001)<br>Satisfaction with life: better in IG (28.5<br>vs. 12.5; p<0.001) |
| Strategies to er                  | nhance physical                   | activity  |  |  |   |  |
| Salem 2010                        | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban           | DM1 for ≥3 years, 12-18 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥7.5 % for ≥6 months  | n=196<br>61.7 % female   | I <u>G2 (n=73):</u><br>attended exercise   | glycemic control, plasma<br>lipids values, blood  | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT                               | 02/2009-<br>11/2009               | no significant diabetic<br>complications limiting<br>exercise like, uncontrolled<br>hypertension, diabetic keto-<br>acidosis, severe<br>hypoglycemia within the past<br>3 months, patients on lipid<br>lowering therapy | age (yrs): 14.78 ± 2.31<br>HbA1c (%): 8.7±1.7<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.6 ± 1.9  | sessions three<br>times/week<br>vs.<br><u>IG 1 (n=75):</u> attended<br>exercise sessions once<br>times/week<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=48):</u> no exercise<br><u>Duration: 6 months</u> | pressure, severity and<br>frequency of<br>hypoglycemia,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements and<br>insulin dose | Benefit for IG2 and IG1:<br>7.8 ± 1.0 vs. 8.1 ± 1.1 vs. 8.9 ± 1.3%<br>(p=0.2)  |
| Strategies on n                   | utrition                          |   |  |  |   |  |
| Abdulrhman<br>2013<br>NCT01554566 | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care | DM1, age > 2 yrs,<br>HbA1c< 10 %<br>no renal or hepatic   | n=20<br>50 % females<br>age (yrs): 11.3 ± 4.3<br>duration of diabetes  | <u>IG/ CG (n=10):</u><br>Honey consumption<br>(0.5 ml/kg body weight<br>per day)   | Primary: serum lipids, c-<br>peptide<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>anthropometric measures                         | After 12 weeks: (IG/CG vs. CG/IG):<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>• Benefit with CG/IG: 6.7±0.9 vs. 5.9±0.8<br>(p<0.01)   |
| cross-over                        | 01/2010 -                         | impairment, coexisting  | (yrs): 4.7±4.5   | VS.  | (e.g. BIVII), fasting and 2h-   | • no differences in change in period 1: -  |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populati  | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period<br>intervention effects (IG vs<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
| RCT                              | 10 / 2011                     | diseases or therapies that<br>may affect body weight or<br>serum lipids   | HbA1c (%):7.21± 0.76<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.5±22.5  | <u>CG/IG (n=10):</u> changed<br>after 12 wks and received<br>than honey<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 wks.   | postprandial glucose,<br>HBA1c, serum lipid profile   | 5.83 ± 13.66 vs. 2.94±8.82<br><u>Fasting glucose</u> (mg/dl):<br>• benefit with CG/IG: 142.7<br>116.7±19.4 (p<0.01)<br>benefit with IG/CG in peri<br>10.84 vs0.08±5.14 (p=0. |
| Mohamad<br>2009                  | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, age 17 to 20 yrs   | n=64<br>30 % female<br>age (yrs): 19.9±6.8  | <u>IG (n=27):</u><br>camel milk (500 ml)<br>+usual care   | <u>Not specified:</u><br>HbA1c, human C-peptide,<br>lipid profile, serum  | After 16 wks<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.16±1.84   |
| RCT                              |                               | complications like diabetic<br>ketoacidosis, hypoglycaemia,<br>cardiovascular events, renal<br>or acute infections  | HbA1c (%): 9.52±2.08<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 228.7±13.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>18.82±3.01 | vs.<br><u>CG (n=27):</u><br>usual care for diabetes<br>(i.e. diet, exercise, insulin<br>mixtard)<br>Duration: 16 works  | insulin, anti-insulin<br>antibodies, creatinine<br>clearance, albumin<br>extraction in 24 h urine,<br>BMI, Diabetes QoL score,<br>fasting glucoso   | fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>benefit for IG: 227.2±17.7<br>98.9±16.2  |
| van der Hoogt<br>2017            | South Africa                  | DM1, age 4-17 yrs on insulin<br>pump therapy, HbA1c>9,6%<br>for >3months, BMI/age   | n=32<br>41% female<br>age (vrs): 10.4+4.0   | IG1 (n=22):<br>1 home-based_low fat and<br>protein meal   | primary: peak sensor<br>glucose value post-meal,<br>time to peak sensor   | Change over 12 weeks<br>Occurance of hypoglycaer<br>7 (32 %) vs. 1 patients after  |
| cross-over<br>RCT                |                               | z.score -1 to < 3, total daily<br>insulin use of >0,5 u/kg<br>no remission of diabetes,<br>smoking, coeliac disease,<br>cystic fibrosis, diseases or<br>medication that are<br>associated with delayed<br>gastric emptying or altered<br>digestation, glucocorticoids,<br>oral diabetic drugs, no acute | HbA1c (%): 8.2±0.8<br>duration of Diabetes<br>(yrs): 3.5 (1.5-8.0)  | vs.<br><u>IG2 (n=22):</u><br>1 high fat and protein<br>meal with identical<br>carbohydrate content<br>two meals were<br>consumed at dinner time<br>(18:00) under parental<br>supervision at least 1 day<br>apart within one month | glucose, time of first and<br>largest correction bolus,<br>total correction insulin,<br>total meal insulin,<br>additional insulin<br>required ,area under the<br>sensor glucose response<br>curve (AUC) (≥ 8 mmol/L),<br>duration of elevated post-<br>prandial glucose | / (02 /0) /01 2 patients and   |
| Medical device                   |                               | 1111123523  |   | Duration. Smonths   |   |  |
| Elbarbary<br>2016                | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, adolescents and adults<br>who wished to fast the<br>month of Ramadan with  | n=73<br>68.3% female<br>age (yrs): 15.6+2.7   | Insulin pump therapy<br>during Ramadan fasting  | Primary: hypoglycaemia<br>Other: glucose value,<br>number of 'full fasted   | After 1 months:<br>Glucose value (mg/dl):<br>152 5+17 3 vs. 141+33 8 (   |
| RCT                              | 06/2014-<br>07/2014           | insulin pump for $\geq 6$ months  | HbA1c (%): 7.65±0.9   | <u>IG (n=25):</u><br>sensor with low glucose  | days', emergency hospital   | Complications:   |

| Study name                             | Setting                       | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design       | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|  |                               | education session 2 months<br>before fasting and committed<br>to follow-up the given<br>instructions  | 24.56±3.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 5.8±2.9<br>on pump therapy<br>(yrs): 1.73±0.99 | suspension activation<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=35):</u><br>sensor without low<br>glucose suspension  | problem   | 3.68±1.62 vs. 6.7±2.1 (p=0.001)<br>Number of hyperglycaemic excursion<br>17.0±4.0 vs. 23.0±7.6 (p=0.001)<br>No severe hypoglycaemic events, no<br>episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis, no |
|  |                               | no diabetic ketoacidosis,<br>episodes of severe<br>hypoglycaemia or symptoms<br>of uncontrolled diabetes in<br>the last 6 months, diabetic<br>microvascular complications<br>or macrovascular disease |  | activation<br><u>Duration</u> :1 month   |   | hyperglycaemic events associated wit<br>ketosis no deaths or device-related<br>SAE  |
|  |                               | pregnant women  |  |  |   |   |
| Pharmacologic                          | al Strategies                 |   |  |  |   |   |
| Elbarbary<br>2018<br>NCT0292825<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, age: 9 - 18 yrs, ≥ 5 yrs<br>disease duration, active<br>diabetic nephropathy in the<br>form of microalbuminuria,<br>HbA1c ≤ 8.5 %  | n=90<br>52.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 12.85±3.1<br>HbA1c (%):7.85±1.95                       | <u>IG (n=45):</u><br>1 g/d carnosine<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>control/placebo group      | Primary: change in<br>tubular damage marker<br>Secondary: urinary<br>albumin excretion (UAE),<br>oxidative stress markers<br>Safety: any AE | After 12 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>• Benefit for IG: 7.4 ±1.3 vs. 8.3±2.4<br>• change -9.88±7.12 vs. 3.89±2.28<br>(p=0.005)<br>No adverse reactions were reported                    |
|  |                               | no infection, renal<br>impairment due to other<br>causes other than diabetes,<br>other diabetic complications,<br>hypersensitivity to carnosine   |  | Patients in both groups<br>received oral ACE-Is<br>captopril 25 mg<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks |   |   |
| Elbarbary<br>2020<br>NCT03594240       | Egypt,<br>urban<br>03/2017-   | DM1 on insulin therapy with<br>> 5 yrs of disease duration,<br>12-18 yrs, active<br>nephropathy, HbA1c< 8.5 %.  | n=80<br>55% female<br>age (yrs): 15.4 ± 1.6<br>HbA1c (%):7.95±0.5                          | both groups received oral<br>angiotensis-converting-<br>enzyme inhibitors<br>(captopril)       | <u>Primary</u> : Cystatin C<br>diet, physical activity, and<br>metformin dosage   | after 12 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.5±0.6 vs. 8.0±0.6<br>Fasting glucose (mg/dl):  |
| RCT                                    | 03/2018                       | no infections, renal<br>impairment due to other   | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 114.5±21.8<br>duration of diabetes                             | <u>IG (n=40)</u> oral vitamin B<br>complex (B1,B6,B12)   |   | 107.7±14.1 vs. 116.4±17 (p=131)   |

|                                  | Setting                       | Populatio  | on                      | Intervention vs. Control                        | Outcomes                     | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics         | Description with duration                       | Primary and secondary        | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value |
|                                  |                               | elevated liver enzymes,<br>hyper-or hypothyroidism,<br>hypertension, neoplasm,<br>taking any vitamins or food<br>supplements within 1 months<br>before study start |                         | <u>CG (n=40):</u> placebo<br>Duration: 12 weeks |                              |  |
| BMI: Body mas                    | s index; CG: Cor              | ntrol group; CG/IG: Crossover from   | CG to IG; CI: Confider  | nce interval; DM1: Type 1 diabet                | es; FPG: fasting plasma gluc | ose; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG/CG:  |
| cross over from                  | n IG to CG; IG: ii            | ntervention group; n: number of p  | articipants ;RCT: rando | omized controlled trial; SD: Stan               | dard-deviation; wks: weeks;  | yrs: years   |
|                                  |                               |  |                         |   |                              |  |
|                                  |                               |  |                         |   |                              |  |
|                                  |                               |  |                         |   |                              |  |

# RCTs mainly including patients with DM2

| Inclusion / Exclusion criteria       Characteristics       Description with duration       Primary and secondary       Longest follow-up period with intervention affects (G vs. CG v         Abaza 2017       Egypt,<br>Urban,<br>tertiary       DMZ, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read       n=73       Diabetes awareness<br>paper-based educations<br>material pilos       Primary and secondary       After 3 months:<br><u>Benefit with G vs. CG v</u> RCT       03-07/2015       DMZ, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read       n=73       Diabetes awareness<br>paper-based educations<br>material pilos       Primary and secondary       After 3 months:<br><u>Benefit with G vs. 13 1.98 vs.</u><br>8.8482.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 tr<br>0.983; p = 0.406)         RCT       03-07/2015       DMZ, ages 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>tertiary care<br>worker, and therapy       n=220       S6 % females<br>and weekly read-<br>based educations material<br>Duration: 12 wks.       Primary and secondary<br>mediates for yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %       No differences: 8.73 11.98 vs.<br>8.8482.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 tr<br>0.983; p = 0.406)         Adibe 2013       Nigeria,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care       DMZ, ages 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>therapy       n=220       S6 % females<br>so in sulin: 13.6 %<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       Is (n=110):<br>structured self-care<br>grogram by pharmacists<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>grogram by pharmacists<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 26.5 %       DMZ, ages 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>the ra  | Study name                              | Setting   | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Educational strategies         Abaza 2017<br>NCT02868320<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>eare,<br>03-07/2015       DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read<br>age (vrs): 51.51-92,<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for - 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %<br>on insulin: 19.2 %       Diabetes awareness<br>paper-based educations<br>material plus<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br>BMS (insulin: 19.2 %       Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>majority had had<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br>BMS (insulin: 19.2 %       After 3 months:<br>HbA1E (%):<br>No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00) and Morisk<br>3.7640.55 vs. 2.74±107 (pc.000)<br>Hospital/EN with G in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mopregnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy <thp< th=""><th>registration<br/>number<br/>Design</th><th>Place,<br/>setting and<br/>time</th><th>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria</th><th>Characteristics</th><th>Description with duration</th><th>Primary and secondary</th><th>Longest follow-up period with<br/>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br/>SD, 95%-CI or p value</th></thp<> | registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                       | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Abaza 2017<br>NCT02868320<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>RCT       DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %       Diabetes awareness<br>pager-based educations<br>material plus<br>(G (n=34); daily messages<br>and weekly reminders<br>and weekly reminders<br>and weekly reminders<br>and states for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %       Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>handom blood glucose<br>evels, body weight,<br>adherence of treatment<br>and medication, diabetes<br>self-efficary and<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>requention, diabetes<br>self-efficary and<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>requention, failebet<br>self-efficary and<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>requitation       After 3 months:<br>HbA1C (%): .9.280<br>(0.2.280<br>(p=0.003)<br>and motication.<br>.8.84±2.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>.9.83; p=0.406)         Adibe 2013<br>urban,<br>RCT       Nigeria,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care<br>hypergenancy       DM2, age> 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>therapy       n=220<br>age (yrs): 52.67.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>> 5 yrs<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       Issa failebet<br>self.       Primary: incremental<br>Cost utility ratio, net<br>material<br>Duration: 12 months       Primary: incremental<br>Cost utility ratio, net<br>montary benefit<br>Other; quality of life<br>and nurses<br>> 5 yrs<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       Primary: incremental<br>Cost utility ratio, net<br>mate  | Educational stra                        | ategies   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Adibe 2013       Nigeria,<br>urban,       DM2, age≥ 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin       n=220       IG (n=110):<br>structured self-care       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net       After 12 months:         RCT       tertiary care       therapy       age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>no pregnancy       education and training<br>yrogram by pharmacists<br>60.5% with diabetes       program by pharmacists<br>and nurses       Other: quality of life       Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0         60.5% with diabetes       vs.       5 yrs       CG (n=110): usual /<br>on insulin: 13.6 %       Other: quality of life       Benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±<br>QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.<br>(0.07 to 0.16)       benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±<br>QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.<br>(0.07 to 0.16)         Atisi2025       Cheese       DM       n 200       IC: (n 400):       Deriver Correlinger with       After 12 months:  | <b>Abaza 2017</b><br>NCT02868320<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>care,<br>03-07/2015 | DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read | n=73<br>56 % females<br>age (yrs): 51.5±9.2<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %<br>on insulin: 19.2 %<br>DM complication:<br>80.8 %<br>HbA1c (%): 9.7±2.7 | Diabetes awareness<br>program:<br>paper-based educations<br>material plus<br><u>IG (n=34):</u> daily messages<br>and weekly reminders<br>addressing various<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=39):</u> paper-based<br>educations material<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks. | Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>Random blood glucose<br>levels, body weight,<br>adherence of treatment<br>and medication, diabetes<br>self-efficacy and<br>knowledge, rate of<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>frequency of<br>measurements, regular<br>exercise, patients<br>confidence in healthcare<br>provider and satisfaction,<br>healthcare provider's<br>reputation | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>No differences: 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>8.84±2.40, MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.983; p = 0.406)<br>Benefit with IG: 47 vs. 15 % achieved<br>the targeted 1% drop (p = 0.003)<br><u>Random blood glucose</u> (mg/dl):<br>No difference: 181±65 vs. 201±87<br>(p=0.288)<br><u>Treatment adherence (scores)</u> :<br>Benefit with IG in SCI 3.42±0.48 vs.<br>2.52±0.49 (p<0.001) and Morisky:<br>3.76±0.55 vs. 2.74±1.07 (p<0.001)<br><u>Hospital /ER admission</u> (%):<br>No differences: 0 vs. 10.3 (p=0.118) |
| RCT       tertiary care       therapy       age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>no pregnancy       education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses       monetary benefit<br>Other: quality of life       • Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0         NO pregnancy       (yrs): 4.7±2.5,<br>60.5% with diabetes<br>> 5 yrs       education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses       monetary benefit<br>Other: quality of life       • Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0         O.10 (p=0.0001) improved single<br>attributes except "hearing" func-<br>of the patients       • Soften       vs.       • Soften         Soften       On insulin: 13.6 %       conventional care       • benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±       • benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±         hypertension: 60.5 %       Duration: 12 months       Duration: 12 months       • benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±         O(0.07 to 0.16)       • incremental cost-utility ratio of \$<br>per QALY       • account of \$<br>per QALY  | Adibe 2013                              | Nigeria,<br>urban,                                  | DM2, age≥ 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin                      | n=220<br>58 % females  | IG (n=110):<br>structured self-care   | <u>Primary</u> : incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net  | After 12 months:<br>Quality of life:   |
| Adia: 2015 Change DNA no 200 IC: (no 100). Drimenty Campliance with After Compatible   | RCT                                     | tertiary care                                       | therapy<br>no pregnancy  | age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.7±2.5,<br>60.5% with diabetes<br>> 5 yrs<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %   | education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=110):</u> usual /<br>conventional care<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   | monetary benefit<br><u>Other:</u> quality of life  | <ul> <li>Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0.64 ± 0.10 (p=0.0001) improved single attributes except "hearing" functioning of the patients <u>Costs:</u></li> <li>benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64± 0.15 QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.12 (0.07 to 0.16)</li> <li>incremental cost-utility ratio of \$571 per QALY</li> </ul>   |
| Adjei 2015 Ghana, DM n=200 <u>IG: (n=100):</u> <u>Primary</u> : Compliance with After 6 months:  | Adjei 2015                              | Ghana,  | DM   | n=200  | IG: (n=100):  | Primary: Compliance with   | After 6 months:  |

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 2        |  |
| 2        |  |
| 4        |  |
| 5        |  |
| 6        |  |
| 7        |  |
| 8        |  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       |  |
| 12       |  |
| 13       |  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       |  |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 29       |  |
| 30       |  |
| 31       |  |
| 32       |  |
| 33       |  |
| 34       |  |
| 35       |  |
| 36       |  |
| 37       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 20<br>20 |  |
| 39       |  |
| 40       |  |
| 41       |  |
| 42       |  |
| 43       |  |
| 44       |  |
| 45       |  |
| 46       |  |
|          |  |

| Study name   | Satting  | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                     | Place,<br>setting and<br>time  | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| RCT  |  |  | age (yrs):<br>< 50 yrs: 63 %<br>> 50 yrs: 37 %<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 10.4±3.8  | clinical appointments of<br>patients + alert system<br>for abnormal laboratory<br>results<br>vs.<br><u>CG: (n=100):</u><br>usual diabetes care,<br>paper based method<br><u>Duration:</u> 6 months  | <u>Other</u> : metabolic risk<br>factors, BMI   | (%)<br>Benefit for IG: 97.8 vs. 89.4 (p=0.010)<br><u>Fasting glucose (mmol/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 8.04±2.14 vs. 8.85±2.63;<br>MD 0.4 (-0.59 to -0.36, p=0.022)  |
| <b>Amendezo</b><br><b>2017</b><br>NCT02032108<br>RCT | Rwanda,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care   | DM2>3mths, age>21yrs<br>no pregnancy or severe co-<br>morbid illnesses.  | n=251<br>69.3% females<br>age (yrs): 50.9 ±10.9<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27.9<br>(27.0-28.5)<br>duration of diabetes :<br><10 yrs: 73.7%, >10<br>yrs: 16.3%<br>HbA1c (%): 8.98±8.6-<br>9.3   | IG (n=115):<br>standard care plus<br>monthly lifestyle<br>education sessions of 45<br>min duration<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=108):</u> standard<br>care<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   | <u>Primary</u> : difference in<br>HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : fasting<br>glucose, systolic and<br>diastolic blood pressure,<br>BMI  | after 12 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG with median reductions<br>of -1.70 (-2.09 to-1.31) vs0.52 (-0.95<br>to -0.10); MD: -0.72 ( -1.14 to -0.30;<br>p< 0.001)<br><u>Fasting glucose (mmol/L):</u><br>6.9 (6.45 to 7.36) vs. 9.02 (8.18 to<br>9.87) (p<0.001)  |
| <b>Chraibi 2017</b><br>NCT01589653<br>RCT            | Egypt,<br>Indonesia,<br>Morocco,<br>Saudi<br>Arabia,<br>Vietnam<br>05/2012-<br>07/2015 | DM2 with diagnosis $\geq 12$<br>months, age $\geq 18$ , currently<br>being treated with NPH<br>Insulin for $\geq 3$ months +<br>metformin (1000-1500 mg)<br>for $\geq 2$ months, HbA1c $\geq 7.0\%$<br>$\leq 10\%$ , BMI $\leq 40.0$ kg/m <sup>2</sup><br>no treatment with<br>thiazolidinedione, glucagon-<br>like peptide-1 receptor<br>agonists, pramlintide within<br>the last 3 months , $\geq 1$ IU/kg<br>NPH insulin daily; previous<br>use of premixed or bolus<br>insulin, $\geq 1$ severe<br>hypoglycemic episode during | n=155<br>74.9 % female<br>age (yrs): 54.5 ±10.0<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.05±4.9<br>HbA1c (%): 8.6 ±0.83<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/L): 8.97<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 9.5±5.8<br>African patients:<br>Egypt: 25.75 %<br>Morocco: 27.7 %<br>Diabetic nephropathy<br>/ neuropathy /<br>retinopathy (%): 3.2 /<br>16.1 / 3.2 | IG (n=76):<br>patient driven titration of<br>Biphasic insulin aspart 30<br>twice daily, 3 clinic visits<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=79):</u><br>physician driven titration<br>twice daily, 6 clinic visits<br>Titration in both arms<br>according to the titration<br>protocol bases on self-<br>measured plasma glucose<br>values, measured twice<br>daily on 3 preceding days,<br>telephone contact<br>whenever deemed | Primary: change in HbA1c<br>Secondary: proportion of<br>patients achieving the<br>ADA target of HbA1c<br><7.0 % and the HbA1c<br>target of <6.5 % after<br>20 weeks, FPG changes,<br>hypoglycemic episodes, | <ul> <li>Change over 5 months:<br/><u>HbA1c (%)</u>:</li> <li>Decreased in both arms with non-<br/>inferiority between groups: MD -0.23<br/>(-0.54 to 0.08)</li> <li>More patients reached HbA1c &lt;7.0%:<br/>40.8 vs. 29.1 %, RR: 1.79 (0.87 to 3.65)<br/>and &lt;6.5%: 25 vs. 19 %; RR: 1.52 (0.67<br/>to 3.46)</li> <li>More patients reached target HbA1c<br/>levels without severe or minor<br/>hypoglycemic episodes: &lt;7.0%: 38 vs.<br/>27.8 %, RR: 1.52 (0.61 to 3.79), &lt;6.5%:<br/>18 vs. 14.8 %; RR 1.13 (0.36 to 3.52)<br/><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u></li> <li>Decreased in both arms with no<br/>difference between groups: 0.95±0.28</li> </ul> |

| Study name                               | Setting                              | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results   |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design         | Place,<br>setting and<br>time        | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|  |                                      | the previous 12 month,<br>impaired kidney or hepatic<br>function, proliferative<br>retinopathy or maculopathy<br>requiring treatment | Macroangiopathy (%):<br>5.2   | necessary<br><u>Duration</u> : 20 weeks  |  | <ul> <li>vs. 0.67±0.28; MD: -0.28 (-1.07 to 0<br/><u>Costs</u></li> <li>Less frequent clinic visits to healthc<br/>professionals in IG: 4.8±0.65 vs.<br/>7.5±1.42 visits/patient<br/><u>Complications:</u></li> <li>hypoglycemic episodes: no differen<br/>608.4 vs. 789.2 / 100 patient-years<br/>exposure; RR: 0.74 (0.44; 1.23)<br/>treatment-emergent AEs:<br/>difference:_324.2 vs. 302.2 event<br/>100 patient-years of exposure</li> </ul> |
| <b>Debussche<br/>2018</b><br>NCT01485913 | Mali,<br>urban,<br>secondary<br>care | DM2, age 30-80 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥ 8 %,<br>no DM1 severe diabetes  | n=151<br>76.2% female<br>age (yrs): 52.5±9.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.6+5.4 | IG (n=76):<br>peer-led structured<br>patient education<br>received culturally  | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary:<br>anthropometric<br>indicators (weight and   | Change to 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>• Benefit in IG: MD 1.05 % (-1.<br>0.56) vs0.15 % (-0.56; 0.26) (  |
| RCT                                      | 07/2011-<br>02/2013                  | complications or concomitant<br>illnesses that threatened<br>their functional or vital<br>prognosis                                  | Divit (Kg/11) .20.013.4   | tailored structured<br>patient education (3<br>courses of 4 sessions)<br>delivered in the<br>community by five<br>trained peer educators<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=75):</u> conventional<br>care alone<br><u>Duration</u> :1 yr | BMI, waist<br>circumference), SBP, DBP,<br>anti-diabetic and anti-<br>hypertensive treatment,<br>knowledge score, dietary<br>practices | 0.006)  |
| Essien                                   | Nigeria,                             | DM1 or DM2, age: $\geq$ 18 yrs,  | n=118   | <u>IG: (n=59):</u>   | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c  | After 6 months:   |
| 2017                                     | urban,<br>tertiary                   | HbA1c> 8.5 %, able to engage in moderate exercise,   | 60.2 % female<br>age (yrs): 52.7±10.5   | intensive and systematic<br>disease self-management  |  | <u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>8.4 (8 to 8.9) vs. 10.2 (9.8 to 10.7);   |
| PACTR201302                              | care,                                |  | BMI (kg/m²):  | education programme  |  | MD <sub>a</sub> : -1.8 (-2.4 to -1.2); (p < 0.0001  |
| 00047835                                 | 09/2013-                             | no eye disease that would<br>limit the ability to read   | 28.9±7.5<br>HbA1c (%):10.7±1.6  | (invitation and<br>encouragement by  |  |   |
| RCT                                      | 05/2014                              |  | type of diabetes<br>• DM1: 14.4 %<br>• DM2: 85.6 %                                | clinical staff to attend 12<br>structured teaching<br>sessions)<br>vs.   |  |   |

| Study name  | Setting   | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|   |   |   |   | <u>CG (n=59):</u> conventional<br>disease-self-management<br>education<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months  |  |   |
| Fairall 2016<br>ISRCTN20283<br>604<br>Cluster-RCT | South Africa<br>,<br>urban/rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>03/2011 –<br>11 / 2011 | age ≥ 18 yrs , clinics providing<br>service for NCD Patients with<br>DM, hypertension, chronic<br>respiratory disease or<br>depression, with self-<br>reported hypoglycaemic (in<br>case of DM) | n= 38 public sector<br>primary care clinics,<br>4393 patients,<br>n=1842 with DM<br>73 % female<br>age (yrs):median,<br>IQR): 52 (42-61) vs. 52<br>(44-62)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30±8<br>HbA1c (%):9 (4-17), in<br>HbA1c in DM≥ 7 %:<br>77 % | IG (n=2166, 851 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses were trained to<br>use a primary care<br>programme to support<br>and expand nurses'role in<br>NCD care and contains a<br>clinical management tool<br>with enhances<br>prescribing provisions<br>vs.<br>CG (n=2227, 991 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses continued to use<br>the Lung Health and<br>HIV/AIDS approach with<br>usual training<br>Duration: 14 months | Primary (for DM):<br>treatment intensification<br>(addition or increase in<br>dose of metformin and/or<br>sulphonylurea, insulin,<br>ACE-inhibitor, aspirin,<br>statin     | over 14 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>< 7 %: 41 vs. 38 %; RR 1.08 (0.77 to<br>1.52; p=0.638)<br>7-10 %: 69 vs. 55 %; RR 1.30 (1.16 to<br>1.47; p<0.001)<br>>10 %: 71 vs. 73 %; RR 0.97 (0.81 to<br>1.16; p=0.703)<br><u>Treatment intensification rates* (%):</u><br>57% vs. 50%, RRa: 1.11 (0.99 to 1.26)<br>(p=0.083) for patients with DM |
| Hailu 2018<br>NCT03185689<br>RCT                  | Ethiopia,<br>urban,<br>02/2016-<br>10/2017                                      | DM2, age > 18 yrs<br>no DM1 or GDM, pregnant<br>women, severe cognitive or<br>physical impairment, and<br>terminally ill people   | n=220<br>33 % female<br>age (yrs): 54.5±10<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):25±4<br>HbA1c (%):10.5±4   | IG (n= 116):<br>Nurse-led disease-<br>management education:<br>6 sessions, supported<br>with illustrative pictures<br>handbooks and fliers,<br>customized to local<br>conditions by trained<br>nurses<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=104):</u><br>usual follow-up care<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 months  | <u>Primary</u> :<br>patients with target<br>HbA1c ( ≤ 7 %)<br><u>Secondary</u> : systolic and<br>diastolic blood pressure,<br>fasting glycose, BMI,<br>waist circumference | Change over 9 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>No difference: 45 % vs. 50 % with<br>target values (p=0.21), MD: 2.88% (-<br>3.85 to -1.92) vs. 2.57% (-3.47 to -<br>1.67)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>Benefit with IG: 36 % vs.25 % with<br>target values, MD: -27 ( -45 to -9;<br>p=0.003)   |

| Page 47 | of | 100 |
|---------|----|-----|
|---------|----|-----|

| Study name                                     | Setting   | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design               | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                 | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Labhardt<br>2011<br>NCT00744458<br>Cluster-RCT | Cameroon<br>rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>08/2008-<br>02/2010 | newly detected adult patients<br>with DM2 and /or<br>hypertension in the<br>catchment area of nurse-led<br>health centres, staffed,<br>equipped and trained to care<br>for DM2 and hypertension | n=33 facilities, 221<br>patients<br>64% females<br>age (yrs): 59.8±12.7<br>diabetes: 15.4 %<br>Overweight (BMI 25-<br>29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.5 %<br>Obesity<br>(BMI> 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>20.4 % | IG 1 (11 centres, n=55):<br>incentive group<br>free treatment for<br>1 months for patients<br>who regularly attended<br>follow up visits<br>vs.<br>IG 2 (11 centres, n=77):<br>letter group: reminder<br>letters in case of a missed<br>follow-up visit<br>vs.<br>CG (11 centres, n=89):<br>no additional<br>intervention<br>Duration: 12 months                             | Primary:<br>Patient retention at 1 yr<br>(≥ 12 follow-up visits<br>within 12 months)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Adherence with timely<br>attendance of follow-up<br>visit schemes and<br>changes in blood pressure<br>and blood glucose levels.  | After 12 months:<br><u>Retention rates (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2 vs.CG: 60 v<br>vs. 29 %; MD 34 (21 to 46) with no<br>differences between IG1 and IG2;<br>5 (-22 to 12)<br><u>Loss to follow-up:</u><br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: IG1 vs. CG<br>0.44 (0.27 to 0.72; p< 0.001)<br>IG2 vs. CG: HR 0.38 (0.24 to 0.61;<br>p<0.001)<br><u>Adherence (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: 38 vs. 35 v<br>10; MD 26 (14 to 42), IG1 vs CG: N<br>28(13 to 37); IG2 vs. CG: MD 25 (1<br>37)<br>no difference between IG1 and IG<br>MD 3 (-14 to 20)<br><u>FPG:</u><br>No difference between groups |
| Mash 2014                                      | South   | DM2 with any therapy  | n=34 public sector   | IG (17 health centres,   | Primary:  | No differences between groups<br>After 12 months:   |
| Cluster RCT                                    | Africa,<br>urban,<br>primary<br>care,<br>12/2010<br>-12/2012  | attending community health<br>centres in the working class<br>areas of Cape Town<br>Metropole<br>no DM1, dementia, mental<br>illness or acute illness   | community health<br>centres, 1570<br>patients<br>73.8% females<br>age (yrs): 56.1±11.6<br>HbA1c (%): 9.1±2.3   | n=/10):<br>4 monthly sessions lasting<br>60 min with group<br>education about diabetes<br>topics (understanding<br>diabetes and medication,<br>living a healthy lifestyle<br>and preventing<br>complications), delivered<br>by a health promotion<br>officer vs.<br><u>CG (17 health centres,</u><br><u>n=860):</u><br>usual care: ad hoc advice<br>during consultations and | improvement of diabetes<br>self-care activities (5 %<br>weight loss, and a 1 %<br>reduction in HbA1c level)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>improved diabetes<br>specific self-efficacy,<br>locus of control, mean<br>blood pressure, mean<br>weight loss, mean waist<br>circumference, mean<br>HbA1c, mean total<br>cholesterol levels, quality<br>of life | HDA1C (%):<br>No differences: 8.4±2.0 vs. 8.8±2.7<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.01 (-0.27 to 0.28; p=0.967)<br><u>Adherence (self-care activities):</u><br>No differences in scores of physica<br>activity, use of diet plan or medica<br>foot care or frequency of smoking<br><u>Quality of life:</u><br>No differences in physical function<br>role or social functioning, mental<br>general health and pain<br><u>Costs:</u><br>Incremental cost effectiveness rat<br>1862 Dollar/ QALY gained  |

| Study name                                      | Setting   | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value                                      |
|   |   |   |   | occasional educational<br>talks in waiting room<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   |   |  |
| Muchiri 2015                                    | South<br>Africa.                                  | DM2, age 40-70 yrs attending community health centres.  | n=82<br>86.6 % female   | <u>IG (n=41):</u><br>education materials+ 8  | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br>Secondary:  | over 12 months<br>HbA1c (%):   |
| RCT   | rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>04/2010-<br>11/2011 | HbA1c≥ 8 %, blood sugar<br>levels ≥ 10 mmol/l, duration<br>of diabetes ≥ 1 yr<br>no insulin therapy, pregnant<br>women, full time employed  | age (yrs): 59±7.4<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30.9±6.9<br>HbA1c (%): 11.1±2.0<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6   | weekly group educational<br>sessions about diabetes<br>and nutrition, follow-up<br>sessions+vegetable<br>gardening<br><u>CG (n=41):</u><br>education materials<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months  | Other clinical outcomes<br>(BMI, blood pressure and<br>blood lipids), HbA1c,<br>dietary behaviours  | <ul> <li>no difference: 9.8±1.92 vs. 10.4±1.92;</li> <li>MD –0.63 (-0.26 to 1.50; p=0.16)</li> </ul>                                 |
| <b>Owolabi 2019</b><br>PACTR201810<br>599931422 | South Africa<br>urban/rural,<br>primary<br>care   | DM, age ≥18 yrs, DM<br>diagnosed at least in the last<br>6 months, currently receiving<br>treatment at the selected   | n=216<br>84.3 % females<br>age (yrs): 60.6±11.6<br>DM2 (%): 94  | IG (n=108):<br>daily SMS text-messaging<br>SMS at an agreed time of<br>the day, according to   | Primary: Morning random<br>blood sugar<br>Secondary:<br>co-morbid outcomes  | Over 6 months:<br><u>Blood glucose levels</u> (mmol/L):<br>-1.58±5.29 vs1.95±4.69; MD 0.51(-<br>0.8 to 1.82) MD 0.26 (-0.81 to 1.32) |
| RCT   | 07/2018-<br>04/2019                               | clinics, on stable medication<br>for ≥ 3 months prior to<br>recruitment, uncontrolled<br>glycaemic control,<br>in possession of a mobile<br>phone, able to retrieve and<br>read SMSs and willing to<br>receive SMSs<br>health or mental conditions<br>that could interfere with the<br>study, pregnant or planning<br>to get pregnant within the<br>next 6 months, debilitated or<br>handicapped in such a way<br>that obtaining<br>anthropometric<br>measurements could be | Treated with oral pills<br>(%): 75.5<br>Duration of DM (yrs):<br>9.1±7.4<br>Duration of DM<br>treatment<br>(yrs): 8.8±7.2<br>Hypertension (%):<br>83.0<br>Random blood<br>glucose (mmol/L):<br>14.34±3.9<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.2±6.2 | their needs, care plan and<br>goal with motivational<br>and support messages,<br>advice on lifestyle<br>behaviours (e.g. diets,<br>physical activity, smoking<br>cessation, medication and<br>appointment reminders)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=108):</u><br>usual diabetes care<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months | (hypertension and<br>obesity), obtained<br>through blood pressure<br>measurement,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements (body<br>weight, BMI)<br>acceptability, feasibility |  |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) w<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|                                  |                               | challenging  |  |  |   |  |
| Sodipo 2017<br>RCT               | Nigeria,<br>primary           | DM2 ≥ 18 yrs. on antidiabetic medication   | n=120<br>gender: 50% female  | <u>IG (n=60):</u><br>Self-monitoring of blood  | HbA1C, fasting glucose  | after 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
|                                  | care,                         | no patients with   | age (yrs): 59±10.95<br>HbA1c (%): 8.7±2.45   | glucose before and after<br>meals 3 days a week for  |   | No difference: 7.2±2.0 vs.7.7±2.0<br>0.174)  |
|                                  | 03/2013-<br>11/2013           | emergencies, chronic<br>complications such as<br>nephropathy, neuropathy<br>etc., those already using<br>glucometer  | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 152±60.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 50%> 3yrs   | 12 weeks<br><u>CG (n=60);</u> non SMBG<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks   |   | fasting glucose <u>(mg/dl)</u> :<br>No difference: 123.2±35.1 vs.<br>137.6±50.1 (p=0.087)    |
| Steyn 2013                       | South<br>Africa.              | public sector primary health<br>care clinics (CHC) with ≥ 25   | 18 community health centres  | IG (9 clinics, n=229):<br>introduction of structured   | <u>primary:</u> HbA1C in the<br>diabetes group                    | After 3 months:<br>HbA1c (%):  |
| Cluster-RCT                      | urban,<br>primary<br>care.    | diabetes and ≥ hypertension<br>patients<br>age ≥15vrs. a documented  | n=1096, of them<br>n= 456 with DM<br>age (vrs): 58.3 ± 11  | clinical record with<br>guidelines prompts after<br>training of doctors in   | <u>secondary:</u><br>uncontrolled glycaemia<br>(HbA1c ≥7%) in the | IG: 8.8% vs. 8.8%; MDa -1.0 (-1.1<br>0.9)<br>HbA1c ≥7% (%):                                  |
|                                  | 1999-2000                     | attendee at the particular<br>CHC with ≥ 4 visits during the<br>previous year for<br>hypertension or diabetes who<br>received treatment for these<br>conditions at each visit<br>no patients_being unable to<br>answer a questionnaire | gender:74 % females<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2)</sup> :<br>30.7 ± 6.2<br>Type of Diabetes:<br>• DM1: 5.8%<br>• DM2: 91.35%<br>uncertain DM type:<br>2.85% | their use and suggestions<br>to incorporate them in<br>regular patient records,<br>contact over 1 year<br>vs.<br><u>CG (9 clinics, n= 227):</u><br>usual care with passively<br>disseminated guidelines<br><u>Duration:</u> 1 year | diabetes group.   | no relevant difference: 64.1 vs. 6<br>MD 0.90 (0.53 to 1.53)                                 |
| Takenga 2014                     | Congo,<br>urban               | DM2, 35-75 yrs   | n=40<br>20 % females   | <u>IG (n=20):</u><br>self-management of  | primary: HbA1c  | after 2 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT                              |                               |  | age (yrs): 53.3 ± 10.1<br>HbA1c (%): 8.63  | diabetes with Mobil DIAB<br>(telemedical approach)<br><u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=20):</u><br>conventional therapy<br>without telemedical   |   | Benefit for IG: 6.73±1.59 vs. vs.<br>8.6±1.35 (MD -1.87 (-2.91 to -0.8                       |

| Study name                                     | Setting                       | Population   |   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|--|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design               | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
|  |                               |  |   | Duration: 60 days   |  |   |
| Tawfik 2016                                    | Egypt,<br>urban,              | DM2 for ≥ 1 yr, 40-79 yrs<br>attending an outpatient clinic  | n=255<br>53.7 % females   | IG (n=127):<br>comprehensive  | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary:   | After 3 months:<br>HbA1c (%):   |
|  | o5/2015-<br>09/2015           | no patients who were already<br>using a similar medication<br>chart, severe or terminal<br>health conditions, or patients<br>with behavioural health issue<br>that could make it difficult to<br>understand the<br>communication | HbA1c (%): 8.14±1.3<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 8.3±1.3   | communication<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=128):</u><br>standard usual care<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months                                       | perception, diabetes self-<br>care, cardiovascular risk<br>scores  | MD -0.62 (-0.85 to -0.39)<br><u>controlled HbA1c (%):</u><br>32.7 vs. 29.9  |
| <b>Thuita 2020</b><br>PACTR201910<br>518676391 | Kenya<br>Secondary<br>care    | DM2, 20-79 yrs with regular attendance of an outpatient clinic   | n=153<br>59.5 % females<br>age (yrs). 56±11.6<br>Formity bictory of DM  | IG2 (n=51):<br>nutrition education<br>programme for 2 hrs   | <u>Primary:</u> metabolic<br>syndrome prevalence<br>(MetS)   | After 6 months:<br><u>Metabolic syndrome prevalence</u> : lower<br>with IG2:  |
| RCT  | 08/2016 -<br>10/2016          | Pregnancy, complications<br>such as renal failure,<br>congestive heart failure, or<br>stroke   | (%): 46.6<br>Poor glycaemic<br>control (%) with<br>HbA1c>7%: 77.8<br>DM for 1-5 yrs (%):<br>58.2 %<br>Years with DM:<br>6.7±6.9<br>Oral medications (%):<br>82.4<br>BMP (kg/m2): 27±4.6<br>HbA1c (%): 8.49±1.9<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.0±3.3 | support<br>vs.<br><u>IG1 (n=51):</u><br>Education programme<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=51):</u><br>Standard care<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks | and clinical data, blood<br>pressure, blood glucose<br>and lipid profile, physical<br>activity levels, food intake | 91.3 (p<0.001)<br>WHO: 58.3 vs. 77.6 vs. 89.1 (p=0.003)<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Mean change: no differences -<br>2.04±2.70 vs. 1.48±2.73 vs0.73±2.71<br>High HbA1c: no differences: 47.9 vs.<br>29.0 vs. 34.8 %<br>fasting glucose ( <u>mmol/l):</u><br>no differences: -2.59±0.66 vs<br>2.95±0.64 vs1.55±0.68<br>high fasting glucose: 79.2 vs. 83.7 vs.<br>91.3 % |

| Page 51 | of | 100 |
|---------|----|-----|
|---------|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                               | Populati   | ion   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time         | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) w<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| Webb 2015<br>NCT01275040         | South<br>Africa,<br>urban,<br>primary | primary health_care clinics,<br>patients with clinical<br>diagnosis of DM2 or DM1_for<br>≥5yrs, age ≥ 18 yrs                     | n= 12 primary health<br>care clinics<br>n= 599<br>gender:68.5 % female  | IG (n=328):<br>mobile screening team<br>visits primary care clinic<br>and provides education  | Primary: HbA1c, detected<br>neuropathy, nephropathy<br>and retinopathy, HbA1c<br>categories  | after 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference: 8.54±2.11 vs. 8,76<br>MD-0.22 (-0.64, 0.20)   |
| Cluster RCT                      | care,<br>06/2010-<br>03/2011          |  | age (yrs): 57.8±10.5<br>HbA1c (%): 8.73±2.3<br>HbA1c ≥ 7 %: 73 %<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>30.8±6.7<br>Typ of diabetes:<br>DM1: 3.7 %,<br>DM2: 70.3 %<br>unknown: 26 %<br>duration of Diabetes:<br>< 5 yrs: 47.3 %<br>5-10 yrs: 22.0 %<br>> 10 yrs: 20.2 %<br>unknown: 10.5 % | and active screening for<br>diabetic complications<br>(foot, kidney, cardiac and<br>renal complications)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=273):</u><br>no mobile screening<br>team, routine care with<br>similar education for<br>patients. and health care<br>workers<br><u>Duration</u> : 1 yr | Secondary: detected<br>complications, referred<br>patients for complication<br>assessment or care, blood<br>pressure and lipid<br>control, costs, LDL<br>cholesterol, creatinine | screening rate for complications:<br>60% increase of screening in all<br>complication indicator groups, in<br>groups testing of HbA1c and ren<br>complications (serum-creatinine)<br>increased, but no significant<br>difference, screening for eye<br>complications, only increased<br>significantly in IG<br>no significant difference in the<br>proportion of actions taken bewe<br>and CG (p=0.83) |
| Strategies to er                 | nhance physica                        | l activity   |   |   |  |  |
| Asuako 2017<br>RCT               | Ghana,<br>urban,<br>tertiary          | DM, age: 20-68 yrs, ambulant<br>patients, without diabetes<br>complications with < 150   | n=12<br>83% female<br>age (yrs): 83% were   | IG (n=7):<br>walking aerobic exercise<br>sessions without   | FPG, Lipid profile, body<br>weight, BMI  | Change over 2 months:<br><u>FPG (mmol/l)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG: 6.27 ± 0.91 vs. 8.0  |
|                                  | care,<br>08/2015-<br>03/2016          | minutes /wk of moderate<br>physical activity<br>no SBP > 140 or DBP> 90<br>mmHg, bilateral or unilateral<br>lower or upper limbs | 46-55 yrs.<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):25.4±4.5<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/I):9.33 ± 5.7<br>type of diabetes:  | treadmills (3/week)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=5):</u><br>only activity of daily living   |  | 0.96; MD 1.73 (-1.88 to -1.59; p<  |
|                                  |                                       | amputation, use of insulin pump  | DM1: 17 %<br>DM2: 83 %<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs):  | Both continued regular<br>medical/clinical routines<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       |  | (yrs):  |   |  |  |

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration   | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                                  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Fayehun 2018  | Nigeria,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>11/2014        | DM2, age_18-64 yrs,<br>Diagnosed ≥ 12 months, non-<br>insulin dependent, on dietary<br>control ± hypoglycemic<br>agents, able to walk without<br>limitations                                     | n= 46<br>63 % female<br>age (yrs): 54±7.7 (33-<br>64)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>22.4±3.3<br>HbA1c (%): 6.6 (5.3-<br>9.0) | IG (n=23):<br>Goal to accumulate<br>10000 steps per day<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=23)</u> :<br>normal activity habits<br><u>Duration</u> : 10 weeks  | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : step count             | Change over 2.5 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 6.26 (6.19 to 6.33) vs.<br>6.82 (6.69 to 6.95); MD <sub>a</sub> : -0.74 (-1.32<br>to -0.02; p=0.015) |
|   |   | smokers, prescription of<br>medications that might<br>impair ability to walk   | duration of diabetes<br>(yrs):<7 yrs: 70 %,<br>>7 yrs 30 %   |   |  |  |
| Maharaj 2016  | Nigeria,<br>rural                               | DM2, non- insulin dependent,<br>blood glucose levels 6 -   | n=90<br>52 % females   | <u>IG (n=45):</u><br>rebound exercise 3   | <u>Primary:</u><br>HbA1c , FPG, BMI                                | After 9 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :  |
| RCT   | 07/2013-  | 13 mmol/l  | age (yrs): 39.4 ± 8.6<br>(30-58)   | times/week for 20-<br>30 min, moderate  | <u>Other:</u><br>Heart and respiratory                             | Benefit for IG: 7.12±1.19 vs. 8.36±1.25;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.904 (0.832 to 0.984; p=0.017)  |
|   | 06/2014   | no cardiac, abdominal or<br>spinal surgery ≤ 6 months,<br>history of fractures of lower<br>limbs, spine, weakness,<br>deformities, loss of sensation<br>in the feet, retinopathy,<br>nephropathy | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27.7±5.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.79±2.11<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 2.5±2.1                           | intensity of 40-60 % of HR<br>maximum<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>watched videos and read<br>health magazines<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 weeks   | rates, blood pressure,<br>oxygen saturation                        | <u>FPG (</u> mmol/l):<br>Benefit for IG: 6.92±1.21 vs. 8.73±1.23;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.787 (0.7345- 0.841; p=0.002)  |
| van Rooijen<br>2004                                   | South<br>Africa,<br>urban                       | black women with DM2, age<br>40-65yrs, duration of DM ≥12<br>months  | n=158<br>gender:100 % females  | IG (n=80):<br>education+ incremental<br>daily home exercise, use  | Primary: HbA1c, BMI<br>Secondary: walking<br>distance (6 min walk) | Change over 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference: 8 99+2 59 vs. 8 26+1 97   |
| RCT   | 03/2002-<br>11/2002                             | no chest pain on effort,<br>possible previous myocardial<br>infarction and intermittent<br>claudication, cerebro-<br>vascular incidents, arthritis,<br>retinopathy                               | HbA1c (%): 9.35  | of daily physical activity<br>records+6 fortnightly<br>supervised aerobic<br>exercise classes<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=77):</u><br>education+ relaxation<br>exercise<br><u>Duration:</u> 12wks |  |  |
| Yan 2014  | Mozambiqu                                       | DM2, male, age 40-70 yrs,  | n=41   | <u>IG (n=31):</u>   | plasma glucose, HbA1c  | Change over 3 months:  |
|   | e,  | diagnosis for ≥ 12 months  | 100% male  | low or vigorous intensity   |  | <u>HbA1c (%):</u>  |

| Page | 53 | of | 1 | 00 |
|------|----|----|---|----|
|------|----|----|---|----|

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |  |
| RCT                              | urban                         | no known diseases other<br>than DM2 and hypertension,<br>no diagnosed cardiovascular<br>diseases   | age(yrs): 54±2.5<br>HbA1c: 8.6±0.7<br>plasma glucose<br>(mmol/l): 9.65±1.2<br>BMI ( kg/m <sup>2)</sup> :<br>27.1 ± 1.0         | exercise 3-5 times/week<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=10):</u><br>walked 1 hour per day as<br>part of their daily lifestyle<br><u>Duration:12 wks</u>   |   | reduction in both groups with no<br>differences between groups: 7.7±0.<br>vs. 7.7±0.8<br><u>Plasma glucose (mmol/l):</u><br>9.6 ± 0.7 vs. 11.1 ± 1.3  |  |
| Pharmacologic                    | al strategies                 |  |  |   |   |   |  |
| Distiller<br>2014<br>RCT         | South Africa                  | DM2 for ≥ 1 year with total<br>insulin requirement of<br>>200 U/d for ≥ 3 months,<br>BMI > 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ,<br>HbA1c> 7,5 %, on long-term<br>metformin therapy (1.7–<br>2.5 g/d)<br>no pregnant or with<br>childbearing potential,<br>endocrinopathy, chronic<br>inflammatory or systematic<br>autoimmune disorder, CVD,<br>active carcinoma, chronic<br>illness, renal dysfunction,<br>gastroparesis, no<br>corticosteroids, DPP-4<br>inhibitors, exenatide,<br>liraglutide, no anticipated<br>change in other concomitant<br>medication or insulin | n=28<br>50% female<br>age (yrs): 51.7 (36-71)<br>HbA1c (%): 8.95 (7.6-<br>11.3)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 40.8<br>(31.2-47) | IG (n=14):<br>regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+ metformin + exenatide<br>(5 μg orally twice a day<br>for 1 month and titrated<br>to 10 μg)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=14):</u><br>regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+metformin<br><u>Duration:</u> 6 months | Primary: HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : Body weight,<br>insulin dose,<br>hypoglycemia  | Change to 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Significant improvement in both<br>groups<br>$8.7 \rightarrow 7.7(p=0.002)$ vs. $9.2 \rightarrow 7.5$<br>(p=0.0001)<br>With no difference between groups<br>(MD: 0.28; p=0.80)<br><u>Complications:</u><br>Mild hypoglycaemia: 5 vs. 2 person<br>with 20 vs. 5 events (p $\leq$ 0.001) |  |
| El-Haggar                        | Egypt,                        | DM2, age: 45-55 yrs, obese   | n=48   | <u>IG1 (n=16):</u>  | not specified:  | Changes over 12 weeks:  |  |
| 2015                             | urban                         | (BMI≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), with duration 5-10 yrs, treated   | 79 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.1±4.6   | glimepiride (3 mg/d) + 2<br>(1 mg twice/d)  | glycemic markers,<br>metabolic markers,   | HbA1c (%):<br>Highest benefit for IG1: 7.1±0.86 v   |  |
| RCT                              | 01/2013-<br>04/2014           | with glimepiride alone no Inflammatory disease,  | HbA1c (%): 7.83±0.87<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 193±50   | vs.<br>IG2 (n=16):<br>glimepiride (3 mg/d) +  | adiponectin, interleukin-<br>6, leukotriene B4, mast<br>cell tryptase, lipid panel, | 8.2±0.82 vs. 8.7±0.93 (p< 0.05)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>• Highest benefit for IG1: 199±38  |  |

| Study name                       | Setting   | Population   |   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |  |
|                                  |   | severe hepatic or renal<br>disease, epilepsy<br>pregnant/lactating females   | BMI (kg/m²):<br>37.6±4.6<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.7 ±2.6   | ketotifen (1 mg once/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=16):</u><br>glimepiride (3 mg/d)<br>alone<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks   | BMI  | 207.7±47.6 (p< 0.05)   |  |
| Malek 2015<br>RCT                | Egypt,<br>Algeria,<br>Tunesia,<br>South Africa<br>03/2010-<br>05/2012 | DM2, age ≥ 18 yrs, currently<br>treated with suboptimal dose<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;<br>HbA1c 7-11 % (under<br>metformin-monotherapy)<br>and ≤ 10 % (under<br>combination therapy),<br>BMI≤40 kg/m <sup>2</sup><br>no allergies or<br>contraindications to the<br>product, pregnant or<br>breastfeeding, impaired<br>hepatic or renal function,<br>cardiovascular history,<br>uncontrolled hypertension,<br>proliferative retinopathy,<br>macular oedema | n=403<br>age (yrs): 52.8±9.6<br>59.8 % female<br>HbA1c (%): 8.65<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.7±4.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.5±5.1 | Stepwise individual<br>insulin intensification of<br><u>IG (n=200)</u> :<br>basal-bolus insulin<br>analogues (insulin<br>detemir +Insulin aspart)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=203)</u> :<br>thrice daily biphasic<br>insulin aspart depending<br>on HbA1c-values over<br>50 wks | Primary:<br>HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>patients achieving HbA1c<br>< 7.0 %, prandial plasma<br>glucose | Change over 50 weeks:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Non-inferiority: 7.4 vs. 7.3; MD 0.1 (-<br>0.1 to 0.3 (full-analysis set), MD 0.2 (-<br>0.1 to 0.4 (per protocol)<br>40.3% and 44.9% achieved<br>HbA1c<7.0%<br><u>Hypoglycaemia (events/patient year)</u> :<br>9.4 vs. 9.8<br><u>Serious adverse events</u> :<br>6.5 vs. 3.4 % with 1 treatment-related<br>SAE in CG<br><u>Adverse events</u> :<br>58.5 vs. 63.1% |  |
| Strategies on f                  | ood supplement  | macular oedema   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

| Study name Set                   | Setting  | Populatio   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes Results   |  |   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                            | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| <b>Ali 2019</b><br>RCT           | Egypt<br>Urban,<br>tertiary care<br>09/2017 –<br>04/2018 | DM2, oral antidiabetic agents<br>with no change of type and<br>dosage of antidiabetic agents<br>in the past 3 months, ≥ 30<br>years<br>insulin-dependence,<br>pregnancy, lactation, use of<br>Ca, multivitamins, Vitamin D<br>supplements, use of drugs<br>that affect Vitamin D status,<br>dietary Ca intake > 1500<br>mg/d, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism,<br>smoking, use of antiepileptic<br>drugs, sarcoidosis,<br>tuberculosis, potentially<br>terminal illness, inflammatory<br>bowel disease, liver or kidney | n=85<br>age (yrs): 54.6 ±2.8<br>68 % females<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.6±3.3<br>Diabetic duration<br>(yrs): 4.4±2.1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg(dL): 168±54.4<br>fasting serum insulin<br>(µIU/mL): 18.1±8.3<br>HbA1c(%):8.8±1.8 | oral antidiabetic agents<br>as usual +<br><u>IG 1 (n=22):</u><br>continuous oral Vitamin<br>D3 (4000 IU/d)<br>vs.<br><u>IG 2 (n=22):</u><br>intermittent regimen of<br>Vitamin D3 (50 000 IU/<br>week)<br>vs.<br><u>IG 3 (n=21):</u><br>single IM injection of<br>300 000 IU of Vitamin D3<br>at the start of the study<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=20):</u> only oral<br>antidiabetic agents<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months | Not specified: serum<br>creatinine, blood urea<br>nitrogen, total and<br>ionized Ca, serum<br>phosphorus, fasting<br>glucose, fasting<br>serum insulin, 25(OH)D3<br>levels, HbA1c  | After 3 months:<br><u>fasting glucose</u> (mg(dL): higher<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -20.9±18.1<br>-23.0±37.9 vs3.5±6.9 vs. 1.0±5.6<br>(p<0.001)<br><u>fasting serum insulin</u> (μIU/mL): high<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -4.44±5.2 v<br>5.88±4.6 vs1.55±9.4 vs. 0.10±1.0<br>(p< 0.001)<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):higher decrease in IG1 an<br>IG2: -0.81±0.77 vs0.82±0.87 vs<br>0.34±1.47 vs. 0.05±0.08 (p<0.001) |
| Anderson<br>2001<br>RCT          | Tunesia,<br>urban  | DM2 ≥ 5y, age< 65 yrs,<br>fasting glucose > 8 mmol/l<br>and HbA1C > 7.5 %<br>no pregnant or lactating<br>women, receiving trace<br>element supplements in past<br>3 months, with gastric or<br>diuretic treatment, acute<br>renal, acute infection or<br>recent surgery   | n=110<br>age (yrs): 53.2 ±16.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.1±1.0<br>HbA1c (%):8.82±3.25<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.45±0.<br>83<br>duration of diabetes<br>(months): 73.6±66   | $\frac{IG \ 1 \ (n=27):}{Zinc \ (30 \ mg/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG \ 2 \ (n=27):}{Chromium \ (400 \ \mu g/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG \ 3 \ (n=27):}{Zinc \ (30 \ mg \ /d) +}$ Chromium \ (400 \ \mu g \ /d)<br>vs.<br>$\frac{CG \ (n=29):}{Duration:} \ 6 \ months$   | Not specified:<br>HbA1C, fasting glucose<br>plasma concentrations of<br>zinc, copper, selenium,<br>urinary chromium and<br>zinc, Plasma<br>thiobarbituric acid<br>reactive substances,<br>copper-zinc-superoxid<br>dismutase, selenium -<br>glutathione peroxidase | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>7.7±1.6 vs. 7.4±1.4 vs. 8.1±1.6<br>CG: not reported  |
| Anyanwu<br>2016                  | Nigeria,<br>urban  | DM2, age 35-65 yrs on oral antidiabetics with vitamin D   | n=42<br>57.6 % female  | <u>IG (n=21):</u><br>Vitamin D3 supplements  | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br>Other: fasting glucose,  | Changes over 12 wks:<br>HbA1c (%):  |

| Study name<br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatic</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | on<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary   | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| RCT  |   | deficiency and poor glycemic<br>control (HbA1c > 6.5 %)<br>no patients on insulin,<br>pregnancy,<br>renal insufficiency, chronic<br>liver disease or alanine<br>transferase > 5 times upper<br>reference limit, tuberculosis,<br>diarrheal, or malabsorption<br>state   | age (yrs): 51.8±2.05<br>HbA1c (%): 7.88<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 152.8±56.5   | (3000 IU/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=21):</u><br>placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks  | levels of serum Vitamin<br>D, calcium, albumin,<br>phosphate, creatinine,<br>and alanine transaminase | <ul> <li>MD (IG vs. CG): -0.66 (-0.161 to 0.29) vs 0.38 (-0.08 to 0.84);</li> <li>MD: -1.04 (-2.09 to 0.01)</li> <li>change from poor glycemic control (HbA1c&gt;6.5 %) to normal HbA1c (%): benefit for IF: 33.3 vs9.1 (p&lt;0.05) fasting glucose (mg/dl): 137.2±33.6 vs. 154±67.5 patient adherence (tablet counts, %): 62.2 vs. 59.9</li> </ul> |
| El Gayar 2019                                  | Egypt,<br>urban,                                | DM2 for < 6 months, 30-60<br>yrs, HbA1c level < 9%,   | n=80<br>49 % female   | diet, physical activity, and metformin   | <u>Not specified:</u> glycemic status, lipid profile and  | After 8 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
|  | 01/2017-<br>01/2018                             | no insulin therapy, any<br>injectable or oral antidiabetic<br>medication other than<br>metformin, no smoking,<br>consumption of alcohol<br>or narcotic drugs, no acute<br>illnesses at the baseline<br>or during the study, no<br>pregnancy or lactation,<br>autoimmune disorder,<br>cardiac or renal diseases,<br>thyroid, chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, peptic ulcer, regular<br>consumption of ginger or<br>other herbal drugs,<br>hypersensitivity to ginger,<br>consumption of lipid lowering<br>drugs or oral contraceptive<br>pills or any supplements 2<br>months before starting<br>the study. | HbA1c (%): 8.04±0.5<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 176.9±18.3<br>Fasting serum insulin<br>(mIU/L): 19.3±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.3±1.4 | ginger powder<br>supplementation (600<br>mg/capsule, 3<br>capsules/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=40):</u><br>Placebo<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 weeks | function  | vs. 7.26±0.45<br><u>Fasting serum insulin</u> (mIU/L):<br>decrease in both groups to 12.86±2.5<br>vs. 13.21±2.08<br>fasting glucose_(mg/dl):<br>decrease in both groups to<br>120.88±9.06 vs. 151.70±13.23  |

| Page | 57 | of | 1 | 00 | ) |
|------|----|----|---|----|---|
|------|----|----|---|----|---|

| Study name Set                   | Setting                         | Populatio  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wi<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| El-Sheikh<br>2019<br>RCT         | Egypt,<br>urban                 | DM2 on glimepiride alone,<br>age ≥30 yrs<br>no insulin sensitizers,<br>steroids, NSAIDs, warfarin or<br>lipid lowering medications,<br>thyroid hormones, valproic<br>acid or suffered from: acute<br>or chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, end-stage renal<br>disease undergoing dialysis,<br>hypothyroidism epilepsy,<br>pregnant and breast-feeding<br>women  | n= 72<br>67 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.6±8.7<br>HbA1c (%):9.76±1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl):194.84±20.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>34.4±5.45 | IG (n=38):<br>glimepiride 2 mg twice<br>daily + L-carnitine 1 gm<br>twice daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=34):</u><br>glimepiride dose 2 mg<br>twice daily<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months | HbA1c, fasting glucose,<br>PPBG, fasting insulin,<br>extracellular part of<br>insulin regulated<br>aminopeptidase, tumor<br>necrosis factor-alpha,<br>visfatin and lipid panel,<br>BMI and homeostasis<br>model assessment of<br>insulin resistance | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG: 7.41±0.5 vs. 9.5±0.<br>(p<0.001)<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dl):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 179.6±9.3 vs.<br>192.41±27.4 (p=0.018) |
| Matter 2020<br>NCT03851055       | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients | DM, treated with insulin, 10<br>to 18 yrs, transfusion<br>dependent beta-thalassemia<br>major  | n=80<br>52.5% females<br>age (yrs): 16.3±1.4<br>(range 12-18)   | diet schedule with<br>optimal macronutrient<br>distribution and<br>pharmacologic treatment  | Primary: fasting glucose<br>Secondary: fructosamine,<br>fasting C-peptide, and<br>HOMA-IR   | After 12 wks:<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dL):</u><br>higher decrease with IG to 116.9±<br>vs_144 5+22 9 (n<0.001)   |
| RCT                              | 08/2017 to<br>08/2018           | no other hemoglobinopathies<br>(e.g. a-thalassemia or sickle<br>thalassemia, disorders that<br>may affect glucose<br>homeostasis other than b-<br>TM, autoimmune diseases,<br>collagen diseases, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism, infections,<br>or tumours, or those who<br>were taking any vitamins or<br>food supplements < 1 month<br>before the study and<br>participating in a previous<br>investigational drug<br>study within 3 mo preceding | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 144.5±22.4  | IG (n=40):<br>zinc gluconate<br>(2x20 mg/d)<br>vs.<br>CG (n=40):<br>placebo<br>Duration: 3 months   | <u>safety:</u> any AEs (e.g.<br>nausea, vomiting,<br>abdominal pain, diarrhea,<br>constipation, and<br>reduction of appetite)   | <u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>higher in IG (no results reported)<br>no side effects were reported   |
| Moustafa                         | Equat                           | DM2 newly diagnosed  | n-62  | IG(n=29, 21  analysed):   | Glycomic control  | After 2 months:  |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
| Study name                        | Setting  | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                  | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
| <b>2019</b><br>RCT                | urban,<br>outpatients<br>recruitment<br>02/2016-<br>03/2018    | (within a time duration ≤6<br>months), 18–60 yrs<br>other antidiabetic<br>medications, pregnant and<br>lactating women, major<br>organ dysfunction (hepatic<br>failure, active hepatitis, liver<br>cirrhosis or renal<br>complications), changed their<br>standard medications during<br>the 12 weeks of the study | 72% females<br>HbA1c(%): 7.51±1.4<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.4±51.6<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 33.9±6.1<br>family history of DM<br>(%): 78.5<br>retinopathy/altered<br>vision (%): 53<br>GDM (%): 9.2 | nigella sativa oil capsules<br>(3x 450 mg/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=33, 23 analysed):</u><br>metformin (2000 mg/d)<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months  | oxidative stress markers,<br>biochemical parameters,<br>weight/BMI/waist<br>circumference, total<br>antioxidant capacity TAC                         | HbA1c (%):<br>no difference: 7.01±0.83 vs. 6.55±0.72<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>no difference: 119.8±23.7 vs.<br>120.7±25.4<br><u>Complications</u> :<br>no differences in occurrence of chills,<br>sweating, tachycardia, lethargy/<br>weakness, polydipsia, polyuria, dry<br>skin, polyphagia, blurred vision, foot<br>problems, or tingling/numbness<br>foot problems lower in IG: 4.8% vs.<br>33.3%, (p = 0.025).   |
| Ragheb 2020<br>NCT03437902<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients<br>care<br>02/2019-<br>05/2018 | DM2, receiving standard oral<br>hypoglycemic agents,<br>≥ 35 yrs,<br>no history of overt vascular<br>disease, renal or hepatic<br>failure or antioxidant<br>supplementation or insulin<br>therapy, no change of oral<br>hypoglycemic drugs   | n=70<br>age (yrs): 54.9±8.4<br>70 % females<br>BMI (kg(m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.5±5.7<br>HbA1c(%): 8.50±1.86<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 142.8±52.6   | IG2 (n=20):<br>Rutin (60) + vitamin C<br>(160 mg) 3x daily vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Vitamin C (500 mg) 1x<br>daily<br>vs.<br>CG (n=13);<br>only usual<br>oral antidiabetic<br>treatment<br>Duration: 8 weeks | Primary: HbA1c,<br>oxidative stress marker,<br>antioxidant capacity,<br>insulin resistance, lipid<br>profile<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Quality of life | After 2 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference 7.494 ± 1.72 vs. 8.504 ±<br>2.059 vs. 8.504 ± 2.059 (p=0.1882)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>lower in IG2 and CG: 111.3 (IQR 93.3-<br>135.2) vs. 144 (114.8-201) vs. 113.3<br>(94-152.2) (p=0.017)<br><u>Quality of life</u> (SF 36):<br>• Benefit of physical functioning and<br>energy domains in IG2 vs. CG<br>(p=0.0049, p=0.0253).<br>• Benefit of role limitation to physical<br>health and emotional improved in<br>IG1 vs. CG (p=0.0267,p=0.0280)<br>• no difference between groups in<br>the other domains (emotional well-<br>being, social functioning, pain and<br>general health) |

| Page 5 | 59 of | 100 |
|--------|-------|-----|
|--------|-------|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                               | Populatio  | on Intervention vs. Control  |  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time         | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Rashad 2017<br>RCT               | Egypt,<br>urban                       | DM2, 50-62 yrs<br>no insulin medication,<br>allergies, recent thromboses<br>or uncontrollable<br>hypertension  | n=34<br>43.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 55.5±6.15<br>HbA1c (%):6.75±1.2<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 8.5±1.4<br>postprandial plasma<br>glucose(mmol/l):<br>15.6±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.55±4<br>type of diabetes<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6.1 ± 2.2 | <u>IG (n=17):</u><br>Balanites aegyptiaca<br>extract (400 mg))<br>vs.<br><u>CG: (n=17)</u><br>placebo capsules (potato<br>maltodextrin <u>)</u><br><u>Duration</u> : 8 wks | glycemic markers, lipid<br>profile, FPG   | Change over 8 wks:<br><u>2h postprandial plasma glucose:</u><br>benefit for IG :26.88% decrease vs. C<br>2.6% increase<br><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u><br>benefit for IG: 7.8 ± 0.9 vs. CG: 8.5 ±<br>1.1 |
| Somanah<br>2012<br>NCT01248143   | Mauritius,<br>urban/rural<br>11/2010- | newly diagnosed DM, age 25–<br>60 yrs fasting glucose range:<br>5.1–5.9 mmol/L   | n=127<br>47% female<br>age (yrs): range 25–60<br>HbA1c (%): 5.99±0.4   | IG (n=44):<br>supplementation of a<br>fermented papaya<br>preparation (6g/d twice  | HbA1C fasting glucose,<br>Lipid profile, diet score,<br>blood pressure, alanine<br>aminotransferase:                      | After 14 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference (p=0.448)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dL):  |
| RCT                              | 03/2011                               | no secondary complications,<br>non-smoker or stopped for ><br>6 months , alcoholic<br>consumption < 2 standard<br>drinks/day, post-menopausal<br>women without hormone | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 93.2±8.0<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.6 ±<br>3.7  | daily, over 12 wks),<br>followed by a 2 week<br>wash out period with the<br>same amount of water<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=56):</u> consumed an<br>equivalent amount of           | aspartate<br>aminotransferase,<br>Ferritin, c-reactive<br>protein, uric acid,<br>microalbumin/urinary<br>creatinine ratio | <ul> <li>remained relatively unchanged in boths genders:</li> <li>males: 96.2±17.0 vs. 87.6±11.7</li> <li>females: 95.6±15.8 vs. 94.3±5.0</li> </ul>   |

| Study name                                | Setting   | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design          | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                               | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value                                |
| EI-Makaky<br>2020<br>NCT03783845<br>RCT   | Egypt,<br>urban/rural<br>recruited<br>06/2015 to<br>03/2016 | DM2 for >5 yrs, 40-70 yrs,<br>HbA1c 7 to 9% at the last<br>medical evaluation, no<br>change in diabetes treatment<br>over the previous 3 months, ≥<br>6 permanent teeth excluding<br>third molars, clinical<br>attachment level and pocket<br>depth ≥4 mm in >30 % of the<br>sites, diagnosis of chronic<br>periodontitis based on the<br>presence of 4 teeth as a<br>minimum with ≥ 1 site<br>Pregnancy, alcoholism and<br>smoking, Presence of any<br>systemic disorders other than<br>hypertension and<br>diabetes, diabetic major<br>complications, antimicrobial<br>therapies or periodontal<br>therapies in the last 6<br>months, allergy to<br>metronidazole and<br>amoxicillin | n=88<br>56.8 % females<br>age (yrs): 52.6±6.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.16±0.72   | IG (n=44):<br>immediate periodontal<br>therapy: one-stage<br>scaling and root planning,<br>a combination of<br>systemic antibiotics<br>(amoxicillin 500 mg and<br>metronidazole 400 mg<br>3x/day for 2 weeks), and<br>oral hygiene instructions<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=44):</u><br>delayed periodontal<br>therapy after 3 months<br><u>Duration: 3 months</u> | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary: not named  | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>benefit for IG: 7.27±0.5 vs. 8.34±0.6<br>MD -1.07 (-1.32 to -0.83)                     |
| El-Sharkawy<br>2016<br>NCT02794506<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>03/2015.                     | DM2 >5 yrs, >20 teeth,<br>chronic moderate or severe<br>periodontitis with probing<br>depth and clinical attachment<br>level >5 mm, bleeding by<br>probing, on oral<br>hypogylcemic drug therapy<br>> 6 months,<br>no smoking, use of  | n=50<br>34% female<br>age (yrs): 50.5 ± 7.4<br>(38 to 63)<br>HbA1c (%): 8.66 ±0.73<br>FPG (mg/dl): 183.5<br>±12.547<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.9±<br>3.1<br>duration of diabetes | IG (n=24): scaling and<br>root planing (SRP)+<br>400mg oral Propolis once<br>daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=26)</u> scaling and<br>root planing<br>(SRP)+Placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months   | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : FPG,<br>serum N-(carboxymethyl)<br>lysine, periodontal<br>parameters | after 6 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u><br>Benefit for IG 7.75± 0.48 vs.8.5±0.73<br>(p<0.01)<br><u>FPG(mg/dl)</u><br>Benefit for IG |

| Page | 61 | of | 1 | 00 |
|------|----|----|---|----|
|------|----|----|---|----|

| Study name                       | Setting                                       | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                 | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|                                  |   | antibiotics, non-steroidal or<br>anti-inflammatory drugs<br>within the last 3 months,<br>periodontal therapy ≤ 1 year,<br>retinopathy grade 3/4,<br>pregnancy, no contraceptive<br>drugs | (yrs): 8.1 ± 3.9<br>hypertension: 4.5%<br>neuropathy: 1.5%<br>retinopathy: 0.5%<br>nephropathy: 0% |   |   |  |
| Ghoneim<br>2013                  | Egypt,<br>03/2010-                            | DM, duration $\geq$ 15 yrs,<br>bilateral diabetic macular<br>edema (> 6 months)  | n=19 (38 eyes)<br>89.5 % female<br>age (yrs): 52.3+11.4  | <u>IG (n=19):</u><br>one eye with 8 mg<br>triamcinolone acetonide                           | <u>Primary</u> : Visual acuity<br><u>Others:</u> Intraocular<br>pressure (IOP), IOP | after 6 months:<br><u>Complications:</u><br>• no eves with retinal detachment  |
| RCT                              | 03/2012                                       | no prior treatment with<br>intravitreal corticosteroids,<br>peribulbar steroid injection<br>within ≤ 6 months, pars<br>plana vitrectomy, history of                                      | oge ().5). 52.5222. 1  | vs.<br><u>CG (n=19):</u><br>other eye with4 mg of<br>triamcinolone acetonide                | lowering drugs,<br>complications  | <ul> <li>vitreous haemorrhage, intraocular<br/>reaction or endophthalmitis.</li> <li>one eye in IG developed posterior<br/>subcapsular cataract.</li> </ul>                                |
|                                  |   | glaucoma or steroid induced<br>IOP elevation, ischemic<br>maculopathy, foveal tracted,<br>IOP≥ 23 mmHg   |  | <u>Duration</u> : 6 months  |   |  |
| Nteleki 2015                     | South<br>Africa.                              | DM2 with neuropathic or mixed (venous and arterial)  | n=7 with 14 lower<br>extremity ulcers  | standard podiatric<br>management and  | healing rate (area and perimeter of the ulcer)                                      | after 3 months:<br>Healing:  |
| RCT                              | Africa, m<br>RCT urban ulu<br>sta<br>ha<br>wa | ulcers; lower extremity ulcer;<br>stable or worsening ulcer that<br>has been present for ≥ 4<br>weeks  | 85 % male<br>age (yrs): 62<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 16.7                                  | <u>IG1 (n=2):</u><br>phototherapy to the<br>regional lymphatic nodes<br>and ulcer(s)<br>vs. |   | <ul> <li>The rate of healing increased in all three groups,</li> <li>67% of ulcers received some form of phototherapeutic intervention, 40% those ulcers resolved completely on</li> </ul> |
|                                  |   | no acute cellulitis,<br>osteomyelitis, or gangrene,<br>renal, hepatic, hematologic,<br>neurologic, or immune   |  | <u>IG2 (n=3):</u><br>phototherapy on the<br>ulcer<br>vs.                                    |   | 8 weeks<br>no <u>AEs</u>   |
|                                  |   | disease not related to<br>diabetes; presence of<br>malignant disease not in<br>remission for > 5 years; use of<br>oral or parenteral   |  | <u>CG (n=2):</u><br>placebo phototherapy<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks                      |   |  |

| Study name                       | Setting                                | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes                                      | Results   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time          | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary                         | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|                                  |  | corticosteroids,<br>immunosuppressive, or<br>cytotoxic agents; known<br>infection with human<br>immunodeficiency virus or<br>presence of AIDS; other leg<br>ulcers  |  |   |   |   |
| Saeed 2013<br>RCT                | Egypt,<br>urban<br>11/2010-<br>07/2012 | DM, intractable diffuse<br>diabetic macular edema<br>without vitreomacular<br>traction.<br>central foveal thickness ≥300<br>µm<br>no vitreomacular traction,<br>active neovascularization of<br>proliferative diabetic<br>retinopathy, an enlarged<br>foveal avascular zone on<br>fluorescein angiography,<br>neurosensory detachment on<br>optical coherence<br>tomography, treatment for<br>diabetic macular edema<br>within ≤ 3 months, previous<br>vitreoretinal surgery, other<br>major ocular surgery within<br>the previous 6 months, YAG<br>capsulotomy within ≤2 | n= 34 (34 eyes)<br>50% females<br>age (yrs): 55.5 ± 8.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 24±5.4 | IG (n=15):<br>vitrectomy with removal<br>of the posterior hyaloid,<br>at the end of the<br>procedure injection of<br>intravitreal triamcinolone<br>acetonide (IVTA, 0.1 mL,<br>40 mg/mL) +bevacizumab<br>(1.25 mg) +macular grid<br>laser photocoagulation<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=15);</u><br>same intravitreal<br>injection combination<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months | primary:<br>BCVA, central foveal<br>thickness | <ul> <li>Changes over 12 months<br/><u>Complications:</u></li> <li>Changes in BCVA and central foveal<br/>thickness at 3, 6, and 12 (<i>P</i>&lt; 0.01),<br/>better mean BCVA in IG at 12 months.</li> <li>Better mean <u>central foveal thickness</u> in<br/>IG at 12 months.<br/><u>Major adverse events:</u><br/>development of cataracts (3/15 vs.<br/>6/15) and elevation of intraocular<br/>pressure (7/15 vs. 2/15)</li> </ul> |
| Tsohgny-                         | Cameroon                               | DM2 >11teeth severe   | n=34   | IG (n=17).  | Primary: change in HbA1c                      | Change over 3 months:   |
| Tsague 2018                      | urban.                                 | chronic periodontitis   | 56% female   | immediate ultrasonic  | Secondary: Plaque index.                      | HbA1c (%):  |
| NCT02745015                      | tertiary                               | according to the 2012   | age (vrs): 51.4 ± 8.8  | scaling, scaling and root   | gingival bleeding index.                      | Benefit with IG: $6.7 \pm 2.0 \%$ vs. $8.1 \pm$   |
|                                  | care.                                  | CDC-AAP classification.   | HbA1c (%):9.3 $\pm$ 1.3  | planning +subgingival   | pocket depth. clinical                        | 2.6 %, MD: 2.2 (p=0.029)  |
| RCT                              |  |   | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.3±  | 10% povidone iodine   | attachment loss                               | adverse events:   |
|                                  | 12/2014-                               | no periodontal treatment,   | 5.4  | irrigation  |   | 1 /15 patient reported tongue   |
|                                  |  | . ,   |  | -   |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |

| Page | 63 | of | 1 | 00 |  |
|------|----|----|---|----|--|
|------|----|----|---|----|--|

| Design   | Place,<br>setting and<br>time  | Populati<br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
|  | 05/2015  | alteration of DM treatment 6<br>mths prior to the study, onset<br>of systemic diseases or an<br>acute condition, use of<br>immunosuppressive<br>medications or others drugs<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontitis<br>clinical features | duration of diabetes<br>(months): 55.5 ± 42.6<br>complications:<br>neuropathy (%): 40<br>nephropathy (%): 7<br>retinopathy (%): 7<br>diabetic foot (%): 3 | vs.<br><u>CG(n=17):</u><br>periodontal treatment 3<br>months later<br><u>Duration:</u> 3 months  |  | irritation following chlorhexidine mo<br>rinse in IG  |
| Yakoot 2019  | Egypt,<br>urban  | Adult DM2 or DM1 patients,<br>limb-threatening diabetic  | n=119<br>gender:44.5% female  | conservative<br>debridement of necrotic  | <u>primary</u> : complete<br>healing   | after 12 months<br>rate of complete healing (%):  |
| NCT01531517  | 07/2011-   | foot ulcerations   | age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4<br>type of diabetes:<br>DM1: 22.9%   | tissue and irrigation with<br>warm normal saline<br>and  | <u>secondary:</u> reduction of<br>infection in the ulcer site,<br>al reaction that may be                                    | Benefit for IG: 32.4% vs. 12%; p=0.03   |
| RCT  |  | gangrenous<br>lesions that needed<br>immediate amputations; bad<br>general condition; shock or<br>unstable vital signs; critically<br>ill with severe organ/system<br>dysfunctions or advanced<br>malignancy.  | • DM2: 86.2%  | IG (n=61):<br>local application of<br>ointment composed of<br>royal jelly and panthenol<br><u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=58):</u><br>local application of<br>Panthenol<br><u>duration:</u> 12months | due to study drug  |   |
| ADA: American Di<br>blood pressure; D<br>range; n: number<br>disease ;RCT: rano<br>Standard-deviatio | iabetes Assoc<br>DM: diabetes r<br>of participan<br>domized cont<br>on; SMBG: self | iation; BCVA: Best-corrected visu<br>nellitus; DM1: Type 1 diabetes; D<br>ts; NCD: Non-communicable dise<br>rolled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa<br>f-monitoring of blood glucose; wl  | al acuity; BMI: Body mass<br>M2: type 2 diabetes; FPG<br>ase; NPH: neutral protam<br>adjusted relative risk; SA<br>s: weeks; yrs: years                   | <u>duration:</u> 12months<br>index; CG: Control group; CI:<br>: fasting plasma glucose; HbA<br>ine Hagedorn; MD: mean diff<br>E: Serious adverse events; SB                                  | Confidence interval; CHC: Co<br>1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG: int<br>erence; MDa: adjusted mean<br>P: Systolic blood pressure; SC | mmunity health centre; DBP: Diastolio<br>ervention group; IQR: interquartile<br>difference; NCD: Non-communicable<br>I: Diabetes Self-Care Inventory; SD: |

# **RCTs on pregnant DM patients**

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Population</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs.<br>Control<br>Description with<br>duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period<br>with intervention effects<br>(IG vs. CG) with SD, 95%-<br>Cl or p value | <b>Study name</b><br>registration number<br>Design   |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Strategies to in                                      | ncrease physical                                | l activity   |  |  |   |  |
| Embaby<br>2016<br>RCT                                 | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>07/2014-<br>02/2015         | at increased risk for GDM due<br>to obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ),<br>age:> 25 yrs,<br>20-24th gestational wks,<br>multigravida, physically active<br>with ≥ 1 of the following 3<br>characteristics: history of<br>macrosomia, abnormal<br>glucose tolerance during<br>previous pregnancy or first<br>grade relative with DM2<br>no hypertension, GDM,<br>medications that affects<br>insulin secretion, serious<br>pulmonary disease, cardiac,<br>renal impairment and<br>malignancy | n=40<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.2±3.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.7±1.3<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 6.5±0.9<br>fasting insulin (IU/l):<br>15.78±1.58 | IG:<br>aerobic exercise program<br>(walking on treadmill)<br>three times weekly until<br>the end of 37 wks of<br>gestation + diet control.<br>vs.<br>CG:<br>diet control with usual<br>care given by<br>obstetricians and<br>midwives.<br>Duration: appr. 4 months | Fasting plasma glucose,<br>Insulin level  | Change to 37 <sup>th</sup> week of gestation:<br><u>FPG (mmol/l)</u><br>Benefit for IG: 4.26±0.67 vs. 5.07±0.54<br>(p=0.0001)<br><u>Fasting insulin (IU/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 10.59±1.10 vs.<br>12.43±1.44 (p=0.0001) |
| Other non-pha   | armacological th                                | nerapies   |  |  |   |  |
| El-Shamy<br>2018                                      | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban                         | GDM, age: 20-30 yrs,<br>gestational age: 24-26 wks,<br>BMI ≤ 30 kg/m², singleton live  | n=30<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 24.2±2.8   | IG (n=15):<br>acupressure + standard<br>antenatal care   | Primary:<br>glycemic control,<br>requirement for insulin,   | Change over 3 months:<br>75 g OGTT (mg/dl):<br>Fasting: 116.1±0.1 vs. 118.2 ± 0.7  |
| RCT   | 12/2016-<br>05/2017                             | fetus<br>no high-risk pregnancy, bad<br>obstetric situations or<br>diseases, smoking, oral<br>sedatives  | <ul> <li>75 g OGTT (mg/dl):</li> <li>fasting glucose:<br/>129.05±0.6</li> <li>2h postprandial:<br/>146±1.65<br/>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>): 27±1.5</li> </ul>        | vs.<br><u>CG (n=15):</u><br><u>s</u> tandard antenatal care<br>only<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 weeks   | insulin resistance<br>Secondary:<br>neonatal outcomes   | 2h postprandial:<br>125.3±1.2 vs. 127.3 ± 0.9<br>Complication (%):<br>5-min Apgar-Score < 7: 6.7 vs. 6.7 %   |
|   |   | For pee  | r review only - http://b   | mjopen.bmj.com/site/abo  | out/guidelines.xhtml  |  |

| <b>Utz 2018</b><br>NCT02979756 | Marocco,<br>urban /<br>rural, | Health centres with ≥ 30<br>monthly antenatal care<br>consultations and all          | 20 health centres<br>n= 215<br>age (yrs):27.6±6.6                           | 20 clinics were<br>randomized→ 10 in each<br>group  | Primary: birthweight<br>Secondary: maternal<br>weight gain,                                      | Follow-up visits:<br>7.5±4.9 vs. 3.8±3.3 (p=0.001)  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Cluster-RCT                    | primary<br>care,              | pregnant women with newly<br>diagnosed GDM   | urban (%): 38.5<br>rural (%): 61.5  | <u>IG (n=120):</u><br>first screening for<br>GDM→positive tested                                    | glucose control, pregnancy complications.  | FBG within the norm: better with IG<br><1/3 of all values: 7.6 vs. 32.6 %<br>1/3-2/3 of all values: 17.8 vs. 32.6 %                         |
|                                | 11/2016-<br>02/2018           | no DM2, DM1  |   | women received<br>counselling on nutrition<br>and exercise  |  | >2/3 of all values: 74.6 vs. 34.8 %   |
|                                |                               |  |   | <u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=95):</u><br>routine practice   |  | vs. 18.4 % (p<0.001)  |
| Pharmacologica                 | l strategies                  |  |   |   |  |   |
| Ashoush 2016                   | Egypt,<br>urban,              | GDM, mothers with 26–32-<br>week GDM (oral 2-h 75 G                                  | n=95<br>100% female   | <u>IG (n = 47):</u><br>metformin (initial total   | Primary: successful<br>maternal glycemic control   | Until delivery:<br>fasting glucose during treatment   |
| RCT                            | tertiary care                 | glucose tolerance test)<br>singleton pregnancies, failure                            | age (yrs): 31.8±3<br>HbA1c (%): 5.75 ±                                      | dose 1000 mg/d with meals, increase by 500 or   | Secondary: maternal BMI, glycemic control  | <ul><li>(mg/dl): better with IG:</li><li>during the last wk: 78±3.1 vs. 79.9±3.</li></ul>   |
|                                | 01/2014-<br>11/2014           | of satisfactory glycemic<br>control despite adequate diet<br>and exercise for ≥ 1 wk | 0.55<br>75g OGTT (mg/dl)<br>• fasting: 106.05±4.6<br>• 1h:310.25±11.6       | 850 mg every 1 or 2 wks<br>toward target or up to a<br>maximum dose of<br>2500 mg/d until delivery, | parameters, maternal<br>weight gained during<br>pregnancy, side effects to<br>metformin, mode of | <ul> <li>(p=0.008)</li> <li>during the last 2 wks: 78.9±3.5 vs.</li> <li>80.8±4.7 (p=0.029)</li> <li>maternal hypoglycaemia (%):</li> </ul> |
|                                |                               | no fetal anomalies on<br>ultrasonography, other<br>pregnancy complications,          | <ul> <li>2h:176.65±9.4</li> <li>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>): 31.2±1.4</li> </ul> | addition of insulin if<br>needed)<br><u>vs.</u>   | delivery, gestational age<br>at delivery, neonatal<br>birthweight, macrosomia,                   | no difference: 6.25 vs. 12.5 (p=0.254)<br>neonatal hypoglycaemia (%):<br>12.8 vs. 14.6 (p=0.791)  |
|                                |                               | known intolerance to<br>metformin or risk factors for<br>lactic acidosis             |   | <u>CG (n = 48):</u><br>regular insulin + neutral<br>protamine Hagedorn (3:7)                        | neonatal hypoglycemia,<br>neonatal death,<br>congenital anomalies,                               | Maternal weight gain (Kg):<br>4.4 ± 0.6 vs. 5.1 ± 0.8 (p=0.001)<br>neonatal congenital anomalies (%):                                       |
|                                |                               |  |   | <u>(starting dose 0.7 units</u><br>/kg*d, adjusted to<br>achieve adequate                           | admission to neonatal intensive care unit  | 2.1 vs. 2.1 p= 0.747<br>headache (%):<br>27 3 (metformin+insulin) vs. 5 6   |
|                                |                               |  |   | glycemic control at<br>increments of 1  |  | (metformin monotherapy) vs. 0%<br>(insulin monotherapy)   |
|                                |                               |  |   | higher than the desired<br>cut-off, short action  |  | neonatai ICU admission (%):<br>8.5 vs. 10.4 (p= 0.514)<br>Costs (Egyptian pounds): 89.66±0.96   |
|                                |                               |  |   | insulin whenever needed)<br>Duration: until delivery  |  | vs. 174.9±11.1 (for monotherapies)  |

BMJ Open

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| <b>Beyuo 2015</b><br>ACTRN126140<br>00942651 | Ghana,<br>urban<br>01/2013- | pregnant women with DM2<br>or GDM (plasma glucose<br>≥7 mmol/l after an overnight<br>fast or plasma glucose  | n= 104<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 33.3±4.6<br>fasting glucose  | IG (n=52):<br>Metformin (starting with<br>500 mg / d, gradually<br>increase over 2 wks to a  | Primary: 2-hour post<br>prandial blood glucose<br>(2HPG)<br>Secondary: fasting   | Change from enrolment to delivery:<br>glycemic control (mmol/l):<br>fasting glucose:<br>no difference: 6.42±0.98 vs. 6.62±1.57   |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| RCT  | 12/2013                     | concentration ≥11.1 mmol/l 2<br>hours after a 75 g glucose<br>drink), 20-30 wks gestation,<br>age: 18-45yrs, eligible for<br>insulin therapy<br>no T1DM, DM2 who have<br>previously failed to achieve<br>glycemic control on<br>metformin monotherapy,<br>allergies to metformin | (mmol/l): 8<br>2HPG (mmol/l): 10.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 3.1±6.6<br>type of diabetes:<br>GDM (%): 65.9<br>DM2 (%): 34.0   | maximum dose of 2500<br>mg/d, insulin was added<br>if necessary)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=52):</u><br>insulin treatment (daily<br>dose 0.3 IU/kg, titrated to<br>achieve the glycemic<br>targets, if necessary,<br>admission to the ward<br>and therapy with soluble<br>insulin)<br>Duration: until delivery | glucose, 1HPG, maternal<br>weight gain, pregnancy<br>outcome and feto-<br>neonatal outcomes.   | (p=0.928)<br>1HPG:<br>no difference: 8.95±1.27 vs. 9.62±1.44<br>(p=0.078)<br>2HPG:<br>benefit for IG: 7.84±1.43 vs. 9.05±1.85<br>(p=0.004)   |
| Ibrahim 2014<br>NCT01915550                  | Egypt,<br>urban             | GDM or pre-existing DM,<br>gestational age 20-34 wks<br>with insulin resistance  | n=90<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.8 ± 5.4   | <u>IG (n=46):</u><br>Metformin (1500 mg,<br>raised to 2000 mg)   | Primary:<br>maternal gylcemic control<br>(fasting glucose  | <ul> <li>gylcemic control:</li> <li>better for CG: 76.1 vs. 100 %<br/>reached glycemic control (p=0.001)</li> </ul>  |
| RCT  | 08/2011-<br>04/2012         | No DM1, secondary diabetes<br>or liver or renal impairment   | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):31.83 ±<br>3.23<br>Gestational age: 28.7<br>± 3.7 wks<br>GDM: 43.3 %<br>Pre-existing DM:<br>56.7 % with median<br>duration of 4 (1-15)<br>yrs | without increasing insulin<br>dose<br>Patients switched to CG if<br>treatment was not<br>successful to control<br>blood glucose<br>concentrations<br><u>CG (n=44):</u><br>insulin dose was<br>increased according to<br>the standard protocol  | ≤ 95 mg/dl and 2-HPG ≤ 120 mg/dl) Secondary: maternal bouts of hypoglycemia, need for another hospital admission for uncontrolled diabetes during pregnancy, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, birth weight, birth trauma, congenital anomalies, Apgar score, neonatal hypoglycemia, need for neonatal intensive care unit admission, adverse neonatal outcomes | <ul> <li>13 vs. 18.2 % had readmission for poor glycemic control</li> <li>6.5 vs. 22.7 % had bouts of maternal hypoglycaemia</li> <li>Complications:</li> <li>23.3 vs. 30.8 % had fetal macrosomia</li> <li>1 new-born in each group had congenital malformations</li> <li>7 vs. 38.5 % had neonatal hypoglycaemia</li> <li>18.6 vs. 41 % had NICU admission</li> <li>0 vs. 5.1 % had stillbirths</li> <li>11.6 vs. 25.6 % with respiratory distress syndrome</li> </ul> |

 BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; GDM: gestational diabetes; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; 1 / 2HPG: 1 / 2-hour post prandial blood glucose; IG: intervention group; n: number of participants; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean difference; OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SD: Standard-deviation; wks: weeks; yrs: years

Supplementary Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM

For beer teview only

# **Risk of bias**

| Study            | Sequence generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>Iment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors    | Incomplete<br>outcome data       | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting | Other risk<br>of bias |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Abaza 2017       |                     |                                | 8   |  | 8                                | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Abdulrhman 2013  |                     | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   |  |                                  | 8                                 | <mark></mark>         |
| Adibe 2013       |                     |                                | 8   | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ | $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}$ | <mark>@</mark>                    |                       |
| Adjei 2015       | ☺                   | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   | $\odot$                                |                                  | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Ali 2019         | <mark></mark>       | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                         | <mark>(</mark>                   | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Amendezo 2017    | <mark>(</mark>      | <mark></mark>                  | 8   |  | 8                                | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Anderson 2001    | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  |   |  | <mark>(</mark>                   | <mark>(</mark> )                  |                       |
| Anyanwu 2016     |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                                | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Ashoush 2016     |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          |                                  | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Asuako 2017      |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          |                                  | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Beyuo 2015       | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                                | 8                                 | 8                     |
| Chraibi 2017     | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                                | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Debussche 2018   |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          |                                  |                                   |                       |
| Distiller 2014   | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                                | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Elbarbary 2016   | ☺                   | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                         | 8                                | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Elbarbary 2018   |                     |                                |   | <mark>@</mark>                         |                                  |                                   |                       |
| Elbarbary 2020   |                     |                                |   |  |                                  | 8                                 | <mark>©</mark>        |
| El Gayar 2019    |                     |                                |   |  | <mark>(</mark>                   | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| El-Haggar 2015   | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | <mark>(</mark>                   | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| El-Makaky 2020   | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   |  |                                  | <mark>(</mark>                    | <mark>@</mark>        |
| El-Shamy 2018    | 8                   | 8                              | <mark>@</mark>                            | <mark></mark>                          |                                  | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| El-Sharkawy 2016 |                     |                                |   |  |                                  |                                   | 8                     |
| El-Sheikh 2019   | ☺                   | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                                | ☺                                 | 8                     |
| Embaby 2016      | <mark></mark>       | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                                | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Essien 2017      |                     |                                | <mark>@</mark>                            |  | 8                                | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Fairall 2016     | <mark></mark>       |                                | <mark>©</mark>                            | <mark></mark>                          |                                  |                                   |                       |
| Fayehun 2018     | ☺                   |                                | 8   | $\overline{\otimes}$                   |                                  | ☺                                 |                       |
| Ghoneim 2013     | $\odot$             | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | <mark>(</mark>                   | ☺                                 | 8                     |
| Hailu 2018       |                     | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   |  | 8                                | ☺                                 | 8                     |
| Ibrahim 2014     |                     | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                          | 8                                | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Krawinkel 2018   |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                      | 8                                | $\odot$                           |                       |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 69 of 100

| Study                  | Sequence generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>Iment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors | Incomplete<br>outcome data | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting      | Oth<br>of |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| Labhardt 2011          |                     |                                | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      |                            | $\odot$                                |           |
| Maharaj 2016           | <mark>©</mark>      | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   |                                     | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>@</mark>                         |           |
| Malek 2015             | ☺                   | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      |                            | <mark>©</mark>                         |           |
| Malipa 2013            | <mark>@</mark>      | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | 8                                   | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Mash 2014              |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(3)</mark>                    | 8                          | $\odot$                                |           |
| Matter 2020            |                     |                                |   |                                     |                            | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ |           |
| Mohamad 2009           | <mark></mark>       | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Moustafa 2019          | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | 8   | <mark>(</mark> )                    | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Muchiri 2015           |                     |                                | <mark>⊗</mark>                            |                                     |                            | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Nteleki 2015           | 8                   | <mark>(</mark> )               | <mark>⊗</mark>                            | <mark>(</mark> )                    |                            | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Owolabi 2019           | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | 8   |                                     |                            | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ |           |
| Rashad 2017            |                     | $\odot$                        |   | $\odot$                             | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Ragheb 2020            |                     | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | 8                                   | 8                          | $\odot$                                |           |
| RezkAllah 2019         |                     |                                | 8   | $\odot$                             |                            | $\odot$                                |           |
| Saeed 2013             | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | 8   | 8                                   | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Salem 2010             | <mark></mark>       | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(3)</mark>                    | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Sodipo 2017            |                     | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | <mark>(</mark> )                    | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Somanah 2012           | <mark>©</mark>      |                                | <mark>⊗</mark>                            | <mark>(</mark> )                    | 8                          | $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$                |           |
| Steyn 2013             |                     | <mark>())</mark>               | <mark>©</mark>                            | <mark>())</mark>                    | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Takenga 2014           | <mark>©</mark>      | <mark>())</mark>               | <mark>©</mark>                            | <mark>())</mark>                    |                            | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Tawfik 2016            | <mark>©</mark>      |                                |   | $\odot$                             | $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$  | <mark>(</mark> )                       |           |
| Thuita 2020            | <mark>©</mark>      |                                | 8   |                                     |                            | <mark>(</mark> )                       |           |
| Tsobgny-Tsague<br>2018 |                     | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   |                                     | 8                          |  |           |
| Utz 2018               |                     |                                | 8   |                                     |                            | 8                                      |           |
| Van der Hoogt 2017     | <mark></mark>       | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Van Rooijen 2004       |                     |                                | 8   | $\odot$                             |                            | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Webb 2015              | <mark></mark>       | <mark>©</mark>                 | ☺   |                                     | 8                          |  |           |
| Yakoot 2019            | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | <mark>©</mark>                            | 8                                   |                            | 8                                      |           |
| Yan 2014               | <mark>©</mark>      | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>©</mark>                      | $\odot$                    | <mark>@</mark>                         |           |

Supplementary Table 5: Judgements on risk of bias



# PRISMA 2020 Checklist

| 3<br>4<br>5    | Section and<br>Topic          | ltem<br># | Checklist item   | Location<br>where item is<br>reported  |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| 6              | TITLE                         |           |  |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9    | Title                         | 1         | Identify the report as a systematic review.  | 1 (Numbers<br>are manuscript<br>pages) |
| 10             | ABSTRACT                      |           |  |  |
| 11             | Abstract                      | 2         | See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.   | 2                                      |
| 12             | INTRODUCTION                  | T         |  |  |
| 13             | Rationale                     | 3         | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.  | 4                                      |
| 14             | Objectives                    | 4         | Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.   | 5                                      |
| 15             | METHODS                       | •         |  |  |
| 17             | Eligibility criteria          | 5         | Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.  | 5                                      |
| 18             | Information<br>sources        | 6         | Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.  | 6                                      |
| 20<br>21       | Search strategy               | 7         | Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.   | Supplementary data                     |
| 22<br>23       | Selection process             | 8         | Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.                     | 6                                      |
| 24<br>25<br>26 | Data collection<br>process    | 9         | Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | 6-7                                    |
| 27<br>28       | Data items                    | 10a       | List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.                        | 6                                      |
| 29<br>30       |                               | 10b       | List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.   | 5-7                                    |
| 31<br>32       | Study risk of bias assessment | 11        | Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.                                    | 7                                      |
| 33             | Effect measures               | 12        | Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.  | 7-8                                    |
| 35<br>36       | Synthesis methods             | 13a       | Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).   | 6-7                                    |
| 37             |                               | 13b       | Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.  | 7                                      |
| 39             | )                             | 13c       | Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.   | 7                                      |
| 40<br>41       |                               | 13d       | Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.  | 7                                      |
| 42             |                               | 13e       | Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).   | not done                               |
| 43<br>44<br>45 | -                             |           | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  | due to the<br>narrative<br>approach    |
| 46<br>47       |                               |           |  | •                                      |

# PRISMA 2020 Checklist

| Section and<br>Topic          | ltem<br># | Checklist item   | Location<br>where item is<br>reported   |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--|---|
|                               | 13f       | Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   | not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach  |
| Reporting bias assessment     | 14        | Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).  | Not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach  |
| Certainty<br>assessment       | 15        | Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.  | Not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach  |
| RESULTS                       |           |  |   |
| Study selection               | 16a       | Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.   | 9   |
| ;<br>)                        | 16b       | Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.  | Supplementary<br>data, list of<br>excluded<br>studies   |
| Study<br>characteristics      | 17        | Cite each included study and present its characteristics.  | 9-16  |
| Risk of bias in<br>studies    | 18        | Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.   | Supplementary<br>Data – Table:<br>Risk of Bias  |
| Results of individual studies | 19        | For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.   | Supplementary<br>Data – Tables  |
| Results of                    | 20a       | For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.   | 11-15   |
| syntheses                     | 20b       | Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect. | Figures 3 and<br>4 in the results<br>section (page<br>13)   |
|                               | 20c       | Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.   | Page 9 (study<br>designs,<br>participants,<br>settings)<br>Pages 10 – 15<br>(interventions)<br>narrative<br>description of<br>heterogenous<br>studies |
| :                             | 20d       | Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.   | Not done due to the narrative   |
|                               |           | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  | approach  |



# PRISMA 2020 Checklist

| 3<br>4<br>5                                  | Section and<br>Topic                                 | ltem<br># | Checklist item   | Location<br>where item is<br>reported  |
|--|--|-----------|--|--|
| 6<br>7<br>8                                  | Reporting biases                                     | 21        | Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.  | Not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach   |
| 9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15        | Certainty of<br>evidence                             | 22        | Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.  | Only for<br>HbA1c<br>measures:<br>Figures 3 and<br>4; others not<br>done due to<br>narrative<br>approach       |
| 16   | DISCUSSION   |           |  |  |
| 18   | Discussion   | 23a       | Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.  | 1/   |
| 19   | )  | 23b       | Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.  | 21   |
| 20   | )  | 23c       | Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.  | 21-22  |
| 21   |  | 23d       | Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.   | 17-21  |
| 22   | OTHER INFORMAT                                       | ΓΙΟΝ      |  |  |
| 2:   | Registration and<br>protocol                         | 24a       | Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.   | 8 + abstract   |
| 25   |  | 24b       | Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.   | 8 + abstract   |
| 26   | 5  | 24c       | Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.  | 6  |
| 27   | ' Support  | 25        | Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.  | 23   |
| 28<br>29                                     | Competing<br>interests                               | 26        | Declare any competing interests of review authors.   | 23   |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>36 | Availability of<br>data, code and<br>other materials | 27        | Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review. | In the<br>supplement:<br>search<br>strategy for 6<br>databases, list<br>of included<br>and excluded<br>studies |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41                         | From: Page MJ, Mc<br>10.1136/bmj.n71                 | Kenzie    | JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ For more information, visit: <u>http://www.prisma-statement.org/</u>                       | 2021;372:n71. doi:   |
| 42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47             |  |           | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  |  |



International prospective register of systematic reviews

Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes in African countries: a systematic review Susanne Unverzagt, Angelika S. Sandholzer, Thomas Frese, Yeabsra Mesfinin

#### Citation

Susanne Unverzagt, Angelika S. Sandholzer, Thomas Frese, Yeabsra Mesfinin. Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes in African countries: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019122785 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?ID=CRD42019122785

#### Review question

To summarize available evidence from randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes initiated from African countries.

#### Searches

Randomized controlled trials without time or date restriction, in English or German language. Electronic databases: MEDLINE and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), registers of ongoing and completed trials (www.ClinicalTrials.gov, apps.who.int/trialsearch).

Results will be screened by 2 independent authors. Discrepancies will be resolved by discussion between the authors or with a third author.

#### Types of study to be included

Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes.

#### Condition or domain being studied

Diabetes mellitus (type 1 and type 2), gestational diabetes.

#### Participants/population

African patients in primary, secondary and tertiary prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes.

#### Intervention(s), exposure(s)

All preventive, diagnostic and curative interventions on diabetes.

#### Comparator(s)/control

Another intervention, or none.

#### Main outcome(s)

Primary outcome: all-cause mortality during the longest reported follow-up period (measured from randomisation).

Secondary outcomes: glucose control (HbA1c, insulin resistence, oral glucose tolerance test, fasting serum or plasma glucose), complications, quality of life, hospital admission, treatment adherence (at longest follow-up).

#### \* Measures of effect

Within the longest reported follow-up period.

#### Additional outcome(s)

Cost.

#### \* Measures of effect

Within the longest reported follow-up period.

# NIHR National Institute for Health Research

#### International prospective register of systematic reviews

# Data extraction (selection and coding)

One authors will extract information on study population (diabetes type 1, type 2, gestational diabetes), intervention and outcome by using an assessment form, which will be designed especially for the topic of this review and tested for five studies. A second author will check all extractions, unclear information will be discussed. The data extraction form will include at least the following items: title, author, reference, study design, country, duration, follow-up, indication of patients (primary, secondary, tertiary prevention), sample size per group, description of intervention and control (drugs, devices, strategies), primary and secondary outcomes with results.

#### Risk of bias (quality) assessment

Risk of bias will be described and judged on the basis of the Cochrane risk of bias tool in seven specific domains: 1.

Sequence generation (judgement per study) 2. Allocation concealment (judgement per study) 3. Blinding of participants and personnel (judgement per study and outcome) 4. Blinding of outcome assessors (judgement per study and outcome) 5. Incomplete outcome data (judgement per study and outcome) 6. Selective outcome reporting (judgement per study and outcome 7. Other sources of bias (judgement per study and outcome). We will judge risk of bias domains as 'low', 'high' or 'unclear' and will evaluate individual bias items and present a summary figure to illustrate these findings.

Discrepancies will be resolved by discussion between the authors or with a third author.

#### Strategy for data synthesis

We plan a narrative synthesis to get a comprehensive overview on this area of research. We add a metaanalysis with the random-effects model on our primary and secondary outcomes on the basis of aggregated information (Hazard ratio, Relative risks or Odds Ratio) if included studies are sufficiently homogeneous in population, intervention, and outcomes and results show no substantial heterogeneity. We will use the Review Manager for data synthesis.

#### Analysis of subgroups or subsets

Study population (diabetes type 1, type 2, gestational diabetes), prevention, diagnosis and treatment type of prevention (primary, secondary and tertiary), type of intervention (drugs, devices, strategies) and regions (northern, eastern, central, southern Africa).

# Contact details for further information

#### Susanne Unverzagt

susanne.unverzagt@medizin.uni-halle.de

#### Organisational affiliation of the review

Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg and Independent Department for General Medicine, University of Leipzig

https://www.medizin.uni-halle.de/index.php?id=7167&L=1%27andchar%28124%29

#### Review team members and their organisational affiliations

Dr Susanne Unverzagt. Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Institute of Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, University Halle / Wittenberg

- Angelika S. Sandholzer. MD student, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, University Halle / Wittenberg
- Professor Thomas Frese. Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Institute of Medical
  - Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, University Halle / Wittenberg
- Yeabsra Mesfinin. School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University

# Collaborators

Dr Eva Kantelhardt. Institute of Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, University Halle / Wittenberg

# Type and method of review

| <b>NIHR</b> National Institute<br>for Health Research International prospective regis  | ster of system                        | PROSPERO<br>atic reviews |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Meta-analysis, Narrative synthesis, Systematic review  |                                       |                          |
| Anticipated or actual start date<br>15 November 2018   |                                       |                          |
| Anticipated completion date<br>31 December 2019  |                                       |                          |
| Funding sources/sponsors<br>DAAD ("Chronic disease health service teaching and research"), project 57216   | 5764                                  |                          |
| Conflicts of interest  |                                       |                          |
| Language<br>English  |                                       |                          |
| Country<br>Ethiopia, Germany   |                                       |                          |
| Stage of review<br>Review Ongoing  |                                       |                          |
| Subject index terms status<br>Subject indexing assigned by CRD   |                                       |                          |
| Subject index terms<br>Africa; Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2; Humans; Randomized Controlled Trials as Te   | opic                                  |                          |
| Date of registration in PROSPERO   |                                       |                          |
| Date of first submission<br>28 January 2019  |                                       |                          |
| Stage of review at time of this submission   |                                       |                          |
| Stage  | Started                               | Completed                |
| Preliminary searches   | Yes                                   | No                       |
| Piloting of the study selection process  | Yes                                   | No                       |
| Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria  | Yes                                   | No                       |
| Data extraction  | No                                    | No                       |
| Risk of bias (quality) assessment  | No                                    | No                       |
| Data analysis  | No                                    | No                       |
| The record owner confirms that the information they have supplied for this sub-<br>complete and they understand that deliberate provision of inaccurate information<br>construed as scientific misconduct. | mission is accura<br>on or omission o | ate and<br>f data may be |
| The record owner confirms that they will update the status of the review when  | it is completed a                     | nd will add              |

publication details in due course.



Versions 25 March 2019 07 August 2019

#### PROSPERO

This information has been provided by the named contact for this review. CRD has accepted this information in good faith and registered the review in PROSPERO. The registrant confirms that the information supplied for this submission is accurate and complete. CRD bears no responsibility or liability for the content of this registration record, any associated files or external websites.

tor peer terier only

# **Search strategies**

# Medline (Ovid)

Search on 19.11.2018, 1470 references, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 541 references

| Nr. | Searches  |
|-----|---|
| 1.  | exp Diabetes Mellitus/  |
| 2.  | Diabetes.tw   |
| 3.  | or/1-2  |
| 4.  | Africa.tw   |
| 5.  | Exp Africa/   |
| 6.  | Algeria\$.tw or exp Algeria/  |
| 7.  | Angol\$.tw or exp Angola/   |
| 8.  | Benin\$.tw or exp Benin/  |
| 9.  | Botswan\$.tw or exp Botswana/                                       |
| 10. | Burkina Faso.tw or exp Burkina Faso/                                |
| 11. | Burund\$.tw or exp Burundi/   |
| 12. | Cameroon\$.tw or exp Cameroon/                                      |
| 13. | Cape Verde.tw or exp Cape Verde/                                    |
| 14. | Central African Republic\$.tw or exp Central African Republic/      |
| 15. | Chad\$.tw or exp Chad/  |
| 16. | Comoros\$.tw or exp Comoros/  |
| 17. | Cote d'Ivoire.tw or exp Cote d'Ivoire/                              |
| 18. | Democratic Republic of Congo.tw or exp Democratic Republic of Congo |
| 19. | Djibout\$.tw or exp Djibouti/                                       |
| 20. | Egypt\$.tw or exp Egypt/  |
| 21. | Equatorial Guinea\$.tw or exp Equatorial Guinea/                    |
| 22. | Eritrea\$.tw or exp Eritrea/  |
| 23. | Ethiop\$.tw or exp Ethiopia/  |
| 24. | Gabon\$.tw or exp Gabon/  |
| 25. | Gambia\$.tw or exp Gambia/  |
| 26. | Ghana\$.tw or exp Ghana/  |
| 27. | Guinea\$.tw or exp Guinea/  |
| 28. | Guinea-Bissau.tw or exp Guinea-Bissau/                              |
| 29. | Kenya\$.tw or exp Kenya/  |
| 30. | Lesoth\$.tw or exp Lesotho/   |
| 31. | Liberia\$.tw or exp Liberia/  |
| 32. | Libya\$.tw or exp Libya/  |
| 33. | Madagascar\$.tw or exp Madagascar/                                  |
| 34. | Malawi\$.tw or exp Malawi/  |
|     |   |

| -  |
|--|
| 2  |
| 2  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| /  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10   |
| 11   |
| 11   |
| 12   |
| 13   |
| 14   |
| 15   |
| 15   |
| 16   |
| 17   |
| 18   |
| 10   |
| 17   |
| 20   |
| 21   |
| 22   |
| 22   |
| 25   |
| 24   |
| 25   |
| 26   |
| 27   |
| 27   |
| 28   |
| 29   |
| 30   |
| 21   |
| 21   |
| 32   |
| 33   |
| 34   |
| 25   |
| 55   |
| 36   |
|  |
| 37   |
| 37<br>38   |
| 37<br>38<br>30   |
| 37<br>38<br>39   |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40   |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41   |
| <ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> </ol>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> </ul>   |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44   |
| <ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> </ol>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ul>   |
| <ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>40</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> </ol>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>51</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> </ul>                                     |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> </ul>                                     |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> <li>55</li> </ul>                         |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> <li>55</li> <li>56</li> </ul>             |
| <ul> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> <li>55</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> </ul> |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>9<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57<br>58  |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>9<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57<br>58<br>9   |

| Nr.       | Searches  |
|-----------|---|
| 35.       | Mali.tw or exp Mali/                                |
| 36.       | Mauritania\$.tw or exp Mauritania/                  |
| 37.       | Mauritius\$.tw or exp Mauritius/                    |
| 38.       | Morocc\$.tw or exp Morocco/                         |
| 39.       | Mozambique\$.tw or exp Mozambique/                  |
| 40        | Namibia\$.tw or exp Namibia/                        |
| 41.       | Niger.tw or exp Niger/                              |
| 42        | Nigeria\$.tw or exp Nigeria/                        |
| 43        | Rwanda\$.tw or exp Rwanda/                          |
| 44        | (Sao Tome and Principe) tw                          |
| 45        | Senegals tw or exp Senegal/                         |
| 46        | Sevchell\$ tw                                       |
| 40.<br>17 | Sierra Leone tw or exp Sierra Leone/                |
| 47.       | Somalias tw or exp Somalia/                         |
| 40.       | South Africa <sup>s</sup> tw or exp South Africa de |
| 49.<br>50 | South Sudan tw or exp South Sudan/                  |
| 50.       | Sudan's two r exp Sudan/                            |
| 51.       | Swaziland <sup>®</sup> tw or oxp Swaziland/         |
| 52.       | Tanzania <sup>®</sup> twor ovo Tanzania/            |
| 53.       |   |
| 54.       |   |
| 55.       |   |
| 56.       | Zambia <sup>®</sup> two at even Zambia              |
| 57.       | Zambia\$.tw of exp Zambia/                          |
| 58.       | Zimbabwe\$.tw or exp Zimbabwe/                      |
| 59.       | Somaliland\$.tw or exp Somaliland/                  |
| 60.       | Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic.tw.                |
| 61.       | or/4-60   |
| 63        | controlled clinical trial of                        |
| 64        | (randomized or randomised or randomly) ti ab        |
| 65.       | placebo.ti.ab.                                      |
| 66.       | trial.ti,ab.  |
| 67.       | groups.ti,ab.                                       |
| 68.       | or/62-67  |
| 69.       | 3 and 61 and 68                                     |
| 70.       | exp animals/ not humans.sh.                         |
| 71.       | 69 not 70   |
| 72.       | 71 not (comment or editorial).pt.                   |

# CENTRAL

Search on 14.01.2019, 439 trials, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 244 trials

| 1  | Africa, explode all trees   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Algeria* or Angol* or Benin* or Botswan*                                    |
| 3  | (Burkina Faso) or Burund* or Cameroon* or (Cape Verde) or (Central African  |
|    | Republic)   |
| 4  | Chad* or Comoros* or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo*                                |
| 5  | Djibout* or Egypt* or (Equatorial Guinea*) or Eritrea*                      |
| 6  | Ethiop* or Gabon* or Gambia* or Ghana* or Guinea* or Guinea-Bissau          |
| 7  | Kenya* or Lesoth* or Liberia* or Libya* or Madagascar* or Malawi*           |
| 8  | Mali* or Mauritania* or Mauritius* or Morocc* or Mozambique* or Namibia* or |
|    | Niger*  |
| 9  | Nigeria* or Rwanda* or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal* or Seychell*     |
| 10 | Sierra Leone or Somalia* or (South Africa) or (South Sudan*) or Sudan* or   |
|    | Swasiland   |
| 11 | Tanzania* or Togo* or Tunisia* or Uganda* or Zambia* or Zimbabwe* or        |
|    | Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)                            |
| 12 | #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11            |
| 13 | MeSH descriptor Diabetes, this term only                                    |
| 14 | MESH descriptor Diabetes mellitus, explode all trees                        |
| 15 | Diabetes near 3 gestation*  |
| 16 | Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults  |
| 17 | Prediabetes   |
| 18 | Insulin resistan*   |
| 20 | HBA1C   |
| 21 | Diabet* near 3 (angiopath* or foot orfeet or retinopath*)                   |
| 22 | Diabet* near 3 (cardiomyopathy* or coma or ketoacido* or neuropath*)        |
| 23 | #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21                 |
| 32 | #12 and #23   |

# CINAHL

Search on 20.08.2020: 19 results

(Africa\$ or Africa\$ or Algeria\$ or Angol\$ or Benin\$ or Botswan\$ or (Burkina Faso) or Burund\$ or Cameroon\$ or (Cape Verde) or (Central African Republic) or Chad\$ or Comoros\$ or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo\$ Djibout\$ or Egypt\$ or (Equatorial Guinea\$) or Eritrea\$

or Ethiop\$ or Gabon\$ or Gambia\$ or Ghana\$ or Guinea\$ or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya\$ or Lesoth\$ or Liberia\$ or Libya\$ or Madagascar\$ or Malawi\$ or Mali\$ or Mauritania\$ or Mauritius\$ or Morocc\$ or Mozambique\$ or Namibia\$ or Niger\$ or Nigeria\$ or Rwanda\$ or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal\$ or Seychell\$ or Sierra Leone or Somalia\$ or (South Africa) or (South Sudan\$) or Sudan\$ or Swasiland or Tanzania\$ or Togo\$ or Tunisia\$ or Uganda\$ or Zambia\$ or Zimbabwe\$ or Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)) in Abstract

AND

diabetes in Abstract

AND

randomized or rct or randomized in Abstract

AND

In English

AND Peer-reviewed

And

Humans

# International Clinical Trials Registry Platform

Search on 9.-10.10.2019, update on 25.08.2020 (registration January 2019 to 31.08.2020) http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/AdvSearch.aspx

- 1. Africa or African in the Title and diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition, Recruitment status: all: 90 records for 90 trials (9.10.2019)
- 2. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Algeria or Angola or Benin or Botswana or Burkina Faso or Burundi or Cameroon or Central African Republic or Chad or Congo or Cite D'ivoire: 96 record for 63 trials

 diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Democratic Republic of Congo or Djibouti or Egypt or Equatorial Guinea or Eritrea or Ethiopia: 292 records for 159 trials

- 4. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition
  - Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Gabon or Gambia or Ghana or Guinea or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya or Lesotho or Liberia or Lybia: 22 records for 22 trials

5. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition

| 1        |    |  |
|----------|----|--|
| 2        |    |  |
| 5<br>4   |    | Recruitment status: all  |
| 5        |    | Countries of recruitment: Madagascar or Malawi or Mali or Mauritania or Mauritius or |
| 6        |    | Morocco or Mozambique: 96 records for 34 trials                                      |
| 8        | 6. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
| 9        |    | Recruitment status: all  |
| 10       |    | Countries of rearraitments Nigeries 12 records for 12 trials                         |
| 12       |    | Countries of recruitment: Nigena: 13 records for 13 thats                            |
| 13       | 7. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
| 14<br>15 |    | Recruitment status: all  |
| 16       |    | Countries of recruitment: Namibia or Niger or Rwanda or (Sao Tome and Principe) or   |
| 17       |    | Senegal or Sevenelles or Sierra Leone or Somalia or South Sudan or Sudan or          |
| 18       |    | Swaziland:   |
| 20       |    | Swazilarid.  |
| 21       |    | 11 records for 11 trials   |
| 22       | 8. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
| 23       |    | Recruitment status: all  |
| 25       |    | Countries of recruitment: South Africa: 1528 records for 429 trials:                 |
| 26       | a  | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
| 28       | 5. |  |
| 29       |    | Recruitment status: all  |
| 30<br>31 |    | Countries of recruitment: Togo or Tunesia or Ujanda or Zambia or Zimbabwe: 129       |
| 32       |    | records for 50 trials  |
| 33       |    |  |
| 34       |    |  |
| 36       |    |  |
| 37       |    |  |
| 38       |    |  |
| 40       |    |  |
| 41       |    |  |
| 42       |    |  |
| 43<br>44 |    |  |
| 45       |    |  |
| 46<br>47 |    |  |
| 48       |    |  |
| 49       |    |  |
| 50<br>51 |    |  |
| 52       |    |  |
| 53       |    |  |
| 54<br>55 |    |  |
| 56       |    |  |
| 57       |    |  |
| 58<br>59 |    |  |
| 60       |    |  |

# African Journals Online

https://www.ajol.info/index.php/index/search/search?query=%28diabetes+or+diabetic+or+hb a1c%29+and+%28random+or+randomized+or+randomised%29&dateFromYear=2004&date FromMonth=01&dateFromDay=1&dateToYear=2020&dateToMonth=10&dateToDay=14&aut hors=

Advanced search 14.10.2020 Titel: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised) 30 results

# African Index Medicus Database

http://indexmedicus.afro.who.int/aim/opac\_css/index.php?lvl=search\_result&get\_query=4

Advanced search 14.10.2020

Titel, Expression booléenne: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised)

L'EZ ONI

122 results, no potentially eligible references

#### 

# 1 List of included and excluded studies

# 1.1 List of included studies

# Abaza 2017

Abaza H, Marschollek M. SMS education for the promotion of diabetes self-management in low & middle income countries: a pilot randomized controlled trial in Egypt. BMC public health. 2017;17(1):962.

Abaza H, Marschollek M, Schulze M. SMS Education for the Promotion of Diabetes Self-Management in Low & Middle Income Countries: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Egypt. Studies in Health Technology & Informatics. 2017;245:1209.

# Abdulrhman 2013

Abdulrhman MM, El-Hefnawy MH, Aly RH, Shatla RH, Mamdouh RM, Mahmoud DM, et al. Metabolic effects of honey in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover pilot study. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2013;16(1):66-72.

#### Adibe 2013

Adibe MO, Ukwe CV, Aguwa CN. The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention on the Quality of Life of Nigerian Patients Receiving Treatment for Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):240-7.

Adibe MO, Aguwa CN, Ukwe CV. Cost-Utility Analysis of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention Versus Usual Care in Management of Nigerian Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):189-98.

# Adjei 2015

Adjei DN, Agyemang C, Dasah JB, Kuranchie P, Amoah AG. The effect of electronic reminders on risk management among diabetic patients in low resourced settings. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(6):818-21.

# Ali 2019

Ali S, Ghanem Y, Sharaki O, Hewedy W, al. e. The impact of different regimens of vitamin d3 on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. Asian journal of pharmaceutical and clinical research. 2019;12(12):21- 6.

# Amendezo 2017

Amendezo E, Walker Timothy D, Karamuka V, Robinson B, Kavabushi P, Ntirenganya C, et al. Effects of a lifestyle education program on glycemic control among patients with diabetes at Kigali University Hospital, Rwanda: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2017;126:129-37.

# Anderson 2001

Anderson RA, Roussel AM, Zouari N, Mahjoub S, Matheau JM, Kerkeni A. Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2001;20(3):212-8.

#### Anyanwu 2016

 Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Odeniyi IA, Iwuala S, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Effect of Vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in Type 2 diabetes subjects in Lagos, Nigeria. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016;20(2):189-94.

#### Ashoush 2016

Ashoush S, El-Said M, Fathi H, Abdelnaby M. Identification of metformin poor responders, requiring supplemental insulin, during randomization of metformin versus insulin for the control of gestational diabetes mellitus. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(6):640-7.

#### Asuako 2017

Asuako B, Moses MO, Eghan BA, Sarpong PA. Fasting plasma glucose and lipid profiles of diabetic patients improve with aerobic exercise training. Ghana Medical Journal. 2017;51(3):120-7.

#### Beyuo 2015

Beyuo T, Obed SA, Adjepong-Yamoah KK, Bugyei KA, Oppong SA, Marfoh K. Metformin versus Insulin in the Management of Pre-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2015;10(5):e0125712.

#### Chraibi 2017

Chraibi A, Al-Herz S, Nguyen BD, Soeatmadji DW, Shinde A, Lakshmivenkataraman B, et al. An RCT Investigating Patient-Driven Versus Physician-Driven Titration of BIAsp 30 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled Using NPH Insulin. Diabetes Therapy Research, Treatment and Education of Diabetes and Related Disorders. 2017;8(4):767-80.

#### Debussche 2018

Debussche X, Besancon S, Balcou-Debussche M, Ferdynus C, Delisle H, Huiart L, et al. Structured peer-led diabetes self-management and support in a low-income country: The ST2EP randomised controlled trial in Mali. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(1):e0191262.

#### Distiller 2014

Distiller LA, Nortje H, Wellmann H, Amod A, Lombard L. A 24-week, prospective, randomized, open-label, treat-to-target pilot study of obese type 2 diabetes patients with severe insulin resistance to assess the addition of exenatide on the efficacy of U-500 regular insulin plus metformin. Endocrine practice. 2014;20(11):1143- 50.

El Gayar 2019

El Gayar MH, Aboromia MMM, Ibrahim NA, Abdel Hafiz MH. Effects of ginger powder supplementation on glycemic status and lipid profile in newly diagnosed obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obesity medicine. 2019;14.

#### El-Haggar 2015

EI-Haggar SM, Farrag WF, Kotkata FA. Effect of ketotifen in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(3):427-32.

#### El-Makaky 2020

El-Makaky Y, Shalaby HK. The effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on glycemic control in diabetic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Oral diseases. 2020;26:822-9.

#### El-Shamy 2018

El-Shamy FF, El-Kholy SS, Labib M, Kabel AM. Ameliorative potential of acupressure on gestational diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of complementary and integrative medicine. 2018; 16(1).

#### El-Sheikh 2019

El-Sheikh HM, El-Haggar SM, Elbedewy TA. Comparative study to evaluate the effect of Lcarnitine plus glimepiride versus glimepiride alone on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes and metabolic syndrome: clinical research and reviews. 2019;13(1):167-73.

#### El- Sharkawy 2016

El- Sharkawy HM, Anees MM, Van Dyke TE. Propolis improves periodontal status and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of periodontology. 2016;87(12):1418-26.

#### Elbarbary 2016

Elbarbary NS. Effectiveness of the low-glucose suspend feature of insulin pump during fasting during Ramadan in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews. 2016;32(6):623- 33.

#### Elbarbary 2018

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, El-Naggar AR, Hamouda MH, El-Hamamsy M. The effect of 12 weeks carnosine supplementation on renal functional integrity and oxidative stress in pediatric patients with diabetic nephropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Pediatric diabetes. 2018;19(3):470-7.

#### Elbarbary 2020

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Zaki MA, Darwish YW, Ibrahim MZ, El-Hamamsy M. Vitamin B complex supplementation as a homocysteine-lowering therapy for early stage diabetic nephropathy in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Clinical Nutrition. 2020;39(1):49-56.

#### Embaby 2016

Embaby H, Elsayed E, Fawzy M. Insulin Sensitivity and Plasma Glucose Response to Aerobic Exercise in Pregnant Women at Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2016;26(5):409- 14.

#### Essien 2017

 Essien O, Otu A, Umoh V, Enang O, Hicks JP, Walley J. Intensive Patient Education Improves Glycaemic Control in Diabetes Compared to Conventional Education: A Randomised Controlled Trial in a Nigerian Tertiary Care Hospital. PLoS ONE 2017;12(1):e0168835.

#### Fairall 2016

Fairall LR, Folb N, Timmerman V, Lombard C, Steyn K, Bachmann MO, et al. Educational Outreach with an Integrated Clinical Tool for Nurse-Led Non-communicable Chronic Disease Management in Primary Care in South Africa: a Pragmatic Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. Plos medicine. 2016;13(11):e1002178.

#### Fayehun 2018

Fayehun AF, Olowookere OO, Ogunbode AM, Adetunji AA, Esan A. Walking prescription of 10 000 steps per day in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial in Nigerian general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 2018;68(667):e139-e45.

#### Ghoneim 2013

Ghoneim EM, Abd El Ghany AA. Behavior of intraocular pressure after intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide among egyptians. Ophthalmology and Therapy. 2013;2(2):121-30.

#### Hailu 2018

Hailu FB, Hjortdahl P, Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2018;6:302.

#### Ibrahim 2014

Ibrahim MI, Hamdy A, Shafik A, Taha S, Anwar M, Faris M. The role of adding metformin in insulin-resistant diabetic pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014;289(5):959-65.

#### Krawinkel 2018

Krawinkel MB, Ludwig C, Swai ME, Yang RY, Chun KP, Habicht SD. Bitter gourd reduces elevated fasting plasma glucose levels in an intervention study among prediabetics in Tanzania. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2018;216:1-7.

#### Labhardt 2011

Labhardt ND, Balo JR, Ndam M, Manga E, Stoll B. Improved retention rates with low-cost interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment of nurse-led care: a cluster-randomised trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2011;16(10):1276-84.

#### Maharaj 2016

Maharaj SS, Nuhu JM. Rebound exercise: A beneficial adjuvant for sedentary non-insulindependent type 2 diabetic individuals in a rural environment. Australian Journal of Rural Health. 2016;24(2):123-9.

#### Malek 2015

Malek R, Ajili F, Assaad-Khalil SH, Shinde A, Chen JW, Van den Berg E. Similar glucose control with basal-bolus regimen of insulin detemir plus insulin aspart and thrice-daily biphasic insulin aspart 30 in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: Results of a 50-week randomized clinical trial of stepwise insulin intensification. Diabetes & Metabolism. 2015;41(3):223-30.

#### Marais 2018

Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

#### Malipa 2013

Malipa M, Menon J. The relationship between compliance and quality of life among adolescents with diabetes mellitus type1. Medical Journal of Zambia. 2013;40(3):93-103.

#### Mash 2014

Mash RJ, Rhode H, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S, Lombard C, Steyn K, et al. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in under-served communities in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(8):987-93.

Mash R, Kroukamp R, Gaziano T, Levitt N. Cost-effectiveness of a diabetes group education program delivered by health promoters with a guiding style in underserved communities in Cape Town, South Africa. Patient Education & Counseling. 2015;98(5):622-6.

#### Matter 2020

Matter RM, Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Darwish YW, Nada AS, Banoub VP. Zinc supplementation improves glucose homeostasis in patients with Î<sup>2</sup>-thalassemia major complicated with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition 2020;73.

#### Mohamad 2009

Mohamad RH, Zekry ZK, Al-Mehdar HA, Salama O, El-Shaieb SE, El-Basmy AA, et al. Camel milk as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes: verification of a traditional ethnomedical practice. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2009;12(2):461-5.

#### Moustafa 2019

Moustafa HAM, El Wakeel LM, Halawa MR, Sabri NA, El-Bahy AZ, Singab AN. Effect of Nigella Sativa oil versus metformin on glycemic control and biochemical parameters of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Endocrine. 2019;65(2):286- 94.

#### Muchiri 2016

Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Effect of a nutrition education programme on clinical status and dietary behaviours of adults with type 2 diabetes in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Public Health Nutrition. 2016;19(1):142-55.

Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Impact of nutrition education on diabetes knowledge and attitudes of adults with type 2 diabetes living in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Journal of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes of South Africa. 2016;21(2):26-34.

#### Nteleki 2015

Nteleki B, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. Conventional podiatric intervention and phototherapy in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Seminars in Vascular Surgery. 2015;28(3-4):172-83.

# Owolabi 2019

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Efficacy, acceptability and feasibility of daily text-messaging in promoting glycaemic control and other clinical outcomes in a low-resource setting of South Africa: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2019;14(11):e0224791.

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Impact of mobile phone text messaging intervention on adherence among patients with diabetes in a rural setting: A randomized controlled trial. Medicine. 2020;99(12):1-8.

#### Ragheb 2020

Ragheb SR, El Wakeel LM, Nasr MS, Sabri NA. Impact of Rutin and Vitamin C combination on oxidative stress and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clinical nutrition ESPEN. 2020;35:128-35.

# Rashad 2017

Rashad H, Metwally FM, Ezzat SM, Salama MM, Hasheesh A, Abdel Motaal A. Randomized double-blinded pilot clinical study of the antidiabetic activity of Balanites aegyptiaca and UPLC-ESI-MS/MS identification of its metabolites. Pharmaceutical Biology. 2017;55(1):1954-61.

# RezkAllah 2019

RezkAllah SS, Takla MK. Effects of different dosages of interval training on glycemic control in people with prediabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes spectrum. 2019;32(2):125- 31.

# Saeed 2013

Saeed AM. Combined vitrectomy and intravitreal injection versus combined laser and injection for treatment of intractable diffuse diabetic macular edema. Clinical Ophthalmology. 2013;7:283-97.

#### Salem 2010

 Salem MA, Aboelasrar MA, Elbarbary NS, Elhilaly RA, Refaat YM. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2010;2(1):47.

#### Sodipo 2017

Sodipo OO, Adedokun A, Olusola AA. Effect of self-monitoring of blood glucose on glycaemic outcome among type 2 diabetic patients. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):208-13.

#### Somanah 2012

Somanah J, Aruoma OI, Gunness TK, Kowelssur S, Dambala V, Murad F, et al. Effects of a short term supplementation of a fermented papaya preparation on biomarkers of diabetes mellitus in a randomized Mauritian population. Preventive Medicine. 2012;54 Suppl:S90-7.

#### Steyn 2013

Steyn K, Lombard C, Gwebushe N, Fourie JM, Everett-Murphy K, Zwarenstein M, et al. Implementation of national guidelines, incorporated within structured diabetes and hypertension records at primary level care in Cape Town, South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Glob Health Action. 2013;6:20796.

#### Takenga 2014

Takenga C, Berndt RD, Musongya O, Kitero J, Katoke R, Molo K, et al. An ICT-Based Diabetes Management System Tested for Health Care Delivery in the African Context. International Journal of Telemedicine & Applications. 2014;2014:437307.

#### Tawfik 2016

Tawfik MY, Mohamed RA. The impact of communicating cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetics on patient risk perception, diabetes self-care, glycosylated hemoglobin, and cardiovascular risk. Journal of public health. 2016;24(2):153-64.

# Thuita 2020

Thuita AW, Kiage BN, Onyango AN, Makokha AO. Effect of a nutrition education programme on the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at a level 5 Hospital in Kenya: "a randomized controlled trial". BMC Nutr. 2020;6:30.

# Tsobgny-Tsague 2018

Tsobgny-Tsague NF, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nana ARN, Tankeu AT, Katte JC, Dehayem MY, et al. Effects of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on glycated haemoglobin on type 2 diabetes patients (PARODIA 1 study): a randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan Africa population. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):28.

#### Utz 2018

Utz B, Assarag B, Smekens T, Ennassiri H, Lekhal T, El Ansari N, et al. Detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through primary health care services in Morocco: An effectiveness-implementation trial. PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0209322.

# van der Hoogt 2017

van der Hoogt M, van Dyk JC, Dolman RC, Pieters M. Protein and fat meal content increase insulin requirement in children with type 1 diabetes - Role of duration of diabetes. Journal of clinical and translational endocrinology. 2017;10:15- 21.

# van Rooijen 2004

van Rooijen AJ, Rheeder P, Eales CJ, Becker PJ. Effect of exercise versus relaxation on haemoglobin A1C in Black females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Qjm. 2004;97(6):343-51.

# Webb 2015

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Van Zyl DG. Diabetes care and complications in primary care in the Tshwane district of South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2015;9(2):147-54.

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Roux P. Screening in Primary Care for Diabetic Retinopathy, Maculopathy and Visual Loss in South Africa. Ophthalmologica. 2016;235(3):141-9.

Webb EM, Rheeder P. A cluster-randomized trial to estimate the effect of mobile screening and treatment feedback on HbA1c and diabetes-related complications in Tshwane primary health care clinics, South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2017;11(6):546-54.

# Yakoot 2019

Yakoot M, Abdelatif M, Helmy S. Efficacy of a new local limb salvage treatment for limbthreatening diabetic foot wounds-a randomized controlled study. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy. 2019;12:1659.

# Yan 2014

Yan H, Prista A, Ranadive SM, Damasceno A, Caupers P, Kanaley JA, et al. Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. Isrn Endocrinology Print. 2014;2014:864897.

#### 

# 1.2 List of excluded studies

# 1.2.1 Other design (28 references)

1. Abd El Hameed AA, Shreif HE, Mowafy HE. The role of continuing metformin therapy during pregnancy in the reduction of gestational diabetes and improving pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2011;16(3):204- 8.

2. Abdelaziz TS, Sadek KM. Effect of reducing medication regimen complexity on glycaemic control in patients with diabetes. Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine. 2019;57(1):23-9.

3. Agboola-Abu CF, Ohwovoriole AE, Akinlade KS. The effect of oral hypoglycaemic agents on dyslipidaemia in Nigerian patients with newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus--a prospective study. West African Journal of Medicine. 2000;19(2):126-31.

4. Assah FK, Atanga EN, Enoru S, Sobngwi E, Mbanya JC. Community-based peer support significantly improves metabolic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Yaounde, Cameroon. Diabetic Medicine. 2015;32(7):886-9.

5. Bahramikia S, Yazdanparast R. Phytochemistry and medicinal properties of Teucrium polium L. (Lamiaceae). Phytotherapy Research. 2012;26(11):1581-93.

6. Bailey CJ, Iqbal N, T'Joen C, List JF. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naive patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(10):951-9.

7. Bekkouche L, Bouchenak M, Malaisse WJ, Yahia DA. The Mediterranean diet adoption improves metabolic, oxidative, and inflammatory abnormalities in Algerian metabolic syndrome patients. Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung / Hormones et metabolisme [Hormone and metabolic research]. 2014;46(4):274-82.

8. Bello SI, Ganiyu KA, Dakop YO, Erah PO. Pharmacist's intervention in the control of blood sugar levels in randomised diabetes patients at a primary health care setting in Benin City. Nigerian Quarterly Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2012;22(4):245-8.

9. Brown J, Martis R, Hughes B, Rowan J, Crowther CA. Oral anti-diabetic pharmacological therapies for the treatment of women with gestational diabetes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017;1:CD011967.

10. Chou HS, Truitt KE, Moberly JB, Merante D, Choi Y, Mun Y, et al. A 26-week, placebo- and pioglitazone-controlled monotherapy study of rivoglitazone in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(11):1000-9.

11. De Caterina R, Andersson U, Alexander JH, Al-Khatib SM, Bahit MC, Goto S, et al. History of bleeding and outcomes with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation trial. American Heart Journal. 2016;175:175-83. **BMJ** Open

 12. De Luca G, Michael Gibson C, Bellandi F, Murphy S, Maioli M, Noc M, et al. Benefits of pharmacological facilitation with glycoprotein IIb-IIIa inhibitors in diabetic patients undergoing primary angioplasty for STEMI. A subanalysis of the EGYPT cooperation. Journal of Thrombosis & Thrombolysis. 2009;28(3):288-98.

13. El-Fattah AAA, Hamed MI, Sadek SE, Abu-Elhana AS. Insulin resistance in type II diabetes mellitus with liver cirrhosis. Global journal of pharmacology. 2013;7(2):109- 17.

14. Fullerton B, Siebenhofer A, Jeitler K, Horvath K, Semlitsch T, Berghold A, et al. Shortacting insulin analogues versus regular human insulin for adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016(6):CD012161.

15. Gessler N, Labhard ND, Stolt P, Manga E, Balo JR, Boffolo A, et al. The lesson of Monsieur Nouma: effects of a culturally sensitive communication tool to improve health-seeking behavior in rural Cameroon. Patient Education & Counseling. 2012;87(3):343-50.

16. Ibrahim MA, Sarhan, II, Halawa MR, Afify EN, Hebah HA, Al-Gohary EA, et al. Study of the effect of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in type 2 diabetic prevalent hemodialysis patients. Hemodialysis international. 2015;19:S11- S9.

17. Jingi AM, Noubiap JJ, Essouma M, Bigna JJ, Nansseu JR, Ellong A, et al. Association of insulin treatment versus oral hypoglycaemic agents with diabetic retinopathy and its severity in type 2 diabetes patients in Cameroon, sub-Saharan Africa. Annals of Translational Medicine. 2016;4(20):395.

18. Kadiri A, Al-Nakhi A, El-Ghazali S, Jabbar A, Al Arouj M, Akram J, et al. Treatment of type 1 diabetes with insulin lispro during Ramadan. Diabetes & metabolism. 2001;27(4 Pt 1):482- 6.

19. Kamau RK, Maina FW, Kigondu C, Mati JK. The effect of low-oestrogen combined pill, progestogen-only pill and medroxyprogesterone acetate on oral glucose tolerance test. East African Medical Journal. 1990;67(8):550-5.

20. Moghazy AM, Shams ME, Adly OA, Abbas AH, El-Badawy MA, Elsakka DM, et al. The clinical and cost effectiveness of bee honey dressing in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2010;89(3):276-81.

21. Notelovitz M. Sulphonylurea therapy in the treatment of the pregnant diabetic. South African Medical Journal Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir Geneeskunde. 1971;45(9):226-9.

22. Osman H, Khamis O, Elfeky M, El Amin Ali A, Abdelwahed M. Effect of short-term erythropoietin therapy on insulin resistance and serum levels of leptin and neuropeptide y in hemodialysis patients. Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;21(5):724- 30.

23. Razak A, Isaacs AA. Implementation and evaluation of a weight-reduction programme for diabetic patients at a primary health care facility in the western cape: a pilot study. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):189- 94.

#### **BMJ** Open

24. Schumm-Draeger PM, Burgess L, Koranyi L, Hruba V, Hamer-Maansson JE, de Bruin TW. Twice-daily dapagliflozin co-administered with metformin in type 2 diabetes: a 16-week randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):42-51.

25. Speechly DP, Buffenstein R. Appetite dysfunction in obese males: evidence for role of hyperinsulinaemia in passive overconsumption with a high fat diet. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2000;54(3):225- 33.

26. Todowede OO, Sartorius B. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome, discrete or comorbid diabetes and hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa among people living with HIV versus HIV-negative populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open. 2017;7(7):e016602.

27. Van Olmen J, Van Pelt M, Malombo B, Ku GM, Kanda D, Heang H, et al. Process evaluation of a mobile health intervention for people with diabetes in low income countries - the implementation of the TEXT4DSM study. Journal of Telemedicine & Telecare. 2017;23(1):96-105.

28. Werfalli M, Raubenheimer P, Engel M, Peer N, Kalula S, Kengne AP, et al. Effectiveness of community-based peer-led diabetes self-management programmes (COMP-DSMP) for improving clinical outcomes and quality of life of adults with diabetes in primary care settings in low and middle-income countries (LMIC): a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2015;5(7):e007635.

1.2.2 Other population (32 references)

1. Ali Hassan H, El-Gezeiry D, Nafaa TM, Baghdady I. Improved responsiveness of PCOS patients to clomiphene after CYP17a inhibitor. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics. 2001;18(11):608- 11.

Amador-Licona N, Guízar-Mendoza J, Vargas E, Sánchez-Camargo G, Zamora-Mata
 The short-term effect of a switch from glibenclamide to metformin on blood pressure and microalbuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Archives of medical research. 2000;31(6):571- 5.

3. Ashoush S, Abou-Gamrah A, Bayoumy H, Othman N. Chromium picolinate reduces insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome: randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(3):279- 85.

4. Ashtary-Larky D, Ghanavati M, Lamuchi-Deli N, Payami SA, Alavi-Rad S, Boustaninejad M, et al. Rapid Weight Loss vs. slow weight loss: which is more effective on body composition and metabolic risk factors? International journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;15(3) (no pagination).
**BMJ** Open

 5. 6. Bashandy GMN, Boules NS, Taha FM. Effects of a single preoperative dose of N(2)-L-alanyl-L-glutamine on insulin resistance and plasma glutathione levels in the early postoperative period. Egyptian journal of anaesthesia. 2013;29(4):319- 24.

6. Bays HE, Evans JL, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2013;67(1):2-7.

7. Belinova L, Kahleova H, Malinska H, Topolcan O, Windrichova J, Oliyarnyk O, et al. The effect of meal frequency in a reduced-energy regimen on the gastrointestinal and appetite hormones in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised crossover study. Plos one. 2017;12(4):e0174820.

8. Campbell-Tofte JI, Mølgaard P, Josefsen K, Abdallah Z, Hansen SH, Cornett C, et al. Randomized and double-blinded pilot clinical study of the safety and anti-diabetic efficacy of the Rauvolfia-Citrus tea, as used in Nigerian traditional medicine. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2011;133(2):402- 11.10.

9. EI-Haggar SM, Mostafa TM. Comparative clinical study between the effect of fenofibrate alone and its combination with pentoxifylline on biochemical parameters and liver stiffness in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology international. 2015;9(3):471- 9.

10. Gupta V, Keshari BB, Tiwari SK, Murthy K. A comparative study of Shilajatu and Asanadi Ghana Vati in the management of Madhumeha w.s.r. to type-2 diabetes mellitus. Ayu. 2016;37(2):120-4.

11. Hashim HA, Lakany NE, Sherief L. Combined metformin and clomiphene citrate versus laparoscopic ovarian diathermy for ovulation induction in clomiphene-resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2011;37(3):169-77.

12. Home PD, Bolli GB, Mathieu C, Deerochanawong C, Landgraf W, Candelas C, et al. Modulation of insulin dose titration using a hypoglycaemia-sensitive algorithm: insulin glargine versus neutral protamine Hagedorn insulin in insulin-naive people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):15-22.

13. Ismail NA, Ragab S, Abd El Baky ANE, Hamed M, Ibrahim ASA. Effect of oral curcumin administration on insulin resistance, serum resistin and fetuin-A in obese children: randomized placebo-controlled study. Research journal of pharmaceutical, biological and chemical sciences. 2014;5(1):887-96.

14. Kumari J, Mehta CS, Shukla VD, Dave AR, Shingala TM. A comparative clinical study of Nyagrodhadi Ghanavati and Virechana Karma in the management of Madhumeha. Ayu. 2010;31(3):300-4.

#### **BMJ** Open

15. Malin SK, Hinnerichs KR, Echtenkamp BG, Evetovich TK, Engebretsen BJ. Effect of adiposity on insulin action after acute and chronic resistance exercise in non-diabetic women. European journal of applied physiology. 2013;113(12):2933- 41.

16. Malin SK, Kullman EL, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Pagadala MR, et al. A wholegrain diet reduces peripheral insulin resistance and improves glucose kinetics in obese adults: a randomized-controlled trial. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 2018;82:111-7.

17. Malin SK, Niemi N, Solomon TP, Haus JM, Kelly KR, Filion J, et al. Exercise training with weight loss and either a high- or low-glycemic index diet reduces metabolic syndrome severity in older adults. Annals of nutrition & metabolism. 2012;61(2):135- 41.

18. Manaf A, Tjandrawinata RR, Malinda D. Insulin sensitizer in prediabetes: a clinical study with DLBS3233, a combined bioactive fraction of Cinnamomum burmanii and Lagerstroemia speciosa. Drug design, development and therapy. 2016;10:1279- 89.

19. Mendez-Del Villar M, Gonzalez-Ortiz M, Martinez-Abundis E, Perez-Rubio KG, Cortez-Navarrete M. Effect of Irvingia gabonensis on Metabolic Syndrome, Insulin Sensitivity, and Insulin Secretion. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2018;21(6):568-74.

20. Mogharnasi M, TaheriChadorneshin H, Papoli-Baravati SA, Teymuri A. Effects of upper-body resistance exercise training on serum nesfatin-1 level, insulin resistance, and body composition in obese paraplegic men. Disability and health journal. 2018;(no pagination).

21. Nadkarni MA, Vyas SN, Baghel MS, Ravishankar B. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of Mustadi Ghanavati in hyperlipidemia. Ayu. 2010;31(3):287-93.

22. Ngo-Matip ME, Pieme CA, Azabji-Kenfack M, Biapa PC, Germaine N, Heike E, et al. Effects of Spirulina platensis supplementation on lipid profile in HIV-infected antiretroviral naïve patients in Yaounde-Cameroon: a randomized trial study. Lipids in health and disease. 2014;13:191.

23. Ngondi JL, Etoundi BC, Nyangono CB, Mbofung CM, Oben JE. IGOB131, a novel seed extract of the West African plant Irvingia gabonensis, significantly reduces body weight and improves metabolic parameters in overweight humans in a randomized double-blind placebo controlled investigation. Lipids in health and disease. 2009;8:7.

24. Philis-Tsimikas A, Del Prato S, Satman I, Bhargava A, Dharmalingam M, Skjoth TV, et al. Effect of insulin degludec versus sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled on oral antidiabetic agents. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2013;15(8):760-6.

25. Pieber TR, Treichel HC, Hompesch B, Philotheou A, Mordhorst L, Gall MA, et al. Comparison of insulin detemir and insulin glargine in subjects with Type 1 diabetes using intensive insulin therapy. Diabetic Medicine. 2007;24(6):635-42.

26. Rizk AY, Bedaiwy MA, Al-Inany HG. N-acetyl-cysteine is a novel adjuvant to clomiphene citrate in clomiphene citrate-resistant patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertility and sterility. 2005;83(2):367-70.

27. Rost NS, Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Murphy SA, Crompton AE, Norden AD, et al. Outcomes With Edoxaban Versus Warfarin in Patients With Previous Cerebrovascular Events: Findings From ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 (Effective Anticoagulation With Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 48). Stroke. 2016;47(8):2075-82.

28. Shabana W, Teleb M, Dawod T, Abu Taha H, Abdulla A, Shahin A, et al. Outcome of alpha-blockers, with or without methylprednisolone combination, in medical expulsive therapy for lower ureteric stones: a prospective randomised study. Arab journal of urology. 2016;14(1):7- 11.

29. Strojek K, Yoon KH, Hruba V, Sugg J, Langkilde AM, Parikh S. Dapagliflozin Added to Glimepiride in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Sustains Glycemic Control and Weight Loss Over 48 Weeks: a Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel-Group, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Diabetes therapy. 2014;5(1):267-83.

30. Timmers S, De Ligt M, Phielix E, Van De Weijer T, Hansen J, Moonen-Kornips E, et al. Resveratrol as add-on therapy in subjects with well-controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes care. 2016;39(12):2211- 7.

31. Van Olmen J, Kegels G, Korachais C, de Man J, Van Acker K, Kalobu JC, et al. The effect of text message support on diabetes self-management in developing countries - A randomised trial. Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology. 2017;7:33-41.

32. Vázquez C, Botella-Carretero JI, Corella D, Fiol M, Lage M, Lurbe E, et al. White fish reduces cardiovascular risk factors in patients with metabolic syndrome: the WISH-CARE study, a multicenter randomized clinical trial. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD. 2014;24(3):328- 35.

#### **1.2.3 Other intervention (8 references)**

 1. Babiker R, Elmusharaf K, Keogh MB, Saeed AM. Effect of Gum Arabic (Acacia Senegal) supplementation on visceral adiposity index (VAI) and blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus as indicators of cardiovascular disease (CVD): a randomized and placebo-controlled clinical trial. Lipids in Health & Disease. 2018;17(1):56.

2. Dirajlal-Fargo S, Musiime V, Cook A, Mirembe G, Kenny J, Jiang Y, et al. Insulin Resistance and Markers of Inflammation in HIV-infected Ugandan Children in the CHAPAS-3 Trial. Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2017;36(8):761-7.

3. Djoumessi RN, Noubiap JJ, Kaze FF, Essouma M, Menanga AP, Kengne AP, et al. Effect of low-dose spironolactone on resistant hypertension in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a

#### **BMJ** Open

randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan African population. BMC Research Notes. 2016;9:187.

4. Elnashar A, Fahmy M, Mansour A, Ibrahim K. N-acetyl cysteine vs. metformin in treatment of clomiphene citrate-resistant polycystic ovary syndrome: a prospective randomized controlled study. Fertility and sterility. 2007;88(2):406- 9.

5. Elsedeek M-EA, Elgindy E. Comparison between two clomiphene citrate protocols for induction of ovulation in clomiphene resistant polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2014;19(4):243-7.

6. Gopalan A, Paramanund J, Shaw PA, Patel D, Friedman J, Brophy C, et al. Randomised controlled trial of alternative messages to increase enrolment in a healthy food programme among individuals with diabetes. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e012009.

7. Onyechi KC, Eseadi C, Okere AU, Onuigbo LN, Umoke PC, Anyaegbunam NJ, et al. Effects of cognitive behavioral coaching on depressive symptoms in a sample of type 2 diabetic inpatients in Nigeria. Medicine. 2016;95(31):e4444.

8. Shehata M, Hamza M. Impact of high loading dose of atorvastatin in diabetic patients with renal dysfunction undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. Cardiovascular therapeutics. 2015;33(2):35-41.

# 1.2.4 Other comparison (1 reference)

1. Ahmed ME, Mohammed MS, Mahadi SI. Primary wound closure of diabetic foot ulcers by debridement and stitching. Journal of Wound Care. 2016;25(11):650-4.

# 1.2.5 Other outcome (7 references)

1. Belkhadir J, el Ghomari H, Klocker N, Mikou A, Nasciri M, Sabri M. Muslims with noninsulin dependent diabetes fasting during Ramadan: treatment with glibenclamide. BMJ. 1993;307(6899):292-5.

2. El-Tamalawy MM, Ibrahim OM, Hassan TM, El-Barbari AA. Effect of Combination Therapy of Ezetimibe and Atorvastatin on Remnant Lipoprotein Versus Double Atorvastatin Dose in Egyptian Diabetic Patients. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2018;58(1):34-41.

3. Hassanien M, Elawamy A, Kamel EZ, Khalifa WA, Abolfadl GM, Roushdy ASI, et al. Perineural Platelet-Rich Plasma for Diabetic Neuropathic Pain, Could It Make a Difference? Pain Medicine. 2020;21(4):757-65.

4. Lakhdar N, Denguezli M, Zaouali M, Zbidi A, Tabka Z, Bouassida A. Diet and diet combined with chronic aerobic exercise decreases body fat mass and alters plasma and adipose tissue inflammatory markers in obese women. Inflammation. 2013;36(6):1239- 47.

5. Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

**BMJ** Open

6. Saied GM, Kamel RM, Labib AM, Said MT, Mohamed AZ. The diabetic foot and leg: combined He-Ne and infrared low-intensity lasers improve skin blood perfusion and prevent potential complications. A prospective study on 30 Egyptian patients. Lasers in Medical Science. 2011;26(5):627-32.

7. Tawfik MY. The Impact of Health Education Intervention for Prevention and Early Detection of Type 2 Diabetes in Women with Gestational Diabetes. Journal of Community Health. 2017;42(3):500-10.

1.2.6

## 1.2.7 Other publications (41 references)

1. Agbozo F, Abubakari A, Narh C, Jahn A. Are we missing pregnant women with gestational diabetes? Evidence from a diagnostic accuracy study comparing glycosuria, glycated haemoglobin, random and fasting glucose to oral glucose tolerance test. Tropical medicine and international health Conference: 10th european congress on tropical medicine and international health Belgium. 2017;22(Supplement 1):351- 2.

Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Vitamin D supplementation improves glycaemia in Vitamin D deficient nigerians with diabetes mellitus. Endocrine reviews Conference: 96th annual meeting and expo of the endocrine society, ENDO 2014 Chicago, IL united states Conference start: 20140621 Conference end: 20140624 Conference publication: (varpagings). 2014;35(no pagination).

3. Aronson R, Cohen O, Conget I, Runzis S, Castaneda J, de Portu S, et al. OpT2mise: a randomized controlled trial to compare insulin pump therapy with multiple daily injections in the treatment of type 2 diabetes-research design and methods. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics. 2014;16(7):414-20.

4. Azar ST, Echtay A, Wan Bebakar WM, Alaraj S, Berrah A, Omar M, et al. Efficacy and safety of liraglutide versus sulfonylurea both in combination with metformin during ramadan in subjects with type 2 diabetes (lira-ramadan): a randomized trial. Journal of endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa Conference: 51st congress of the society for endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa, SEMDSA 2016 South africa. 2016;21(1):14.

5. Balde N, Camara A, Sobngwi-Tambekou J, Balti EV, Tchatchoua A, Fezeu L, et al. Improving access to HbA1c in sub-Saharan Africa (IA3) cohort: cohort profile. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2017;27.

6. Chi CT. A Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, positive controlled clinical study to assess the efficacy and safety of Acetyl L-Carnitine in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Chictr. 2008.

#### **BMJ** Open

7. Elnashar A, El Maghraby H, Nafee T, Guiziry D, Fourtia I. Randomized controlled trial of the effects of metformin versus combined oral contraceptives in adolescent PCOS women through a 24 months follow up period. Human reproduction. 2015;30:i5.

8. Evans JL, Bays H, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. Circulation. 2012;125(10 SUPPL. 1).

Goedecke JH, Mendham AE, Clamp L, Nono Nankam PA, Fortuin-de Smidt MC, Phiri
 L, et al. An Exercise Intervention to Unravel the Mechanisms Underlying Insulin Resistance
 in a Cohort of Black South African Women: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial and
 Baseline Characteristics of Participants. JMIR Research Protocols. 2018;7(4):e75.

10. Lanasri N, Nibouche NW, Atif L, Makhlouf L, Zeraoulia F, Hansal F, et al. Comparison of two therapeutic education methods in diabetic patients: a randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia Conference: 54th annual meeting of the european association for the study diabetes, EASD 2018 Germany. 2018;61(Supplement 1):S433.

11. Malin SK, Louis-Kullman E, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Godin JP, et al. Whole grain diet improves glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, and beta-cell function in overweight prediabetic adults. Diabetes. 2014;63:A78.

12. Malin SK, Samat A, Wolski K, Abood B, Pothier C, Bhatt DL, et al. Gastric bypass surgery enhances ghrelin suppression and improves beta-cell function and central obesity at 24 months in moderately obese adults with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2013;62:A729.

13. Mash B, Levitt N, Steyn K, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in underserved communities in South Africa: pragmatic cluster randomized control trial. BMC Family Practice. 2012;13:126.

14. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ng'ang'a M, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Gichuhi S, et al. Feasibility of a cluster randomized controlled trial on the effectiveness of peer-led health education interventions to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy in Kirinyaga, Kenya: a pilot trial. Pilot feasibility stud. 2020;6:102.

15. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Kim M, Ng'ang'a M, et al. Peer-support to increase uptake of screening for diabetic retinopathy: process evaluation of the DURE cluster randomized trial. Tropical Medicine & Health. 2020;48:1.

16. Mwangi N, Ng'ang'a M, Gakuo E, Gichuhi S, Macleod D, Moorman C, et al. Effectiveness of peer support to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy: study protocol for the DURE pragmatic cluster randomized clinical trial in Kirinyaga, Kenya. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):871.

17. Nassar WF, El-Ansary M, Shehab T, Abdelhameed M, Saad A, Esa W, et al. Effect of cell-free mesenchymal stem cells microvesicles (MVS) and exosomes therapy on beta-cell mass in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). Diabetes. 2015;64:A282.

**BMJ** Open

18. Nct. The Efficacy of Specialist Collaboration and Mobile Screening for Improving the Management of Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01275040. 2010.

19. Nct. Trial on an Educative Structured Intervention by Peer Educators to Improve HbA1c of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes in the Sikasso Region in Mali. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01153048. 2010.

20. Nct. Propolis Improves Glycemic Control in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Periodontitis. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct02794506. 2016.

21. Nct. Community- and mHealth-Based Integrated Management of Diabetes in Primary Healthcare in Rwanda. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03376607. 2017.

22. Nct. Nutrition Education Intervention for Adults With Type 2 Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03334773. 2017.

23. Nct. Helium-Neon Laser Therapy Versus Infrared Laser Therapy in Treating Patients With Diabetic Foot Ulcer. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03338517. 2017.

24. Nct. Diabetes Self-Management Education (DSME) and Its Effect on Clinical, Psychosocial, and Behavioral Outcomes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03185689. 2017.

25. Noakes TD. The Women's health initiative randomized controlled dietary modification trial: an inconvenient finding and the diet-heart hypothesis. South african medical journal. 2013;103(11):824- 5.

26. Orchard TJ, Sibomana L, Miller R. Evaluation of differing type 1 diabetes treatment regimens in youth in Rwanda. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:25- 6.

27. Pengpid S, Peltzer K, Skaal L. Efficacy of a church-based lifestyle intervention programme to control high normal blood pressure and/or high normal blood glucose in church members: a randomized controlled trial in Pretoria, South Africa. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:568.

28. Rockers PC, Wirtz VJ, Vian T, Onyango MA, Ashigbie PG, Laing R. Study protocol for a cluster-randomised controlled trial of an NCD access to medicines initiative: evaluation of Novartis Access in Kenya. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e013386.

29. Ross SM. African mango (IGOB131): a proprietary seed extract of Irvingia gabonensis is found to be effective in reducing body weight and improving metabolic parameters in overweight humans. Holistic nursing practice. 2011;25(4):215-7.

30. Salman SA, Farghaly TA, Attallah DA, Abdel-Hafeez HA, Shaaban OM. Insulin sensitizing agent (metformin) improves clinical pregnancy rate in clomiphene citrate resistant polycystic ovarian syndrome patients with acanthosis nigricans. Fertility and sterility. 2014;102(3 SUPPL. 1):e139.

31. Samir Elbarbary N, Abdel Rahman Ismail E, El-Naggar AR, Hany Hamouda M, El-Hamamsy M. Role of carnosine as an adjuvant therapy for diabetic nephropathy in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: relation to oxidative stress, renal functional integrity

#### **BMJ** Open

and glycemic control. Pediatric diabetes Conference: 43rd annual meeting of the international society for pediatric and adolescent diabetes , ISPAD 2017 Austria. 2017;18(Supplement 25):115.

32. Sherif EM, El Tonbary KY, Abd Aziz MM. Comparative study between the use of insulin glargine and intermediate acting insulin (NPH) in type 1 diabetic children less than eight years old. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:46.

33. Sibomana L, Rwabufigiri B, Kaberuka V, Gishoma C, Rubanzana W, Miller RG, et al. Type 1 diabetes-related quality of life in Rwanda. Diabetes. 2015;64:A368.

34. Utz B, Assarag B, Essolbi A, Barkat A, El Ansari N, Fakhir B, et al. Improving detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through the primary level of care in Morocco: protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. Reproductive Health. 2017;14(1):75.

35. van Olmen J, Ku GM, van Pelt M, Kalobu JC, Hen H, Darras C, et al. The effectiveness of text messages support for diabetes self-management: protocol of the TEXT4DSM study in the democratic Republic of Congo, Cambodia and the Philippines. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:423.

36. Vedanthan R, Kamano JH, Lee H, Andama B, Bloomfield GS, DeLong AK, et al. Bridging Income Generation with Group Integrated Care for cardiovascular risk reduction: rationale and design of the BIGPIC study. American heart journal. 2017;188:175- 85.

37. Veleba J, Janovska P, Kuda O, Horakova O, Malinska H, Kazdova L, et al. Combined intervention with pioglitazone and N-3 fatty acids in metformin-treated diabetic patients. Obesity facts. 2015;8:213.

38. Viviers C, Van Rooijen AJ. Daily physical activity and diet intervention for individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised controlled trial. South african journal of clinical nutrition. 2010;23(3 SUPPL. 2):S35.

39. Wargny M, Kleinebreil L, Diop SN, Ndour-Mbaye M, Ba Diop M, Balkau B, et al. SMSbased intervention in type 2 diabetes: clinical trial in Senegal. BMJ innovations. 2018.

40. Zeghari L, Aboussaleh Y. Comparison of two approaches of nutritional education in the management of diabetes. Annals of nutrition and metabolism Conference: 21st international congress of nutrition, ICN 2017 Argentina. 2017;71(Supplement 2):903- 4.

41. Zennaki A, Niar S, Naceur M, Aichaoui H, Ouzzaa K, Aoui A, et al. Effect of paramedical treatment codified on balance, quality of life and knowledge of teenagers suffering from T1DM persisting imbalance. Pediatric diabetes (varpagings). 2015;16:89.

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

# Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetes in African countries - a systematic review

| Journal:                             | BMJ Open   |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID                        | bmjopen-2021-050021.R2   |
| Article Type:                        | Original research  |
| Date Submitted by the Author:        | 02-Mar-2022  |
| Complete List of Authors:            | Sandholzer-Yilmaz, Angelika; Martin Luther University of Halle<br>Wittenberg Faculty of Medicine, Institute of General Practice and Family<br>Medicine; University of Göttingen, Department of Haematology and<br>Oncology,<br>Kroeber, Eric; Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg Medizinische<br>Fakultät, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine<br>Ayele, Wondimu; Addis Ababa University, Department of Preventive<br>Medicine<br>Frese, T; University of Halle-Wittenberg,, Institute of General Pracitce &<br>Family Medicine of the Medical Faculty<br>Kantelhardt, Eva; Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenber, Institute for<br>Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics<br>Unverzagt, Susanne; Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine,<br>Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg; Department of General<br>Practice, University Leipzig |
| <b>Primary Subject<br/>Heading</b> : | Diabetes and endocrinology   |
| Secondary Subject Heading:           | Diagnostics, Medical education and training, Medical management,<br>Nutrition and metabolism, Global health  |
| Keywords:                            | Diabetes in pregnancy < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, Diabetes & endocrinology < INTERNAL MEDICINE, General diabetes < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY  |
|                                      |  |

# SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

terez on

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetes

#### in African countries - a systematic review

Sandholzer-Yilmaz AS, Kroeber ES, Ayele W, Frese T, Kantelhardt EJ, Unverzagt S

Mrs. Angelika Sabine Sandholzer-Yilmaz: Center of Health Sciences, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: angelika.sandholzer@med.uni-goettingen.de

Dr. Eric Sven Kroeber: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. (Shared first author) Email: eric.kroeber@posteo.de

Dr. Wondimu Ayele: School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University, P.O. Box: 9086, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Email: wondaay@gmail.com

Prof. Dr. Thomas Frese: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: thomas.frese@uk-halle.de

Dr. Eva Johanna Kantelhardt: Center of Health Sciences, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: eva.kantelhardt@uk-halle.de

Prof. Dr. Susanne Unverzagt: Center of Health Sciences, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Straße 8, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany. Email: susanne.unverzagt@uk-halle.de

Total word count: 5915

#### Corresponding author:

Mrs. Angelika Sabine Sandholzer-Yilmaz: University Medical Center Göttingen, Department of Haematology and Oncology, George-August-Univerity Göttingen, Robert-Koch-Straße 40, 37075 Göttingen, Germany. Email: angelika.sandholzer@med.uni-goettingen.de

# ABSTRACT

**Objectives** The epidemiological transition from infectious to chronic diseases leads to novel challenges in African health systems. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing dramatically. Undiagnosed and undertreated DM leads to numerous complications including end-organ damage and death. Our objectives were to collect the best locally generated evidence on DM interventions, identify knowledge gaps, and determine underexplored research areas.

Design A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

**Participants and setting** African patients in primary, secondary and tertiary prevention, diagnosis and treatment DM type 1 (DM1), type 2 (DM2) and gestational DM (GDM).

**Outcome** All-cause mortality, glycemic control, complications, quality of life, hospital admission, treatment adherence and costs.

**Data sources** Articles published in MEDLINE Ovid, CENTRAL, CINAHL, African Journals Online and African Index Medicus and the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform in English language without time restrictions. The systematic search was last updated in October 2020.

**Results** Out of 3736 identified publications, we included 60 eligible studies conducted in 15 countries, 75 % were conducted in urban health care settings, including 10,112 participants. We included eight studies on DM1, six on GDM, two on pre-DM, 37 on mainly DM2 including seven on DM related complications. The design of the studied intervention was heterogeneous with a focus on educational strategies. The other studies investigated the efficacy of nutritional strategies including food supplementations, pharmacological strategies and strategies to enhance physical activity. Seven studies included interventions on DM-related complications.

**Conclusions**: Research activities increased in recent years, but available evidence is still not representative for all African countries. There is a big lack of evidence in primary health care and rural settings, implementation research, pharmacological interventions, especially in poorer countries. Nevertheless, the identified studies offer a variety of effective interventions that can inform medical care and future research.

# PROSPERO registration number: CRD42019122785.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, Africa, systematic review, randomized-controlled trial

# Strengths and limitations of this systematic review

- This systematic review includes studies at the highest level of evidence to provide an overview of the best available interventions to prevent, diagnose and treat DM in the African context.
- Inclusion criteria are restricted to randomized controlled trials conducted in African countries published in English language with no restrictions on time of publication.
- We performed a systematic search in four international databases and updated the search in October 2020.
- The main aim of our systematic review is to provide an overview of interventions for DM. Meta-analyses are restricted to regularly reported results on HbA1c as strong clinical outcome indicator of an efficient DM management.
- Limited external validity due to the origin from few countries and urban areas, results concentrate on glycemic control due to short follow-up periods.



#### INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) and other non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are responsible for a double burden in African countries due to the epidemiological transition from communicable to non-communicable diseases and resulting disabilities and deaths (1-3). In Africa, around 19.4 million adults are living with DM. Prevalence rates range from 4.7 % in Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) to 12.2 % in the Middle East and North Africa region (4). Due to the increasing prevalence of risk factors such as obesity and westernized lifestyle, the prevalence of DM is expected to increase by 96 % in SSA until 2045 (4). Currently, about 50 to 60 % of adults living with DM in African countries are undiagnosed (4, 5). Low awareness as well as genetic differences and lifestyle habits result in very heterogeneous prevalence rates of DM between different countries in Africa as well as rural and urban regions (6, 7). Undiagnosed and undertreated DM can result in organ damage, and lead to complications like cardiovascular diseases, peripheral neuropathy, retinopathy and diabetic foot (7, 8). Moreover, these factors attribute to substantial financial costs for households and governments (9). Recently, almost one fifth of COVID-19 deaths in African countries occurred among DM patients (10).

The United Nations 2030 Agenda aims to reduce the burden of premature mortality from NCD including DM through improvement in prevention and treatment (11). Proven and effective actions to prevent or delay the onset of DM base on the empowerment of the population, patients and health care providers (12). Measures on DM include early detection in primary health-care settings, lifestyle modifications including diet, physical activity and, if necessary, medication. Primary prevention programs include lifestyle measures to reduce consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, mandatory detailed labels on food packaging as well as education and awareness campaigns to increase physical activity are crucial since onset of DM can be detained (13). Moreover, health systems must ensure technical and financial resources as well as training of healthcare staff to recognize the symptoms of DM, to perform and interpret diagnostic tests and provide adequate treatment and care (4). Since DM patients need regular specialist assessment, a functioning referral system is necessary (14). Concerning pharmacotherapy, prioritization of metformin, gliclazide and human insulin is recommended (15). Glucometers, needles and test strips should be provided for people with DM (4).

Only a fraction of patients in African countries have access to the same treatment as recommended in high-income countries (16, 17). At the moment, most guideline recommendations in LMIC are based on studies conducted in high-income Western countries (18). These general management strategies have to be adjusted to local

contexts in African countries including environmental, cultural and social aspects like the relatively young age of patients, co-infections, long distances to health-care facilities, traditional beliefs, decision making in the families and socioeconomic status. Furthermore, there is a huge genetic diversity on the African continent (19, 20).

The purpose of this review was to collect the best locally generated evidence, regarding preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic intervention on DM, as the lack of evidence is one of the major challenges to prevent and control DM in African countries. Therefore, we aimed to address existing knowledge gaps and identify unexplored research areas in the African context. This may support the formulation of local evidence-based strategies to systematically strengthen clinical and preventive capacities of healthcare systems in African countries.

#### METHODS

We prospectively registered a protocol of this systematic review in the PROSPERO International Prospective Register of systematic reviews (CRD42019122785). This systematic review follows the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (21) and the methods described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (22).

#### Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

This systematic review includes studies conducted in African countries on the efficacy of interventions for prevention, diagnosis and treatment of patients with DM including prediabetes, type 1 (DM1), type 2 (DM2) and gestational DM (GDM). Primary outcome was defined to be all-cause mortality. Secondary outcomes included glycemic control (HbA1c, fasting serum or plasma glucose, insulin resistance, oral glucose tolerance test), quality of life, treatment adherence, hospital admissions, complications of DM and resulting costs (see Table 1 for detailed inclusion criteria).

We included full-text publications on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (e.g. individual RCTs, cluster-RCTs and randomized cross-over trials) according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) (23) published in English language. We excluded international multicenter studies with less than 50 % of sites in African countries to ensure that the study location was in Africa.

| Design and setting | RCTs, mainly conducted in African countries (at least 50 % African countries in international   |
|--------------------|---|
|                    | studies)  |
| Population         | <ul> <li>African patients in primary, secondary or tertiary prevention with a clinical diagnosis of</li> <li>Prediabetes</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus type 1 (DM1, due to autoimmune β-cell destruction)</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2, due to a progressive loss of adequate β-cell insulin secretion)</li> </ul> |

|                    | was not clearly overt diabetes prior to gestation)<br>As described by the authors  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|
| Interventions      | All interventions to of prevent, diagnose and treat diabetes   |  |
| Comparison         | Placebo or standard care<br>Another intervention or the same intervention with a different dose or timing  |  |
| Outcome            | <ul> <li><u>Primary:</u> all-cause mortality</li> <li><u>Secondary:</u> <ul> <li>glucose control (HbA1c, oral glucose tolerance test, insulin resistance, fasting serum or blood glucose)</li> <li>complications             <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul> |  |
| Dude Bare At a sec | Full-text publications according to CONSORT  |  |

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

# Systematic search

We performed a systematic search in electronic bibliographic databases (MEDLINE Ovid, CENTRAL, International Clinical Trials Registry Platform of the WHO) as planned in the protocol and added a search in CINAHL and regional electronic databases (African Journals Online and African Index Medicus) (see Online Supplemental File 1 material). All searches were performed without time constrictions. The last search was conducted in October 2020. Search strings were based on Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and terms on DM, Africa, a list of all 54 African countries and terms related to RCTs. All references retrieved from the literature search were exported into a reference manager software (EndNote) (24). Duplicate references were identified in case of congruence of authors, title, year and journal and thusly deleted. The search strategy is available in the supplementary file.

# Study selection and data extraction.

Two authors independently checked titles and abstracts based on the inclusion criteria (Table 1). The full texts of all potentially eligible papers were assessed for final inclusion. All disagreements were resolved by discussion until consensus was obtained (21). All reported information on the following were extracted and checked by another author:

- publications, registration, and design,
- time and place (country, urban/ rural setting and health care setting)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

- study population (inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size and baseline characteristics on age, gender, type and length of DM, body mass index (BMI) and gylcemic control at baseline)
- intervention and control groups with the number of randomized participants per group and duration of the interventions
- outcomes (classified into primary, secondary, non-specified) and
- results on pre-planned outcomes within the longest follow up period with intervention effects with their 95 % confidence intervals (CI) and level of significance.

The study names were defined by the surname of the first author and the year of the first full-text publication of the results. We compared study and patient characteristics across studies to ensure that each included study represents a unique publication of study data. In cross-over RCTs, only data from the first period were used (25).

#### Quality assessment and risk of bias

 Risk of bias was judged based on seven specific categories (sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants/personnel, blinding of outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting and other sources of bias) of the Cochrane risk of bias tool as 'low', 'high' or 'unclear' (22). Judgments were done by two of the authors and all discrepancies were resolved by discussion.

Judgments on blinding and incomplete outcome data were based on the primary outcome of included studies. Selective outcome reporting was defined as low when the study protocol with pre-defined primary and secondary outcomes was available and high when any result of pre-planned outcomes was missing. Incomplete outcome data was judged as high when more than 10% of randomized participants dropped out from analyses. Other sources of bias were judged as high risk of bias including missing reporting of sample size calculation, no description of a primary endpoint, and relevant differences of main baseline characteristics between intervention and control groups (22).

# Data synthesis

The results of all pre-defined outcomes were described. Effect sizes on HbA1c for the longest follow-up period were visualized in forest plots using RevMan (26). Negative mean differences (MDs) describe lower HbA1c in the intervention compared to the control group. Statistically significant results on HbA1c with MDs over 0.25 % for HbA1c were considered clinically relevant (27). Heterogeneity was interpreted based

on the I<sup>2</sup> statistics as not important (I<sup>2</sup> < 30 %), moderate (30-60 %) and substantial (I<sup>2</sup>> 60 %) (22).

# **Protocol registration**

We registered a protocol of this systematic review on the PROSPERO website: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/ under the registration number: CRD42019122785.

# **Patient and Public Involvement**

There is no patient involved

o occience on the one of the one

## RESULTS

A total of 2865 references were identified from electronic databases and 871 additional trials from the Clinical Trials Registry Platform were checked. We evaluated 185 potentially eligible full-text publications and included 60 eligible studies in 68 publications in this review (Figure 1 and Supplementary file).

Figure 1: PRISMA flow chart describing the process of study selection

# Setting

In total 60 studies, which were conducted in 64 study centers of 15 African countries; North Africa (33 studies from four countries), West Africa (10 studies from three countries), East Africa (seven studies from 7 countries), Central Africa (three studies from two countries) and Southern Africa (11 studies only from South Africa) were included. Two studies (Malek 2015 and Chraibi 2017) were conducted in more than one African country and partially conducted in non-African countries. Chraibi (2017) was conducted in Egypt, Morocco, South Arabia and Vietnam. Malek (2015) included four study centers in Algeria, Tunisia, Egypt and South Africa. Those additional study centers are presented in brackets behind the country names in Figure 2. Egypt, South Africa and Nigeria are the three study centers included most often in this review (Figure 2 and supplemenary Table 1 available in the supplement).

Figure 2: Geographical regions, countries, and type of DM of the included studies

Seventy-five percent of the studies (45/60) were set in urban areas, 5 % (3/60) were in rural areas only. The setting of the remaining 20 % (12/60 studies) was mixed or remained unclear. The majority, 83 % (50/60) of the studies, were conducted in secondary and tertiary health care centers, while 17 % (10/60) took place in primary care settings.

Though the search had no time restrictions, the oldest eligible study (Anderson 2001) was published in 2001. More than 60 % of the studies were published since 2015, and 22 % of them had been published in 2019 or 2020 (see supplementary Table 1 available in the supplement).

# Design

Fifty parallel-group studies randomized individual participants with DM. Six clusterrandomized studies (Fairall 2016, Labhardt 2011, Mash 2014, Steyn 2013, Utz 2018,

Webb 2015) randomly assigned health care facilities to intervention and control groups. In three randomized cross-over studies (Abdulrhman 2013, Krawinkel 2018, van der Hoogt 2017) each participant received different interventions in a random sequence, and in one study (Ghoneim 2013) each patient received two different treatment doses for each eye based on a random allocation of eyes and doses.

#### Interventions for patients with pre-DM

Two studies randomized a total of 112 overweight or obese patients (BMI 25–35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with pre-DM (HbA1c 5.7-7.5 %) and a mean age of 32.9 and 47.5 years (see supplementary Table 1: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with pre-DM available in the supplement). These studies stated the efficacy regarding glycemic control of low and high volume, high-intensity interval training strategies (RezkAllah 2019), and the consumption of bitter gourd to improve glucose control (Krawinkel 2018).

#### Interventions for patients with DM1

A total of 8 studies were conducted including 595 patients diagnosed with DM1 (Abdulrhman 2013, Elbarbary 2016, Elbarbary 2018, Elbarbary 2020, Malipa 2013, Mohamad 2009, Salem 2010, van der Hoogt 2017) (see supplementary Table 2 Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM1 available in the supplement). They mainly included children, adolescents, and young adults with a mean age between 10.4-19.9 years. The mean duration of DM ranged from 3.5 to 8.6 years and the mean baseline HbA1c from 7.21 to 9.52 %. The studies investigated heterogeneous strategies. Malipa 2013 showed the efficacy of weekly meetings to improve treatment compliance, reduce impact and worries about DM and improve general life satisfaction in adolescents. Salem 2010 evaluated the efficacy of two exercise programs to reduce cardiovascular risk with no relevant effect on glucose control. Three studies investigated different nutritional strategies and stated the beneficial effects of honey (Abdulrhman 2013) and camel milk (Mohamad 2009) on glucose control. Meals with low fat and protein (van der Hoogt 2017) caused less frequent hypoglycemic events. Elbarbary 2016 showed the efficacy of a low-glucose suspension algorithm during Ramadan to reduce the number of hypo- and hyperglycemic excursions. Two studies on food supplementation stated improved glycemic control with carnosine (Elbarbary 2018), but no benefit from a vitamin B complex (Elbarbary 2020).

#### Interventions for patients with DM2

A total of 44 studies were conducted including 8881 patients suffering from DM2 or different diabetic illnesses (see supplementary Table 3: Characteristics and results of studies on patients with DM2 availble in the supplement). Most studies included patients with a mean age between 50 and 60 years, only four studies included younger patients (Adjei 2015, El Gayar 2019, Matter 2020, Maharaj 2016). Most studies included more females than males. These studies presented a wide variety of patients in different stages of DM2 and general conditions. They ranged from newly diagnosed DM (El Gayar 2019, Labhardt 2011, Mostafa 2019, Owolabi 2019, Somanah 2012), non-insulin dependency or oral insulin therapy (Adibe 2013, Ali 2019, Fayehun 2018, Maharaj 2016, Malek 2015, Ragheb 2020) to durations of over 10 years with severe DM related complications (Abaza 2017, Nteleki 2015, Tsobigny-Tsague 2018, El-Shakawy 2016, Ghoneim 2013, Saeed 2013, Yakoot 2019). Thus, mean baseline HbA1c ranged from 6.75% to 11.1%. Most studies included high proportions of overweight and obese participants with mean BMIs ranging from 22.4 to 40.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

## **Educational strategies**

A total of 19 studies with 6942 patients and follow-up periods between 2-14 months investigated the impact of educational strategies on diabetes treatment. These included providing information about lifestyle modification measures, dietary recommendations, drug-based therapy, DM-related complications, and self-management. Training sessions were provided based on group-based educational sessions or individual treatment plans by nursing staff or pharmacists and complemented by lectures, discussion services, brochures, newsletters, computer programs, electronic communication devices and tele-monitoring systems. Three of these studies were led by nurses (Adibe 2013, Hailu 2018, Labhardt 2011) and two cluster-randomized studies trained nurses to expand their role in the treatment of patients with NCDs (Fairall 2016) or aimed to improve guideline implementation in the treatment of patients with DM (Steyn 2013).

Three studies (Abaza 2017, Adjei 2015, Labhardt 2011) reported results on treatment adherence. All strategies lead to improved adherence, measured by improved perception of patients to treatment recommendations (Abaza 2017) or higher regularity of appointment schedules (Adjei 2015, Labhardt 2011). Two studies (Adibe 2013, Mash 2014) reported results on costs with lower costs for patients receiving educational strategies. Two studies reported fewer admissions to different health-care facilities (hospital or emergency room and clinic visits) (Abaza 2017, Chraibi 2017).

 Results on quality of life were reported in two studies with follow-up periods over 12 months and conflicting results. A structured self-care education program by pharmacists and nurses (Adibe 2013) improved quality of life, but no benefit was shown after group education by trained professionals (Mash 2014).

The majority of the educational strategies resulted in lower mean HbA1c levels in the intervention groups with a clinically relevant mean decrease of -0.66 % (95 %-CI -0.94 to -0.39) and substantial heterogeneity between results of different studies (I<sup>2</sup>=64 %) (Figure 3).

**Figure 3:** Results of educational strategies on HbA1c levels or changes of HbA1c levels of patients with DM2

#### Strategies to enhance physical activity

Five studies with 359 participants evaluated the efficacy of different strategies to enhance physical activity on glucose control. Strategies included counselling, setting goals and training sessions with different intensities or both over periods between 8-12 weeks.

Two studies were successful in lowering HbA1c where patients were given goals to accumulate 10,000 steps per day (Fayehun 2018) or patients were allocated to rebound exercise (Maharaj 2016). A third study investigated the effects of aerobic exercise training and was able to decrease fasting plasma glucose (28).

Two other exercise interventions failed to reduce HbA1c by incremental exercises compared to relaxation (Van Rooijen 2004) or higher intensity of exercises (Yan 2014) (Figure 4). Results were not pooled due to considerable heterogeneity with different directions of treatment effects.

**Figure 4:** Results of strategies to enhance physical activity on HbA1c levels of patients with DM2

#### Pharmacological strategies

Three studies with 479 participants tested the efficacy of pharmacological treatment strategies on glucose control of patients with DM2. El-Haggar 2015 found ketotifen and glimepiride an effective dual therapy. Malek 2015 described the non-inferiority of once-

daily basal-bolus insulin analogues and thrice daily insulin therapy. Distiller 2014 did not find an additional improvement with exenatide in addition to insulin and metformin therapy on glycemic control.

#### Strategies on food supplementations

Several different food supplementations were tested in 10 studies including 762 participants. Vitamin D3 supplementation had a positive effect on glycemic control in two studies (Ali 2019, Anyanwu 2016). Four studies tested the effect of plant-based substances. Ginger powder and balantines aegyptiaca (desert date) extract regimes supported glucose control (El Gayar 2019, Rashad 2017). Nigella sativa (black cumin) oil capsules slightly improved glucose control but were inferior to metformin (Moustafa 2019). A regime based on fermented papaya did show beneficial results (Somanah 2012). Anderson 2001 and Matter 2020 showed positive effects of zinc/ chromium in chronic DM and zinc supplementation in diabetic beta-thalassemia major patients. The addition of rutin and vitamin C did not improve the results of oral antidiabetics (Ragheb 2020). The addition of I-carnitine improved diabetic control achieved by glimepiride treatment (El-Sheikh 2019).

#### Strategies on the treatment of DM related complications

Seven studies with 351 participants and follow-up periods between 3-12 months evaluated different strategies to treat possibly DM-related complications including periodontitis (3 studies), foot ulcerations (2 studies) and macular edema (2 studies). EI-Makaky 2020 and Tsobgny-Tsague 2018 described the benefit of immediate vs. delayed non-surgical periodontal interventions on glucose control and EI-Sharkawy 2016 stated the effectiveness of propolis as an additive in periodontitis treatment. Two studies stated a benefit of combined phototherapy and podiatric management (Nteleki 2015) and an additional local ointment application of royal jelly and panthenol (Yakoot 2019) on the healing of lower extremity ulcers. Ghoneim 2013 and Saeed 2013 tested different diabetic macular edema treatment strategies. Both studies described generally positive treatment effects but also considerable adverse events including rise of intra ocular pressure and glaucoma.

#### Interventions for patients with DM in a pregnant woman

Six studies included a total of 574 pregnant women at increased risk for gestational DM (GDM) (Embaby 2016), with newly diagnosed GDM (Utz 2018, El-Shamy 2018, Ashoush 2016) or with newly diagnosed GDM or pre-existing DM (Beyuo 2015, Ibrahim 2014) between the 20<sup>th</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy. The mean age ranged from 24.2-

33.3 years (see supplementary Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM available in the supplements).

Three studies (Ashoush 2016, Beyuo 2015, Ibrahim 2014) with 289 participants examined metformin as an additional medication to insulin in comparison to insulin therapy only. Effects on glycemic control of metformin supported therapy ranged from a relevant decrease (Ashoush 2016) to no effect on fasting plasma glucose, but beneficial effect on two hour plasma glucose in a 75 g OGTT (Beyuo 2015) in women without insulin resistance. Adding metformin to insulin therapy of pregnant women with insulin resistant diabetes was associated with several benefits concerning the time of hospital stay, reduced occurrence of maternal or neonatal hyperglycemia, less neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions and reduced cases of respiratory distress syndrome (Ibrahim 2014).

The other studies (285 participants) investigated non-pharmacological interventions. The tested interventions were aerobic exercise program (treadmill walking) (Embaby 2016), acupressure (EI-Shamy 2018) and screening for GDM, followed by nutritional and exercise counseling for positive tested women (Utz 2018). The aerobic exercise program resulted in a relevant reduction of fasting plasma glucose until delivery (Embaby 2016). The acupressure intervention did not manage to show a benefit regarding glycemic control (EI-Shamy 2018). Screening, counselling and intensive follow-up were able to improve glycemic control and reduce the number of newborns with macrosomia (Utz 2018).

# Potential biases

None of the included studies was categorized as low risk of bias in all seven domains only (see supplementary Table 5: Judgement on risk of bias available in the supplements).

The most common restriction on study quality was found in the domain performance bias due to a lack of blinding of participants and personnel in 48 studies. Detection bias due to blinding of the outcome assessors was judged as high or unclear in 38 studies. 14 studies with high risk of bias due to no blinding of participants and personnel, reported adequate methods to ensure blinding of the outcome assessors.

Another frequent problem was an incomplete analyses of outcome data in 26 studies defined as a loss to follow-up over 10 % of randomized participants or per-protocol analyses.

In 23 studies a protocol was available. Risk of bias due to selective outcome reporting was judged as low in 15 studies. High risk of bias, meaning lack of reporting of results

of some pre-planned outcomes was judged in eight studies (Abdulrhman 2013, Beyuo 2015, Elbarbary 2020, Matter 2020, Owolabi 2019, Somanah 2012, Utz 2018 Yakoot 2019).

In the domain sequence generation, two studies were assessed as high risk. El- Nteleki 2015 randomized only seven patients into three different treatment groups. Shamy 2018 used a non-probability sampling method on the basis of the hospital admission code and was subsequently judged as high risk in domains sequence generation and allocation concealment.

In 31 studies, we identified further methodological limitations including missing reporting of information on sample-size calculation, definition of primary and secondary target criteria, relevant differences regarding baseline characteristics or reporting of intermediate results only.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### DISCUSSION

This systematic review describes interventions from 60 studies to summarize the available randomized trials on to prevention, diagnosis and treatment of DM with a total of 12,113 participants from 15 African countries. Several promising interventions were identified that can be used in settings with limited resources or involved locally available materials. Despite a trend of increasing research activity in recent years, many areas of diabetes research in African countries are still underexplored leaving knowledge gaps that should be tackled in the future.

## Scarcity of randomized DM trials in African countries

While 60 included randomized trials are not nothing it also means an average only slightly higher than one randomized DM study per country for all types of diabetes that has ever been conducted and published. Only two studies on pre-diabetic interventions have been conducted, despite a clear need and aim to tackle early to avoid the future DM burden that is expected to arise (17). Implementation research, considered important in addressing know-do gaps in real-world settings, especially in primary care settings are still very rare (29). Implementing evidence-based care while observing, evaluating und publishing it's result deems crucial in the massive challenge of creating diabetes care infrastructure for millions of diabetes patients. Nevertheless, forty-three of the 60 studies have been conducted since 2015 demonstrating at positive trend of research activity.

# Rural vs. urban, primary vs. secondary care and geographic disparities

Three out of four studies were set in urban areas and only 5 % (3/60) were set in rural areas only. Despite decreasing population shares over the last decades, still almost 60 % of people in Sub-Saharan Africa are living in rural areas with rising absolute numbers (currently about 667 million) (30). Despite diabetes being considered to be associated with westernized lifestyle more prevalent in urban areas, prevalence rates in rural areas are still high, in some parts even higher (31, 32).

Moreover, the majority (83 %) of the studies were conducted in secondary and tertiary health care centers, leaving less than one fifth in primary care settings were most routine and day-to-day diabetes care should be carried out to support people in their everyday life with this chronic long-term illness to prevent long-term consequences.

Another considerable aspect is the geographical distribution of the conducted studies. Almost half (46%) of the included trial were conducted in Egypt, the country ranking 2<sup>nd</sup> on the African Infrastructure Development Index 2018 with the highest prevalence in **BMJ** Open

Northern Africa (33). South Africa, ranking fourth on the index, contributed another share of 18% (11 studies) (7). Almost three quarters of the studies were set in the top ten ranking countries on that list, all Northern and Southern Africa leaving huge blank spaces in Central, Western and Eastern Africa including countries with high prevalences including Kenya and Zimbabwe and pointing to both the infrastructural necessities of research as well as the structural development that is still ahead before to increase research activity (34). The broad majority of included studies was conducted in urban settings, this is likely due to the better health care infrastructure and thusly the increased practicability of research. Health care workers, including doctors and nurses, seem to prefer providing services in urban areas leading to an even higher deficit of health care access in rural areas. The consequence is limited generalizability of the results on the needs of the rural population.

#### Screening strategies to diagnose DM and its complications

The rate of undiagnosed patients with DM is estimated to be between 3.9 % in SSA (35) and 12 % in North Africa (36). This might be related to genetic disparities in the development level of the health care system and awareness in the general population (19). The high rates of undiagnosed DM highlight a high need for research on and implementation of DM screening strategies in the African context. We identified two studies (37-39) investigating primary care strategies to detect and manage women with GDM (37) and screen diabetic patients for complications (40). The observed GDM prevalence of 23.7% among pregnant Moroccan women underlines the importance of regular screening and management to enable early interventions at a primary care level (37). A diabetic population receiving primary care found a high rate of complications including retinopathy, maculopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, possible infarction and severe erectile dysfunction (38-40).

#### Intervention for patients with pre-DM for primary prevention of DM

We identified two studies patients (41, 42) with elevated blood glucose levels below diagnosis criteria of DM improving glucose levels via interval training bitter gourd, a plant with antidiabetic properties that is consumed in many Asian as well as some African countries. Both studies offer effective strategies, but further research is necessary, exemplarily on early educational strategies, as a measure of patient empowerment and early tackling of DM (43).

# Educational strategies for patients and health-care providers

Education is essential for effective diabetes control. It must be accomplished at, personal (patient empowement), community (raise the awereness of the disease and its risk factors) and health care provider level (training of medical staff to diagnose, monitor and treat it correctely) to manage the rising burden of diabetes (44).

Due to complex challenges for DM patients and health care providers, educational campaigns are necessary to support health care providers and empower patients to manage their disease-associated decisions, lifestyle habits and medication use. Best benefits are proposed to be achieved by continuous individualized education, guided by patients' concerns, preferences and needs (12, 45).

Several studies on DM2 (46-58), DM1 (59) and GDM (37) investigated long-term interventions to support patient empowerment based on improved knowledge, motivation, and capacity to take control of their disease (12). Three studies trialed nurse-led (47, 53, 54, 60) and 2 studies investigated strategies to train healthcare providers in the management of patients with DM (61, 62). Improvement of patient empowerment improved adherence and glucose control, fewer admissions to healthcare facilities and lower costs. Only two studies reported on the quality of life with heterogeneous results (47, 60, 63).

Currently, the COVID-19 pandemic has forced all nations to implement alternative, oftentimes digital strategies including tele-monitoring and teleconsultation to continue care of NCDs (64). The application of telemedicine in DM management showed beneficial results (56, 65). Lifestyle focused messages might be an effective low-cost option to keep patients motivated to adhere to healthy lifestyles and further research seems advisable (66).

All included studies were adapted to local contexts and the trialed strategies hold the promise of adaptability to health care systems in other African and LMIC. Moreover, the tasks of nurses in NCD care could be reshaped and expanded in countries with comparably few physicians in order to improve DM diagnostics, treatment and education.

# Strategies to increase physical activity

As in the literature (GDM (67), DM1 (68, 69) and DM2 (70, 71)), exercise therapy generally showed positive effects (DM2 (28, 72-75), DM1 (76), GDM (77)) on glycemic control. Exemplarily, four weeks by setting the goal to accumulate 10,000 steps per day significantly reduced HbA1C levels (72). Due to limited follow up periods, it is advisable to target long-term adherence to these strategies in future research.

#### Pharmacological strategies

Currently, the available research on pharmacological interventions for DM is sparse in Africa. We identified only six studies (3 on DM2 (78-80), 3 on GDM (81-83)) testing pharmacological interventions as a central part of DM care (84) despite known differences between African and European Americans (19). This might be attributable to our criteria excluding international studies with less than 50% of the sites in African countries (e.g. (85-90)). Many major multi centric pharmacological studies only have few study centers in Africa. Nevertheless, in-depth research into differing effectiveness of diabetic medications is still lacking.

reported the usability and safety of a basal-bolus insulin regime with stepwise intensification in an African setting The efficacy of basal-bolus insulin regimes, as an easy to handle, practical DM treatment option was successfully tested by Malek 2015 (80) and has been previously described in other settings (91, 92). Further research should consider regional contexts like availability of medication, practicability of the medication (e.g. insulin needs proper storage (93, 94)) lifestyle habits and genetic aspects (95, 96). Consideration of findings on African American cohorts seems advisable (97, 98).

#### Strategies on nutrition and food supplementations

Nutritional and food supplementation interventions can successfully be used supporting pharmacological care or in early and pre-DM stages improving glycemic control, lipid profiles and management of DM-related complications (99-111). In this review, nutritional interventions (41, 112-114), including long-term consumption of honey (112), camel milk (113) and a low fat and protein content of meals (114) with positive effects on metabolic control. Camel milk, traditionally used for treatment of DM in arid areas of Africa and Asia, improves glycemic control, reduces insulin requirement and limits diabetic complications (115). Rashad 2017 (116) stated the beneficial effects of balanites aegyptiaca (desert date) extract on glycemic control. This evergreen tree is common in arid regions in Africa and was traditionally used in Egyptian traditional medicine (117).

Several food supplementations (zinc-gluconate (118) and zinc-chromium (119) supplementations, ginger powder (120), Nigella sativa oil capsules (121), L-carnitine (122), L-carnosine (123) as well as vitamin B, C or D supplementation (63, 124-126)) had positive effects on glycemic control.

#### Strategies on the treatment of DM related complications

 Page 21 of 100

Three studies tested the role of periodontitis treatment in diabetic patients (127-129). Tsobgny-Tsague et al. (129) and El-Makaky et al. (127) described the importance of early treatment start, resulting in favorable patient outcomes in periodontal health and glucose control. El-Sharkawy et al. (128) found propolis to be a favorable addition to planing and scaling. In an Ethiopian cohort, only 21% of DM patients received oral health screening (130). The WHO regards oral health as a crucial component of health care with 12-14 % of 35 to 44-year-old Africans suffering from periodontitis (131). Treatment options for diabetic wounds were tested in two studies (132, 133). Phototherapy in addition to usual care was first trialed in an African cohort of patients suffering from diabetic foot ulcers, showing beneficial wound healing outcomes. Similar results were described in other settings (134). The addition of propolis to usual care regimes showed improved wound healing. These findings are supported by studies from other settings (135, 136).

# Strength and limitations

The external validity of this systematic review is limited by the focus on a limited number of countries and urban health care setting. The included studies were set in 15 of the 54 African countries with a focus on the North African region, especially Egypt. Egypt is the country with the highest known prevalence of DM in the African continent (4, 7). This might be related to economic expansion and urbanization, but also due to specific dietary issues (e.g. white bread, polished rice, trans fats), reduced physical activity due to prohibition of exercise in public places, shortage of exercise facilities, poor physical education in schools. Poor diet and physical inactivity are causing a high rate of overweight and obesity among the Egyptian population (137).

Our pre-planned primary outcome was mortality which was not reported in any of the included studies. Since DM is a chronic disease with a slow progression and long-term development of organ damage, the survival time is higher than the follow-up time of most of the studies. The included studies looked at long-term treatment strategies rather than treatment for acute hypo- or hyperglycemic events that can lead to acutely fatal events. Nevertheless, long-term glucose control, being represented by the HbA1c value is one of the strongest clinical-outcome indicators of efficient DM management and health outcomes (138). It is easy to measure and serves as a representation of the individual's average blood glucose levels in the previous 3 months (138). Furthermore, it is up to discussion if improvement of glycemic control based on blood glucose measures like HbA1C are necessary the best strategic in LMIC or if diabetes

complications are more effectively prevented by targeting blood pressure or blood lipids (139).

Next, this review does not include non-randomized study types including prospective cohort trials or qualitative research, probable not taking into account the evidence that has been accumulated. Nevertheless, our aim was to search for randomized trials, since these study types, if conducted well, have a high evidence quality, allowing to minimize biases. Moreover, many of the studies included had a high risk of bias.

This systematic review includes studies as the highest level of evidence to investigate the benefits and harms of interventions (140). We included studies published in the English language without time restrictions. Language bias was shown to be unlikely. Despite the high linguistic diversity on the African continent, the languages mostly spoken are English, Arabic, and French (141). Eventually, we did not exclude any study due to the publication language, but we might have missed studies from journals that are not listened in searched databases.

#### CONCLUSION

This systematic review shows an increasing number of studies due to the rising prevalence and awareness of DM in African countries. However, the number of highquality studies is still low and emphasizes knowledge gaps and underexplored research areas. Available studies are not representative of all African regions and were mainly conducted in urban areas of higher developed countries. Especially primary care settings and implementation research are underrepresented.

An improvement of the prognosis of DM patients in Africa requires adequate technical and financial resources, training of healthcare staff and the implementation of comprehensive strategies to improve early diagnostics, adherence to medical treatment and subsequent regular checks. The identified studies offer a variety of effective approaches as a basis for local guidelines in the different fields of action in DM care adjusted to regional circumstances.

# Ethics approval

No ethical approval is necessary

# Authors contribution

Sandholzer-Yilmaz AS developed the concept of the review, performed the initial systematic search in the International Trials Registry, screened the references, extracted study data in 2019, wrote a draft of the manuscript and worked in the

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| 2        |   |
| 3        | coauthors comments on the final version of the manuscript and finally submitted the   |
| 4        | · ·   |
| 5        | manuscript.   |
| 6        | Kroeber ES updated the systematic search, added a search in 2 regional databases,     |
| 7<br>8   | screened the undated search results and extracted the undated data and wrote the      |
| 9        |   |
| 10       | final version of the manuscript.  |
| 11       | Unverzagt S has expertise in systematic reviews and is the guarantor of the           |
| 12       | methodological quality of the systematic review, developed the review concent has     |
| 13       | methodological quality of the systematic review, developed the review concept has     |
| 14       | registered the protocol, performed the systematic search in 2 databases, screened all |
| 15       | references shocked the initial on well on the undeted data autraction and wrote the   |
| 16       | references, checked the miliar as well as the updated data extraction and wrote the   |
| 1/       | final version of this manuscript.   |
| 18       | Avele W provided expertise on the needs of evidence in the African context, developed |
| 20       |   |
| 21       | the review concept, discussed the protocol and critically read and commented on the   |
| 22       | manuscript.   |
| 23       | Free T and provided expertise on primery care, developed the review concert           |
| 24       | Frese i and provided expertise on primary care, developed the review concept,         |
| 25       | critically read and commented on the manuscript.                                      |
| 26<br>27 | Kantelbardt E.I. provided expertise on the needs of evidence in the African context   |
| 27       |   |
| 29       | developed the review concept, critically read and commented on the manuscript.        |
| 30       |   |
| 31       |   |
| 32       | Sandholzer-Yilmaz AS and Kroeber ES are joint first authors of this manuscript.       |
| 33       |   |
| 34       |   |
| 35       | Acknowledgements:   |
| 36       |   |
| 37       | Dawn Bielawski did the proofreading.  |

# **Funding statement**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

# **Competing interests statement**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Data sharing statement

No additional data available

## Page 24 of 100

# References

1. Kushitor MK, Boatemaa S. The double burden of disease and the challenge of health access: Evidence from Access, Bottlenecks, Cost and Equity facility survey in Ghana. PLoS One. 2018;13(3):e0194677.

2. Misganaw A, Mariam DH, Araya T. The double mortality burden among adults in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2006-2009. Preventing chronic disease. 2012;9.

3. Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1736-88.

4. Federation ID. IDF Diabetes Atlas 9th edition <u>https://www.diabetesatlas.org/en/</u>: International Diabetes Federation; 2019 [

5. Beagley J, Guariguata L, Weil C, Motala AA. Global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2014;103(2):150-60.

6. Asmelash D, Asmelash Y. The Burden of Undiagnosed Diabetes Mellitus in Adult African Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2019;2019:4134937.

7. Bos M, Agyemang C. Prevalence and complications of diabetes mellitus in Northern Africa, a systematic review. BMC public health. 2013;13(1):387.

8. Awadalla H, Noor SK, Elmadhoun WM, Almobarak AO, Elmak NE, Abdelaziz SI, et al. Diabetes complications in Sudanese individuals with type 2 diabetes: overlooked problems in sub-Saharan Africa? Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2017;11:S1047-S51.

9. Mutyambizi C, Pavlova M, Chola L, Hongoro C, Groot W. Cost of diabetes mellitus in Africa: a systematic review of existing literature. Globalization and health. 2018;14(1):3.

10. Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, Guthrie B, Wang HH, Mercer SW, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8(8):e1003-e17.

11. Nations U. Sustainable development goals. Goal 3: Ensure healthy living and promote well-being for all at all ages 2019 [Assessed 29/09/2020]. Available from: https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/health/.

12. Gómez-Velasco DV, Almeda-Valdes P, Martagón AJ, Galán-Ramírez GA, Aguilar-Salinas CA. Empowerment of patients with type 2 diabetes: current perspectives. Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity: targets and therapy. 2019;12:1311.

13. Audain KA, Levy L, Ellahi B. Sugar sweetened beverage consumption in the early years and implications for type 2 diabetes: A sub-Saharan Africa context. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 2019;78(4):547-53.

14. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD: The Task Force for diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). European heart journal. 2020;41(2):255-323.

15. Organization WH. World Health Organization model list of essential medicines: 21st list 2019. World Health Organization; 2019.

16. Association AD. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care. 2020;43(Suppl 1):S14.

17. Roglic G. WHO Global report on diabetes: A summary. International Journal of Noncommunicable Diseases. 2016;1(1):3.

# BMJ Open

2 3 Checkley W, Ghannem H, Irazola V, Kimaiyo S, Levitt NS, Miranda JJ, et al. 18. 4 Management of NCD in low-and middle-income countries. Global heart. 2014;9(4):431-5 43. 6 Gomez F, Hirbo J, Tishkoff SA. Genetic variation and adaptation in Africa: 19. 7 implications for human evolution and disease. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in 8 biology. 2014;6(7):a008524. 9 20. Owolabi MO, Yaria JO, Daivadanam M, Makanjuola AI, Parker G, Oldenburg B, 10 et al. Gaps in guidelines for the management of diabetes in low-and middle-income 11 versus high-income countries—a systematic review. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(5):1097-12 105. 13 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items 21. 14 for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS med. 15 2009;6(7):e1000097. 16 Higgins JPT GS. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions 22. 17 version 5.1. 0 [updated March 2011]. Collaboration TC, editor. Available from 18 19 www.handbook.cochrane.org. 8.3.2020. 2011. Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gøtzsche PC, Devereaux P, et al. 20 23. 21 CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel 22 group randomised trials. International journal of surgery. 2012;10(1):28-55. 23 Team TE. EndNote. EndNote X9 ed. Philadelphia, PA: Clarivate; 2013. 24. 24 Higgins JPT, Eldridge S, Li Te. Chapter 23: Including variants on randomized 25. 25 trials. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al., 26 editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 61 27 (updated September 2020. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook: Cochrane; 2020. 28 (RevMan) RM. Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The 26. 29 Cochrane Collaboration2014. 30 Fortwaengler K, Parkin CG, Neeser K, Neumann M, Mast O. Description of a 27. 31 new predictive modeling approach that correlates the risk and associated cost of well-32 defined diabetes-related complications with changes in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c). 33 Journal of diabetes science and technology. 2017;11(2):315-23. 34 28. Asuako B, Moses MO, Eghan BA, Sarpong PA. Fasting plasma glucose and 35 lipid profiles of diabetic patients improve with aerobic exercise training. Ghana Medical 36 Journal. 2017;51(3):120-7. 37 Theobald S, Brandes N, Gyapong M, El-Saharty S, Proctor E, Diaz T, et al. 29. 38 Implementation research: new imperatives and opportunities in global health. The 39 40 Lancet. 2018;392(10160):2214-28. 41 LLC M. Sub-Saharan Africa Rural Population 1960-2022 2022 [Available from: 30. 42 https://www.macrotrends.net/countries/SSF/sub-saharan-africa-/rural-population. 43 31. Chiwanga FS, Njelekela MA, Diamond MB, Bajunirwe F, Guwatudde D, 44 Nankya-Mutyoba J, et al. Urban and rural prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes and 45 risk factors associated with diabetes in Tanzania and Uganda. Glob Health Action. 46 2016;9:31440. 47 Price AJ, Crampin AC, Amberbir A, Kayuni-Chihana N, Musicha C, Tafatatha T, 32. 48 et al. Prevalence of obesity, hypertension, and diabetes, and cascade of care in sub-49 Saharan Africa: a cross-sectional, population-based study in rural and urban Malawi. 50 The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2018;6(3):208-22. 51 33. Bank AD. The Africa Infrastructure Development Index (AIDI) 2018 2018 52 https://www.icafrica.org/en/knowledge-hub/article/the-africa-[Available from: 53 infrastructure-development-index-aidi-2018-358/. 54 34. Hall V, Thomsen RW, Henriksen O, Lohse N. Diabetes in Sub Saharan Africa 55 1999-2011: epidemiology and public health implications. A systematic review. BMC 56 public health. 2011;11(1):1-12. 57 35. Federation IDF Diabetes Africa 58 ID. Atlas. 59 https://diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/material/20191218 144539 afr factsheet e 60 n.pdf2019 [

Muddle East and North Africa

https://www.diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/material/20191218 144557 mena fac

Federation ID. IDF Diabetes Artlas.

Utz B, Assarag B, Smekens T, Ennassiri H, Lekhal T, El Ansari N, et al. 37. Detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through primary health care effectiveness-implementation trial. services in Morocco: An PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0209322. Webb EM, Rheeder P. A cluster-randomized trial to estimate the effect of 38. mobile screening and treatment feedback on HbA1c and diabetes-related complications in Tshwane primary health care clinics, South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2017;11(6):546-54. Webb EM, Rheeder P, Roux P. Screening in Primary Care for Diabetic 39. Retinopathy, Maculopathy and Visual Loss in South Africa. Ophthalmologica. 2016;235(3):141-9. Webb EM, Rheeder P, Van Zyl DG. Diabetes care and complications in primary 40. care in the Tshwane district of South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2015;9(2):147-54. Krawinkel MB, Ludwig C, Swai ME, Yang RY, Chun KP, Habicht SD. Bitter 41. gourd reduces elevated fasting plasma glucose levels in an intervention study among prediabetics in Tanzania. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2018;216:1-7. 42. RezkAllah SS, Takla MK. Effects of different dosages of interval training on glycemic control in people with prediabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes spectrum. 2019;32(2):125-31. 43. Mogueo A, Oga-Omenka C, Hatem M, Kuate Defo B. Effectiveness of interventions based on patient empowerment in the control of type 2 diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa: A review of randomized controlled trials. Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolism.e00174. Atun R, Davies JI, Gale EA, Bärnighausen T, Beran D, Kengne AP, et al. 44. Diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa: from clinical care to health policy. The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology. 2017;5(8):622-67. Beck J, Greenwood DA, Blanton L, Bollinger ST, Butcher MK, Condon JE, et al. 45. 2017 National Standards for Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support. Diabetes Educ. 2018;44(1):35-50. Abaza H, Marschollek M, Schulze M. SMS Education for the Promotion of 46. Diabetes Self-Management in Low & Middle Income Countries: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Egypt. Studies in Health Technology & Informatics. 2017;245:1209. Adibe MO, Ukwe CV, Aguwa CN. The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care 47. Intervention on the Quality of Life of Nigerian Patients Receiving Treatment for Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):240-7. Adjei DN, Agyemang C, Dasah JB, Kuranchie P, Amoah AG. The effect of 48. electronic reminders on risk management among diabetic patients in low resourced settings. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(6):818-21. Amendezo E, Walker Timothy D, Karamuka V, Robinson B, Kavabushi P, 49. Ntirenganya C, et al. Effects of a lifestyle education program on glycemic control among patients with diabetes at Kigali University Hospital, Rwanda: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2017;126:129-37. 50. Chraibi Α. Al-Herz S, Nguyen BD, Soeatmadji DW, Shinde A. Lakshmivenkataraman B, et al. An RCT Investigating Patient-Driven Versus Physician-Driven Titration of BIAsp 30 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled Using NPH Insulin.[Erratum appears in Diabetes Ther. 2017 Jun 20;:; PMID: 28634880]. Diabetes Therapy Research, Treatment and Education of Diabetes and Related Disorders. 2017;8(4):767-80. Debussche X, Besancon S, Balcou-Debussche M, Ferdynus C, Delisle H, 51. Huiart L, et al. Structured peer-led diabetes self-management and support in a lowincome country: The ST2EP randomised controlled trial in Mali. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2018;13(1):e0191262. For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

59

60

36.

tsheet en.pdf2019 [

52. Essien O, Otu A, Umoh V, Enang O, Hicks JP, Walley J. Intensive Patient Education Improves Glycaemic Control in Diabetes Compared to Conventional Education: A Randomised Controlled Trial in a Nigerian Tertiary Care Hospital. PLoS ONE 2017;12(1):e0168835.

Hailu FB, Hjortdahl P, Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management 53. Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2018;6:302.

Labhardt ND, Balo JR, Ndam M, Manga E, Stoll B. Improved retention rates 54. with low-cost interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment of nurse-led care: a cluster-randomised trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2011;16(10):1276-84.

Mash RJ, Rhode H, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S, Lombard C, Steyn K, et al. 55. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in under-served communities in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(8):987-93.

56. Takenga C, Berndt RD, Musongya O, Kitero J, Katoke R, Molo K, et al. An ICT-Based Diabetes Management System Tested for Health Care Delivery in the African Context. International Journal of Telemedicine & Applications. 2014;2014:437307.

Tawfik MY, Mohamed RA. The impact of communicating cardiovascular risk in 57. type 2 diabetics on patient risk perception, diabetes self-care, glycosylated hemoglobin, and cardiovascular risk. Journal of public health (germany). 2016;24(2):153-64.

58. Thuita AW, Kiage BN, Onyango AN, Makokha AO. Effect of a nutrition education programme on the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at a level 5 Hospital in Kenya: "a randomized controlled trial". BMC Nutr. 2020;6:30.

Malipa M, Menon J. The relationship between compliance and guality of life 59. among adolescents with diabetes mellitus type1. Medical Journal of Zambia. 2013;40(3):93-103.

Adibe MO, Aguwa CN, Ukwe CV. Cost-Utility Analysis of Pharmaceutical Care 60. Intervention Versus Usual Care in Management of Nigerian Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):189-98.

61. Fairall LR, Folb N, Timmerman V, Lombard C, Steyn K, Bachmann MO, et al. Educational Outreach with an Integrated Clinical Tool for Nurse-Led Noncommunicable Chronic Disease Management in Primary Care in South Africa: a Pragmatic Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. Plos medicine. 2016;13(11):e1002178. Stevn K, Lombard C, Gwebushe N, Fourie JM, Everett-Murphy K, Zwarenstein 62.

M, et al. Implementation of national guidelines, incorporated within structured diabetes and hypertension records at primary level care in Cape Town, South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Glob Health Action. 2013;6:20796.

Ragheb SR, El Wakeel LM, Nasr MS, Sabri NA. Impact of Rutin and Vitamin C 63. combination on oxidative stress and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clinical nutrition ESPEN. 2020;35:128-35.

Advisor E. Telemedicine for Diabetes Management During the COVID-19 64. Pandemic and Beyond Telemedicine for Diabetes Management During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond - Endocrinology Advisor.2020 [

Tchero H, Kangambega P, Briatte C, Brunet-Houdard S, Retali G-R, Rusch E. 65. Clinical effectiveness of telemedicine in diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of 42 randomized controlled trials. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2019;25(7):569-83.

Haider R, Sudini L, Chow CK, Cheung NW. Mobile phone text messaging in 66. improving glycaemic control for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2019;150:27-37.

Russo LM, Nobles C, Ertel KA, Chasan-Taber L, Whitcomb BW. Physical 67. activity interventions in pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2015;125(3):576-82.
68. Quirk H, Blake H, Tennyson R, Randell T, Glazebrook C. Physical activity interventions in children and young people with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(10):1163-73.

69. Aljawarneh YM, Wardell DW, Wood GL, Rozmus CL. A systematic review of physical activity and exercise on physiological and biochemical outcomes in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. 2019;51(3):337-45.

70. Pan B, Ge L, Xun Y-q, Chen Y-j, Gao C-y, Han X, et al. Exercise training modalities in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2018;15(1):72.

71. Smith AD, Crippa A, Woodcock J, Brage S. Physical activity and incident type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and dose–response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Springer; 2016.

72. Fayehun AF, Olowookere OO, Ogunbode AM, Adetunji AA, Esan A. Walking prescription of 10 000 steps per day in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial in Nigerian general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 2018;68(667):e139-e45.

73. Maharaj SS, Nuhu JM. Rebound exercise: A beneficial adjuvant for sedentary non-insulin-dependent type 2 diabetic individuals in a rural environment. Australian Journal of Rural Health. 2016;24(2):123-9.

74. van Rooijen AJ, Rheeder P, Eales CJ, Becker PJ. Effect of exercise versus relaxation on haemoglobin A1C in Black females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Qjm. 2004;97(6):343-51.

75. Yan H, Prista A, Ranadive SM, Damasceno A, Caupers P, Kanaley JA, et al. Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. Isrn Endocrinology Print. 2014;2014:864897.

76. Salem MA, Aboelasrar MA, Elbarbary NS, Elhilaly RA, Refaat YM. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2010;2(1):47.

77. Embaby H, Elsayed E, Fawzy M. Insulin Sensitivity and Plasma Glucose Response to Aerobic Exercise in Pregnant Women at Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2016;26(5):409-14.

78. Distiller LA, Nortje H, Wellmann H, Amod A, Lombard L. A 24-week, prospective, randomized, open-label, treat-to-target pilot study of obese type 2 diabetes patients with severe insulin resistance to assess the addition of exenatide on the efficacy of U-500 regular insulin plus metformin. Endocrine practice. 2014;20(11):1143-50.

79. El-Haggar SM, Farrag WF, Kotkata FA. Effect of ketotifen in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(3):427-32.

80. Malek R, Ajili F, Assaad-Khalil SH, Shinde A, Chen JW, Van den Berg E. Similar glucose control with basal-bolus regimen of insulin detemir plus insulin aspart and thrice-daily biphasic insulin aspart 30 in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: Results of a 50-week randomized clinical trial of stepwise insulin intensification. Diabetes & Metabolism. 2015;41(3):223-30.

81. Ashoush S, El-Said M, Fathi H, Abdelnaby M. Identification of metformin poor responders, requiring supplemental insulin, during randomization of metformin versus insulin for the control of gestational diabetes mellitus. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(6):640-7.

82. Beyuo T, Obed SA, Adjepong-Yamoah KK, Bugyei KA, Oppong SA, Marfoh K. Metformin versus Insulin in the Management of Pre-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2015;10(5):e0125712.

| 1                    |  |
|----------------------|--|
| 2                    |  |
| 3                    | 83 Ibrahim MI Hamdy A Shafik A Taha S Anwar M Faris M The role of adding                 |
| 4                    | metformin in insulin-resistant diabetic pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial    |
| 5                    | Archives of Cynecology & Obstatrics 2014/280(5):050.65                                   |
| 6                    | Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014,209(0).909-00.                                 |
| 7                    | 84. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Balley CJ, Cenello A, Deigado V, et al. 2019       |
| 8                    | ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in       |
| 0                    | collaboration with the EASD. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(2):255-323.                            |
| 9<br>10              | 85. Bailey CJ, Iqbal N, T'Joen C, List JF. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naive       |
| 10                   | patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. Diabetes,       |
| 11                   | Obesity & Metabolism, 2012:14(10):951-9.   |
| 12                   | 86 Chou HS Truitt KE Moherly JB Merante D Choi Y Mun Y et al A 26-week                   |
| 13                   | placebo, and pigglitazone-controlled monotherapy study of rivoglitazone in subjects      |
| 14                   | with type 2 diabates mollitue. Diabates, Obesity 8 Metabolism, 2012:14(11):1000.0        |
| 15                   | with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012, 14(11). 1000-9.     |
| 16                   | 87. De Caterina R, Andersson U, Alexander JH, Al-Khatib SM, Banit MC, Goto S, et         |
| 17                   | al. History of bleeding and outcomes with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with      |
| 18                   | atrial fibrillation in the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic     |
| 19                   | Events in Atrial Fibrillation trial. American Heart Journal. 2016;175:175-83.            |
| 20                   | 88. Kadiri A, Al-Nakhi A, El-Ghazali S, Jabbar A, Al Arouj M, Akram J, et al.            |
| 21                   | Treatment of type 1 diabetes with insulin lispro during Ramadan. Diabetes &              |
| 22                   | metabolism 2001:27(4 Pt 1):482-6   |
| 23                   | 80 Schumm-Draeger PM Burgess I, Koranvi I, Hruha V, Hamer-Maansson IF, de                |
| 24                   | Druin TW/ Twice daily dependification on administered with metformin in type 2 diabetee: |
| 25                   | Bruin TW. Twice-daily dapaginozin co-administered with metion min in type 2 diabetes.    |
| 26                   | a 16-week randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Diabetes, Obesity &             |
| 20                   | Metabolism. 2015;17(1):42-51.  |
| 28                   | 90. Van Olmen J, Van Pelt M, Malombo B, Ku GM, Kanda D, Heang H, et al.                  |
| 20                   | Process evaluation of a mobile health intervention for people with diabetes in low       |
| 29                   | income countries - the implementation of the TEXT4DSM study. Journal of                  |
| 30<br>21             | Telemedicine & Telecare, 2017:23(1):96-105.  |
| 31                   | 91. Wang C. Mamza J. Idris I. Biphasic vs basal bolus insulin regimen in type 2          |
| 32                   | diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials          |
| 33                   | Diabetic Medicine 2015:22/5):595.04  |
| 34                   | Diabelic Medicine. 2015,52(5).565-94.  |
| 35                   | 92. Meede J. Basal insulin intensitication in patients with type 2 diabetes, a review.   |
| 36                   | Diabetes Therapy. 2018;9(3):877-90.  |
| 37                   | 93. Heinemann L, Braune K, Carter A, Zayani A, Krämer LA. Insulin storage: a             |
| 38                   | critical reappraisal. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology.                        |
| 39                   | 2020:1932296819900258.   |
| 40                   | 94. Bahendeka S. Kaushik R. Swai AB. Otieno F. Baiai S. Kalra S. et al. EADSG            |
| 41                   | guidelines: insulin storage and optimisation of injection technique in diabetes          |
| 42                   | management Diabetes Therany 2019:10(2):341-66  |
| 43                   | 95 Asamoah EA Obirikorang C Acheampong E Annani-Akollor ME Laing EE                      |
| 44                   | Wiredu E.W. et al. Heritability and Constinue of Type 2 Disbetes Mellitus in Sub-        |
| 45                   | Owneuu E-w, et al. Heritability and Genetics of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus III Sub-        |
| 46                   | Sanaran Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of diabetes research.     |
| 47                   | 2020;2020.   |
| 18                   | 96. Onengut-Gumuscu S, Chen WM, Robertson CC, Bonnie JK, Farber E, Zhu Z, et             |
| -10<br>/10           | al. Type 1 Diabetes Risk in African-Ancestry Participants and Utility of an Ancestry-    |
| <del>4</del> 9<br>50 | Specific Genetic Risk Score. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(3):406-15.                           |
| 50                   | 97. Cheng C-Y, Reich D, Haiman CA, Tandon A, Patterson N. Elizabeth S. et al.            |
| 51                   | African ancestry and its correlation to type 2 diabetes in African Americans: a genetic  |
| JZ                   | admixture analysis in three US population cohorts PloS one 2012;7(3):e32840              |
| 53                   | 08 Na MC Shriner D Chen RH Li L Chen W M Guo X at al Mata analysis of                    |
| 54                   | aconomo wido, acconsistion, studios, in African Americano, provides, insisteta interte   |
| 55                   | genome-wide association studies in Americans provides insights into the                  |
| 56                   | genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes. PLOS Genet. 2014;10(8):e100451/.                |
| 57                   | 99. Jayawardena R, Ranasinghe P, Galappatthy P, Malkanthi R, Constantine G,              |
| 58                   | Katulanda P. Effects of zinc supplementation on diabetes mellitus: a systematic review   |
| 59                   | and meta-analysis. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2012;4(1):13.                       |
| 60                   |  |
|                      |  |

100. Wang X, Wu W, Zheng W, Fang X, Chen L, Rink L, et al. Zinc supplementation improves glycemic control for diabetes prevention and management: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2019;110(1):76-90.

101. Daily JW, Yang M, Kim DS, Park S. Efficacy of ginger for treating Type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Journal of Ethnic Foods. 2015;2(1):36-43.

102. Zhu J, Chen H, Song Z, Wang X, Sun Z. Effects of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) on type 2 diabetes mellitus and components of the metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine. 2018;2018.

103. Daryabeygi-Khotbehsara R, Golzarand M, Ghaffari MP, Djafarian K. Nigella sativa improves glucose homeostasis and serum lipids in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Complementary therapies in medicine. 2017;35:6-13.

104. Heshmati J, Namazi N. Effects of black seed (Nigella sativa) on metabolic parameters in diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. Complementary therapies in medicine. 2015;23(2):275-82.

105. Vidal-Casariego A, Burgos-Peláez R, Martínez-Faedo C, Calvo-Gracia F, Valero-Zanuy M, Luengo-Pérez L, et al. Metabolic effects of L-carnitine on type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes. 2013;121(04):234-8.

106. Xu Y, Jiang W, Chen G, Zhu W, Ding W, Ge Z, et al. L-carnitine treatment of insulin resistance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Advances in Clinical and Experimental Medicine. 2017;26(2):333-8.

107. Das UN. Vitamin C for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension. Archives of medical research. 2019;50(2):11-4.

108. Afkhami-Ardekani M, Shojaoddiny-Ardekani A. Effect of vitamin C on blood glucose, serum lipids & serum insulin in type 2 diabetes patients. Indian Journal of medical research. 2007;126(5):471.

109. Maritim A, Sanders a, Watkins Iii J. Diabetes, oxidative stress, and antioxidants: a review. Journal of biochemical and molecular toxicology. 2003;17(1):24-38.

110. Zhou C, Na L, Shan R, Cheng Y, Li Y, Wu X, et al. Dietary vitamin C intake reduces the risk of type 2 diabetes in Chinese adults: HOMA-IR and T-AOC as potential mediators. Plos one. 2016;11(9):e0163571.

111. Hosseinzadeh H, Nassiri-Asl M. Review of the protective effects of rutin on the metabolic function as an important dietary flavonoid. Journal of endocrinological investigation. 2014;37(9):783-8.

112. Abdulrhman MM, El-Hefnawy MH, Aly RH, Shatla RH, Mamdouh RM, Mahmoud DM, et al. Metabolic effects of honey in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover pilot study. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2013;16(1):66-72.

113. Mohamad RH, Zekry ZK, Al-Mehdar HA, Salama O, El-Shaieb SE, El-Basmy AA, et al. Camel milk as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes: verification of a traditional ethnomedical practice. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2009;12(2):461-5.

114. van der Hoogt M, van Dyk JC, Dolman RC, Pieters M. Protein and fat meal content increase insulin requirement in children with type 1 diabetes - Role of duration of diabetes. Journal of clinical and translational endocrinology. 2017;10:15-21.

115. Shori AB. Camel milk as a potential therapy for controlling diabetes and its complications: A review of in vivo studies. Journal of food and drug analysis. 2015;23(4):609-18.

116. Rashad H, Metwally FM, Ezzat SM, Salama MM, Hasheesh A, Abdel Motaal A. Randomized double-blinded pilot clinical study of the antidiabetic activity of Balanites aegyptiaca and UPLC-ESI-MS/MS identification of its metabolites. Pharmaceutical Biology. 2017;55(1):1954-61.

| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | 117. Helal EG, El-Wahab A, Samia M, El Refaey H, Mohammad AA. Antidiabetic and                |
| 4  | antihyperlipidemic effect of Balanites aegyptiaca seeds (aqueous extract) on diabetic         |
| 5  | rats. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine, 2013;52(1);725-39.                           |
| 6  | 118 Matter RM Elbarbary NS Ismail EAR Darwish YW Nada AS Banoub VP Zinc                       |
| 7  | supplementation improves ducose homeostasis in patients with <sup>12</sup> -thalassemia major |
| 8  | complicated with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition (Burbank         |
| 9  | Los Angeles County Colif. 2020:72   |
| 10 | LOS Angeles County, Call). 2020,75.   |
| 11 | 119. Anderson RA, Roussel AM, Zouari N, Manjoub S, Matheau JM, Kerkeni A.                     |
| 12 | Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type        |
| 13 | 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2001;20(3):212-8.          |
| 14 | 120. El Gayar MH, Aboromía MMM, Ibrahim NA, Abdel Hafiz MH. Effects of ginger                 |
| 15 | powder supplementation on glycemic status and lipid profile in newly diagnosed obese          |
| 16 | patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obesity medicine. 2019;14.                            |
| 17 | 121. Moustafa HAM, El Wakeel LM, Halawa MR, Sabri NA, El-Bahy AZ, Singab AN.                  |
| 18 | Effect of Nigella Sativa oil versus metformin on glycemic control and biochemical             |
| 19 | parameters of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Endocrine.                   |
| 20 | 2019:65(2):286-94.  |
| 21 | 122. El-Sheikh HM, El-Haggar SM, Elbedewy TA, Comparative study to evaluate the               |
| 22 | effect of L-carnitine plus glimepiride versus glimepiride alone on insulin resistance in      |
| 23 | type 2 diabetic natients Diabetes and metabolic syndrome. clinical research and               |
| 24 | reviews 2010:13(1):167-73   |
| 25 | 122 Elbarbary NS Jemail EAD El Naggar AD Hamouda MH El Hamomey M. The                         |
| 26 | offect of 12 weeks correction supplementation on repair functional integrity and              |
| 27 | ericci of 12 weeks camosine supplementation of renar functional integrity and                 |
| 28 | oxidative stress in pediatric patients with diabetic hephropathy. a randomized piacebo-       |
| 29 | controlled trial. Pediatric diabetes. 2018; 19(3):470-7.                                      |
| 30 | 124. All S, Ghanem Y, Sharaki O, Hewedy W, al. e. The impact of different regimens            |
| 31 | of vitamin d3 on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. Asian journal of            |
| 32 | pharmaceutical and clinical research. 2019;12(12):21-6.                                       |
| 33 | 125. Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Zaki MA, Darwish YW, Ibrahim MZ, El-Hamamsy M.                 |
| 34 | Vitamin B complex supplementation as a homocysteine-lowering therapy for early                |
| 35 | stage diabetic nephropathy in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes: A randomized           |
| 36 | controlled trial. Clinical Nutrition. 2020;39(1):49-56.                                       |
| 37 | 126. Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Odeniyi IA, Iwuala S, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole                    |
| 38 | AE. Effect of Vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in Type 2 diabetes                |
| 39 | subjects in Lagos, Nigeria. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism.                   |
| 40 | 2016:20(2):189-94.  |
| 41 | 127. El-Makaky Y. Shalaby HK. The effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on              |
| 42 | glycemic control in diabetic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Oral diseases           |
| 43 |   |
| 44 | 128 El-Sharkawy HM Anees MM Van Dyke TE Propolis improves periodontal                         |
| 45 | status and divcemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic             |
| 46 | periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial lournal of periodontology 2016:87(12):1/18         |
| 47 |   |
| 48 | 20.<br>120. Taabany Taagua NE, Lantahi Vimagay E, Nana ADN, Tankay AT, Katta JC.              |
| 49 | 129. Isobghy-Isague NF, Lonichi-Yimagou E, Nana ARN, Tankeu AT, Kalle JC,                     |
| 50 | Denayem MY, et al. Effects of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on glycated                   |
| 51 | haemoglobin on type 2 diabetes patients (PARODIA 1 study): a randomized controlled            |
| 52 | trial in a sub-Sanaran Africa population. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):28.                     |
| 53 | 130. Sanile AT, Mgutshini T, Ayenu SM. Oral Health Screening Status of Diabetes               |
| 54 | Patients in Selected Hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018. Patient Related                |
| 55 | Outcome Measures. 2020;11:173.  |
| 56 | 131. Organization WH. Promoting Oral Health in Africa: Prevention and control of              |
| 57 | oral diseases and noma as part of essential noncommunicable disease interventions.            |
| 58 | 2016.   |
| 59 |   |
| 60 |   |
|    |   |

132. Nteleki B, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. Conventional podiatric intervention and phototherapy in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Seminars in Vascular Surgery. 2015;28(3-4):172-83.

133. Yakoot M, Abdelatif M, Helmy S. Efficacy of a new local limb salvage treatment for limb-threatening diabetic foot wounds-a randomized controlled study. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy. 2019;12:1659.

134. Wang HT, Yuan JQ, Zhang B, Dong ML, Mao C, Hu D. Phototherapy for treating foot ulcers in people with diabetes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017(6).

135. Henshaw FR, Bolton T, Nube V, Hood A, Veldhoen D, Pfrunder L, et al. Topical application of the bee hive protectant propolis is well tolerated and improves human diabetic foot ulcer healing in a prospective feasibility study. Journal of Diabetes and its Complications. 2014;28(6):850-7.

136. Afkhamizadeh M, Aboutorabi R, Ravari H, Fathi Najafi M, Ataei Azimi S, Javadian Langaroodi A, et al. Topical propolis improves wound healing in patients with diabetic foot ulcer: a randomized controlled trial. Natural product research. 2018;32(17):2096-9.

137. Hegazi R, El-Gamal M, Abdel-Hady N, Hamdy O. Epidemiology of and risk factors for type 2 diabetes in Egypt. Ann Glob Health. 2015;81(6):814-20.

138. Sherwani SI, Khan HA, Ekhzaimy A, Masood A, Sakharkar MK. Significance of HbA1c test in diagnosis and prognosis of diabetic patients. Biomarker insights. 2016;11:BMI. S38440.

139. Basu S, Flood D, Geldsetzer P, Theilmann M, Marcus ME, Ebert C, et al. Estimated effect of increased diagnosis, treatment, and control of diabetes and its associated cardiovascular risk factors among low-income and middle-income countries: a microsimulation model. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(11):e1539-e52.

140. Medicine OCfE-B. "The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence". https://www.cebm.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/CEBM-Levels-of-Evidence-2.1.pdf; 2011 [

141. Maho JF. How many languages are there in Africa, really? TRENDS IN LINGUISTICS STUDIES AND MONOGRAPHS. 2004;156:279-96.





| 1       |  |
|---------|--|
| 2       |  |
| 3       | Education Control Mean Difference Mean Difference Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl |
|         | 1.1.1 End of follow-up   |
| 5       | Abaza 2017 (Egypt) 8.73 1.98 34 8.84 2.4 39 5.7% -0.11 [-1.12, 0.90]   |
| 7       | Essien 2017 (Nigeria) 8.4 1.67 53 10.2 2.19 51 8.4% -1.80 [-2.55, -1.05]   |
| 7<br>9  | Mash 2014 (South Africa) 8.4 2 391 8.8 2.2 475 17.5% -0.40 [-0.68, -0.12]  |
| 0       | Muchiri 2016 (South Africa) 9.8 1.92 41 10.4 1.92 41 7.4% -0.60 [-1.43, 0.23]  |
| 9<br>10 | Takenga 2014 (Congo) 6.73 1.59 17 8.6 1.35 14 5.4% -1.87 [-2.91,-0.83]   |
| 10      | Tawfik 2016 (Egypt) 7.5 0.8 107 8.12 0.9 107 18.6% -0.62 [-0.85, -0.39] =  |
| 11      | Webb 2015 (South Africa) 8.54 2.11 225 8.76 2.22 191 14.4% -0.22 [-0.64, 0.20]<br>Subtotal (95% CI) 1038 1078 100.0% -0.66 [-0.94, -0.39] ◆  |
| 12      | Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.10; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 21.97, df = 8 (P = 0.005); l <sup>2</sup> = 64%                                   |
| 13      | Test for overall effect: Z = 4.66 (P < 0.00001)  |
| 15      | 1.1.2 Change until end of follow-up  |
| 16      | Debussche 2018 (Mali) -1.05 2.09 70 -0.15 1.75 70 38.0% -0.90 [-1.54, -0.26]   |
| 17      | Hailu 2018 (Ethiopia) -2.88 4.28 78 -2.57 3.59 64 29.7% -0.31 [-1.60, 0.98]  |
| 18      | Subtotal (95% Cl) 196 180 100.0% -1.33 [-2.65, -0.01]  |
| 19      | Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 1.08; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 10.57, df = 2 (P = 0.005); l <sup>2</sup> = 81%                                   |
| 20      | TESTION OVER AN ENEUL $\Delta = 1.86$ (F = 0.03)   |
| 21      | -1 $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$  |
| 22      | Favours education Favours control  |
| 23      |  |
| 23      |  |
| 25      |  |
| 26      |  |
| 27      |  |
| 28      |  |
| 29      |  |
| 30      |  |
| 31      |  |
| 32      |  |
| 33      |  |
| 34      |  |
| 35      |  |
| 36      |  |
| 37      |  |
| 38      |  |
| 39      |  |
| 40      |  |
| 41      |  |
| 42      |  |
| 43      |  |
| 44      |  |
| 45      |  |
| 46      |  |
| 47      |  |
| 48      |  |
| 49      |  |
| 50      |  |
| 51      |  |
| 52      |  |
| 53      |  |
| 54      |  |
| 55      |  |
| 50      |  |
| 5/      |  |
| 58      |  |
| 59      |  |
| 60      |  |

| 2   |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
|-----|--|---------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------|-------|----------------------|---|
| 3   |  | Physica       | l educatio | n        | Co           | ontrol |       | Mean Difference      | Mean Difference                           |
| 4 - | Study or Subgroup                                | Mean          | SD T       | otal     | Mean         | SD     | Total | IV, Fixed, 95% CI    | IV, Fixed, 95% CI                         |
| 5   | Fayehun 2018 (Nigeria)<br>Maharai 2016 (Nigeria) | 6.26<br>7 1 2 | 0.17       | 23<br>46 | 6.82<br>g pe | 0.32   | 23    | -0.56 [-0.71, -0.41] | <sup>+</sup>                              |
| 6   | van Rooijen 2004 (South Africa)                  | 8.99          | 2.59       | 75       | 8.26         | 1.97   | 74    | 0.73 [-0.01, 1.47]   | · _+-                                     |
| 7   | Yan 2014 (Mozambique)                            | 7.7           | 2.23       | 31       | 7.7          | 2.53   | 10    | 0.00 [-1.75, 1.75]   |   |
| 8   |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      | -4 -2 0 2 4                               |
| 9   |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      | Favours physical activity Favours control |
| 10  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 11  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 12  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 13  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 14  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 15  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 16  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 17  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 18  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 19  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 20  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 21  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 22  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 23  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 24  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 25  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 26  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 20  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 27  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 20  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 30  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 31  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 37  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 32  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 34  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 35  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 36  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 37  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 38  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 39  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 40  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 41  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 42  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 43  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 44  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 45  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 46  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 47  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 48  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 49  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 50  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 51  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 52  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 53  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 54  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 55  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 56  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |
| 57  |  |               |            |          |              |        |       |                      |   |

## Studies on patients with pre-DM

| Study name<br>registration<br>number<br>Design             | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                                | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Strategies to en   | hance physical                                  | lactivity  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>RezkAllah</b><br>2019<br>ACTRN126170<br>00631303<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban<br>07/2017-<br>01/2018          | Pre-DM, 25-45 yrs, BMI of<br>25–30 kg/m2, HbA1C 5.7–<br>6.4%, fasting glucose 100–<br>125 mg/dL, sedentary<br>lifestyle<br>No history of diabetes,<br>cancer, prediabetic<br>neuropathy, stroke,<br>pulmonary embolism, or<br>severe musculoskeletal<br>problems restricting physical<br>activity  | n=60<br>45 % females<br>age (yrs): 32.9±5.5<br>BMI (kg/m²): 28.3±1.4   | IG2 (n=20):<br>High-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>40 min/session<br>vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Low-volume high<br>intensity interval training,<br>25 min/session<br>Both with 90 % HR<br>maximum, 3 times/week<br>CG (n=20):<br>No exercise intervention<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Other:</u> fasting glucose           | After 3 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: Benefit for IG<br>4.87±0.34 (-26 %) vs. 5.13±0.57 (-<br>14.5 %) vs. 6.25±0.48 (+3.38 %)<br>(p=0.0001)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dL):<br>Benefit for IG2 and IG1: 90.8±4.13 (-<br>17.8 %) vs. 93.8±4.16 (-13.2 %) vs.<br>103.8±7.21 (+2.9 %) (p=0.0001) |
| Strategies on nu   | utrition  |  | - 52   |  | Duine e u  | often O vulue  |
| 2018<br>DRKS<br>00005131<br>Cross-over-<br>RCT             | urban<br>10/2013-<br>03/2014                    | age (yrs): 30 -65,<br>FPG 5.6-6.9 mmol/l (100–<br>125 mg/dL) on 2 days or on<br>one day + HbA1c 5.7-7.5 %,<br>BMI 27–35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , BP 90/60-<br>160/110 mmHg, waist<br>circumference > 80/90 cm for<br>women / men<br>No chronic disease, taking<br>regular intake of medication,<br>identified glucose-6-<br>phosphatase-dehydrogenase<br>deficiency, heavy alcohol<br>consumption, pregnancy, | 55% female<br>age (yrs): 47.5±8.7<br>HbA1c (%):5.85±0.43<br>FPG: (mmol/l):<br>5.34±0.49<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):29.6±2.2 | started with bitter gourd<br>supplementation (2,5 g)<br>over 8 wks, followed by<br>placebo over 8 wks<br>vs.<br><u>CG/IG (n=31):</u><br>first placebo over 8 wks,<br>followed by bitter gourd<br>over 8 wks<br>washout period: 4 wks<br><u>Duration</u> 8 weeks                                    | FPG<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>HbA1c, Insulin, SBP, DBP,<br>lipids | FPG (mmol/l):<br>Benefit for IG/CG: MD 0.31 (0.08-0.5<br><u>HbA1c: (%):</u><br>No differences (MD 0.05)  |

| egistration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time          | Po<br>Inclusion / Exclusion crite | ppulation<br>eria Characteristics | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
|                                 |  | lactation                         |                                   |   |  |   |
| BMI: Body mas<br>HbA1c: haemo   | ss index; CG: Cor<br>oglobin A1c; IG/( | CG: cross over from IG to C       | G; IG: intervention group; n:     | number of participants; MD: me                        | an difference; RCT: randomi  | ellitus; FPG: fasting plasma glucose;<br>ized controlled trial; SBP: Systolic blood                               |
| pressure; SD: S                 | Standard-deviatio                      | on; wks: weeks; yrs: years        |                                   |   |  |   |
| upplementar                     | ry Table 1: Cha                        | aracteristics and results o       | of studies on patients with       | pre-DM  |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   |  |   |
|                                 |  |                                   |                                   |   | boot in the second seco |   |

 Studies on patients with DM1

| Study name                        | Setting                           | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Educational str                   | ategies                           |   |  |  |   |  |
| Malipa 2013                       | Zambia                            | DM1, 16-19 yrs  | n=40<br>55% females  | <u>IG (n=20):</u> 1 meeting /wk<br>over 8 wks  | Compliance to treatment<br>(Rating scale for  | After 2 months:<br>Compliance: better in IG (11.0 vs. 30;  |
| RCT                               |                                   |   | 16-17 yrs: 35 %<br>18-19 yrs: 65 %<br>Compliance: worse in<br>IG 26.4 vs. 14.6<br>(p=0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes:<br>20.5<br>Worries about<br>diabetes: 20.5<br>Satisfaction with life:<br>20.5 | <u>CG (n=20);</u> waiting list<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 wks   | compliance)<br>Quality of life (impact and<br>worries about diabetes,<br>satisfaction with life)              | p<0.001)<br>Impact of diabetes: better in IG (16.8<br>vs. 24.2; p=0.045)<br>Worries about diabetes: better in IG<br>(14.32 vs. 26.68; p=0.001)<br>Satisfaction with life: better in IG (28.5<br>vs. 12.5; p<0.001) |
| Strategies to er                  | nhance physical                   | activity  |  |  |   |  |
| Salem 2010                        | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban           | DM1 for ≥3 years, 12-18 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥7.5 % for ≥6 months  | n=196<br>61.7 % female   | I <u>G2 (n=73):</u><br>attended exercise   | glycemic control, plasma<br>lipids values, blood  | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT                               | 02/2009-<br>11/2009               | no significant diabetic<br>complications limiting<br>exercise like, uncontrolled<br>hypertension, diabetic keto-<br>acidosis, severe<br>hypoglycemia within the past<br>3 months, patients on lipid<br>lowering therapy | age (yrs): 14.78 ± 2.31<br>HbA1c (%): 8.7±1.7<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.6 ± 1.9  | sessions three<br>times/week<br>vs.<br><u>IG 1 (n=75):</u> attended<br>exercise sessions once<br>times/week<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=48):</u> no exercise<br><u>Duration: 6 months</u> | pressure, severity and<br>frequency of<br>hypoglycemia,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements and<br>insulin dose | Benefit for IG2 and IG1:<br>7.8 ± 1.0 vs. 8.1 ± 1.1 vs. 8.9 ± 1.3%<br>(p=0.2)  |
| Strategies on n                   | utrition                          |   |  |  |   |  |
| Abdulrhman<br>2013<br>NCT01554566 | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care | DM1, age > 2 yrs,<br>HbA1c< 10 %<br>no renal or hepatic   | n=20<br>50 % females<br>age (yrs): 11.3 ± 4.3<br>duration of diabetes  | <u>IG/ CG (n=10):</u><br>Honey consumption<br>(0.5 ml/kg body weight<br>per day)   | Primary: serum lipids, c-<br>peptide<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>anthropometric measures                         | After 12 weeks: (IG/CG vs. CG/IG):<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>• Benefit with CG/IG: 6.7±0.9 vs. 5.9±0.8<br>(p<0.01)   |
| cross-over                        | 01/2010 -                         | impairment, coexisting  | (yrs): 4.7±4.5   | VS.  | (e.g. BIVII), fasting and 2h-   | • no differences in change in period 1: -  |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populati  | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period<br>intervention effects (IG vs<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| RCT                              | 10 / 2011                     | diseases or therapies that<br>may affect body weight or<br>serum lipids   | HbA1c (%):7.21± 0.76<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.5±22.5  | <u>CG/IG (n=10):</u> changed<br>after 12 wks and received<br>than honey<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 wks.   | postprandial glucose,<br>HBA1c, serum lipid profile   | 5.83 ± 13.66 vs. 2.94±8.82<br><u>Fasting glucose</u> (mg/dl):<br>• benefit with CG/IG: 142.7<br>116.7±19.4 (p<0.01)<br>benefit with IG/CG in peri<br>10.84 vs0.08±5.14 (p=0. |
| Mohamad<br>2009                  | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, age 17 to 20 yrs   | n=64<br>30 % female<br>age (yrs): 19.9±6.8  | <u>IG (n=27):</u><br>camel milk (500 ml)<br>+usual care   | <u>Not specified:</u><br>HbA1c, human C-peptide,<br>lipid profile, serum  | After 16 wks<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.16±1.84   |
| RCT                              |                               | complications like diabetic<br>ketoacidosis, hypoglycaemia,<br>cardiovascular events, renal<br>or acute infections  | HbA1c (%): 9.52±2.08<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 228.7±13.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>18.82±3.01 | vs.<br><u>CG (n=27):</u><br>usual care for diabetes<br>(i.e. diet, exercise, insulin<br>mixtard)<br>Duration: 16 works  | insulin, anti-insulin<br>antibodies, creatinine<br>clearance, albumin<br>extraction in 24 h urine,<br>BMI, Diabetes QoL score,<br>fasting glucosc   | fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>benefit for IG: 227.2±17.7<br>98.9±16.2  |
| van der Hoogt<br>2017            | South Africa                  | DM1, age 4-17 yrs on insulin<br>pump therapy, HbA1c>9,6%<br>for >3months, BMI/age   | n=32<br>41% female<br>age (vrs): 10.4+4.0   | IG1 (n=22):<br>1 home-based_low fat and<br>protein meal   | primary: peak sensor<br>glucose value post-meal,<br>time to peak sensor   | Change over 12 weeks<br>Occurance of hypoglycaer<br>7 (32 %) vs. 1 patients after  |
| cross-over<br>RCT                |                               | z.score -1 to < 3, total daily<br>insulin use of >0,5 u/kg<br>no remission of diabetes,<br>smoking, coeliac disease,<br>cystic fibrosis, diseases or<br>medication that are<br>associated with delayed<br>gastric emptying or altered<br>digestation, glucocorticoids,<br>oral diabetic drugs, no acute | HbA1c (%): 8.2±0.8<br>duration of Diabetes<br>(yrs): 3.5 (1.5-8.0)  | vs.<br><u>IG2 (n=22):</u><br>1 high fat and protein<br>meal with identical<br>carbohydrate content<br>two meals were<br>consumed at dinner time<br>(18:00) under parental<br>supervision at least 1 day<br>apart within one month | glucose, time of first and<br>largest correction bolus,<br>total correction insulin,<br>total meal insulin,<br>additional insulin<br>required ,area under the<br>sensor glucose response<br>curve (AUC) (≥ 8 mmol/L),<br>duration of elevated post-<br>prandial glucose | / (02 /0) /01 2 patients and   |
| Medical device                   |                               | 1111123523  |   | Duration. Smonths   |   |  |
| Elbarbary<br>2016                | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, adolescents and adults<br>who wished to fast the<br>month of Ramadan with  | n=73<br>68.3% female<br>age (yrs): 15.6+2.7   | Insulin pump therapy<br>during Ramadan fasting  | Primary: hypoglycaemia<br>Other: glucose value,<br>number of 'full fasted   | After 1 months:<br>Glucose value (mg/dl):<br>152 5+17 3 vs. 141+33 8 (   |
| RCT                              | 06/2014-<br>07/2014           | insulin pump for $\geq 6$ months  | HbA1c (%): 7.65±0.9   | <u>IG (n=25):</u><br>sensor with low glucose  | days', emergency hospital   | Complications:   |

| Study name                             | Setting                       | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design       | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|  |                               | education session 2 months<br>before fasting and committed<br>to follow-up the given<br>instructions  | 24.56±3.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 5.8±2.9<br>on pump therapy<br>(yrs): 1.73±0.99 | suspension activation<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=35):</u><br>sensor without low<br>glucose suspension  | problem   | 3.68±1.62 vs. 6.7±2.1 (p=0.001)<br>Number of hyperglycaemic excursion<br>17.0±4.0 vs. 23.0±7.6 (p=0.001)<br>No severe hypoglycaemic events, no<br>episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis, no |
|  |                               | no diabetic ketoacidosis,<br>episodes of severe<br>hypoglycaemia or symptoms<br>of uncontrolled diabetes in<br>the last 6 months, diabetic<br>microvascular complications<br>or macrovascular disease |  | activation<br><u>Duration</u> :1 month   |   | hyperglycaemic events associated wit<br>ketosis no deaths or device-related<br>SAE  |
|  |                               | pregnant women  |  |  |   |   |
| Pharmacologic                          | al Strategies                 |   |  |  |   |   |
| Elbarbary<br>2018<br>NCT0292825<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban               | DM1, age: 9 - 18 yrs, ≥ 5 yrs<br>disease duration, active<br>diabetic nephropathy in the<br>form of microalbuminuria,<br>HbA1c ≤ 8.5 %  | n=90<br>52.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 12.85±3.1<br>HbA1c (%):7.85±1.95                       | <u>IG (n=45):</u><br>1 g/d carnosine<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>control/placebo group      | Primary: change in<br>tubular damage marker<br>Secondary: urinary<br>albumin excretion (UAE),<br>oxidative stress markers<br>Safety: any AE | After 12 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>• Benefit for IG: 7.4 ±1.3 vs. 8.3±2.4<br>• change -9.88±7.12 vs. 3.89±2.28<br>(p=0.005)<br>No adverse reactions were reported                    |
|  |                               | no infection, renal<br>impairment due to other<br>causes other than diabetes,<br>other diabetic complications,<br>hypersensitivity to carnosine   |  | Patients in both groups<br>received oral ACE-Is<br>captopril 25 mg<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks |   |   |
| Elbarbary<br>2020<br>NCT03594240       | Egypt,<br>urban<br>03/2017-   | DM1 on insulin therapy with<br>> 5 yrs of disease duration,<br>12-18 yrs, active<br>nephropathy, HbA1c< 8.5 %.  | n=80<br>55% female<br>age (yrs): 15.4 ± 1.6<br>HbA1c (%):7.95±0.5                          | both groups received oral<br>angiotensis-converting-<br>enzyme inhibitors<br>(captopril)       | <u>Primary</u> : Cystatin C<br>diet, physical activity, and<br>metformin dosage   | after 12 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 7.5±0.6 vs. 8.0±0.6<br>Fasting glucose (mg/dl):  |
| RCT                                    | 03/2018                       | no infections, renal<br>impairment due to other   | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 114.5±21.8<br>duration of diabetes                             | <u>IG (n=40)</u> oral vitamin B<br>complex (B1,B6,B12)   |   | 107.7±14.1 vs. 116.4±17 (p=131)   |

|                                  | Setting                       | Populatio  | on                      | Intervention vs. Control                        | Outcomes                     | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics         | Description with duration                       | Primary and secondary        | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value |
|                                  |                               | elevated liver enzymes,<br>hyper-or hypothyroidism,<br>hypertension, neoplasm,<br>taking any vitamins or food<br>supplements within 1 months<br>before study start |                         | <u>CG (n=40):</u> placebo<br>Duration: 12 weeks |                              |  |
| BMI: Body mas                    | s index; CG: Cor              | ntrol group; CG/IG: Crossover from   | CG to IG; CI: Confider  | nce interval; DM1: Type 1 diabet                | es; FPG: fasting plasma gluc | ose; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG/CG:  |
| cross over from                  | n IG to CG; IG: ii            | ntervention group; n: number of p  | articipants ;RCT: rando | omized controlled trial; SD: Stan               | dard-deviation; wks: weeks;  | yrs: years   |
|                                  |                               |  |                         |   |                              |  |
|                                  |                               |  |                         |   |                              |  |
|                                  |                               |  |                         |   |                              |  |

## RCTs mainly including patients with DM2

| Inclusion / Exclusion criteria       Characteristics       Description with duration       Primary and secondary       Longest follow-up period with intervention affects (G vs. CG v         Abaza 2017       Egypt,<br>Urban,<br>tertiary       DMZ, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read       n=73       Diabetes awareness<br>paper-based educations<br>material pilos       Primary and secondary       After 3 months:<br><u>Benefit with G vs. CG v</u> RCT       03-07/2015       DMZ, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read       n=73       Diabetes awareness<br>paper-based educations<br>material pilos       Primary and secondary       After 3 months:<br><u>Benefit with G vs. 13 1.98 vs.</u><br>8.8482.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 tr<br>0.983; p = 0.406)         RCT       03-07/2015       DMZ, ages 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>tertiary care<br>worker, and therapy       n=220       S6 % females<br>and weekly read-<br>based educations material<br>Duration: 12 wks.       Primary and secondary<br>mediates for yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %       No differences: 8.73 11.98 vs.<br>8.8482.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 tr<br>0.983; p = 0.406)         Adibe 2013       Nigeria,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care       DMZ, ages 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>therapy       n=220       S6 % females<br>so in sulin: 13.6 %<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       Is (n=110):<br>structured self-care<br>grogram by pharmacists<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>grogram by pharmacists<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 26.5 %       DMZ, ages 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin<br>the ra  | Study name                              | Setting   | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Educational strategies         Abaza 2017<br>NCT02868320<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>eare,<br>03-07/2015       DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read<br>age (vrs): 51.51-92,<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for - 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %<br>on insulin: 19.2 %       Diabetes awareness<br>paper-based educations<br>material plus<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br>BMS (insulin: 19.2 %       Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>majority had had<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br>BMS (insulin: 19.2 %       After 3 months:<br>HbA1E (%):<br>No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Ba422.40, MD; 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.98, 92 +0.60)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       No differences in 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>Baefit with IG in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00) and Morisk<br>3.7640.55 vs. 2.74±107 (pc.000)<br>Hospital/EN with G in SCI 3.420, 48<br>(ro-0.28, 92 +0.00)       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mopregnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net<br>mop regnancy <thp< th=""><th>registration<br/>number<br/>Design</th><th>Place,<br/>setting and<br/>time</th><th>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria</th><th>Characteristics</th><th>Description with duration</th><th>Primary and secondary</th><th>Longest follow-up period with<br/>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br/>SD, 95%-CI or p value</th></thp<> | registration<br>number<br>Design        | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                       | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| Abaza 2017<br>NCT02868320<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>RCT       DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %       Diabetes awareness<br>pager-based educations<br>material plus<br>(G (n=34); daily messages<br>and weekly reminders<br>and weekly reminders<br>and weekly reminders<br>and states for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %       Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>handom blood glucose<br>evels, body weight,<br>adherence of treatment<br>and medication, diabetes<br>self-efficary and<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>requention, diabetes<br>self-efficary and<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>requention, failebet<br>self-efficary and<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>requiration: 12 wks.       After 3 months:<br>HbA1C (%): 9.7±2.7         Adibe 2013<br>Nigeria,<br>urban,<br>RCT       Nigeria,<br>urban,<br>hypegly.cemic and / or insulin<br>hop regnancy       n=220<br>s8 females<br>self efficary<br>educations material<br>brazes<br>(yrs): 52.67.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>> 5 yrs<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       IG (n=110):<br>s8 % females<br>self efficary<br>education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %       Primary: incremental<br>Conventional care<br>by provider and satisfaction,<br>s5.6.642<br>(0.07 to 0.16)       After 12 months:<br><u>Conventional care</u><br>by pertain: 12 months       Primary: incremental<br>Conventional care<br>by pertain: 12 months       After 12 months:<br><u>Conventional care</u><br>by pertain: 12 months <th>Educational stra</th> <th>ategies</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>   | Educational stra                        | ategies   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Adibe 2013       Nigeria,<br>urban,       DM2, age≥ 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin       n=220       IG (n=110):<br>structured self-care       Primary: incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net       After 12 months:         RCT       tertiary care       therapy       age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>no pregnancy       education and training<br>yrogram by pharmacists<br>60.5% with diabetes       program by pharmacists<br>and nurses       Other: quality of life       Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0         60.5% with diabetes       vs.       5 yrs       CG (n=110): usual /<br>on insulin: 13.6 %       Other: quality of life       Benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±<br>QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.<br>(0.07 to 0.16)       benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±<br>QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.<br>(0.07 to 0.16)         Atisi2025       Cheese       DM       n 200       IC: (n 400):       Deriver Correlinger with       After 12 months:  | <b>Abaza 2017</b><br>NCT02868320<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>tertiary<br>care,<br>03-07/2015 | DM2, mobile phone, capable<br>to read SMS or live with<br>someone who could read | n=73<br>56 % females<br>age (yrs): 51.5±9.2<br>majority had had<br>diabetes for > 1 yr<br>hypertension: 41.1 %<br>on insulin: 19.2 %<br>DM complication:<br>80.8 %<br>HbA1c (%): 9.7±2.7 | Diabetes awareness<br>program:<br>paper-based educations<br>material plus<br><u>IG (n=34):</u> daily messages<br>and weekly reminders<br>addressing various<br>diabetes care categories<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=39):</u> paper-based<br>educations material<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks. | Primary: change in Hba1C<br>Secondary:<br>Random blood glucose<br>levels, body weight,<br>adherence of treatment<br>and medication, diabetes<br>self-efficacy and<br>knowledge, rate of<br>hospital/ER visits,<br>frequency of<br>measurements, regular<br>exercise, patients<br>confidence in healthcare<br>provider and satisfaction,<br>healthcare provider's<br>reputation | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>No differences: 8.73 ±1.98 vs.<br>8.84±2.40, MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.290 (-0.402 to<br>0.983; p = 0.406)<br>Benefit with IG: 47 vs. 15 % achieved<br>the targeted 1% drop (p = 0.003)<br><u>Random blood glucose</u> (mg/dl):<br>No difference: 181±65 vs. 201±87<br>(p=0.288)<br><u>Treatment adherence (scores)</u> :<br>Benefit with IG in SCI 3.42±0.48 vs.<br>2.52±0.49 (p<0.001) and Morisky:<br>3.76±0.55 vs. 2.74±1.07 (p<0.001)<br><u>Hospital /ER admission</u> (%):<br>No differences: 0 vs. 10.3 (p=0.118) |
| RCT       tertiary care       therapy       age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>no pregnancy       education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses       monetary benefit<br>Other: quality of life       • Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0         NO pregnancy       (yrs): 4.7±2.5,<br>60.5% with diabetes<br>> 5 yrs       education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses       monetary benefit<br>Other: quality of life       • Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0         O.10 (p=0.0001) improved single<br>attributes except "hearing" func-<br>of the patients       • Soften       vs.       • Soften         Soften       On insulin: 13.6 %       conventional care       • benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±       • benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±         hypertension: 60.5 %       Duration: 12 months       Duration: 12 months       • benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64±         O(0.07 to 0.16)       • incremental cost-utility ratio of \$<br>per QALY       • account of \$<br>per QALY  | Adibe 2013                              | Nigeria,<br>urban,                                  | DM2, age≥ 18 yrs with oral<br>hypoglycemic and / or insulin                      | n=220<br>58 % females  | IG (n=110):<br>structured self-care   | <u>Primary</u> : incremental<br>cost-utility ratio, net  | After 12 months:<br>Quality of life:   |
| Adia: 2015 Change DNA no 200 IC: (no 100). Drimenty Campliance with After Compatible   | RCT                                     | tertiary care                                       | therapy<br>no pregnancy  | age (yrs): 52.6±7.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 4.7±2.5,<br>60.5% with diabetes<br>> 5 yrs<br>on insulin: 13.6 %<br>hypertension: 60.5 %   | education and training<br>program by pharmacists<br>and nurses<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=110):</u> usual /<br>conventional care<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   | monetary benefit<br><u>Other:</u> quality of life  | <ul> <li>Benefit with IG: 0.86 ± 0.12 vs. 0.64 ± 0.10 (p=0.0001) improved single attributes except "hearing" functioning of the patients <u>Costs:</u></li> <li>benefit of \$0.76±0.15 vs. \$0.64± 0.15 QALY/patient and year; MD: \$ 0.12 (0.07 to 0.16)</li> <li>incremental cost-utility ratio of \$571 per QALY</li> </ul>   |
| Adjei 2015 Ghana, DM n=200 <u>IG: (n=100):</u> <u>Primary</u> : Compliance with After 6 months:  | Adjei 2015                              | Ghana,  | DM   | n=200  | IG: (n=100):  | Primary: Compliance with   | After 6 months:  |

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 2        |  |
| 2        |  |
| 4        |  |
| 5        |  |
| 6        |  |
| 7        |  |
| 8        |  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       |  |
| 12       |  |
| 13       |  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       |  |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 10       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 29       |  |
| 30       |  |
| 31       |  |
| 32       |  |
| 33       |  |
| 34       |  |
| 35       |  |
| 36       |  |
| 37       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 50<br>20 |  |
| 39       |  |
| 40       |  |
| 41       |  |
| 42       |  |
| 43       |  |
| 44       |  |
| 45       |  |
| 46       |  |
|          |  |

| Study name   | Satting  | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                     | Place,<br>setting and<br>time  | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| RCT  |  |  | age (yrs):<br>< 50 yrs: 63 %<br>> 50 yrs: 37 %<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 10.4±3.8  | clinical appointments of<br>patients + alert system<br>for abnormal laboratory<br>results<br>vs.<br><u>CG: (n=100):</u><br>usual diabetes care,<br>paper based method<br><u>Duration:</u> 6 months  | <u>Other</u> : metabolic risk<br>factors, BMI   | (%)<br>Benefit for IG: 97.8 vs. 89.4 (p=0.010)<br><u>Fasting glucose (mmol/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 8.04±2.14 vs. 8.85±2.63;<br>MD 0.4 (-0.59 to -0.36, p=0.022)  |
| <b>Amendezo</b><br><b>2017</b><br>NCT02032108<br>RCT | Rwanda,<br>urban,<br>tertiary care   | DM2>3mths, age>21yrs<br>no pregnancy or severe co-<br>morbid illnesses.  | n=251<br>69.3% females<br>age (yrs): 50.9 ±10.9<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27.9<br>(27.0-28.5)<br>duration of diabetes :<br><10 yrs: 73.7%, >10<br>yrs: 16.3%<br>HbA1c (%): 8.98±8.6-<br>9.3   | IG (n=115):<br>standard care plus<br>monthly lifestyle<br>education sessions of 45<br>min duration<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=108):</u> standard<br>care<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   | <u>Primary</u> : difference in<br>HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : fasting<br>glucose, systolic and<br>diastolic blood pressure,<br>BMI  | after 12 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG with median reductions<br>of -1.70 (-2.09 to-1.31) vs0.52 (-0.95<br>to -0.10); MD: -0.72 ( -1.14 to -0.30;<br>p< 0.001)<br><u>Fasting glucose (mmol/L):</u><br>6.9 (6.45 to 7.36) vs. 9.02 (8.18 to<br>9.87) (p<0.001)  |
| <b>Chraibi 2017</b><br>NCT01589653<br>RCT            | Egypt,<br>Indonesia,<br>Morocco,<br>Saudi<br>Arabia,<br>Vietnam<br>05/2012-<br>07/2015 | DM2 with diagnosis $\ge 12$<br>months, age $\ge 18$ , currently<br>being treated with NPH<br>Insulin for $\ge 3$ months +<br>metformin (1000-1500 mg)<br>for $\ge 2$ months, HbA1c $\ge 7.0\%$<br>$\le 10\%$ , BMI $\le 40.0$ kg/m <sup>2</sup><br>no treatment with<br>thiazolidinedione, glucagon-<br>like peptide-1 receptor<br>agonists, pramlintide within<br>the last 3 months , $>1$ IU/kg<br>NPH insulin daily; previous<br>use of premixed or bolus<br>insulin, $> 1$ severe<br>hypoglycemic episode during | n=155<br>74.9 % female<br>age (yrs): 54.5 ±10.0<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.05±4.9<br>HbA1c (%): 8.6 ±0.83<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/L): 8.97<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 9.5±5.8<br>African patients:<br>Egypt: 25.75 %<br>Morocco: 27.7 %<br>Diabetic nephropathy<br>/ neuropathy /<br>retinopathy (%): 3.2 /<br>16.1 / 3.2 | IG (n=76):<br>patient driven titration of<br>Biphasic insulin aspart 30<br>twice daily, 3 clinic visits<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=79):</u><br>physician driven titration<br>twice daily, 6 clinic visits<br>Titration in both arms<br>according to the titration<br>protocol bases on self-<br>measured plasma glucose<br>values, measured twice<br>daily on 3 preceding days,<br>telephone contact<br>whenever deemed | Primary: change in HbA1c<br>Secondary: proportion of<br>patients achieving the<br>ADA target of HbA1c<br><7.0 % and the HbA1c<br>target of <6.5 % after<br>20 weeks, FPG changes,<br>hypoglycemic episodes, | <ul> <li>Change over 5 months:<br/><u>HbA1c (%)</u>:</li> <li>Decreased in both arms with non-<br/>inferiority between groups: MD -0.23<br/>(-0.54 to 0.08)</li> <li>More patients reached HbA1c &lt;7.0%:<br/>40.8 vs. 29.1 %, RR: 1.79 (0.87 to 3.65)<br/>and &lt;6.5%: 25 vs. 19 %; RR: 1.52 (0.67<br/>to 3.46)</li> <li>More patients reached target HbA1c<br/>levels without severe or minor<br/>hypoglycemic episodes: &lt;7.0%: 38 vs.<br/>27.8 %, RR: 1.52 (0.61 to 3.79), &lt;6.5%:<br/>18 vs. 14.8 %; RR 1.13 (0.36 to 3.52)<br/><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u></li> <li>Decreased in both arms with no<br/>difference between groups: 0.95±0.28</li> </ul> |

| Study name                               | Setting                              | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results   |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design         | Place,<br>setting and<br>time        | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|  |                                      | the previous 12 month,<br>impaired kidney or hepatic<br>function, proliferative<br>retinopathy or maculopathy<br>requiring treatment | Macroangiopathy (%):<br>5.2   | necessary<br><u>Duration</u> : 20 weeks  |  | <ul> <li>vs. 0.67±0.28; MD: -0.28 (-1.07 to 0<br/><u>Costs</u></li> <li>Less frequent clinic visits to healthc<br/>professionals in IG: 4.8±0.65 vs.<br/>7.5±1.42 visits/patient<br/><u>Complications:</u></li> <li>hypoglycemic episodes: no differen<br/>608.4 vs. 789.2 / 100 patient-years<br/>exposure; RR: 0.74 (0.44; 1.23)<br/>treatment-emergent AEs:<br/>difference:_324.2 vs. 302.2 event<br/>100 patient-years of exposure</li> </ul> |
| <b>Debussche<br/>2018</b><br>NCT01485913 | Mali,<br>urban,<br>secondary<br>care | DM2, age 30-80 yrs,<br>HbA1c ≥ 8 %,<br>no DM1 severe diabetes  | n=151<br>76.2% female<br>age (yrs): 52.5±9.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.6+5.4 | IG (n=76):<br>peer-led structured<br>patient education<br>received culturally  | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary:<br>anthropometric<br>indicators (weight and   | Change to 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>• Benefit in IG: MD 1.05 % (-1.<br>0.56) vs0.15 % (-0.56; 0.26) (  |
| RCT                                      | 07/2011-<br>02/2013                  | complications or concomitant<br>illnesses that threatened<br>their functional or vital<br>prognosis                                  | Divit (Kg/11) .20.013.4   | tailored structured<br>patient education (3<br>courses of 4 sessions)<br>delivered in the<br>community by five<br>trained peer educators<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=75):</u> conventional<br>care alone<br><u>Duration</u> :1 yr | BMI, waist<br>circumference), SBP, DBP,<br>anti-diabetic and anti-<br>hypertensive treatment,<br>knowledge score, dietary<br>practices | 0.006)  |
| Essien                                   | Nigeria,                             | DM1 or DM2, age: $\geq$ 18 yrs,  | n=118   | <u>IG: (n=59):</u>   | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c  | After 6 months:   |
| 2017                                     | urban,<br>tertiary                   | HbA1c> 8.5 %, able to engage in moderate exercise,   | 60.2 % female<br>age (yrs): 52.7±10.5   | intensive and systematic<br>disease self-management  |  | <u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>8.4 (8 to 8.9) vs. 10.2 (9.8 to 10.7);   |
| PACTR201302                              | care,                                |  | BMI (kg/m²):  | education programme  |  | MD <sub>a</sub> : -1.8 (-2.4 to -1.2); (p < 0.0001  |
| 00047835                                 | 09/2013-                             | no eye disease that would<br>limit the ability to read   | 28.9±7.5<br>HbA1c (%):10.7±1.6  | (invitation and<br>encouragement by  |  |   |
| RCT                                      | 05/2014                              |  | type of diabetes<br>• DM1: 14.4 %<br>• DM2: 85.6 %                                | clinical staff to attend 12<br>structured teaching<br>sessions)<br>vs.   |  |   |

| Study name  | Setting   | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|   |   |   |   | <u>CG (n=59):</u> conventional<br>disease-self-management<br>education<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months  |  |   |
| Fairall 2016<br>ISRCTN20283<br>604<br>Cluster-RCT | South Africa<br>,<br>urban/rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>03/2011 –<br>11 / 2011 | age ≥ 18 yrs , clinics providing<br>service for NCD Patients with<br>DM, hypertension, chronic<br>respiratory disease or<br>depression, with self-<br>reported hypoglycaemic (in<br>case of DM) | n= 38 public sector<br>primary care clinics,<br>4393 patients,<br>n=1842 with DM<br>73 % female<br>age (yrs):median,<br>IQR): 52 (42-61) vs. 52<br>(44-62)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30±8<br>HbA1c (%):9 (4-17), in<br>HbA1c in DM≥ 7 %:<br>77 % | IG (n=2166, 851 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses were trained to<br>use a primary care<br>programme to support<br>and expand nurses'role in<br>NCD care and contains a<br>clinical management tool<br>with enhances<br>prescribing provisions<br>vs.<br>CG (n=2227, 991 with<br>DM):<br>Nurses continued to use<br>the Lung Health and<br>HIV/AIDS approach with<br>usual training<br>Duration: 14 months | Primary (for DM):<br>treatment intensification<br>(addition or increase in<br>dose of metformin and/or<br>sulphonylurea, insulin,<br>ACE-inhibitor, aspirin,<br>statin     | over 14 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>< 7 %: 41 vs. 38 %; RR 1.08 (0.77 to<br>1.52; p=0.638)<br>7-10 %: 69 vs. 55 %; RR 1.30 (1.16 to<br>1.47; p<0.001)<br>>10 %: 71 vs. 73 %; RR 0.97 (0.81 to<br>1.16; p=0.703)<br><u>Treatment intensification rates* (%):</u><br>57% vs. 50%, RRa: 1.11 (0.99 to 1.26)<br>(p=0.083) for patients with DM |
| Hailu 2018<br>NCT03185689<br>RCT                  | Ethiopia,<br>urban,<br>02/2016-<br>10/2017                                      | DM2, age > 18 yrs<br>no DM1 or GDM, pregnant<br>women, severe cognitive or<br>physical impairment, and<br>terminally ill people   | n=220<br>33 % female<br>age (yrs): 54.5±10<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):25±4<br>HbA1c (%):10.5±4   | IG (n= 116):<br>Nurse-led disease-<br>management education:<br>6 sessions, supported<br>with illustrative pictures<br>handbooks and fliers,<br>customized to local<br>conditions by trained<br>nurses<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=104):</u><br>usual follow-up care<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 months  | <u>Primary</u> :<br>patients with target<br>HbA1c ( ≤ 7 %)<br><u>Secondary</u> : systolic and<br>diastolic blood pressure,<br>fasting glycose, BMI,<br>waist circumference | Change over 9 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>No difference: 45 % vs. 50 % with<br>target values (p=0.21), MD: 2.88% (-<br>3.85 to -1.92) vs. 2.57% (-3.47 to -<br>1.67)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>Benefit with IG: 36 % vs.25 % with<br>target values, MD: -27 ( -45 to -9;<br>p=0.003)   |

| Page 47 | of | 100 |
|---------|----|-----|
|---------|----|-----|

| Study name                                     | Setting   | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results   |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design               | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                 | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wit<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Labhardt<br>2011<br>NCT00744458<br>Cluster-RCT | Cameroon<br>rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>08/2008-<br>02/2010 | newly detected adult patients<br>with DM2 and /or<br>hypertension in the<br>catchment area of nurse-led<br>health centres, staffed,<br>equipped and trained to care<br>for DM2 and hypertension | n=33 facilities, 221<br>patients<br>64% females<br>age (yrs): 59.8±12.7<br>diabetes: 15.4 %<br>Overweight (BMI 25-<br>29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.5 %<br>Obesity<br>(BMI> 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>20.4 % | IG 1 (11 centres, n=55):<br>incentive group<br>free treatment for<br>1 months for patients<br>who regularly attended<br>follow up visits<br>vs.<br>IG 2 (11 centres, n=77):<br>letter group: reminder<br>letters in case of a missed<br>follow-up visit<br>vs.<br>CG (11 centres, n=89):<br>no additional<br>intervention<br>Duration: 12 months                             | Primary:<br>Patient retention at 1 yr<br>(≥ 12 follow-up visits<br>within 12 months)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Adherence with timely<br>attendance of follow-up<br>visit schemes and<br>changes in blood pressure<br>and blood glucose levels.  | After 12 months:<br><u>Retention rates (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2 vs.CG: 60 v<br>vs. 29 %; MD 34 (21 to 46) with no<br>differences between IG1 and IG2;<br>5 (-22 to 12)<br><u>Loss to follow-up:</u><br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: IG1 vs. CG<br>0.44 (0.27 to 0.72; p< 0.001)<br>IG2 vs. CG: HR 0.38 (0.24 to 0.61;<br>p<0.001)<br><u>Adherence (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG1 and IG2: 38 vs. 35 v<br>10; MD 26 (14 to 42), IG1 vs CG: N<br>28(13 to 37); IG2 vs. CG: MD 25 (1<br>37)<br>no difference between IG1 and IG<br>MD 3 (-14 to 20)<br><u>FPG:</u><br>No difference between groups |
| Mash 2014                                      | South   | DM2 with any therapy  | n=34 public sector   | IG (17 health centres,   | Primary:  | No differences between groups<br>After 12 months:   |
| Cluster RCT                                    | Africa,<br>urban,<br>primary<br>care,<br>12/2010<br>-12/2012  | attending community health<br>centres in the working class<br>areas of Cape Town<br>Metropole<br>no DM1, dementia, mental<br>illness or acute illness   | community health<br>centres, 1570<br>patients<br>73.8% females<br>age (yrs): 56.1±11.6<br>HbA1c (%): 9.1±2.3   | n=/10):<br>4 monthly sessions lasting<br>60 min with group<br>education about diabetes<br>topics (understanding<br>diabetes and medication,<br>living a healthy lifestyle<br>and preventing<br>complications), delivered<br>by a health promotion<br>officer vs.<br><u>CG (17 health centres,</u><br><u>n=860):</u><br>usual care: ad hoc advice<br>during consultations and | improvement of diabetes<br>self-care activities (5 %<br>weight loss, and a 1 %<br>reduction in HbA1c level)<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>improved diabetes<br>specific self-efficacy,<br>locus of control, mean<br>blood pressure, mean<br>weight loss, mean waist<br>circumference, mean<br>HbA1c, mean total<br>cholesterol levels, quality<br>of life | HDA1C (%):<br>No differences: 8.4±2.0 vs. 8.8±2.7<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.01 (-0.27 to 0.28; p=0.967)<br><u>Adherence (self-care activities):</u><br>No differences in scores of physica<br>activity, use of diet plan or medica<br>foot care or frequency of smoking<br><u>Quality of life:</u><br>No differences in physical function<br>role or social functioning, mental<br>general health and pain<br><u>Costs:</u><br>Incremental cost effectiveness rat<br>1862 Dollar/ QALY gained  |

| Study name                                      | Setting   | Populatio   | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design                | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                     | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value                                      |
|   |   |   |   | occasional educational<br>talks in waiting room<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months   |   |  |
| Muchiri 2015                                    | South<br>Africa.                                  | DM2, age 40-70 yrs attending community health centres.  | n=82<br>86.6 % female   | <u>IG (n=41):</u><br>education materials+ 8  | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br>Secondary:  | over 12 months<br>HbA1c (%):   |
| RCT   | rural,<br>primary<br>care,<br>04/2010-<br>11/2011 | HbA1c≥ 8 %, blood sugar<br>levels ≥ 10 mmol/l, duration<br>of diabetes ≥ 1 yr<br>no insulin therapy, pregnant<br>women, full time employed  | age (yrs): 59±7.4<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 30.9±6.9<br>HbA1c (%): 11.1±2.0<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6   | weekly group educational<br>sessions about diabetes<br>and nutrition, follow-up<br>sessions+vegetable<br>gardening<br><u>CG (n=41):</u><br>education materials<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months  | Other clinical outcomes<br>(BMI, blood pressure and<br>blood lipids), HbA1c,<br>dietary behaviours  | <ul> <li>no difference: 9.8±1.92 vs. 10.4±1.92;</li> <li>MD –0.63 (-0.26 to 1.50; p=0.16)</li> </ul>                                 |
| <b>Owolabi 2019</b><br>PACTR201810<br>599931422 | South Africa<br>urban/rural,<br>primary<br>care   | DM, age ≥18 yrs, DM<br>diagnosed at least in the last<br>6 months, currently receiving<br>treatment at the selected   | n=216<br>84.3 % females<br>age (yrs): 60.6±11.6<br>DM2 (%): 94  | IG (n=108):<br>daily SMS text-messaging<br>SMS at an agreed time of<br>the day, according to   | Primary: Morning random<br>blood sugar<br>Secondary:<br>co-morbid outcomes  | Over 6 months:<br><u>Blood glucose levels</u> (mmol/L):<br>-1.58±5.29 vs1.95±4.69; MD 0.51(-<br>0.8 to 1.82) MD 0.26 (-0.81 to 1.32) |
| RCT   | 07/2018-<br>04/2019                               | clinics, on stable medication<br>for ≥ 3 months prior to<br>recruitment, uncontrolled<br>glycaemic control,<br>in possession of a mobile<br>phone, able to retrieve and<br>read SMSs and willing to<br>receive SMSs<br>health or mental conditions<br>that could interfere with the<br>study, pregnant or planning<br>to get pregnant within the<br>next 6 months, debilitated or<br>handicapped in such a way<br>that obtaining<br>anthropometric<br>measurements could be | Treated with oral pills<br>(%): 75.5<br>Duration of DM (yrs):<br>9.1±7.4<br>Duration of DM<br>treatment<br>(yrs): 8.8±7.2<br>Hypertension (%):<br>83.0<br>Random blood<br>glucose (mmol/L):<br>14.34±3.9<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.2±6.2 | their needs, care plan and<br>goal with motivational<br>and support messages,<br>advice on lifestyle<br>behaviours (e.g. diets,<br>physical activity, smoking<br>cessation, medication and<br>appointment reminders)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=108):</u><br>usual diabetes care<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months | (hypertension and<br>obesity), obtained<br>through blood pressure<br>measurement,<br>anthropometric<br>measurements (body<br>weight, BMI)<br>acceptability, feasibility |  |

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) w<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|                                  |                               | challenging  |  |  |   |  |
| Sodipo 2017<br>RCT               | Nigeria,<br>primary           | DM2 ≥ 18 yrs. on antidiabetic medication   | n=120<br>gender: 50% female  | <u>IG (n=60):</u><br>Self-monitoring of blood  | HbA1C, fasting glucose  | after 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
|                                  | care,                         | no patients with   | age (yrs): 59±10.95<br>HbA1c (%): 8.7±2.45   | glucose before and after<br>meals 3 days a week for  |   | No difference: 7.2±2.0 vs.7.7±2.0<br>0.174)  |
|                                  | 03/2013-<br>11/2013           | emergencies, chronic<br>complications such as<br>nephropathy, neuropathy<br>etc., those already using<br>glucometer  | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 152±60.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 50%> 3yrs   | 12 weeks<br><u>CG (n=60);</u> non SMBG<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 wks   |   | fasting glucose <u>(mg/dl)</u> :<br>No difference: 123.2±35.1 vs.<br>137.6±50.1 (p=0.087)    |
| Steyn 2013                       | South<br>Africa.              | public sector primary health<br>care clinics (CHC) with ≥ 25   | 18 community health centres  | IG (9 clinics, n=229):<br>introduction of structured   | <u>primary:</u> HbA1C in the diabetes group                       | After 3 months:<br>HbA1c (%):  |
| Cluster-RCT                      | urban,<br>primary<br>care.    | diabetes and ≥ hypertension<br>patients<br>age ≥15vrs. a documented  | n=1096, of them<br>n= 456 with DM<br>age (vrs): 58.3 ± 11  | clinical record with<br>guidelines prompts after<br>training of doctors in   | <u>secondary:</u><br>uncontrolled glycaemia<br>(HbA1c ≥7%) in the | IG: 8.8% vs. 8.8%; MDa -1.0 (-1.1<br>0.9)<br>HbA1c ≥7% (%):                                  |
|                                  | 1999-2000                     | attendee at the particular<br>CHC with ≥ 4 visits during the<br>previous year for<br>hypertension or diabetes who<br>received treatment for these<br>conditions at each visit<br>no patients_being unable to<br>answer a questionnaire | gender:74 % females<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2)</sup> :<br>30.7 ± 6.2<br>Type of Diabetes:<br>• DM1: 5.8%<br>• DM2: 91.35%<br>uncertain DM type:<br>2.85% | their use and suggestions<br>to incorporate them in<br>regular patient records,<br>contact over 1 year<br>vs.<br><u>CG (9 clinics, n= 227):</u><br>usual care with passively<br>disseminated guidelines<br><u>Duration:</u> 1 year | diabetes group.   | no relevant difference: 64.1 vs. 6<br>MD 0.90 (0.53 to 1.53)                                 |
| Takenga 2014                     | Congo,<br>urban               | DM2, 35-75 yrs   | n=40<br>20 % females   | <u>IG (n=20):</u><br>self-management of  | primary: HbA1c  | after 2 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
| RCT                              |                               |  | age (yrs): 53.3 ± 10.1<br>HbA1c (%): 8.63  | diabetes with Mobil DIAB<br>(telemedical approach)<br><u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=20):</u><br>conventional therapy<br>without telemedical   |   | Benefit for IG: 6.73±1.59 vs. vs.<br>8.6±1.35 (MD -1.87 (-2.91 to -0.8                       |

| Study name                                     | Setting                       | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|--|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design               | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
|  |                               |  |   | Duration: 60 days   |  |   |
| Tawfik 2016                                    | Egypt,<br>urban,              | DM2 for ≥ 1 yr, 40-79 yrs<br>attending an outpatient clinic  | n=255<br>53.7 % females   | IG (n=127):<br>comprehensive  | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary:   | After 3 months:<br>HbA1c (%):   |
|  | o5/2015-<br>09/2015           | no patients who were already<br>using a similar medication<br>chart, severe or terminal<br>health conditions, or patients<br>with behavioural health issue<br>that could make it difficult to<br>understand the<br>communication | HbA1c (%): 8.14±1.3<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 8.3±1.3   | communication<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=128):</u><br>standard usual care<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months                                       | perception, diabetes self-<br>care, cardiovascular risk<br>scores  | MD -0.62 (-0.85 to -0.39)<br><u>controlled HbA1c (%):</u><br>32.7 vs. 29.9  |
| <b>Thuita 2020</b><br>PACTR201910<br>518676391 | Kenya<br>Secondary<br>care    | DM2, 20-79 yrs with regular attendance of an outpatient clinic   | n=153<br>59.5 % females<br>age (yrs). 56±11.6<br>Formity bictory of DM  | IG2 (n=51):<br>nutrition education<br>programme for 2 hrs   | <u>Primary:</u> metabolic<br>syndrome prevalence<br>(MetS)   | After 6 months:<br><u>Metabolic syndrome prevalence</u> : lower<br>with IG2:  |
| RCT  | 08/2016 -<br>10/2016          | Pregnancy, complications<br>such as renal failure,<br>congestive heart failure, or<br>stroke   | (%): 46.6<br>Poor glycaemic<br>control (%) with<br>HbA1c>7%: 77.8<br>DM for 1-5 yrs (%):<br>58.2 %<br>Years with DM:<br>6.7±6.9<br>Oral medications (%):<br>82.4<br>BMP (kg/m2): 27±4.6<br>HbA1c (%): 8.49±1.9<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.0±3.3 | support<br>vs.<br><u>IG1 (n=51):</u><br>Education programme<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=51):</u><br>Standard care<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks | and clinical data, blood<br>pressure, blood glucose<br>and lipid profile, physical<br>activity levels, food intake | 91.3 (p<0.001)<br>WHO: 58.3 vs. 77.6 vs. 89.1 (p=0.003)<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Mean change: no differences -<br>2.04±2.70 vs. 1.48±2.73 vs0.73±2.71<br>High HbA1c: no differences: 47.9 vs.<br>29.0 vs. 34.8 %<br>fasting glucose ( <u>mmol/l):</u><br>no differences: -2.59±0.66 vs<br>2.95±0.64 vs1.55±0.68<br>high fasting glucose: 79.2 vs. 83.7 vs.<br>91.3 % |

| Page 51 | of | 100 |
|---------|----|-----|
|---------|----|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                               | Populati   | ion   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time         | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) w<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| Webb 2015<br>NCT01275040         | South<br>Africa,<br>urban,<br>primary | primary health_care clinics,<br>patients with clinical<br>diagnosis of DM2 or DM1_for<br>≥5yrs, age ≥ 18 yrs                     | n= 12 primary health<br>care clinics<br>n= 599<br>gender:68.5 % female  | IG (n=328):<br>mobile screening team<br>visits primary care clinic<br>and provides education  | Primary: HbA1c, detected<br>neuropathy, nephropathy<br>and retinopathy, HbA1c<br>categories  | after 12 months<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference: 8.54±2.11 vs. 8,76<br>MD-0.22 (-0.64, 0.20)   |
| Cluster RCT                      | care,<br>06/2010-<br>03/2011          |  | age (yrs): 57.8±10.5<br>HbA1c (%): 8.73±2.3<br>HbA1c ≥ 7 %: 73 %<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>30.8±6.7<br>Typ of diabetes:<br>DM1: 3.7 %,<br>DM2: 70.3 %<br>unknown: 26 %<br>duration of Diabetes:<br>< 5 yrs: 47.3 %<br>5-10 yrs: 22.0 %<br>> 10 yrs: 20.2 %<br>unknown: 10.5 % | and active screening for<br>diabetic complications<br>(foot, kidney, cardiac and<br>renal complications)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=273):</u><br>no mobile screening<br>team, routine care with<br>similar education for<br>patients. and health care<br>workers<br><u>Duration</u> : 1 yr | Secondary: detected<br>complications, referred<br>patients for complication<br>assessment or care, blood<br>pressure and lipid<br>control, costs, LDL<br>cholesterol, creatinine | screening rate for complications:<br>60% increase of screening in all<br>complication indicator groups, in<br>groups testing of HbA1c and ren<br>complications (serum-creatinine)<br>increased, but no significant<br>difference, screening for eye<br>complications, only increased<br>significantly in IG<br>no significant difference in the<br>proportion of actions taken bewe<br>and CG (p=0.83) |
| Strategies to er                 | nhance physica                        | l activity   |   |   |  |  |
| Asuako 2017<br>RCT               | Ghana,<br>urban,<br>tertiary          | DM, age: 20-68 yrs, ambulant<br>patients, without diabetes<br>complications with < 150   | n=12<br>83% female<br>age (yrs): 83% were   | IG (n=7):<br>walking aerobic exercise<br>sessions without   | FPG, Lipid profile, body<br>weight, BMI  | Change over 2 months:<br><u>FPG (mmol/l)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG: 6.27 ± 0.91 vs. 8.0  |
|                                  | care,<br>08/2015-<br>03/2016          | minutes /wk of moderate<br>physical activity<br>no SBP > 140 or DBP> 90<br>mmHg, bilateral or unilateral<br>lower or upper limbs | 46-55 yrs.<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):25.4±4.5<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/I):9.33 ± 5.7<br>type of diabetes:  | treadmills (3/week)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=5):</u><br>only activity of daily living   |  | 0.96; MD 1.73 (-1.88 to -1.59; p<  |
|                                  |                                       | amputation, use of insulin pump  | DM1: 17 %<br>DM2: 83 %<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs):  | Both continued regular<br>medical/clinical routines<br><u>Duration</u> : 8 weeks  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       |  | (yrs):  |   |  |  |

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Populatio</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics  | Intervention vs. Control Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary                                  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Fayehun 2018  | Nigeria,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>11/2014        | DM2, age_18-64 yrs,<br>Diagnosed ≥ 12 months, non-<br>insulin dependent, on dietary<br>control ± hypoglycemic<br>agents, able to walk without<br>limitations                                     | n= 46<br>63 % female<br>age (yrs): 54±7.7 (33-<br>64)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>22.4±3.3<br>HbA1c (%): 6.6 (5.3-<br>9.0) | IG (n=23):<br>Goal to accumulate<br>10000 steps per day<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=23)</u> :<br>normal activity habits<br><u>Duration</u> : 10 weeks  | <u>Primary:</u> HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : step count             | Change over 2.5 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 6.26 (6.19 to 6.33) vs.<br>6.82 (6.69 to 6.95); MD <sub>a</sub> : -0.74 (-1.32<br>to -0.02; p=0.015) |
|   |   | smokers, prescription of<br>medications that might<br>impair ability to walk   | duration of diabetes<br>(yrs):<7 yrs: 70 %,<br>>7 yrs 30 %   |   |  |  |
| Maharaj 2016  | Nigeria,<br>rural                               | DM2, non- insulin dependent,<br>blood glucose levels 6 -   | n=90<br>52 % females   | <u>IG (n=45):</u><br>rebound exercise 3   | <u>Primary:</u><br>HbA1c , FPG, BMI                                | After 9 weeks<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :  |
| RCT   | 07/2013-  | 13 mmol/l  | age (yrs): 39.4 ± 8.6<br>(30-58)   | times/week for 20-<br>30 min, moderate  | <u>Other:</u><br>Heart and respiratory                             | Benefit for IG: 7.12±1.19 vs. 8.36±1.25;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.904 (0.832 to 0.984; p=0.017)  |
|   | 06/2014   | no cardiac, abdominal or<br>spinal surgery ≤ 6 months,<br>history of fractures of lower<br>limbs, spine, weakness,<br>deformities, loss of sensation<br>in the feet, retinopathy,<br>nephropathy | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 27.7±5.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.79±2.11<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 2.5±2.1                           | intensity of 40-60 % of HR<br>maximum<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=45):</u><br>watched videos and read<br>health magazines<br><u>Duration</u> : 9 weeks   | rates, blood pressure,<br>oxygen saturation                        | <u>FPG (</u> mmol/l):<br>Benefit for IG: 6.92±1.21 vs. 8.73±1.23;<br>MD <sub>a</sub> : 0.787 (0.7345- 0.841; p=0.002)  |
| van Rooijen<br>2004                                   | South<br>Africa,<br>urban                       | black women with DM2, age<br>40-65yrs, duration of DM ≥12<br>months  | n=158<br>gender:100 % females  | IG (n=80):<br>education+ incremental<br>daily home exercise, use  | Primary: HbA1c, BMI<br>Secondary: walking<br>distance (6 min walk) | Change over 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference: 8 99+2 59 vs. 8 26+1 97   |
| RCT   | 03/2002-<br>11/2002                             | no chest pain on effort,<br>possible previous myocardial<br>infarction and intermittent<br>claudication, cerebro-<br>vascular incidents, arthritis,<br>retinopathy                               | HbA1c (%): 9.35  | of daily physical activity<br>records+6 fortnightly<br>supervised aerobic<br>exercise classes<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=77):</u><br>education+ relaxation<br>exercise<br><u>Duration:</u> 12wks |  |  |
| Yan 2014  | Mozambiqu                                       | DM2, male, age 40-70 yrs,  | n=41   | <u>IG (n=31):</u>   | plasma glucose, HbA1c  | Change over 3 months:  |
|   | e,  | diagnosis for ≥ 12 months  | 100% male  | low or vigorous intensity   |  | <u>HbA1c (%):</u>  |

| Page | 53 | of | 1 | 00 |
|------|----|----|---|----|
|------|----|----|---|----|

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Populati   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
| RCT                              | urban                         | no known diseases other<br>than DM2 and hypertension,<br>no diagnosed cardiovascular<br>diseases   | age(yrs): 54±2.5<br>HbA1c: 8.6±0.7<br>plasma glucose<br>(mmol/l): 9.65±1.2<br>BMI ( kg/m <sup>2)</sup> :<br>27.1 ± 1.0         | exercise 3-5 times/week<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=10):</u><br>walked 1 hour per day as<br>part of their daily lifestyle<br><u>Duration:12 wks</u>   |   | reduction in both groups with no<br>differences between groups: 7.7±0.<br>vs. 7.7±0.8<br><u>Plasma glucose (mmol/l):</u><br>9.6 ± 0.7 vs. 11.1 ± 1.3  |
| Pharmacologic                    | al strategies                 |  |  |   |   |   |
| Distiller<br>2014<br>RCT         | South Africa                  | DM2 for ≥ 1 year with total<br>insulin requirement of<br>>200 U/d for ≥ 3 months,<br>BMI > 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ,<br>HbA1c> 7,5 %, on long-term<br>metformin therapy (1.7–<br>2.5 g/d)<br>no pregnant or with<br>childbearing potential,<br>endocrinopathy, chronic<br>inflammatory or systematic<br>autoimmune disorder, CVD,<br>active carcinoma, chronic<br>illness, renal dysfunction,<br>gastroparesis, no<br>corticosteroids, DPP-4<br>inhibitors, exenatide,<br>liraglutide, no anticipated<br>change in other concomitant<br>medication or insulin | n=28<br>50% female<br>age (yrs): 51.7 (36-71)<br>HbA1c (%): 8.95 (7.6-<br>11.3)<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 40.8<br>(31.2-47) | IG (n=14):<br>regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+ metformin + exenatide<br>(5 μg orally twice a day<br>for 1 month and titrated<br>to 10 μg)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=14):</u><br>regular Insulin (500 U/ml)<br>+metformin<br><u>Duration:</u> 6 months | Primary: HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : Body weight,<br>insulin dose,<br>hypoglycemia  | Change to 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Significant improvement in both<br>groups<br>$8.7 \rightarrow 7.7(p=0.002)$ vs. $9.2 \rightarrow 7.5$<br>(p=0.0001)<br>With no difference between groups<br>(MD: 0.28; p=0.80)<br><u>Complications:</u><br>Mild hypoglycaemia: 5 vs. 2 person<br>with 20 vs. 5 events (p $\leq$ 0.001) |
| El-Haggar                        | Egypt,                        | DM2, age: 45-55 yrs, obese   | n=48   | <u>IG1 (n=16):</u>  | not specified:  | Changes over 12 weeks:  |
| 2015                             | urban                         | (BMI≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), with duration 5-10 yrs, treated   | 79 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.1±4.6   | glimepiride (3 mg/d) + 2<br>(1 mg twice/d)  | glycemic markers,<br>metabolic markers,   | HbA1c (%):<br>Highest benefit for IG1: 7.1±0.86 v   |
| RCT                              | 01/2013-<br>04/2014           | with glimepiride alone no Inflammatory disease,  | HbA1c (%): 7.83±0.87<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 193±50   | vs.<br>IG2 (n=16):<br>glimepiride (3 mg/d) +  | adiponectin, interleukin-<br>6, leukotriene B4, mast<br>cell tryptase, lipid panel, | 8.2±0.82 vs. 8.7±0.93 (p< 0.05)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>• Highest benefit for IG1: 199±38  |

| Study name                       | Setting   | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes   | Results  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
|                                  |   | severe hepatic or renal<br>disease, epilepsy<br>pregnant/lactating females   | BMI (kg/m²):<br>37.6±4.6<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.7 ±2.6   | ketotifen (1 mg once/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=16):</u><br>glimepiride (3 mg/d)<br>alone<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks   | BMI  | 207.7±47.6 (p< 0.05)   |
| Malek 2015<br>RCT                | Egypt,<br>Algeria,<br>Tunesia,<br>South Africa<br>03/2010-<br>05/2012 | DM2, age ≥ 18 yrs, currently<br>treated with suboptimal dose<br>of oral anti-diabetic drugs;<br>HbA1c 7-11 % (under<br>metformin-monotherapy)<br>and ≤ 10 % (under<br>combination therapy),<br>BMI≤40 kg/m <sup>2</sup><br>no allergies or<br>contraindications to the<br>product, pregnant or<br>breastfeeding, impaired<br>hepatic or renal function,<br>cardiovascular history,<br>uncontrolled hypertension,<br>proliferative retinopathy,<br>macular oedema | n=403<br>age (yrs): 52.8±9.6<br>59.8 % female<br>HbA1c (%): 8.65<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.7±4.5<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 7.5±5.1 | Stepwise individual<br>insulin intensification of<br><u>IG (n=200)</u> :<br>basal-bolus insulin<br>analogues (insulin<br>detemir +Insulin aspart)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=203)</u> :<br>thrice daily biphasic<br>insulin aspart depending<br>on HbA1c-values over<br>50 wks | Primary:<br>HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> :<br>patients achieving HbA1c<br>< 7.0 %, prandial plasma<br>glucose | Change over 50 weeks:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Non-inferiority: 7.4 vs. 7.3; MD 0.1 (-<br>0.1 to 0.3 (full-analysis set), MD 0.2 (-<br>0.1 to 0.4 (per protocol)<br>40.3% and 44.9% achieved<br>HbA1c<7.0%<br><u>Hypoglycaemia (events/patient year)</u> :<br>9.4 vs. 9.8<br><u>Serious adverse events</u> :<br>6.5 vs. 3.4 % with 1 treatment-related<br>SAE in CG<br><u>Adverse events</u> :<br>58.5 vs. 63.1% |
| Strategies on f                  | ood supplement  | tation   |   |  |  |  |
|                                  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|                                  |   | For pee  | r review only - http:///  | mionen hmi com/site/aho  | out/quidelines yhtml   |  |

| Study name                       | Setting  | Populatio   | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                            | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value   |
| <b>Ali 2019</b><br>RCT           | Egypt<br>Urban,<br>tertiary care<br>09/2017 –<br>04/2018 | DM2, oral antidiabetic agents<br>with no change of type and<br>dosage of antidiabetic agents<br>in the past 3 months, ≥ 30<br>years<br>insulin-dependence,<br>pregnancy, lactation, use of<br>Ca, multivitamins, Vitamin D<br>supplements, use of drugs<br>that affect Vitamin D status,<br>dietary Ca intake > 1500<br>mg/d, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism,<br>smoking, use of antiepileptic<br>drugs, sarcoidosis,<br>tuberculosis, potentially<br>terminal illness, inflammatory<br>bowel disease, liver or kidney | n=85<br>age (yrs): 54.6 ±2.8<br>68 % females<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.6±3.3<br>Diabetic duration<br>(yrs): 4.4±2.1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg(dL): 168±54.4<br>fasting serum insulin<br>(µIU/mL): 18.1±8.3<br>HbA1c(%):8.8±1.8 | oral antidiabetic agents<br>as usual +<br>IG 1 (n=22):<br>continuous oral Vitamin<br>D3 (4000 IU/d)<br>vs.<br>IG 2 (n=22):<br>intermittent regimen of<br>Vitamin D3 (50 000 IU/<br>week)<br>vs.<br>IG 3 (n=21):<br>single IM injection of<br>300 000 IU of Vitamin D3<br>at the start of the study<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=20):</u> only oral<br>antidiabetic agents<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months | Not specified: serum<br>creatinine, blood urea<br>nitrogen, total and<br>ionized Ca, serum<br>phosphorus, fasting<br>glucose, fasting<br>serum insulin, 25(OH)D3<br>levels, HbA1c  | After 3 months:<br><u>fasting glucose</u> (mg(dL): higher<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -20.9±18.1<br>-23.0±37.9 vs3.5±6.9 vs. 1.0±5.6<br>(p<0.001)<br><u>fasting serum insulin</u> (µIU/mL): high<br>decrease in IG1 and IG2: -4.44±5.2 v<br>5.88±4.6 vs1.55±9.4 vs. 0.10±1.0<br>(p< 0.001)<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):higher decrease in IG1 an<br>IG2: -0.81±0.77 vs0.82±0.87 vs<br>0.34±1.47 vs. 0.05±0.08 (p<0.001) |
| Anderson<br>2001<br>RCT          | Tunesia,<br>urban  | DM2 ≥ 5y, age< 65 yrs,<br>fasting glucose > 8 mmol/l<br>and HbA1C > 7.5 %<br>no pregnant or lactating<br>women, receiving trace<br>element supplements in past<br>3 months, with gastric or<br>diuretic treatment, acute<br>renal, acute infection or<br>recent surgery   | n=110<br>age (yrs): 53.2 ±16.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>29.1±1.0<br>HbA1c (%):8.82±3.25<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 11.45±0.<br>83<br>duration of diabetes<br>(months): 73.6±66   | $\frac{IG 1 (n=27):}{Zinc (30 mg/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG 2 (n=27):}{Chromium (400 µg/d)}$ vs.<br>$\frac{IG 3 (n=27):}{Zinc (30 mg/d) +}$ Chromium (400 µg/d)<br>vs.<br>$\frac{CG (n=29):}{placebo}$ Duration: 6 months  | Not specified:<br>HbA1C, fasting glucose<br>plasma concentrations of<br>zinc, copper, selenium,<br>urinary chromium and<br>zinc, Plasma<br>thiobarbituric acid<br>reactive substances,<br>copper-zinc-superoxid<br>dismutase, selenium -<br>glutathione peroxidase | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>7.7±1.6 vs. 7.4±1.4 vs. 8.1±1.6<br>CG: not reported  |
| Anyanwu<br>2016                  | Nigeria,<br>urban  | DM2, age 35-65 yrs on oral antidiabetics with vitamin D   | n=42<br>57.6 % female  | <u>IG (n=21):</u><br>Vitamin D3 supplements   | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br>Other: fasting glucose,  | Changes over 12 wks:<br>HbA1c (%):  |

| Study name<br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Populatio<br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | on<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary   | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| RCT  |   | deficiency and poor glycemic<br>control (HbA1c > 6.5 %)<br>no patients on insulin,<br>pregnancy,<br>renal insufficiency, chronic<br>liver disease or alanine<br>transferase > 5 times upper<br>reference limit, tuberculosis,<br>diarrheal, or malabsorption<br>state   | age (yrs): 51.8±2.05<br>HbA1c (%): 7.88<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 152.8±56.5   | (3000 IU/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=21):</u><br>placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks  | levels of serum Vitamin<br>D, calcium, albumin,<br>phosphate, creatinine,<br>and alanine transaminase | <ul> <li>MD (IG vs. CG): -0.66 (-0.161 to 0.29) vs 0.38 (-0.08 to 0.84);</li> <li>MD: -1.04 (-2.09 to 0.01)</li> <li>change from poor glycemic control (HbA1c&gt;6.5 %) to normal HbA1c (%): benefit for IF: 33.3 vs9.1 (p&lt;0.05) fasting glucose (mg/dl): 137.2±33.6 vs. 154±67.5 patient adherence (tablet counts, %): 62.2 vs. 59.9</li> </ul> |
| El Gayar 2019                                  | Egypt,<br>urban,                                | DM2 for < 6 months, 30-60<br>yrs, HbA1c level < 9%,   | n=80<br>49 % female   | diet, physical activity, and metformin   | <u>Not specified:</u> glycemic status, lipid profile and  | After 8 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u>   |
|  | 01/2017-<br>01/2018                             | no insulin therapy, any<br>injectable or oral antidiabetic<br>medication other than<br>metformin, no smoking,<br>consumption of alcohol<br>or narcotic drugs, no acute<br>illnesses at the baseline<br>or during the study, no<br>pregnancy or lactation,<br>autoimmune disorder,<br>cardiac or renal diseases,<br>thyroid, chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, peptic ulcer, regular<br>consumption of ginger or<br>other herbal drugs,<br>hypersensitivity to ginger,<br>consumption of lipid lowering<br>drugs or oral contraceptive<br>pills or any supplements 2<br>months before starting<br>the study. | HbA1c (%): 8.04±0.5<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 176.9±18.3<br>Fasting serum insulin<br>(mIU/L): 19.3±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.3±1.4 | ginger powder<br>supplementation (600<br>mg/capsule, 3<br>capsules/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=40):</u><br>Placebo<br><u>Duration:</u> 8 weeks | function  | vs. 7.26±0.45<br><u>Fasting serum insulin</u> (mIU/L):<br>decrease in both groups to 12.86±2.5<br>vs. 13.21±2.08<br>fasting glucose_(mg/dl):<br>decrease in both groups to<br>120.88±9.06 vs. 151.70±13.23  |

| Page | 57 | of | 1 | 00 | ) |
|------|----|----|---|----|---|
|------|----|----|---|----|---|

| Study name                       | Setting                         | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time   | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) wi<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value  |
| El-Sheikh<br>2019<br>RCT         | Egypt,<br>urban                 | DM2 on glimepiride alone,<br>age ≥30 yrs<br>no insulin sensitizers,<br>steroids, NSAIDs, warfarin or<br>lipid lowering medications,<br>thyroid hormones, valproic<br>acid or suffered from: acute<br>or chronic inflammatory<br>diseases, end-stage renal<br>disease undergoing dialysis,<br>hypothyroidism epilepsy,<br>pregnant and breast-feeding<br>women  | n= 72<br>67 % female<br>age (yrs): 50.6±8.7<br>HbA1c (%):9.76±1<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl):194.84±20.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):<br>34.4±5.45 | IG (n=38):<br>glimepiride 2 mg twice<br>daily + L-carnitine 1 gm<br>twice daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=34):</u><br>glimepiride dose 2 mg<br>twice daily<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months | HbA1c, fasting glucose,<br>PPBG, fasting insulin,<br>extracellular part of<br>insulin regulated<br>aminopeptidase, tumor<br>necrosis factor-alpha,<br>visfatin and lipid panel,<br>BMI and homeostasis<br>model assessment of<br>insulin resistance | Change over 6 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u> :<br>Benefit for IG: 7.41±0.5 vs. 9.5±0.<br>(p<0.001)<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dl):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 179.6±9.3 vs.<br>192.41±27.4 (p=0.018) |
| Matter 2020<br>NCT03851055       | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients | DM, treated with insulin, 10<br>to 18 yrs, transfusion<br>dependent beta-thalassemia<br>major  | n=80<br>52.5% females<br>age (yrs): 16.3±1.4<br>(range 12-18)   | diet schedule with<br>optimal macronutrient<br>distribution and<br>pharmacologic treatment  | Primary: fasting glucose<br>Secondary: fructosamine,<br>fasting C-peptide, and<br>HOMA-IR   | After 12 wks:<br>fasting glucose <u>(mg/dL):</u><br>higher decrease with IG to 116.9±<br>vs_144_5±22.9 (n<0.001)   |
| RCT                              | 08/2017 to<br>08/2018           | no other hemoglobinopathies<br>(e.g. a-thalassemia or sickle<br>thalassemia, disorders that<br>may affect glucose<br>homeostasis other than b-<br>TM, autoimmune diseases,<br>collagen diseases, hypo- or<br>hyperthyroidism, infections,<br>or tumours, or those who<br>were taking any vitamins or<br>food supplements < 1 month<br>before the study and<br>participating in a previous<br>investigational drug<br>study within 3 mo preceding | fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 144.5±22.4  | IG (n=40):<br>zinc gluconate<br>(2x20 mg/d)<br>vs.<br>CG (n=40):<br>placebo<br>Duration: 3 months   | <u>safety:</u> any AEs (e.g.<br>nausea, vomiting,<br>abdominal pain, diarrhea,<br>constipation, and<br>reduction of appetite)   | <u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>higher in IG (no results reported)<br>no side effects were reported   |
| Moustafa                         | Egypt                           | DM2 newly diagnosed  | n-62  | IG(n=29, 21 analysed):  | Glycamic control  | After 2 months:  |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| Study name                        | Setting  | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes   | Results   |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| registration<br>number<br>Design  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                  | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary  | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |
| <b>2019</b><br>RCT                | urban,<br>outpatients<br>recruitment<br>02/2016-<br>03/2018    | (within a time duration ≤6<br>months), 18–60 yrs<br>other antidiabetic<br>medications, pregnant and<br>lactating women, major<br>organ dysfunction (hepatic<br>failure, active hepatitis, liver<br>cirrhosis or renal<br>complications), changed their<br>standard medications during<br>the 12 weeks of the study | 72% females<br>HbA1c(%): 7.51±1.4<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 154.4±51.6<br>BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 33.9±6.1<br>family history of DM<br>(%): 78.5<br>retinopathy/altered<br>vision (%): 53<br>GDM (%): 9.2 | nigella sativa oil capsules<br>(3x 450 mg/d)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=33, 23 analysed):</u><br>metformin (2000 mg/d)<br><u>Duration</u> : 3 months  | oxidative stress markers,<br>biochemical parameters,<br>weight/BMI/waist<br>circumference, total<br>antioxidant capacity TAC                         | HbA1c (%):<br>no difference: 7.01±0.83 vs. 6.55±0.72<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>no difference: 119.8±23.7 vs.<br>120.7±25.4<br><u>Complications</u> :<br>no differences in occurrence of chills,<br>sweating, tachycardia, lethargy/<br>weakness, polydipsia, polyuria, dry<br>skin, polyphagia, blurred vision, foot<br>problems, or tingling/numbness<br>foot problems lower in IG: 4.8% vs.<br>33.3%, (p = 0.025).   |
| Ragheb 2020<br>NCT03437902<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>outpatients<br>care<br>02/2019-<br>05/2018 | DM2, receiving standard oral<br>hypoglycemic agents,<br>≥ 35 yrs,<br>no history of overt vascular<br>disease, renal or hepatic<br>failure or antioxidant<br>supplementation or insulin<br>therapy, no change of oral<br>hypoglycemic drugs   | n=70<br>age (yrs): 54.9±8.4<br>70 % females<br>BMI (kg(m <sup>2</sup> ): 32.5±5.7<br>HbA1c(%): 8.50±1.86<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dl): 142.8±52.6   | IG2 (n=20):<br>Rutin (60) + vitamin C<br>(160 mg) 3x daily vs.<br>IG1 (n=20):<br>Vitamin C (500 mg) 1x<br>daily<br>vs.<br>CG (n=13);<br>only usual<br>oral antidiabetic<br>treatment<br>Duration: 8 weeks | Primary: HbA1c,<br>oxidative stress marker,<br>antioxidant capacity,<br>insulin resistance, lipid<br>profile<br><u>Secondary:</u><br>Quality of life | After 2 months:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference 7.494 ± 1.72 vs. 8.504 ±<br>2.059 vs. 8.504 ± 2.059 (p=0.1882)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dl):<br>lower in IG2 and CG: 111.3 (IQR 93.3-<br>135.2) vs. 144 (114.8-201) vs. 113.3<br>(94-152.2) (p=0.017)<br><u>Quality of life</u> (SF 36):<br>• Benefit of physical functioning and<br>energy domains in IG2 vs. CG<br>(p=0.0049, p=0.0253).<br>• Benefit of role limitation to physical<br>health and emotional improved in<br>IG1 vs. CG (p=0.0267,p=0.0280)<br>• no difference between groups in<br>the other domains (emotional well-<br>being, social functioning, pain and<br>general health) |

| Page 5 | 59 of | 100 |
|--------|-------|-----|
|--------|-------|-----|

| Study name                       | Setting                               | Populatio  | on   | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time         | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
| Rashad 2017<br>RCT               | Egypt,<br>urban                       | DM2, 50-62 yrs<br>no insulin medication,<br>allergies, recent thromboses<br>or uncontrollable<br>hypertension  | n=34<br>43.3 % female<br>age (yrs): 55.5±6.15<br>HbA1c (%):6.75±1.2<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 8.5±1.4<br>postprandial plasma<br>glucose(mmol/l):<br>15.6±3.3<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.55±4<br>type of diabetes<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 6.1 ± 2.2 | <u>IG (n=17):</u><br>Balanites aegyptiaca<br>extract (400 mg))<br>vs.<br><u>CG: (n=17)</u><br>placebo capsules (potato<br>maltodextrin <u>)</u><br><u>Duration</u> : 8 wks | glycemic markers, lipid<br>profile, FPG   | Change over 8 wks:<br><u>2h postprandial plasma glucose:</u><br>benefit for IG :26.88% decrease vs. C<br>2.6% increase<br><u>FPG (mmol/l):</u><br>benefit for IG: 7.8 ± 0.9 vs. CG: 8.5 ±<br>1.1 |
| Somanah<br>2012<br>NCT01248143   | Mauritius,<br>urban/rural<br>11/2010- | newly diagnosed DM, age 25–<br>60 yrs fasting glucose range:<br>5.1–5.9 mmol/L   | n=127<br>47% female<br>age (yrs): range 25–60<br>HbA1c (%): 5.99±0.4   | IG (n=44):<br>supplementation of a<br>fermented papaya<br>preparation (6g/d twice  | HbA1C fasting glucose,<br>Lipid profile, diet score,<br>blood pressure, alanine<br>aminotransferase:                      | After 14 wks:<br><u>HbA1c (%):</u><br>no difference (p=0.448)<br>fasting glucose (mg/dL):  |
| RCT                              | 03/2011                               | no secondary complications,<br>non-smoker or stopped for ><br>6 months , alcoholic<br>consumption < 2 standard<br>drinks/day, post-menopausal<br>women without hormone | HbA1c (%): 5.99±0.4<br>fasting glucose<br>(mg/dL): 93.2±8.0<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.6 ±<br>3.7   | daily, over 12 wks),<br>followed by a 2 week<br>wash out period with the<br>same amount of water<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=56):</u> consumed an<br>equivalent amount of           | aspartate<br>aminotransferase,<br>Ferritin, c-reactive<br>protein, uric acid,<br>microalbumin/urinary<br>creatinine ratio | <ul> <li>remained relatively unchanged in boths genders:</li> <li>males: 96.2±17.0 vs. 87.6±11.7</li> <li>females: 95.6±15.8 vs. 94.3±5.0</li> </ul>   |

| Study name                                | Setting   | Populatio  | on  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design          | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                               | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics   | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value                                |
| EI-Makaky<br>2020<br>NCT03783845<br>RCT   | Egypt,<br>urban/rural<br>recruited<br>06/2015 to<br>03/2016 | DM2 for >5 yrs, 40-70 yrs,<br>HbA1c 7 to 9% at the last<br>medical evaluation, no<br>change in diabetes treatment<br>over the previous 3 months, ≥<br>6 permanent teeth excluding<br>third molars, clinical<br>attachment level and pocket<br>depth ≥4 mm in >30 % of the<br>sites, diagnosis of chronic<br>periodontitis based on the<br>presence of 4 teeth as a<br>minimum with ≥ 1 site<br>Pregnancy, alcoholism and<br>smoking, Presence of any<br>systemic disorders other than<br>hypertension and<br>diabetes, diabetic major<br>complications, antimicrobial<br>therapies or periodontal<br>therapies in the last 6<br>months, allergy to<br>metronidazole and<br>amoxicillin | n=88<br>56.8 % females<br>age (yrs): 52.6±6.8<br>HbA1c (%): 8.16±0.72   | IG (n=44):<br>immediate periodontal<br>therapy: one-stage<br>scaling and root planning,<br>a combination of<br>systemic antibiotics<br>(amoxicillin 500 mg and<br>metronidazole 400 mg<br>3x/day for 2 weeks), and<br>oral hygiene instructions<br>vs.<br><u>CG(n=44):</u><br>delayed periodontal<br>therapy after 3 months<br><u>Duration: 3 months</u> | Primary: HbA1c<br>Secondary: not named  | After 3 months:<br><u>HbA1c</u> (%):<br>benefit for IG: 7.27±0.5 vs. 8.34±0.6<br>MD -1.07 (-1.32 to -0.83)                     |
| El-Sharkawy<br>2016<br>NCT02794506<br>RCT | Egypt,<br>urban<br>06/2014-<br>03/2015.                     | DM2 >5 yrs, >20 teeth,<br>chronic moderate or severe<br>periodontitis with probing<br>depth and clinical attachment<br>level >5 mm, bleeding by<br>probing, on oral<br>hypogylcemic drug therapy<br>> 6 months,<br>no smoking, use of  | n=50<br>34% female<br>age (yrs): 50.5 ± 7.4<br>(38 to 63)<br>HbA1c (%): 8.66 ±0.73<br>FPG (mg/dl): 183.5<br>±12.547<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 26.9±<br>3.1<br>duration of diabetes | IG (n=24): scaling and<br>root planing (SRP)+<br>400mg oral Propolis once<br>daily<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=26)</u> scaling and<br>root planing<br>(SRP)+Placebo<br><u>Duration</u> : 6 months   | <u>Primary</u> : HbA1c<br><u>Secondary</u> : FPG,<br>serum N-(carboxymethyl)<br>lysine, periodontal<br>parameters | after 6 months<br><u>HbA1c (%)</u><br>Benefit for IG 7.75± 0.48 vs.8.5±0.73<br>(p<0.01)<br><u>FPG(mg/dl)</u><br>Benefit for IG |

| Page | 61 | of | 1 | 00 |
|------|----|----|---|----|
|------|----|----|---|----|

| Study name                       | Setting                       | Population   |  | Intervention vs. Control   | Outcomes  | Results  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria   | Characteristics  | Description with duration  | Primary and secondary   | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value  |
|                                  |                               | antibiotics, non-steroidal or<br>anti-inflammatory drugs<br>within the last 3 months,<br>periodontal therapy ≤ 1 year,<br>retinopathy grade 3/4,<br>pregnancy, no contraceptive<br>drugs | (yrs): 8.1 ± 3.9<br>hypertension: 4.5%<br>neuropathy: 1.5%<br>retinopathy: 0.5%<br>nephropathy: 0% |  |   |  |
| Ghoneim<br>2013                  | Egypt,<br>03/2010-            | DM, duration ≥ 15 yrs,<br>bilateral diabetic macular<br>edema (> 6 months)   | n=19 (38 eyes)<br>89.5 % female<br>age (yrs): 52.3+11.4  | <u>IG (n=19):</u><br>one eye with 8 mg<br>triamcinolone acetonide                    | <u>Primary</u> : Visual acuity<br><u>Others:</u> Intraocular<br>pressure (IOP), IOP | after 6 months:<br><u>Complications:</u><br>• no eves with retinal detachment  |
| RCT                              | 03/2012                       | no prior treatment with<br>intravitreal corticosteroids,<br>peribulbar steroid injection<br>within ≤ 6 months, pars<br>plana vitrectomy, history of                                      |  | vs.<br><u>CG (n=19):</u><br>other eye with4 mg of<br>triamcinolone acetonide         | lowering drugs,<br>complications  | <ul> <li>vitreous haemorrhage, intraocular<br/>reaction or endophthalmitis.</li> <li>one eye in IG developed posterior<br/>subcapsular cataract.</li> </ul>                                |
|                                  |                               | glaucoma or steroid induced<br>IOP elevation, ischemic<br>maculopathy, foveal tracted,<br>IOP≥ 23 mmHg   |  | <u>Duration</u> : 6 months   |   |  |
| Nteleki 2015                     | South<br>Africa.              | DM2 with neuropathic or mixed (venous and arterial)  | n=7 with 14 lower<br>extremity ulcers  | standard podiatric management and  | healing rate (area and<br>perimeter of the ulcer)                                   | after 3 months:<br>Healing:  |
| RCT                              | urban                         | ulcers; lower extremity ulcer;<br>stable or worsening ulcer that<br>has been present for ≥ 4<br>weeks  | 85 % male<br>age (yrs): 62<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 16.7                                  | IG1 (n=2):<br>phototherapy to the<br>regional lymphatic nodes<br>and ulcer(s)<br>vs. |   | <ul> <li>The rate of healing increased in all three groups,</li> <li>67% of ulcers received some form of phototherapeutic intervention, 40% those ulcers resolved completely on</li> </ul> |
|                                  |                               | no acute cellulitis,<br>osteomyelitis, or gangrene,<br>renal, hepatic, hematologic,<br>neurologic, or immune   |  | <u>IG2 (n=3):</u><br>phototherapy on the<br>ulcer<br>vs.                             |   | 8 weeks<br>no <u>AEs</u>   |
|                                  |                               | disease not related to<br>diabetes; presence of<br>malignant disease not in<br>remission for > 5 years; use of<br>oral or parenteral   |  | <u>CG (n=2):</u><br>placebo phototherapy<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 weeks               |   |  |

| Study name Setting               |  | Population  |  | Intervention vs. Control  | Outcomes                                      | Results   |  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| registration<br>number<br>Design | Place,<br>setting and<br>time          | Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | Characteristics  | Description with duration   | Primary and secondary                         | Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-CI or p value   |  |
|                                  |  | corticosteroids,<br>immunosuppressive, or<br>cytotoxic agents; known<br>infection with human<br>immunodeficiency virus or<br>presence of AIDS; other leg<br>ulcers  |  |   |   |   |  |
| Saeed 2013<br>RCT                | Egypt,<br>urban<br>11/2010-<br>07/2012 | DM, intractable diffuse<br>diabetic macular edema<br>without vitreomacular<br>traction.<br>central foveal thickness ≥300<br>µm<br>no vitreomacular traction,<br>active neovascularization of<br>proliferative diabetic<br>retinopathy, an enlarged<br>foveal avascular zone on<br>fluorescein angiography,<br>neurosensory detachment on<br>optical coherence<br>tomography, treatment for<br>diabetic macular edema<br>within ≤ 3 months, previous<br>vitreoretinal surgery, other<br>major ocular surgery within<br>the previous 6 months, YAG<br>capsulotomy within ≤2 | n= 34 (34 eyes)<br>50% females<br>age (yrs): 55.5 ± 8.9<br>duration of diabetes<br>(yrs): 24±5.4 | IG (n=15):<br>vitrectomy with removal<br>of the posterior hyaloid,<br>at the end of the<br>procedure injection of<br>intravitreal triamcinolone<br>acetonide (IVTA, 0.1 mL,<br>40 mg/mL) +bevacizumab<br>(1.25 mg) +macular grid<br>laser photocoagulation<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=15);</u><br>same intravitreal<br>injection combination<br><u>Duration</u> : 12 months | primary:<br>BCVA, central foveal<br>thickness | <ul> <li>Changes over 12 months<br/><u>Complications:</u></li> <li>Changes in BCVA and central foveal<br/>thickness at 3, 6, and 12 (<i>P</i>&lt; 0.01),<br/>better mean BCVA in IG at 12 months.</li> <li>Better mean <u>central foveal thickness</u> in<br/>IG at 12 months.<br/><u>Major adverse events:</u><br/>development of cataracts (3/15 vs.<br/>6/15) and elevation of intraocular<br/>pressure (7/15 vs. 2/15)</li> </ul> |  |
| Tsobgny-                         | Cameroon                               | DM2 >11teeth severe   | n=3/   | IG (n=17):  | Primary: change in HbA1c                      | Change over 3 months:   |  |
| Tsague 2018                      | urban.                                 | chronic periodontitis   | 56% female   | immediate ultrasonic  | Secondary: Plaque index                       | HbA1c (%):  |  |
| NCT02745015                      | tertiary                               | according to the 2012   | age (vrs): 51.4 ± 8.8  | scaling, scaling and root   | gingival bleeding index.                      | Benefit with IG: $6.7 \pm 2.0 \%$ vs. $8.1 \pm$   |  |
|                                  | care.                                  | CDC-AAP classification.   | HbA1c (%):9.3 $\pm$ 1.3  | planning +subgingival   | pocket depth. clinical                        | 2.6 %, MD: 2.2 (p=0.029)  |  |
| RCT                              |  |   | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 28.3±  | 10% povidone iodine   | attachment loss                               | adverse events:   |  |
|                                  | 12/2014-                               | no periodontal treatment,   | 5.4  | irrigation  |   | 1 /15 patient reported tongue   |  |
|                                  |  | . ,   |  | -   |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |

| Page | 63 | of | 1 | 00 |
|------|----|----|---|----|
|------|----|----|---|----|

|  | Place,<br>setting and<br>time                                     | <b>Populati</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria  | on<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs. Control<br>Description with duration   | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period with<br>intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with<br>SD, 95%-Cl or p value |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 0  | 05/2015   | alteration of DM treatment 6<br>mths prior to the study, onset<br>of systemic diseases or an<br>acute condition, use of<br>immunosuppressive<br>medications or others drugs<br>or presence of conditions<br>able to alter periodontitis<br>clinical features | duration of diabetes<br>(months): 55.5 ± 42.6<br>complications:<br>neuropathy (%): 40<br>nephropathy (%): 7<br>retinopathy (%): 7<br>diabetic foot (%): 3 | vs.<br><u>CG(n=17):</u><br>periodontal treatment 3<br>months later<br><u>Duration:</u> 3 months |  | irritation following chlorhexidine mo   |
| <b>/akoot 2019</b> E   | Egypt,  | Adult DM2 or DM1 patients,   | n=119<br>gender:44.5% female  | conservative  | primary: complete  | after 12 months   |
| u<br>NCT01531517   |   | foot ulcerations   | age (yrs): 54.7 ±8.4  | tissue and irrigation with  | secondary: reduction of  | Benefit for IG: 32.4% vs. 12%; p=0.03   |
| 0  | 07/2011-  |  | type of diabetes:   | warm normal saline  | infection in the ulcer site,   |   |
| 0  | 07/2013   | no life-threatening extensive  | <ul> <li>DM1: 22.9%</li> <li>DM2: 86.2%</li> </ul>  | and $(n-61)$ :  | al reaction that may be  |   |
| RCT  |   | lesions that needed  | • DIVI2: 86.2%  | local application of  | due to study drug  |   |
|  |   | immediate amputations; bad   |   | ointment composed of  |  |   |
|  |   | general condition; shock or  |   | royal jelly and panthenol   |  |   |
|  |   | ill with severe organ/system   |   | <u>vs.</u><br>CG (n=58):  |  |   |
|  |   | dysfunctions or advanced   |   | local application of  |  |   |
|  |   | malignancy.  |   | Panthenol   |  |   |
| NDA: Amorican Dia  | abatas Assasi   | ation: PCV/A: Post corrected view  | al aquitur DNAL Dadu maa  | duration: 12months  | Confidence intervals CUC: Co   | mmunity health control DDP. Diastal   |
| blood pressure; DN<br>ange; n: number c<br>disease ;RCT: rando<br>Standard-deviation | M: diabetes n<br>of participant<br>lomized contr<br>n; SMBG: self | nellitus; DM1: Type 1 diabetes; D<br>s; NCD: Non-communicable dise<br>olled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa<br>-monitoring of blood glucose; wi  | M2: type 2 diabetes; FPG<br>ase; NPH: neutral protam<br>adjusted relative risk; SA<br>ss: weeks; yrs: years   | : fasting plasma glucose; HbA<br>ine Hagedorn; MD: mean diff<br>E: Serious adverse events; SB   | 1c: haemoglobin A1c; IG: int<br>erence; MDa: adjusted mean<br>P: Systolic blood pressure; SC | ervention group; IQR: interquartile<br>difference; NCD: Non-communicab<br>I: Diabetes Self-Care Inventory; SD:    |
# **RCTs on pregnant DM patients**

| <b>Study name</b><br>registration<br>number<br>Design | <b>Setting</b><br>Place,<br>setting and<br>time | <b>Population</b><br>Inclusion / Exclusion criteria<br>Characteristics   | Intervention vs.<br>Control<br>Description with<br>duration  | Outcomes<br>Primary and secondary  | <b>Results</b><br>Longest follow-up period<br>with intervention effects<br>(IG vs. CG) with SD, 95%-<br>Cl or p value | <b>Study name</b><br>registration number<br>Design   |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Strategies to in                                      | ncrease physical                                | l activity   |  |  |   |  |
| Embaby<br>2016<br>RCT                                 | Egypt,<br>urban,<br>07/2014-<br>02/2015         | at increased risk for GDM due<br>to obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ),<br>age:> 25 yrs,<br>20-24th gestational wks,<br>multigravida, physically active<br>with ≥ 1 of the following 3<br>characteristics: history of<br>macrosomia, abnormal<br>glucose tolerance during<br>previous pregnancy or first<br>grade relative with DM2<br>no hypertension, GDM,<br>medications that affects<br>insulin secretion, serious<br>pulmonary disease, cardiac,<br>renal impairment and<br>malignancy | n=40<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.2±3.8<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):28.7±1.3<br>fasting glucose<br>(mmol/l): 6.5±0.9<br>fasting insulin (IU/l):<br>15.78±1.58 | IG:<br>aerobic exercise program<br>(walking on treadmill)<br>three times weekly until<br>the end of 37 wks of<br>gestation + diet control.<br>vs.<br>CG:<br>diet control with usual<br>care given by<br>obstetricians and<br>midwives.<br>Duration: appr. 4 months | Fasting plasma glucose,<br>Insulin level  | Change to 37 <sup>th</sup> week of gestation:<br><u>FPG (mmol/l)</u><br>Benefit for IG: 4.26±0.67 vs. 5.07±0.54<br>(p=0.0001)<br><u>Fasting insulin (IU/l):</u><br>Benefit for IG: 10.59±1.10 vs.<br>12.43±1.44 (p=0.0001) |
| Other non-pha   | armacological th                                | nerapies   |  |  |   |  |
| El-Shamy<br>2018                                      | Egypt <i>,</i><br>urban                         | GDM, age: 20-30 yrs,<br>gestational age: 24-26 wks,<br>BMI ≤ 30 kg/m², singleton live  | n=30<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 24.2±2.8   | IG (n=15):<br>acupressure + standard<br>antenatal care   | Primary:<br>glycemic control,<br>requirement for insulin,   | Change over 3 months:<br>75 g OGTT (mg/dl):<br>Fasting: 116.1±0.1 vs. 118.2 ± 0.7  |
| RCT   | 12/2016-<br>05/2017                             | fetus<br>no high-risk pregnancy, bad<br>obstetric situations or<br>diseases, smoking, oral<br>sedatives  | <ul> <li>75 g OGTT (mg/dl):</li> <li>fasting glucose:<br/>129.05±0.6</li> <li>2h postprandial:<br/>146±1.65<br/>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>): 27±1.5</li> </ul>        | vs.<br><u>CG (n=15):</u><br><u>s</u> tandard antenatal care<br>only<br><u>Duration:</u> 12 weeks   | insulin resistance<br>Secondary:<br>neonatal outcomes   | 2h postprandial:<br>125.3±1.2 vs. 127.3 ± 0.9<br>Complication (%):<br>5-min Apgar-Score < 7: 6.7 vs. 6.7 %   |
|   |   | For pee  | r review only - http://b   | mjopen.bmj.com/site/abo  | out/guidelines.xhtml  |  |

| <b>Utz 2018</b><br>NCT02979756 | Marocco,<br>urban /<br>rural, | Health centres with ≥ 30<br>monthly antenatal care<br>consultations and all          | 20 health centres<br>n= 215<br>age (yrs):27.6±6.6                           | 20 clinics were<br>randomized→ 10 in each<br>group  | Primary: birthweight<br>Secondary: maternal<br>weight gain,                                      | Follow-up visits:<br>7.5±4.9 vs. 3.8±3.3 (p=0.001)  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Cluster-RCT                    | primary<br>care,              | pregnant women with newly<br>diagnosed GDM   | urban (%): 38.5<br>rural (%): 61.5  | <u>IG (n=120):</u><br>first screening for<br>GDM→positive tested                                    | glucose control, pregnancy complications.  | FBG within the norm: better with IG<br><1/3 of all values: 7.6 vs. 32.6 %<br>1/3-2/3 of all values: 17.8 vs. 32.6 %                         |
|                                | 11/2016-<br>02/2018           | no DM2, DM1  |   | women received<br>counselling on nutrition<br>and exercise  |  | >2/3 of all values: 74.6 vs. 34.8 %   |
|                                |                               |  |   | <u>vs.</u><br><u>CG (n=95):</u><br>routine practice   |  | vs. 18.4 % (p<0.001)  |
| Pharmacologica                 | l strategies                  |  |   |   |  |   |
| Ashoush 2016                   | Egypt,<br>urban,              | GDM, mothers with 26–32-<br>week GDM (oral 2-h 75 G                                  | n=95<br>100% female   | <u>IG (n = 47):</u><br>metformin (initial total   | Primary: successful<br>maternal glycemic control   | Until delivery:<br>fasting glucose during treatment   |
| RCT                            | tertiary care                 | glucose tolerance test)<br>singleton pregnancies, failure                            | age (yrs): 31.8±3<br>HbA1c (%): 5.75 ±                                      | dose 1000 mg/d with meals, increase by 500 or   | Secondary: maternal BMI, glycemic control  | <ul><li>(mg/dl): better with IG:</li><li>during the last wk: 78±3.1 vs. 79.9±3.</li></ul>   |
|                                | 01/2014-<br>11/2014           | of satisfactory glycemic<br>control despite adequate diet<br>and exercise for ≥ 1 wk | 0.55<br>75g OGTT (mg/dl)<br>• fasting: 106.05±4.6<br>• 1h:310.25±11.6       | 850 mg every 1 or 2 wks<br>toward target or up to a<br>maximum dose of<br>2500 mg/d until delivery, | parameters, maternal<br>weight gained during<br>pregnancy, side effects to<br>metformin, mode of | <ul> <li>(p=0.008)</li> <li>during the last 2 wks: 78.9±3.5 vs.</li> <li>80.8±4.7 (p=0.029)</li> <li>maternal hypoglycaemia (%):</li> </ul> |
|                                |                               | no fetal anomalies on<br>ultrasonography, other<br>pregnancy complications,          | <ul> <li>2h:176.65±9.4</li> <li>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>): 31.2±1.4</li> </ul> | addition of insulin if<br>needed)<br><u>vs.</u>   | delivery, gestational age<br>at delivery, neonatal<br>birthweight, macrosomia,                   | no difference: 6.25 vs. 12.5 (p=0.254)<br>neonatal hypoglycaemia (%):<br>12.8 vs. 14.6 (p=0.791)  |
|                                |                               | known intolerance to<br>metformin or risk factors for<br>lactic acidosis             |   | <u>CG (n = 48):</u><br>regular insulin + neutral<br>protamine Hagedorn (3:7)                        | neonatal hypoglycemia,<br>neonatal death,<br>congenital anomalies,                               | Maternal weight gain (Kg):<br>4.4 ± 0.6 vs. 5.1 ± 0.8 (p=0.001)<br>neonatal congenital anomalies (%):                                       |
|                                |                               |  |   | <u>(starting dose 0.7 units</u><br>/kg*d, adjusted to<br>achieve adequate                           | admission to neonatal intensive care unit  | 2.1 vs. 2.1 p= 0.747<br>headache (%):<br>27 3 (metformin+insulin) vs. 5 6   |
|                                |                               |  |   | glycemic control at<br>increments of 1  |  | (metformin monotherapy) vs. 0%<br>(insulin monotherapy)   |
|                                |                               |  |   | higher than the desired<br>cut-off, short action  |  | neonatai ICU admission (%):<br>8.5 vs. 10.4 (p= 0.514)<br>Costs (Egyptian pounds): 89.66±0.96   |
|                                |                               |  |   | insulin whenever needed)<br>Duration: until delivery  |  | vs. 174.9±11.1 (for monotherapies)  |

BMJ Open

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| <b>Beyuo 2015</b><br>ACTRN126140<br>00942651 | Ghana,<br>urban<br>01/2013- | pregnant women with DM2<br>or GDM (plasma glucose<br>≥7 mmol/l after an overnight<br>fast or plasma glucose  | n= 104<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 33.3±4.6<br>fasting glucose  | IG (n=52):<br>Metformin (starting with<br>500 mg / d, gradually<br>increase over 2 wks to a  | Primary: 2-hour post<br>prandial blood glucose<br>(2HPG)<br>Secondary: fasting   | Change from enrolment to delivery:<br>glycemic control (mmol/l):<br>fasting glucose:<br>no difference: 6.42±0.98 vs. 6.62±1.57   |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| RCT  | 12/2013                     | concentration ≥11.1 mmol/l 2<br>hours after a 75 g glucose<br>drink), 20-30 wks gestation,<br>age: 18-45yrs, eligible for<br>insulin therapy<br>no T1DM, DM2 who have<br>previously failed to achieve<br>glycemic control on<br>metformin monotherapy,<br>allergies to metformin | (mmol/l): 8<br>2HPG (mmol/l): 10.5<br>BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): 3.1±6.6<br>type of diabetes:<br>GDM (%): 65.9<br>DM2 (%): 34.0   | maximum dose of 2500<br>mg/d, insulin was added<br>if necessary)<br>vs.<br><u>CG (n=52):</u><br>insulin treatment (daily<br>dose 0.3 IU/kg, titrated to<br>achieve the glycemic<br>targets, if necessary,<br>admission to the ward<br>and therapy with soluble<br>insulin)<br>Duration: until delivery | glucose, 1HPG, maternal<br>weight gain, pregnancy<br>outcome and feto-<br>neonatal outcomes.   | (p=0.928)<br>1HPG:<br>no difference: 8.95±1.27 vs. 9.62±1.44<br>(p=0.078)<br>2HPG:<br>benefit for IG: 7.84±1.43 vs. 9.05±1.85<br>(p=0.004)   |
| Ibrahim 2014<br>NCT01915550                  | Egypt,<br>urban             | GDM or pre-existing DM,<br>gestational age 20-34 wks<br>with insulin resistance  | n=90<br>100% female<br>age (yrs): 29.8 ± 5.4   | <u>IG (n=46):</u><br>Metformin (1500 mg,<br>raised to 2000 mg)   | Primary:<br>maternal gylcemic control<br>(fasting glucose  | <ul> <li>gylcemic control:</li> <li>better for CG: 76.1 vs. 100 %<br/>reached glycemic control (p=0.001)</li> </ul>  |
| RCT  | 08/2011-<br>04/2012         | No DM1, secondary diabetes<br>or liver or renal impairment   | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):31.83 ±<br>3.23<br>Gestational age: 28.7<br>± 3.7 wks<br>GDM: 43.3 %<br>Pre-existing DM:<br>56.7 % with median<br>duration of 4 (1-15)<br>yrs | without increasing insulin<br>dose<br>Patients switched to CG if<br>treatment was not<br>successful to control<br>blood glucose<br>concentrations<br><u>CG (n=44):</u><br>insulin dose was<br>increased according to<br>the standard protocol  | ≤ 95 mg/dl and 2-HPG ≤ 120 mg/dl) Secondary: maternal bouts of hypoglycemia, need for another hospital admission for uncontrolled diabetes during pregnancy, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, birth weight, birth trauma, congenital anomalies, Apgar score, neonatal hypoglycemia, need for neonatal intensive care unit admission, adverse neonatal outcomes | <ul> <li>13 vs. 18.2 % had readmission for poor glycemic control</li> <li>6.5 vs. 22.7 % had bouts of maternal hypoglycaemia</li> <li>Complications:</li> <li>23.3 vs. 30.8 % had fetal macrosomia</li> <li>1 new-born in each group had congenital malformations</li> <li>7 vs. 38.5 % had neonatal hypoglycaemia</li> <li>18.6 vs. 41 % had NICU admission</li> <li>0 vs. 5.1 % had stillbirths</li> <li>11.6 vs. 25.6 % with respiratory distress syndrome</li> </ul> |

 BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; GDM: gestational diabetes; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; 1 / 2HPG: 1 / 2-hour post prandial blood glucose; IG: intervention group; n: number of participants; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean difference; OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SD: Standard-deviation; wks: weeks; yrs: years

Supplementary Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM

For beer teview only

## **Risk of bias**

| Study            | Sequence generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>lment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors | Incomplete<br>outcome data | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting | Other risk<br>of bias |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Abaza 2017       |                     |                                | 8   |                                     | 8                          | $\odot$                           |                       |
| Abdulrhman 2013  |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   |                                     |                            | 8                                 | <mark></mark>         |
| Adibe 2013       |                     |                                | 8   | 8                                   | 8                          | <mark>©</mark>                    |                       |
| Adjei 2015       | ☺                   | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | $\odot$                             |                            | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Ali 2019         | <mark></mark>       | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Amendezo 2017    |                     | <mark></mark>                  | 8   |                                     | 8                          | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Anderson 2001    | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  |   |                                     | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark> )                  |                       |
| Anyanwu 2016     |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Ashoush 2016     |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Asuako 2017      |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Beyuo 2015       | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | 8                                 | 8                     |
| Chraibi 2017     | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | $\odot$                           | 8                     |
| Debussche 2018   |                     |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       |                            |                                   |                       |
| Distiller 2014   | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Elbarbary 2016   | ☺                   | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Elbarbary 2018   |                     |                                |   | <mark>(</mark>                      |                            |                                   |                       |
| Elbarbary 2020   |                     |                                |   |                                     |                            | 8                                 | <mark>©</mark>        |
| El Gayar 2019    |                     |                                |   |                                     | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| El-Haggar 2015   | <mark></mark>       | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                      | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                    | 8                     |
| El-Makaky 2020   | <mark></mark>       |                                | 8   |                                     |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    | <mark>@</mark>        |
| El-Shamy 2018    | 8                   | 8                              | <mark></mark>                             | <mark>(</mark>                      |                            | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| El-Sharkawy 2016 |                     |                                |   |                                     |                            |                                   | 8                     |
| El-Sheikh 2019   | ☺                   | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Embaby 2016      | ☺                   | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   | <mark></mark>                       | 8                          | <mark></mark>                     | 8                     |
| Essien 2017      |                     |                                | <mark>©</mark>                            |                                     | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                    |                       |
| Fairall 2016     | ☺                   |                                | <mark>©</mark>                            | <mark>(</mark>                      |                            |                                   |                       |
| Fayehun 2018     | ☺                   |                                | 8   | 8                                   |                            | ☺                                 |                       |
| Ghoneim 2013     | $\odot$             | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      |                            | <mark>@</mark>                    | 8                     |
| Hailu 2018       |                     | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   |                                     | 8                          | ☺                                 | 8                     |
| Ibrahim 2014     | ©                   | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                      | 8                          | $\odot$                           | <mark>©</mark>        |
| Krawinkel 2018   |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                   | 8                          | $\odot$                           |                       |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 69 of 100

| Study                  | Sequence generation | Allocation<br>concea-<br>Iment | Blinding of<br>participants/<br>personnel | Blinding of<br>outcome<br>assessors    | Incomplete<br>outcome data | Selective<br>outcome<br>reporting      | Oth<br>of |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| Labhardt 2011          |                     |                                | 8   | <mark>(</mark>                         |                            | $\odot$                                |           |
| Maharaj 2016           | <mark>©</mark>      | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   |  | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>@</mark>                         |           |
| Malek 2015             | ☺                   | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>©</mark>                         |                            | <mark>©</mark>                         |           |
| Malipa 2013            | <mark>@</mark>      | <mark></mark>                  | 8   | 8                                      | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Mash 2014              |                     | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | 8                                      | 8                          | $\odot$                                |           |
| Matter 2020            |                     |                                |   |  |                            | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ |           |
| Mohamad 2009           | <mark></mark>       | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>(</mark> )                       | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Moustafa 2019          | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | 8   |  | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Muchiri 2015           |                     |                                | <mark>⊗</mark>                            |  |                            | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Nteleki 2015           | 8                   | <mark>(</mark> )               | <mark>⊗</mark>                            | <mark>(</mark> )                       |                            | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Owolabi 2019           | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | 8   |  |                            | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ |           |
| Rashad 2017            |                     | $\odot$                        |   | $\odot$                                | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Ragheb 2020            |                     | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ | 8                          | $\odot$                                |           |
| RezkAllah 2019         |                     |                                | 8   |  |                            | $\odot$                                |           |
| Saeed 2013             | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | 8   | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Salem 2010             | <mark></mark>       | <mark>(</mark>                 | 8   | $\overline{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}}$ | <mark>(</mark>             | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Sodipo 2017            |                     | <mark>(</mark> )               | 8   | <mark>(</mark> )                       | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Somanah 2012           | <mark>©</mark>      |                                | <mark>⊗</mark>                            |  | 8                          | $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$                |           |
| Steyn 2013             |                     | <mark>())</mark>               | <mark>©</mark>                            | <mark>())</mark>                       | 8                          | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Takenga 2014           | <mark>©</mark>      | <mark>())</mark>               | <mark>©</mark>                            | <mark>())</mark>                       |                            | <mark>(</mark> )                       |           |
| Tawfik 2016            | <mark>©</mark>      |                                |   | $\odot$                                | $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$  | <mark>(</mark> )                       |           |
| Thuita 2020            | <mark>©</mark>      |                                | 8   | <mark>(</mark> )                       |                            | <mark>(</mark> )                       |           |
| Tsobgny-Tsague<br>2018 |                     | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   |  | 8                          |  |           |
| Utz 2018               |                     |                                | 8   |  |                            | 8                                      |           |
| Van der Hoogt 2017     | <mark></mark>       | <mark>@</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>@</mark>                         | 8                          | <mark></mark>                          |           |
| Van Rooijen 2004       |                     | $\odot$                        | 8   | $\odot$                                |                            | <mark>(</mark>                         |           |
| Webb 2015              | <mark></mark>       | <mark>©</mark>                 | ☺   |  | 8                          |  |           |
| Yakoot 2019            | <mark>@</mark>      |                                | 8   | 8                                      |                            | 8                                      |           |
| Yan 2014               | <mark>©</mark>      | <mark>©</mark>                 | 8   | <mark>©</mark>                         | $\odot$                    | <mark>@</mark>                         |           |

Supplementary Table 5: Judgements on risk of bias

PROSPERO



International prospective register of systematic reviews

Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes in African countries: a systematic review Susanne Unverzagt, Angelika S. Sandholzer, Thomas Frese, Yeabsra Mesfinin

## Citation

Susanne Unverzagt, Angelika S. Sandholzer, Thomas Frese, Yeabsra Mesfinin. Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes in African countries: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019122785 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?ID=CRD42019122785

## Review question

To summarize available evidence from randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes initiated from African countries.

## Searches

Randomized controlled trials without time or date restriction, in English or German language. Electronic databases: MEDLINE and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), registers of ongoing and completed trials (www.ClinicalTrials.gov, apps.who.int/trialsearch).

Results will be screened by 2 independent authors. Discrepancies will be resolved by discussion between the authors or with a third author.

#### Types of study to be included

Randomized controlled trials on prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes.

#### Condition or domain being studied

Diabetes mellitus (type 1 and type 2), gestational diabetes.

#### Participants/population

African patients in primary, secondary and tertiary prevention, diagnosis and treatment of diabetes.

#### Intervention(s), exposure(s)

All preventive, diagnostic and curative interventions on diabetes.

#### Comparator(s)/control

Another intervention, or none.

#### Main outcome(s)

Primary outcome: all-cause mortality during the longest reported follow-up period (measured from randomisation).

Secondary outcomes: glucose control (HbA1c, insulin resistence, oral glucose tolerance test, fasting serum or plasma glucose), complications, quality of life, hospital admission, treatment adherence (at longest follow-up).

#### \* Measures of effect

Within the longest reported follow-up period.

## Additional outcome(s)

Cost.

### \* Measures of effect

Within the longest reported follow-up period.



## Data extraction (selection and coding)

One authors will extract information on study population (diabetes type 1, type 2, gestational diabetes), intervention and outcome by using an assessment form, which will be designed especially for the topic of this review and tested for five studies. A second author will check all extractions, unclear information will be discussed. The data extraction form will include at least the following items: title, author, reference, study design, country, duration, follow-up, indication of patients (primary, secondary, tertiary prevention), sample size per group, description of intervention and control (drugs, devices, strategies), primary and secondary outcomes with results.

**BMJ** Open

## Risk of bias (quality) assessment

Risk of bias will be described and judged on the basis of the Cochrane risk of bias tool in seven specific domains: 1.

Sequence generation (judgement per study) 2. Allocation concealment (judgement per study) 3. Blinding of participants and personnel (judgement per study and outcome) 4. Blinding of outcome assessors (judgement per study and outcome) 5. Incomplete outcome data (judgement per study and outcome) 6. Selective outcome reporting (judgement per study and outcome 7. Other sources of bias (judgement per study and outcome). We will judge risk of bias domains as 'low', 'high' or 'unclear' and will evaluate individual bias items and present a summary figure to illustrate these findings.

Discrepancies will be resolved by discussion between the authors or with a third author.

## Strategy for data synthesis

We plan a narrative synthesis to get a comprehensive overview on this area of research. We add a metaanalysis with the random-effects model on our primary and secondary outcomes on the basis of aggregated information (Hazard ratio, Relative risks or Odds Ratio) if included studies are sufficiently homogeneous in population, intervention, and outcomes and results show no substantial heterogeneity. We will use the Review Manager for data synthesis.

## Analysis of subgroups or subsets

Study population (diabetes type 1, type 2, gestational diabetes), prevention, diagnosis and treatment type of prevention (primary, secondary and tertiary), type of intervention (drugs, devices, strategies) and regions (northern, eastern, central, southern Africa).

## Contact details for further information

#### Susanne Unverzagt

susanne.unverzagt@medizin.uni-halle.de

## Organisational affiliation of the review

Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg and Independent Department for General Medicine, University of Leipzig

https://www.medizin.uni-halle.de/index.php?id=7167&L=1%27andchar%28124%29

## Review team members and their organisational affiliations

Dr Susanne Unverzagt. Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Institute of Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, University Halle / Wittenberg

- Angelika S. Sandholzer. MD student, Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, University Halle / Wittenberg
- Professor Thomas Frese. Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, Institute of Medical
  - Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, University Halle / Wittenberg
  - Yeabsra Mesfinin. School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University

## Collaborators

Dr Eva Kantelhardt. Institute of Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, University Halle / Wittenberg

## Type and method of review

| NIHR National Institute<br>for Health Research International prospective re   | egister of system                            | atic reviews             |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Meta-analysis, Narrative synthesis, Systematic review   |  |                          |
| Anticipated or actual start date<br>15 November 2018  |  |                          |
| Anticipated completion date<br>31 December 2019   |  |                          |
| Funding sources/sponsors<br>DAAD ("Chronic disease health service teaching and research"), project 57   | 216764                                       |                          |
| Conflicts of interest   |  |                          |
| Language<br>English   |  |                          |
| Country<br>Ethiopia, Germany  |  |                          |
| Stage of review<br>Review Ongoing   |  |                          |
| Subject index terms status<br>Subject indexing assigned by CRD  |  |                          |
| Subject index terms<br>Africa; Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2; Humans; Randomized Controlled Trials a  | s Topic                                      |                          |
| Date of registration in PROSPERO  |  |                          |
| Date of first submission<br>28 January 2019   |  |                          |
| Stage of review at time of this submission  |  |                          |
| Stage   | Started                                      | Completed                |
| Preliminary searches  | Yes  | No                       |
| Piloting of the study selection process   | Yes  | No                       |
| Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria   | Yes  | No                       |
| Data extraction   | No   | No                       |
| Risk of bias (quality) assessment   | No   | No                       |
| Data analysis   | No   | No                       |
| The record owner confirms that the information they have supplied for this s<br>complete and they understand that deliberate provision of inaccurate inform | submission is accura<br>nation or omission o | ate and<br>f data may be |

The record owner confirms that they will update the status of the review when it is completed and will add publication details in due course.



Versions 25 March 2019 07 August 2019

#### PROSPERO

This information has been provided by the named contact for this review. CRD has accepted this information in good faith and registered the review in PROSPERO. The registrant confirms that the information supplied for this submission is accurate and complete. CRD bears no responsibility or liability for the content of this registration record, any associated files or external websites.

For peer teries only

## Search strategies

## Medline (Ovid)

Search on 19.11.2018, 1470 references, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 541 references

| Nr. | Searches  |
|-----|---|
| 1.  | exp Diabetes Mellitus/  |
| 2.  | Diabetes.tw   |
| 3.  | or/1-2  |
| 4.  | Africa.tw   |
| 5.  | Exp Africa/   |
| 6.  | Algeria\$.tw or exp Algeria/  |
| 7.  | Angol\$.tw or exp Angola/   |
| 8.  | Benin\$.tw or exp Benin/  |
| 9.  | Botswan\$.tw or exp Botswana/                                       |
| 10. | Burkina Faso.tw or exp Burkina Faso/                                |
| 11. | Burund\$.tw or exp Burundi/   |
| 12. | Cameroon\$.tw or exp Cameroon/                                      |
| 13. | Cape Verde.tw or exp Cape Verde/                                    |
| 14. | Central African Republic\$.tw or exp Central African Republic/      |
| 15. | Chad\$.tw or exp Chad/  |
| 16. | Comoros\$.tw or exp Comoros/  |
| 17. | Cote d'Ivoire.tw or exp Cote d'Ivoire/                              |
| 18. | Democratic Republic of Congo.tw or exp Democratic Republic of Congo |
| 19. | Djibout\$.tw or exp Djibouti/                                       |
| 20. | Egypt\$.tw or exp Egypt/  |
| 21. | Equatorial Guinea\$.tw or exp Equatorial Guinea/                    |
| 22. | Eritrea\$.tw or exp Eritrea/  |
| 23. | Ethiop\$.tw or exp Ethiopia/  |
| 24. | Gabon\$.tw or exp Gabon/  |
| 25. | Gambia\$.tw or exp Gambia/  |
| 26. | Ghana\$.tw or exp Ghana/  |
| 27. | Guinea\$.tw or exp Guinea/  |
| 28. | Guinea-Bissau.tw or exp Guinea-Bissau/                              |
| 29. | Kenya\$.tw or exp Kenya/  |
| 30. | Lesoth\$.tw or exp Lesotho/   |
| 31. | Liberia\$.tw or exp Liberia/  |
| 32. | Libya\$.tw or exp Libya/  |
| 33. | Madagascar\$.tw or exp Madagascar/                                  |
| 34. | Malawi\$.tw or exp Malawi/  |

| Nr.       | Searches                                      |
|-----------|---|
| 35.       | Mali.tw or exp Mali/                          |
| 36.       | Mauritania\$.tw or exp Mauritania/            |
| 37.       | Mauritius\$.tw or exp Mauritius/              |
| 38.       | Morocc\$.tw or exp Morocco/                   |
| 39.       | Mozambique\$.tw or exp Mozambique/            |
| 40.       | Namibia\$.tw or exp Namibia/                  |
| 41.       | Niger.tw or exp Niger/                        |
| 42.       | Nigeria\$.tw or exp Nigeria/                  |
| 43.       | Rwanda\$.tw or exp Rwanda/                    |
| 44.       | (Sao Tome and Principe).tw                    |
| 45.       | Senegal\$.tw or exp Senegal/                  |
| 46.       | Seychell\$.tw                                 |
| 47        | Sierra Leone.tw or exp Sierra Leone/          |
| 48        | Somalia\$.tw or exp Somalia/                  |
| 10.<br>49 | South Africa\$.tw or exp South Africa.de      |
| 50.       | South Sudan tw or exp South Sudan/            |
| 50.       | Sudan\$ tw or exp Sudan/                      |
| 52        | Swaziland\$ tw or exp Swaziland/              |
| 52.       | Tanzania\$ tw or exp Tanzania/                |
| 55.<br>54 | Togo tw or exp Togo/                          |
| 54.       | Tunisia\$ tw or exp Tunisia/                  |
| 55.       | Liganda <sup>\$</sup> tw or exp Liganda/      |
| 50.<br>57 | Zambia tw or exp Tambia/                      |
| 57.       | Zambabwo <sup>®</sup> tw or exp Zimbabwo/     |
| 58.       | Semelilend <sup>®</sup> two r exp Semelilend/ |
| 59.       | Somalianua, lw or exp Somalianu/              |
| 60.       | Sanrawi Arab Democratic Republic.tw.          |
| 67.       | 01/4-60<br>randomized controlled trial pt     |
| 63.       | controlled clinical trial pt                  |
| 64.       | (randomized or randomised or randomly).ti,ab  |
| 65.       | placebo.ti,ab.                                |
| 66.       | trial.ti,ab.                                  |
| 67.       | groups.ti,ab.                                 |
| 68.       | or/62-67                                      |
| 09.<br>70 | 3 and 61 and 68                               |
| 71        | 69 not 70                                     |
| 72.       | 71 not (comment or editorial).pt.             |

## CENTRAL

Search on 14.01.2019, 439 trials, Update from 2018 to Current on 20.08.2020: 244 trials

| 1  | Africa, explode all trees   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Algeria* or Angol* or Benin* or Botswan*                                    |
| 3  | (Burkina Faso) or Burund* or Cameroon* or (Cape Verde) or (Central African  |
|    | Republic)   |
| 4  | Chad* or Comoros* or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo*                                |
| 5  | Djibout* or Egypt* or (Equatorial Guinea*) or Eritrea*                      |
| 6  | Ethiop* or Gabon* or Gambia* or Ghana* or Guinea* or Guinea-Bissau          |
| 7  | Kenya* or Lesoth* or Liberia* or Libya* or Madagascar* or Malawi*           |
| 8  | Mali* or Mauritania* or Mauritius* or Morocc* or Mozambique* or Namibia* or |
|    | Niger*  |
| 9  | Nigeria* or Rwanda* or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal* or Seychell*     |
| 10 | Sierra Leone or Somalia* or (South Africa) or (South Sudan*) or Sudan* or   |
|    | Swasiland   |
| 11 | Tanzania* or Togo* or Tunisia* or Uganda* or Zambia* or Zimbabwe* or        |
|    | Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)                            |
| 12 | #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11            |
| 13 | MeSH descriptor Diabetes, this term only                                    |
| 14 | MESH descriptor Diabetes mellitus, explode all trees                        |
| 15 | Diabetes near 3 gestation*  |
| 16 | Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults  |
| 17 | Prediabetes   |
| 18 | Insulin resistan*   |
| 20 | HBA1C   |
| 21 | Diabet* near 3 (angiopath* or foot orfeet or retinopath*)                   |
| 22 | Diabet* near 3 (cardiomyopathy* or coma or ketoacido* or neuropath*)        |
| 23 | #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21                 |
| 32 | #12 and #23   |

## CINAHL

Search on 20.08.2020: 19 results

(Africa\$ or Africa\$ or Algeria\$ or Angol\$ or Benin\$ or Botswan\$ or (Burkina Faso) or Burund\$ or Cameroon\$ or (Cape Verde) or (Central African Republic) or Chad\$ or Comoros\$ or Cote d'Ivoire or Congo\$ Djibout\$ or Egypt\$ or (Equatorial Guinea\$) or Eritrea\$

| 2         |  |
|-----------|--|
| 3         |  |
| 4         |  |
| 5         |  |
| 2         |  |
| 0         |  |
| 7         |  |
| 8         |  |
| 9         |  |
| 10        |  |
| 11        |  |
| 11        |  |
| 12        |  |
| 13        |  |
| 14        |  |
| 15        |  |
| 16        |  |
| 17        |  |
| 18        |  |
| 10        |  |
| 19        |  |
| 20        |  |
| 21        |  |
| 22        |  |
| 23        |  |
| 24        |  |
| 25        |  |
| 26        |  |
| 20        |  |
| 27        |  |
| 28        |  |
| 29        |  |
| 30        |  |
| 31        |  |
| 32        |  |
| 33        |  |
| 3/        |  |
| 24        |  |
| 35        |  |
| 36        |  |
| 37        |  |
| 38        |  |
| 39        |  |
| 40        |  |
| <u>⊿1</u> |  |
| 10        |  |
| 42<br>42  |  |
| 43        |  |
| 44        |  |
| 45        |  |
| 46        |  |
| 47        |  |
| 48        |  |
|           |  |
| 77        |  |
| 50        |  |
| 51        |  |
| 52        |  |
| 53        |  |
| 54        |  |
| 55        |  |
| 56        |  |
| 50        |  |
| 57        |  |
| 58        |  |
| 59        |  |
| 60        |  |

or Ethiop\$ or Gabon\$ or Gambia\$ or Ghana\$ or Guinea\$ or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya\$ or Lesoth\$ or Liberia\$ or Libya\$ or Madagascar\$ or Malawi\$ or Mali\$ or Mauritania\$ or Mauritius\$ or Morocc\$ or Mozambique\$ or Namibia\$ or Niger\$ or Nigeria\$ or Rwanda\$ or (Sao Tome and Principe) or Senegal\$ or Seychell\$ or Sierra Leone or Somalia\$ or (South Africa) or (South Sudan\$) or Sudan\$ or Swasiland or Tanzania\$ or Togo\$ or Tunisia\$ or Uganda\$ or Zambia\$ or Zimbabwe\$ or Somaliland or (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic)) in Abstract

- AND diabetes in Abstract
- AND
- randomized or rct or randomized in Abstract
- AND
  - In English
- AND
- Peer-reviewed
- And
  - Humans

## International Clinical Trials Registry Platform

Search on 9.-10.10.2019, update on 25.08.2020 (registration January 2019 to 31.08.2020) http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/AdvSearch.aspx

- 1. Africa or African in the Title and diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition, Recruitment status: all: 90 records for 90 trials (9.10.2019)
- 2. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Algeria or Angola or Benin or Botswana or Burkina Faso or Burundi or Cameroon or Central African Republic or Chad or Congo or Cite D'ivoire: 96 record for 63 trials

 diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Democratic Republic of Congo or Djibouti or Egypt or Equatorial Guinea or Eritrea or Ethiopia: 292 records for 159 trials

- 4. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition
  - Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Gabon or Gambia or Ghana or Guinea or Guinea-Bissau or Kenya or Lesotho or Liberia or Lybia: 22 records for 22 trials

5. diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition

| 2          |  |
|------------|--|
| 2          |  |
| 2          |  |
| 4          |  |
| 5          |  |
| 6          |  |
| 7          |  |
| 8          |  |
| õ          |  |
| 9          |  |
| 10         |  |
| 11         |  |
| 12         |  |
| 13         |  |
| 14         |  |
| 15         |  |
| 10         |  |
| 16         |  |
| 17         |  |
| 18         |  |
| 19         |  |
| 20         |  |
| 21         |  |
| ו∠<br>רר   |  |
| 22         |  |
| 23         |  |
| 24         |  |
| 25         |  |
| 26         |  |
| 27         |  |
| 27         |  |
| 28         |  |
| 29         |  |
| 30         |  |
| 31         |  |
| 32         |  |
| 33         |  |
| 24         |  |
| 34         |  |
| 35         |  |
| 36         |  |
| 37         |  |
| 38         |  |
| 30         |  |
| 72         |  |
| 40         |  |
| 41         |  |
| 42         |  |
| 43         |  |
| 44         |  |
| ۸ <u>۲</u> |  |
| 4J<br>47   |  |
| 46         |  |
| 47         |  |
| 48         |  |
| 49         |  |
| 50         |  |
| 50         |  |
| 21         |  |
| 52         |  |
| 53         |  |
| 54         |  |
| 55         |  |
| 56         |  |
| 50         |  |
| 5/         |  |
| 58         |  |
| 59         |  |

1

|    | Recruitment status: all  |
|----|--|
|    | Countries of recruitment: Madagascar or Malawi or Mali or Mauritania or Mauritius or |
|    | Morocco or Mozambique: 96 records for 34 trials                                      |
| 6. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
|    | Recruitment status: all  |
|    | Countries of recruitment: Nigeria: 13 records for 13 trials                          |
| 7. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |
|    | Recruitment status: all  |
|    | Countries of recruitment: Namibia or Niger or Rwanda or (Sao Tome and Principe) or   |
|    | Senegal or Seychelles or Sierra Leone or Somalia or South Sudan or Sudan or          |
|    | Swaziland:   |
|    | 11 records for 11 trials   |
| 8. | diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition                                       |

Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: South Africa: 1528 records for 429 trials:

 diabetes or diabetic or HbA1c in the condition Recruitment status: all

Countries of recruitment: Togo or Tunesia or Ujanda or Zambia or Zimbabwe: 129 records for 50 trials

# African Journals Online

https://www.ajol.info/index.php/index/search/search?query=%28diabetes+or+diabetic+or+hb a1c%29+and+%28random+or+randomized+or+randomised%29&dateFromYear=2004&date FromMonth=01&dateFromDay=1&dateToYear=2020&dateToMonth=10&dateToDay=14&aut hors=

Advanced search 14.10.2020

Titel: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised) 30 results

## African Index Medicus Database

http://indexmedicus.afro.who.int/aim/opac\_css/index.php?lvl=search\_result&get\_query=4

Advanced search 14.10.2020

Titel, Expression booléenne: (diabetes or diabetic or hba1c) and (random or randomized or randomised)

L'EZ ONI

122 results, no potentially eligible references

# 1 List of included and excluded studies

## 1.1 List of included studies

## Abaza 2017

Abaza H, Marschollek M. SMS education for the promotion of diabetes self-management in low & middle income countries: a pilot randomized controlled trial in Egypt. BMC public health. 2017;17(1):962.

Abaza H, Marschollek M, Schulze M. SMS Education for the Promotion of Diabetes Self-Management in Low & Middle Income Countries: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Egypt. Studies in Health Technology & Informatics. 2017;245:1209.

## Abdulrhman 2013

Abdulrhman MM, El-Hefnawy MH, Aly RH, Shatla RH, Mamdouh RM, Mahmoud DM, et al. Metabolic effects of honey in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover pilot study. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2013;16(1):66-72.

## Adibe 2013

Adibe MO, Ukwe CV, Aguwa CN. The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention on the Quality of Life of Nigerian Patients Receiving Treatment for Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):240-7.

Adibe MO, Aguwa CN, Ukwe CV. Cost-Utility Analysis of Pharmaceutical Care Intervention Versus Usual Care in Management of Nigerian Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Value in Health Regional Issues. 2013;2(2):189-98.

## Adjei 2015

Adjei DN, Agyemang C, Dasah JB, Kuranchie P, Amoah AG. The effect of electronic reminders on risk management among diabetic patients in low resourced settings. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(6):818-21.

## Ali 2019

Ali S, Ghanem Y, Sharaki O, Hewedy W, al. e. The impact of different regimens of vitamin d3 on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. Asian journal of pharmaceutical and clinical research. 2019;12(12):21- 6.

## Amendezo 2017

Amendezo E, Walker Timothy D, Karamuka V, Robinson B, Kavabushi P, Ntirenganya C, et al. Effects of a lifestyle education program on glycemic control among patients with diabetes at Kigali University Hospital, Rwanda: A randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2017;126:129-37.

## Anderson 2001

 Anderson RA, Roussel AM, Zouari N, Mahjoub S, Matheau JM, Kerkeni A. Potential antioxidant effects of zinc and chromium supplementation in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2001;20(3):212-8.

#### Anyanwu 2016

Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Odeniyi IA, Iwuala S, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Effect of Vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in Type 2 diabetes subjects in Lagos, Nigeria. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016;20(2):189-94.

### Ashoush 2016

Ashoush S, El-Said M, Fathi H, Abdelnaby M. Identification of metformin poor responders, requiring supplemental insulin, during randomization of metformin versus insulin for the control of gestational diabetes mellitus. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(6):640-7.

#### Asuako 2017

Asuako B, Moses MO, Eghan BA, Sarpong PA. Fasting plasma glucose and lipid profiles of diabetic patients improve with aerobic exercise training. Ghana Medical Journal. 2017;51(3):120-7.

#### Beyuo 2015

Beyuo T, Obed SA, Adjepong-Yamoah KK, Bugyei KA, Oppong SA, Marfoh K. Metformin versus Insulin in the Management of Pre-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2015;10(5):e0125712.

### Chraibi 2017

Chraibi A, Al-Herz S, Nguyen BD, Soeatmadji DW, Shinde A, Lakshmivenkataraman B, et al. An RCT Investigating Patient-Driven Versus Physician-Driven Titration of BIAsp 30 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled Using NPH Insulin. Diabetes Therapy Research, Treatment and Education of Diabetes and Related Disorders. 2017;8(4):767-80.

#### Debussche 2018

Debussche X, Besancon S, Balcou-Debussche M, Ferdynus C, Delisle H, Huiart L, et al. Structured peer-led diabetes self-management and support in a low-income country: The ST2EP randomised controlled trial in Mali. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(1):e0191262.

#### Distiller 2014

Distiller LA, Nortje H, Wellmann H, Amod A, Lombard L. A 24-week, prospective, randomized, open-label, treat-to-target pilot study of obese type 2 diabetes patients with severe insulin resistance to assess the addition of exenatide on the efficacy of U-500 regular insulin plus metformin. Endocrine practice. 2014;20(11):1143- 50.

El Gayar 2019

El Gayar MH, Aboromia MMM, Ibrahim NA, Abdel Hafiz MH. Effects of ginger powder supplementation on glycemic status and lipid profile in newly diagnosed obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obesity medicine. 2019;14.

#### El-Haggar 2015

El-Haggar SM, Farrag WF, Kotkata FA. Effect of ketotifen in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes & its Complications. 2015;29(3):427-32.

#### El-Makaky 2020

El-Makaky Y, Shalaby HK. The effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on glycemic control in diabetic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Oral diseases. 2020;26:822-9.

#### El-Shamy 2018

El-Shamy FF, El-Kholy SS, Labib M, Kabel AM. Ameliorative potential of acupressure on gestational diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of complementary and integrative medicine. 2018; 16(1).

#### El-Sheikh 2019

El-Sheikh HM, El-Haggar SM, Elbedewy TA. Comparative study to evaluate the effect of Lcarnitine plus glimepiride versus glimepiride alone on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes and metabolic syndrome: clinical research and reviews. 2019;13(1):167-73.

#### El- Sharkawy 2016

El- Sharkawy HM, Anees MM, Van Dyke TE. Propolis improves periodontal status and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of periodontology. 2016;87(12):1418-26.

#### Elbarbary 2016

Elbarbary NS. Effectiveness of the low-glucose suspend feature of insulin pump during fasting during Ramadan in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews. 2016;32(6):623- 33.

#### Elbarbary 2018

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, El-Naggar AR, Hamouda MH, El-Hamamsy M. The effect of 12 weeks carnosine supplementation on renal functional integrity and oxidative stress in pediatric patients with diabetic nephropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Pediatric diabetes. 2018;19(3):470-7.

## Elbarbary 2020

Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Zaki MA, Darwish YW, Ibrahim MZ, El-Hamamsy M. Vitamin B complex supplementation as a homocysteine-lowering therapy for early stage diabetic nephropathy in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Clinical Nutrition. 2020;39(1):49-56.

#### Embaby 2016

Embaby H, Elsayed E, Fawzy M. Insulin Sensitivity and Plasma Glucose Response to Aerobic Exercise in Pregnant Women at Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2016;26(5):409- 14.

## Essien 2017

Essien O, Otu A, Umoh V, Enang O, Hicks JP, Walley J. Intensive Patient Education Improves Glycaemic Control in Diabetes Compared to Conventional Education: A Randomised Controlled Trial in a Nigerian Tertiary Care Hospital. PLoS ONE 2017;12(1):e0168835.

## Fairall 2016

Fairall LR, Folb N, Timmerman V, Lombard C, Steyn K, Bachmann MO, et al. Educational Outreach with an Integrated Clinical Tool for Nurse-Led Non-communicable Chronic Disease Management in Primary Care in South Africa: a Pragmatic Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. Plos medicine. 2016;13(11):e1002178.

## Fayehun 2018

Fayehun AF, Olowookere OO, Ogunbode AM, Adetunji AA, Esan A. Walking prescription of 10 000 steps per day in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial in Nigerian general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 2018;68(667):e139-e45.

#### Ghoneim 2013

Ghoneim EM, Abd El Ghany AA. Behavior of intraocular pressure after intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide among egyptians. Ophthalmology and Therapy. 2013;2(2):121-30.

## Hailu 2018

Hailu FB, Hjortdahl P, Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2018;6:302.

## Ibrahim 2014

Ibrahim MI, Hamdy A, Shafik A, Taha S, Anwar M, Faris M. The role of adding metformin in insulin-resistant diabetic pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014;289(5):959-65.

## Krawinkel 2018

Krawinkel MB, Ludwig C, Swai ME, Yang RY, Chun KP, Habicht SD. Bitter gourd reduces elevated fasting plasma glucose levels in an intervention study among prediabetics in Tanzania. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2018;216:1-7.

## Labhardt 2011

Labhardt ND, Balo JR, Ndam M, Manga E, Stoll B. Improved retention rates with low-cost interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment of nurse-led care: a cluster-randomised trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2011;16(10):1276-84.

#### Maharaj 2016

Maharaj SS, Nuhu JM. Rebound exercise: A beneficial adjuvant for sedentary non-insulindependent type 2 diabetic individuals in a rural environment. Australian Journal of Rural Health. 2016;24(2):123-9.

#### Malek 2015

 Malek R, Ajili F, Assaad-Khalil SH, Shinde A, Chen JW, Van den Berg E. Similar glucose control with basal-bolus regimen of insulin detemir plus insulin aspart and thrice-daily biphasic insulin aspart 30 in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: Results of a 50-week randomized clinical trial of stepwise insulin intensification. Diabetes & Metabolism. 2015;41(3):223-30.

#### Marais 2018

Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

#### Malipa 2013

Malipa M, Menon J. The relationship between compliance and quality of life among adolescents with diabetes mellitus type1. Medical Journal of Zambia. 2013;40(3):93-103.

#### Mash 2014

Mash RJ, Rhode H, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S, Lombard C, Steyn K, et al. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in under-served communities in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial. Diabetic Medicine. 2014;31(8):987-93.

Mash R, Kroukamp R, Gaziano T, Levitt N. Cost-effectiveness of a diabetes group education program delivered by health promoters with a guiding style in underserved communities in Cape Town, South Africa. Patient Education & Counseling. 2015;98(5):622-6.

#### Matter 2020

Matter RM, Elbarbary NS, Ismail EAR, Darwish YW, Nada AS, Banoub VP. Zinc supplementation improves glucose homeostasis in patients with î<sup>2</sup>-thalassemia major complicated with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition 2020;73.

#### Mohamad 2009

Mohamad RH, Zekry ZK, Al-Mehdar HA, Salama O, El-Shaieb SE, El-Basmy AA, et al. Camel milk as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes: verification of a traditional ethnomedical practice. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2009;12(2):461-5.

#### Moustafa 2019

Moustafa HAM, El Wakeel LM, Halawa MR, Sabri NA, El-Bahy AZ, Singab AN. Effect of Nigella Sativa oil versus metformin on glycemic control and biochemical parameters of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Endocrine. 2019;65(2):286- 94.

#### Muchiri 2016

Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Effect of a nutrition education programme on clinical status and dietary behaviours of adults with type 2 diabetes in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Public Health Nutrition. 2016;19(1):142-55.

Muchiri JW, Gericke GJ, Rheeder P. Impact of nutrition education on diabetes knowledge and attitudes of adults with type 2 diabetes living in a resource-limited setting in South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Journal of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes of South Africa. 2016;21(2):26-34.

## Nteleki 2015

Nteleki B, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. Conventional podiatric intervention and phototherapy in the treatment of diabetic ulcers. Seminars in Vascular Surgery. 2015;28(3-4):172-83.

## Owolabi 2019

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Efficacy, acceptability and feasibility of daily text-messaging in promoting glycaemic control and other clinical outcomes in a low-resource setting of South Africa: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2019;14(11):e0224791.

Owolabi EO, Goon DT, Ajayi AI. Impact of mobile phone text messaging intervention on adherence among patients with diabetes in a rural setting: A randomized controlled trial. Medicine. 2020;99(12):1-8.

## Ragheb 2020

Ragheb SR, El Wakeel LM, Nasr MS, Sabri NA. Impact of Rutin and Vitamin C combination on oxidative stress and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clinical nutrition ESPEN. 2020;35:128-35.

## Rashad 2017

Rashad H, Metwally FM, Ezzat SM, Salama MM, Hasheesh A, Abdel Motaal A. Randomized double-blinded pilot clinical study of the antidiabetic activity of Balanites aegyptiaca and UPLC-ESI-MS/MS identification of its metabolites. Pharmaceutical Biology. 2017;55(1):1954-61.

## RezkAllah 2019

RezkAllah SS, Takla MK. Effects of different dosages of interval training on glycemic control in people with prediabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes spectrum. 2019;32(2):125- 31.

## Saeed 2013

Saeed AM. Combined vitrectomy and intravitreal injection versus combined laser and injection for treatment of intractable diffuse diabetic macular edema. Clinical Ophthalmology. 2013;7:283-97.

#### Salem 2010

Salem MA, Aboelasrar MA, Elbarbary NS, Elhilaly RA, Refaat YM. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2010;2(1):47.

#### Sodipo 2017

 Sodipo OO, Adedokun A, Olusola AA. Effect of self-monitoring of blood glucose on glycaemic outcome among type 2 diabetic patients. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):208-13.

#### Somanah 2012

Somanah J, Aruoma OI, Gunness TK, Kowelssur S, Dambala V, Murad F, et al. Effects of a short term supplementation of a fermented papaya preparation on biomarkers of diabetes mellitus in a randomized Mauritian population. Preventive Medicine. 2012;54 Suppl:S90-7.

#### Steyn 2013

Steyn K, Lombard C, Gwebushe N, Fourie JM, Everett-Murphy K, Zwarenstein M, et al. Implementation of national guidelines, incorporated within structured diabetes and hypertension records at primary level care in Cape Town, South Africa: a randomised controlled trial. Glob Health Action. 2013;6:20796.

#### Takenga 2014

Takenga C, Berndt RD, Musongya O, Kitero J, Katoke R, Molo K, et al. An ICT-Based Diabetes Management System Tested for Health Care Delivery in the African Context. International Journal of Telemedicine & Applications. 2014;2014:437307.

## Tawfik 2016

Tawfik MY, Mohamed RA. The impact of communicating cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetics on patient risk perception, diabetes self-care, glycosylated hemoglobin, and cardiovascular risk. Journal of public health. 2016;24(2):153-64.

## Thuita 2020

Thuita AW, Kiage BN, Onyango AN, Makokha AO. Effect of a nutrition education programme on the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at a level 5 Hospital in Kenya: "a randomized controlled trial". BMC Nutr. 2020;6:30.

## Tsobgny-Tsague 2018

Tsobgny-Tsague NF, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nana ARN, Tankeu AT, Katte JC, Dehayem MY, et al. Effects of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on glycated haemoglobin on type 2 diabetes patients (PARODIA 1 study): a randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan Africa population. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):28.

#### Utz 2018

Utz B, Assarag B, Smekens T, Ennassiri H, Lekhal T, El Ansari N, et al. Detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through primary health care services in Morocco: An effectiveness-implementation trial. PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0209322.

## van der Hoogt 2017

van der Hoogt M, van Dyk JC, Dolman RC, Pieters M. Protein and fat meal content increase insulin requirement in children with type 1 diabetes - Role of duration of diabetes. Journal of clinical and translational endocrinology. 2017;10:15- 21.

## van Rooijen 2004

van Rooijen AJ, Rheeder P, Eales CJ, Becker PJ. Effect of exercise versus relaxation on haemoglobin A1C in Black females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Qjm. 2004;97(6):343-51.

## Webb 2015

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Van Zyl DG. Diabetes care and complications in primary care in the Tshwane district of South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2015;9(2):147-54.

Webb EM, Rheeder P, Roux P. Screening in Primary Care for Diabetic Retinopathy, Maculopathy and Visual Loss in South Africa. Ophthalmologica. 2016;235(3):141-9.

Webb EM, Rheeder P. A cluster-randomized trial to estimate the effect of mobile screening and treatment feedback on HbA1c and diabetes-related complications in Tshwane primary health care clinics, South Africa. Primary care diabetes. 2017;11(6):546-54.

## Yakoot 2019

Yakoot M, Abdelatif M, Helmy S. Efficacy of a new local limb salvage treatment for limbthreatening diabetic foot wounds-a randomized controlled study. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy. 2019;12:1659.

## Yan 2014

Yan H, Prista A, Ranadive SM, Damasceno A, Caupers P, Kanaley JA, et al. Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. Isrn Endocrinology Print. 2014;2014:864897.

## 1.2 List of excluded studies

## 1.2.1 Other design (28 references)

1. Abd El Hameed AA, Shreif HE, Mowafy HE. The role of continuing metformin therapy during pregnancy in the reduction of gestational diabetes and improving pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2011;16(3):204- 8.

2. Abdelaziz TS, Sadek KM. Effect of reducing medication regimen complexity on glycaemic control in patients with diabetes. Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine. 2019;57(1):23-9.

3. Agboola-Abu CF, Ohwovoriole AE, Akinlade KS. The effect of oral hypoglycaemic agents on dyslipidaemia in Nigerian patients with newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus--a prospective study. West African Journal of Medicine. 2000;19(2):126-31.

4. Assah FK, Atanga EN, Enoru S, Sobngwi E, Mbanya JC. Community-based peer support significantly improves metabolic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Yaounde, Cameroon. Diabetic Medicine. 2015;32(7):886-9.

5. Bahramikia S, Yazdanparast R. Phytochemistry and medicinal properties of Teucrium polium L. (Lamiaceae). Phytotherapy Research. 2012;26(11):1581-93.

6. Bailey CJ, Iqbal N, T'Joen C, List JF. Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naive patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(10):951-9.

7. Bekkouche L, Bouchenak M, Malaisse WJ, Yahia DA. The Mediterranean diet adoption improves metabolic, oxidative, and inflammatory abnormalities in Algerian metabolic syndrome patients. Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung / Hormones et metabolisme [Hormone and metabolic research]. 2014;46(4):274-82.

8. Bello SI, Ganiyu KA, Dakop YO, Erah PO. Pharmacist's intervention in the control of blood sugar levels in randomised diabetes patients at a primary health care setting in Benin City. Nigerian Quarterly Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2012;22(4):245-8.

9. Brown J, Martis R, Hughes B, Rowan J, Crowther CA. Oral anti-diabetic pharmacological therapies for the treatment of women with gestational diabetes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017;1:CD011967.

10. Chou HS, Truitt KE, Moberly JB, Merante D, Choi Y, Mun Y, et al. A 26-week, placebo- and pioglitazone-controlled monotherapy study of rivoglitazone in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2012;14(11):1000-9.

11. De Caterina R, Andersson U, Alexander JH, Al-Khatib SM, Bahit MC, Goto S, et al. History of bleeding and outcomes with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation trial. American Heart Journal. 2016;175:175-83.

**BMJ** Open

12. De Luca G, Michael Gibson C, Bellandi F, Murphy S, Maioli M, Noc M, et al. Benefits of pharmacological facilitation with glycoprotein IIb-IIIa inhibitors in diabetic patients undergoing primary angioplasty for STEMI. A subanalysis of the EGYPT cooperation. Journal of Thrombosis & Thrombolysis. 2009;28(3):288-98.

13. El-Fattah AAA, Hamed MI, Sadek SE, Abu-Elhana AS. Insulin resistance in type II diabetes mellitus with liver cirrhosis. Global journal of pharmacology. 2013;7(2):109- 17.

14. Fullerton B, Siebenhofer A, Jeitler K, Horvath K, Semlitsch T, Berghold A, et al. Shortacting insulin analogues versus regular human insulin for adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016(6):CD012161.

15. Gessler N, Labhard ND, Stolt P, Manga E, Balo JR, Boffolo A, et al. The lesson of Monsieur Nouma: effects of a culturally sensitive communication tool to improve health-seeking behavior in rural Cameroon. Patient Education & Counseling. 2012;87(3):343-50.

16. Ibrahim MA, Sarhan, II, Halawa MR, Afify EN, Hebah HA, Al-Gohary EA, et al. Study of the effect of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in type 2 diabetic prevalent hemodialysis patients. Hemodialysis international. 2015;19:S11- S9.

17. Jingi AM, Noubiap JJ, Essouma M, Bigna JJ, Nansseu JR, Ellong A, et al. Association of insulin treatment versus oral hypoglycaemic agents with diabetic retinopathy and its severity in type 2 diabetes patients in Cameroon, sub-Saharan Africa. Annals of Translational Medicine. 2016;4(20):395.

18. Kadiri A, Al-Nakhi A, El-Ghazali S, Jabbar A, Al Arouj M, Akram J, et al. Treatment of type 1 diabetes with insulin lispro during Ramadan. Diabetes & metabolism. 2001;27(4 Pt 1):482- 6.

19. Kamau RK, Maina FW, Kigondu C, Mati JK. The effect of low-oestrogen combined pill, progestogen-only pill and medroxyprogesterone acetate on oral glucose tolerance test. East African Medical Journal. 1990;67(8):550-5.

20. Moghazy AM, Shams ME, Adly OA, Abbas AH, El-Badawy MA, Elsakka DM, et al. The clinical and cost effectiveness of bee honey dressing in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice. 2010;89(3):276-81.

21. Notelovitz M. Sulphonylurea therapy in the treatment of the pregnant diabetic. South African Medical Journal Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir Geneeskunde. 1971;45(9):226-9.

22. Osman H, Khamis O, Elfeky M, El Amin Ali A, Abdelwahed M. Effect of short-term erythropoietin therapy on insulin resistance and serum levels of leptin and neuropeptide y in hemodialysis patients. Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;21(5):724- 30.

23. Razak A, Isaacs AA. Implementation and evaluation of a weight-reduction programme for diabetic patients at a primary health care facility in the western cape: a pilot study. South african family practice. 2017;59(6):189- 94.

**BMJ** Open

24. Schumm-Draeger PM, Burgess L, Koranyi L, Hruba V, Hamer-Maansson JE, de Bruin TW. Twice-daily dapagliflozin co-administered with metformin in type 2 diabetes: a 16-week randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):42-51.

25. Speechly DP, Buffenstein R. Appetite dysfunction in obese males: evidence for role of hyperinsulinaemia in passive overconsumption with a high fat diet. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2000;54(3):225- 33.

26. Todowede OO, Sartorius B. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome, discrete or comorbid diabetes and hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa among people living with HIV versus HIV-negative populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open. 2017;7(7):e016602.

27. Van Olmen J, Van Pelt M, Malombo B, Ku GM, Kanda D, Heang H, et al. Process evaluation of a mobile health intervention for people with diabetes in low income countries - the implementation of the TEXT4DSM study. Journal of Telemedicine & Telecare. 2017;23(1):96-105.

28. Werfalli M, Raubenheimer P, Engel M, Peer N, Kalula S, Kengne AP, et al. Effectiveness of community-based peer-led diabetes self-management programmes (COMP-DSMP) for improving clinical outcomes and quality of life of adults with diabetes in primary care settings in low and middle-income countries (LMIC): a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2015;5(7):e007635.

## 1.2.2 Other population (32 references)

1. Ali Hassan H, El-Gezeiry D, Nafaa TM, Baghdady I. Improved responsiveness of PCOS patients to clomiphene after CYP17a inhibitor. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics. 2001;18(11):608- 11.

Amador-Licona N, Guízar-Mendoza J, Vargas E, Sánchez-Camargo G, Zamora-Mata
 The short-term effect of a switch from glibenclamide to metformin on blood pressure and microalbuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Archives of medical research. 2000;31(6):571- 5.

3. Ashoush S, Abou-Gamrah A, Bayoumy H, Othman N. Chromium picolinate reduces insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome: randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2016;42(3):279- 85.

4. Ashtary-Larky D, Ghanavati M, Lamuchi-Deli N, Payami SA, Alavi-Rad S, Boustaninejad M, et al. Rapid Weight Loss vs. slow weight loss: which is more effective on body composition and metabolic risk factors? International journal of endocrinology and metabolism. 2017;15(3) (no pagination).

**BMJ** Open

5. 6. Bashandy GMN, Boules NS, Taha FM. Effects of a single preoperative dose of N(2)-L-alanyl-L-glutamine on insulin resistance and plasma glutathione levels in the early postoperative period. Egyptian journal of anaesthesia. 2013;29(4):319- 24.

6. Bays HE, Evans JL, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2013;67(1):2-7.

7. Belinova L, Kahleova H, Malinska H, Topolcan O, Windrichova J, Oliyarnyk O, et al. The effect of meal frequency in a reduced-energy regimen on the gastrointestinal and appetite hormones in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised crossover study. Plos one. 2017;12(4):e0174820.

8. Campbell-Tofte JI, Mølgaard P, Josefsen K, Abdallah Z, Hansen SH, Cornett C, et al. Randomized and double-blinded pilot clinical study of the safety and anti-diabetic efficacy of the Rauvolfia-Citrus tea, as used in Nigerian traditional medicine. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2011;133(2):402- 11.10.

9. El-Haggar SM, Mostafa TM. Comparative clinical study between the effect of fenofibrate alone and its combination with pentoxifylline on biochemical parameters and liver stiffness in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology international. 2015;9(3):471- 9.

10. Gupta V, Keshari BB, Tiwari SK, Murthy K. A comparative study of Shilajatu and Asanadi Ghana Vati in the management of Madhumeha w.s.r. to type-2 diabetes mellitus. Ayu. 2016;37(2):120-4.

11. Hashim HA, Lakany NE, Sherief L. Combined metformin and clomiphene citrate versus laparoscopic ovarian diathermy for ovulation induction in clomiphene-resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2011;37(3):169-77.

12. Home PD, Bolli GB, Mathieu C, Deerochanawong C, Landgraf W, Candelas C, et al. Modulation of insulin dose titration using a hypoglycaemia-sensitive algorithm: insulin glargine versus neutral protamine Hagedorn insulin in insulin-naive people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2015;17(1):15-22.

13. Ismail NA, Ragab S, Abd El Baky ANE, Hamed M, Ibrahim ASA. Effect of oral curcumin administration on insulin resistance, serum resistin and fetuin-A in obese children: randomized placebo-controlled study. Research journal of pharmaceutical, biological and chemical sciences. 2014;5(1):887-96.

14. Kumari J, Mehta CS, Shukla VD, Dave AR, Shingala TM. A comparative clinical study of Nyagrodhadi Ghanavati and Virechana Karma in the management of Madhumeha. Ayu. 2010;31(3):300-4.

15. Malin SK, Hinnerichs KR, Echtenkamp BG, Evetovich TK, Engebretsen BJ. Effect of adiposity on insulin action after acute and chronic resistance exercise in non-diabetic women. European journal of applied physiology. 2013;113(12):2933- 41.

16. Malin SK, Kullman EL, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Pagadala MR, et al. A wholegrain diet reduces peripheral insulin resistance and improves glucose kinetics in obese adults: a randomized-controlled trial. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 2018;82:111-7.

17. Malin SK, Niemi N, Solomon TP, Haus JM, Kelly KR, Filion J, et al. Exercise training with weight loss and either a high- or low-glycemic index diet reduces metabolic syndrome severity in older adults. Annals of nutrition & metabolism. 2012;61(2):135- 41.

18. Manaf A, Tjandrawinata RR, Malinda D. Insulin sensitizer in prediabetes: a clinical study with DLBS3233, a combined bioactive fraction of Cinnamomum burmanii and Lagerstroemia speciosa. Drug design, development and therapy. 2016;10:1279- 89.

19. Mendez-Del Villar M, Gonzalez-Ortiz M, Martinez-Abundis E, Perez-Rubio KG, Cortez-Navarrete M. Effect of Irvingia gabonensis on Metabolic Syndrome, Insulin Sensitivity, and Insulin Secretion. Journal of Medicinal Food. 2018;21(6):568-74.

20. Mogharnasi M, TaheriChadorneshin H, Papoli-Baravati SA, Teymuri A. Effects of upper-body resistance exercise training on serum nesfatin-1 level, insulin resistance, and body composition in obese paraplegic men. Disability and health journal. 2018;(no pagination).

21. Nadkarni MA, Vyas SN, Baghel MS, Ravishankar B. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of Mustadi Ghanavati in hyperlipidemia. Ayu. 2010;31(3):287-93.

22. Ngo-Matip ME, Pieme CA, Azabji-Kenfack M, Biapa PC, Germaine N, Heike E, et al. Effects of Spirulina platensis supplementation on lipid profile in HIV-infected antiretroviral naïve patients in Yaounde-Cameroon: a randomized trial study. Lipids in health and disease. 2014;13:191.

23. Ngondi JL, Etoundi BC, Nyangono CB, Mbofung CM, Oben JE. IGOB131, a novel seed extract of the West African plant Irvingia gabonensis, significantly reduces body weight and improves metabolic parameters in overweight humans in a randomized double-blind placebo controlled investigation. Lipids in health and disease. 2009;8:7.

24. Philis-Tsimikas A, Del Prato S, Satman I, Bhargava A, Dharmalingam M, Skjoth TV, et al. Effect of insulin degludec versus sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled on oral antidiabetic agents. Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism. 2013;15(8):760-6.

25. Pieber TR, Treichel HC, Hompesch B, Philotheou A, Mordhorst L, Gall MA, et al. Comparison of insulin detemir and insulin glargine in subjects with Type 1 diabetes using intensive insulin therapy. Diabetic Medicine. 2007;24(6):635-42.

#### **BMJ** Open

26. Rizk AY, Bedaiwy MA, Al-Inany HG. N-acetyl-cysteine is a novel adjuvant to clomiphene citrate in clomiphene citrate-resistant patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertility and sterility. 2005;83(2):367-70.

27. Rost NS, Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Murphy SA, Crompton AE, Norden AD, et al. Outcomes With Edoxaban Versus Warfarin in Patients With Previous Cerebrovascular Events: Findings From ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 (Effective Anticoagulation With Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 48). Stroke. 2016;47(8):2075-82.

28. Shabana W, Teleb M, Dawod T, Abu Taha H, Abdulla A, Shahin A, et al. Outcome of alpha-blockers, with or without methylprednisolone combination, in medical expulsive therapy for lower ureteric stones: a prospective randomised study. Arab journal of urology. 2016;14(1):7- 11.

29. Strojek K, Yoon KH, Hruba V, Sugg J, Langkilde AM, Parikh S. Dapagliflozin Added to Glimepiride in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Sustains Glycemic Control and Weight Loss Over 48 Weeks: a Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel-Group, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Diabetes therapy. 2014;5(1):267- 83.

30. Timmers S, De Ligt M, Phielix E, Van De Weijer T, Hansen J, Moonen-Kornips E, et al. Resveratrol as add-on therapy in subjects with well-controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes care. 2016;39(12):2211- 7.

31. Van Olmen J, Kegels G, Korachais C, de Man J, Van Acker K, Kalobu JC, et al. The effect of text message support on diabetes self-management in developing countries - A randomised trial. Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology. 2017;7:33-41.

32. Vázquez C, Botella-Carretero JI, Corella D, Fiol M, Lage M, Lurbe E, et al. White fish reduces cardiovascular risk factors in patients with metabolic syndrome: the WISH-CARE study, a multicenter randomized clinical trial. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD. 2014;24(3):328- 35.

## 1.2.3 Other intervention (8 references)

1. Babiker R, Elmusharaf K, Keogh MB, Saeed AM. Effect of Gum Arabic (Acacia Senegal) supplementation on visceral adiposity index (VAI) and blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus as indicators of cardiovascular disease (CVD): a randomized and placebo-controlled clinical trial. Lipids in Health & Disease. 2018;17(1):56.

2. Dirajlal-Fargo S, Musiime V, Cook A, Mirembe G, Kenny J, Jiang Y, et al. Insulin Resistance and Markers of Inflammation in HIV-infected Ugandan Children in the CHAPAS-3 Trial. Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2017;36(8):761-7.

3. Djoumessi RN, Noubiap JJ, Kaze FF, Essouma M, Menanga AP, Kengne AP, et al. Effect of low-dose spironolactone on resistant hypertension in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a

randomized controlled trial in a sub-Saharan African population. BMC Research Notes. 2016;9:187.

4. Elnashar A, Fahmy M, Mansour A, Ibrahim K. N-acetyl cysteine vs. metformin in treatment of clomiphene citrate-resistant polycystic ovary syndrome: a prospective randomized controlled study. Fertility and sterility. 2007;88(2):406-9.

5. Elsedeek M-EA, Elgindy E. Comparison between two clomiphene citrate protocols for induction of ovulation in clomiphene resistant polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle east fertility society journal. 2014;19(4):243- 7.

6. Gopalan A, Paramanund J, Shaw PA, Patel D, Friedman J, Brophy C, et al. Randomised controlled trial of alternative messages to increase enrolment in a healthy food programme among individuals with diabetes. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e012009.

7. Onyechi KC, Eseadi C, Okere AU, Onuigbo LN, Umoke PC, Anyaegbunam NJ, et al. Effects of cognitive behavioral coaching on depressive symptoms in a sample of type 2 diabetic inpatients in Nigeria. Medicine. 2016;95(31):e4444.

8. Shehata M, Hamza M. Impact of high loading dose of atorvastatin in diabetic patients with renal dysfunction undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. Cardiovascular therapeutics. 2015;33(2):35-41.

#### 1.2.4 Other comparison (1 reference)

 1. Ahmed ME, Mohammed MS, Mahadi SI. Primary wound closure of diabetic foot ulcers by debridement and stitching. Journal of Wound Care. 2016;25(11):650-4.

#### 1.2.5 Other outcome (7 references)

1. Belkhadir J, el Ghomari H, Klocker N, Mikou A, Nasciri M, Sabri M. Muslims with noninsulin dependent diabetes fasting during Ramadan: treatment with glibenclamide. BMJ. 1993;307(6899):292-5.

2. El-Tamalawy MM, Ibrahim OM, Hassan TM, El-Barbari AA. Effect of Combination Therapy of Ezetimibe and Atorvastatin on Remnant Lipoprotein Versus Double Atorvastatin Dose in Egyptian Diabetic Patients. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2018;58(1):34-41.

3. Hassanien M, Elawamy A, Kamel EZ, Khalifa WA, Abolfadl GM, Roushdy ASI, et al. Perineural Platelet-Rich Plasma for Diabetic Neuropathic Pain, Could It Make a Difference? Pain Medicine. 2020;21(4):757-65.

4. Lakhdar N, Denguezli M, Zaouali M, Zbidi A, Tabka Z, Bouassida A. Diet and diet combined with chronic aerobic exercise decreases body fat mass and alters plasma and adipose tissue inflammatory markers in obese women. Inflammation. 2013;36(6):1239- 47.

5. Marais C, Hall DR, van Wyk L, Conradie M. Randomized cross-over trial comparing the diagnosis of gestational diabetes by oral glucose tolerance test and a designed breakfast glucose profile. International Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics. 2018;141(1):85-90.

6. Saied GM, Kamel RM, Labib AM, Said MT, Mohamed AZ. The diabetic foot and leg: combined He-Ne and infrared low-intensity lasers improve skin blood perfusion and prevent potential complications. A prospective study on 30 Egyptian patients. Lasers in Medical Science. 2011;26(5):627-32.

7. Tawfik MY. The Impact of Health Education Intervention for Prevention and Early Detection of Type 2 Diabetes in Women with Gestational Diabetes. Journal of Community Health. 2017;42(3):500-10.

1.2.6

#### 1.2.7 Other publications (41 references)

1. Agbozo F, Abubakari A, Narh C, Jahn A. Are we missing pregnant women with gestational diabetes? Evidence from a diagnostic accuracy study comparing glycosuria, glycated haemoglobin, random and fasting glucose to oral glucose tolerance test. Tropical medicine and international health Conference: 10th european congress on tropical medicine and international health Belgium. 2017;22(Supplement 1):351- 2.

Anyanwu AC, Fasanmade OA, Coker HB, Ohwovoriole AE. Vitamin D supplementation improves glycaemia in Vitamin D deficient nigerians with diabetes mellitus.
 Endocrine reviews Conference: 96th annual meeting and expo of the endocrine society,
 ENDO 2014 Chicago, IL united states Conference start: 20140621 Conference end: 20140624 Conference publication: (varpagings). 2014;35(no pagination).

3. Aronson R, Cohen O, Conget I, Runzis S, Castaneda J, de Portu S, et al. OpT2mise: a randomized controlled trial to compare insulin pump therapy with multiple daily injections in the treatment of type 2 diabetes-research design and methods. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics. 2014;16(7):414-20.

4. Azar ST, Echtay A, Wan Bebakar WM, Alaraj S, Berrah A, Omar M, et al. Efficacy and safety of liraglutide versus sulfonylurea both in combination with metformin during ramadan in subjects with type 2 diabetes (lira-ramadan): a randomized trial. Journal of endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa Conference: 51st congress of the society for endocrinology, metabolism and diabetes of south africa, SEMDSA 2016 South africa. 2016;21(1):14.

5. Balde N, Camara A, Sobngwi-Tambekou J, Balti EV, Tchatchoua A, Fezeu L, et al. Improving access to HbA1c in sub-Saharan Africa (IA3) cohort: cohort profile. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2017;27.

6. Chi CT. A Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, positive controlled clinical study to assess the efficacy and safety of Acetyl L-Carnitine in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Chictr. 2008.

**BMJ** Open

7. Elnashar A, El Maghraby H, Nafee T, Guiziry D, Fourtia I. Randomized controlled trial of the effects of metformin versus combined oral contraceptives in adolescent PCOS women through a 24 months follow up period. Human reproduction. 2015;30:i5.

 8. Evans JL, Bays H, Maki KC, Evans M, Maquet V, Cooper R, et al. Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. Circulation. 2012;125(10 SUPPL. 1).

Goedecke JH, Mendham AE, Clamp L, Nono Nankam PA, Fortuin-de Smidt MC, Phiri
 L, et al. An Exercise Intervention to Unravel the Mechanisms Underlying Insulin Resistance
 in a Cohort of Black South African Women: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial and
 Baseline Characteristics of Participants. JMIR Research Protocols. 2018;7(4):e75.

10. Lanasri N, Nibouche NW, Atif L, Makhlouf L, Zeraoulia F, Hansal F, et al. Comparison of two therapeutic education methods in diabetic patients: a randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia Conference: 54th annual meeting of the european association for the study diabetes, EASD 2018 Germany. 2018;61(Supplement 1):S433.

11. Malin SK, Louis-Kullman E, Scelsi AR, Haus JM, Filion J, Godin JP, et al. Whole grain diet improves glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, and beta-cell function in overweight prediabetic adults. Diabetes. 2014;63:A78.

12. Malin SK, Samat A, Wolski K, Abood B, Pothier C, Bhatt DL, et al. Gastric bypass surgery enhances ghrelin suppression and improves beta-cell function and central obesity at 24 months in moderately obese adults with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2013;62:A729.

13. Mash B, Levitt N, Steyn K, Zwarenstein M, Rollnick S. Effectiveness of a group diabetes education programme in underserved communities in South Africa: pragmatic cluster randomized control trial. BMC Family Practice. 2012;13:126.

14. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ng'ang'a M, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Gichuhi S, et al. Feasibility of a cluster randomized controlled trial on the effectiveness of peer-led health education interventions to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy in Kirinyaga, Kenya: a pilot trial. Pilot feasibility stud. 2020;6:102.

15. Mwangi N, Bascaran C, Ramke J, Kipturgo M, Kim M, Ng'ang'a M, et al. Peer-support to increase uptake of screening for diabetic retinopathy: process evaluation of the DURE cluster randomized trial. Tropical Medicine & Health. 2020;48:1.

16. Mwangi N, Ng'ang'a M, Gakuo E, Gichuhi S, Macleod D, Moorman C, et al. Effectiveness of peer support to increase uptake of retinal examination for diabetic retinopathy: study protocol for the DURE pragmatic cluster randomized clinical trial in Kirinyaga, Kenya. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):871.

17. Nassar WF, El-Ansary M, Shehab T, Abdelhameed M, Saad A, Esa W, et al. Effect of cell-free mesenchymal stem cells microvesicles (MVS) and exosomes therapy on beta-cell mass in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). Diabetes. 2015;64:A282.

**BMJ** Open

18. Nct. The Efficacy of Specialist Collaboration and Mobile Screening for Improving the Management of Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01275040. 2010.

19. Nct. Trial on an Educative Structured Intervention by Peer Educators to Improve HbA1c of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes in the Sikasso Region in Mali. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct01153048. 2010.

20. Nct. Propolis Improves Glycemic Control in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Periodontitis. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct02794506. 2016.

21. Nct. Community- and mHealth-Based Integrated Management of Diabetes in Primary Healthcare in Rwanda. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03376607. 2017.

22. Nct. Nutrition Education Intervention for Adults With Type 2 Diabetes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03334773. 2017.

23. Nct. Helium-Neon Laser Therapy Versus Infrared Laser Therapy in Treating Patients With Diabetic Foot Ulcer. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03338517. 2017.

24. Nct. Diabetes Self-Management Education (DSME) and Its Effect on Clinical, Psychosocial, and Behavioral Outcomes. Https://clinicaltrialsgov/show/nct03185689. 2017.

25. Noakes TD. The Women's health initiative randomized controlled dietary modification trial: an inconvenient finding and the diet-heart hypothesis. South african medical journal. 2013;103(11):824- 5.

26. Orchard TJ, Sibomana L, Miller R. Evaluation of differing type 1 diabetes treatment regimens in youth in Rwanda. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:25- 6.

27. Pengpid S, Peltzer K, Skaal L. Efficacy of a church-based lifestyle intervention programme to control high normal blood pressure and/or high normal blood glucose in church members: a randomized controlled trial in Pretoria, South Africa. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:568.

28. Rockers PC, Wirtz VJ, Vian T, Onyango MA, Ashigbie PG, Laing R. Study protocol for a cluster-randomised controlled trial of an NCD access to medicines initiative: evaluation of Novartis Access in Kenya. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e013386.

29. Ross SM. African mango (IGOB131): a proprietary seed extract of Irvingia gabonensis is found to be effective in reducing body weight and improving metabolic parameters in overweight humans. Holistic nursing practice. 2011;25(4):215-7.

30. Salman SA, Farghaly TA, Attallah DA, Abdel-Hafeez HA, Shaaban OM. Insulin sensitizing agent (metformin) improves clinical pregnancy rate in clomiphene citrate resistant polycystic ovarian syndrome patients with acanthosis nigricans. Fertility and sterility. 2014;102(3 SUPPL. 1):e139.

31. Samir Elbarbary N, Abdel Rahman Ismail E, El-Naggar AR, Hany Hamouda M, El-Hamamsy M. Role of carnosine as an adjuvant therapy for diabetic nephropathy in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: relation to oxidative stress, renal functional integrity

Page 98 of 100

**BMJ** Open

and glycemic control. Pediatric diabetes Conference: 43rd annual meeting of the international society for pediatric and adolescent diabetes , ISPAD 2017 Austria. 2017;18(Supplement 25):115.

32. Sherif EM, El Tonbary KY, Abd Aziz MM. Comparative study between the use of insulin glargine and intermediate acting insulin (NPH) in type 1 diabetic children less than eight years old. Pediatric diabetes. 2014;15:46.

33. Sibomana L, Rwabufigiri B, Kaberuka V, Gishoma C, Rubanzana W, Miller RG, et al. Type 1 diabetes-related quality of life in Rwanda. Diabetes. 2015;64:A368.

34. Utz B, Assarag B, Essolbi A, Barkat A, El Ansari N, Fakhir B, et al. Improving detection and initial management of gestational diabetes through the primary level of care in Morocco: protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. Reproductive Health. 2017;14(1):75.

35. van Olmen J, Ku GM, van Pelt M, Kalobu JC, Hen H, Darras C, et al. The effectiveness of text messages support for diabetes self-management: protocol of the TEXT4DSM study in the democratic Republic of Congo, Cambodia and the Philippines. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:423.

36. Vedanthan R, Kamano JH, Lee H, Andama B, Bloomfield GS, DeLong AK, et al. Bridging Income Generation with Group Integrated Care for cardiovascular risk reduction: rationale and design of the BIGPIC study. American heart journal. 2017;188:175- 85.

37. Veleba J, Janovska P, Kuda O, Horakova O, Malinska H, Kazdova L, et al. Combined intervention with pioglitazone and N-3 fatty acids in metformin-treated diabetic patients. Obesity facts. 2015;8:213.

38. Viviers C, Van Rooijen AJ. Daily physical activity and diet intervention for individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised controlled trial. South african journal of clinical nutrition. 2010;23(3 SUPPL. 2):S35.

39. Wargny M, Kleinebreil L, Diop SN, Ndour-Mbaye M, Ba Diop M, Balkau B, et al. SMSbased intervention in type 2 diabetes: clinical trial in Senegal. BMJ innovations. 2018.

40. Zeghari L, Aboussaleh Y. Comparison of two approaches of nutritional education in the management of diabetes. Annals of nutrition and metabolism Conference: 21st international congress of nutrition, ICN 2017 Argentina. 2017;71(Supplement 2):903- 4.

41. Zennaki A, Niar S, Naceur M, Aichaoui H, Ouzzaa K, Aoui A, et al. Effect of paramedical treatment codified on balance, quality of life and knowledge of teenagers suffering from T1DM persisting imbalance. Pediatric diabetes (varpagings). 2015;16:89.

# PRISMA 2020 Checklist

| 3<br>Section and<br>4<br>Topic                          | lter<br># | <sup>n</sup> Checklist item  | ∟ocation<br>where item is<br>reported  |
|---|-----------|--|--|
|   |           |  |  |
| 7 Title<br>8<br>9                                       |           | 1       Identify the report as a systematic review.       1         an       pa         pa       pa  | l (Numbers<br>are manuscript<br>bages) |
| 10 ABSTRACT   |           |  |  |
| 11 Abstract   |           | 2 See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist. 2   | 2                                      |
| 2 INTRODUCTION  |           |  |  |
| 13 Rationale  |           | 3 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge. 4  | 1                                      |
| 14 Objectives   |           | 4 Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses. 5   | 5                                      |
| METHODS   |           |  |  |
| 17 Eligibility crite                                    | eria      | 5 Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.  | 5                                      |
| 18 Information  |           | 6 Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.  | 6                                      |
| 20 Search strate<br>21                                  | egy       | 7 Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used. S   | Supplementary<br>data                  |
| 22 Selection pro  | ocess     | 8 Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.                     | 6                                      |
| 24 Data collection<br>25 process<br>26                  | on        | 9 Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | 6-7                                    |
| 27 Data items<br>28                                     | 10        | a List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.                        | 6                                      |
| 29<br>30  | 10        | b List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.   | 5-7                                    |
| <sup>31</sup> Study risk of<br><sup>32</sup> assessment | bias 1    | 1 Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed 7 each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.                                  | 7                                      |
| Effect measu  | ures 1    | 2 Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results. 7-   | 7-8                                    |
| 35 Synthesis<br>36 methods                              | 13        | a Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).   | 6-7                                    |
| 37<br>38  | 13        | b Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data 7 conversions.  | 7                                      |
| 39  | 13        | C Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. 7   | 7                                      |
| 0   | 13        | d Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.  | 7                                      |
| 42  | 13        | e Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).   | not done                               |
| 43<br>44<br>45  |           | di<br>For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml aj   | due to the<br>narrative<br>approach    |
| 46<br>47  | L         |  |  |

BMJ Open
BMJ Open



## PRISMA 2020 Checklist

| Section and<br>Topic  | ltem<br># | Checklist item   | Location<br>where item is<br>reported   |
|---|-----------|--|---|
| ;<br>7<br>3   | 13f       | Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   | not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach  |
| <ul> <li>Reporting bias</li> <li>assessment</li> </ul>      | 14        | Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).  | Not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach  |
| 2 Certainty<br>3 assessment                                 | 15        | Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.  | Not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach  |
| s RESULTS   |           |  |   |
| 6 Study selection   | 16a       | Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.   | 9   |
| 8<br> 9<br>20   | 16b       | Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.  | Supplementary<br>data, list of<br>excluded<br>studies   |
| 2 Study<br>23 characteristics                               | 17        | Cite each included study and present its characteristics.  | 9-16  |
| 24 Risk of bias in<br>25 studies<br>26                      | 18        | Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.   | Supplementary<br>Data – Table:<br>Risk of Bias  |
| 27 Results of<br>28 individual studies                      | 19        | For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.   | Supplementary<br>Data – Tables  |
| 29 Results of   | 20a       | For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.   | 11-15   |
| ;≬ syntheses<br>}1<br>}2<br>}3                              | 20b       | Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect. | Figures 3 and<br>4 in the results<br>section (page<br>13)   |
| ;4<br>;5<br>;6<br>;7<br>;8<br>;9<br>;0<br>;10<br>;10<br>;12 | 20c       | Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.   | Page 9 (study<br>designs,<br>participants,<br>settings)<br>Pages 10 – 15<br>(interventions)<br>narrative<br>description of<br>heterogenous<br>studies |
| 13<br>14  | 20d       | Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.   | Not done due<br>to the narrative  |
| ł5  |           | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  | approach  |



## PRISMA 2020 Checklist

| 3<br>4<br>5  | Section and<br>Topic                                 | ltem<br># | Checklist item   | Location<br>where item is<br>reported  |  |  |
|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Reporting biases                                     | 21        | Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.  | Not done due<br>to the narrative<br>approach   |  |  |
|  | Certainty of<br>evidence                             | 22        | Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.  | Only for<br>HbA1c<br>measures:<br>Figures 3 and<br>4; others not<br>done due to<br>narrative<br>approach       |  |  |
| 16   | DISCUSSION   |           |  |  |  |  |
| 1/   | Discussion   | 23a       | Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.  | 17   |  |  |
| 19   |  | 23b       | Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.  | 21   |  |  |
| 20   |  | 23c       | Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.  | 21-22  |  |  |
| 21   |  | 23d       | Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.   | 17-21  |  |  |
| 22   | OTHER INFORMAT                                       | ΓΙΟΝ      |  |  |  |  |
| 23   | Registration and                                     | 24a       | Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.   | 8 + abstract   |  |  |
| 25   |  | 24b       | Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.   | 8 + abstract   |  |  |
| 26   |  | 24c       | Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.  | 6  |  |  |
| 27   | Support  | 25        | Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.  | 23   |  |  |
| 28<br>29   | Competing<br>interests                               | 26        | Declare any competing interests of review authors.   | 23   |  |  |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36   | Availability of<br>data, code and<br>other materials | 27        | Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review. | In the<br>supplement:<br>search<br>strategy for 6<br>databases, list<br>of included<br>and excluded<br>studies |  |  |
| 37<br>38<br>39 <i>From:</i> Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 202<br>40 For more information, visit: <u>http://www.prisma-statement.org/</u><br>41 |  |           |  |  |  |  |