# The genetic background significantly impacts the severity of kidney cystic disease in the $Pkd1^{RC/RC}$ mouse model of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease

Jennifer Arroyo, Ph.D<sup>1</sup>§, Diana Escobar-Zarate, B.S.<sup>1</sup>§, Harrison H. Wells, B.S.<sup>1</sup>§, Megan M. Constans, B.S.<sup>1</sup>§, Ka Thao, B.S.<sup>1</sup>, Jessica M. Smith, B.S.<sup>1</sup>, Cynthia J. Sieben, Ph.D<sup>1</sup>, Madeline R. Martell, B.S.<sup>1</sup>, Timothy L. Kline, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>, Maria V. Irazabal, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Vicente E. Torres, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Katharina Hopp, Ph.D.<sup>3\*</sup>, and Peter C. Harris, Ph.D.<sup>1\*</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, <sup>3</sup>Division of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO

<sup>§</sup>Contributed equally to this work

\*Correspondence: Peter C. Harris Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN, 55905 <u>Harris.peter@mayo.edu</u>. Phone: (507)-266-0541 or Katharina Hopp Division of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, 12700 E 19<sup>th</sup> Ave, Aurora, CO, 80045 katharina.hopp@cuanschutz.edu, Phone: <u>303-724-1858</u>

Running Title: Genetic background *Pkd1*<sup>RC/RC</sup> model

### Supplementary Methods

#### Source of animal lines and diet

Wildtype C57BL/6J and BalbC/cJ animals were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and 129S6/SvEvTac animals from Taconic Biosciences (Rensselaer, NY). Animals were maintained on the 5053 – PicoLab Rodent Diet 20 (LabDiet, St. Louis, MO). Breeding Animals were fed the high-protein 5058 – PicoLab Mouse Diet 20 (LabDiet, St. Louis, MO), and experimental animals had access to this feed until weaned at approximately 4 weeks of age. Mouse colonies were kept at a constant 22.8° with 34% humidity and a strict 12-hour light/dark cycle.

### Histological analysis

Animals were sacrificed by carbon dioxide exposure as per Mayo Clinic IACUC guidelines. Body and organ weights were recorded before fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde. Fixed tissue was embedded in paraffin, cut into 4 $\mu$ m sections, and stained with Masson trichrome stain for histological analysis. CA and CN were calculated from three distinct Masson trichrome stained left kidney cross-sections from each mouse. The CA was calculated as a percentage of the total cross-sectional area of the kidney using the NIS elements software. A cyst was defined as >2000  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> in adult mice and a dilated tubule as >500  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> in P1-P18 mice. Cyst number was determined as previously described.<sup>1</sup> FA was determined from eight Picrosirius Red stained cortical images and recorded as a percentage of the total captured region using the MetaMorph software. Biliary lesions, defined as multiple bile ducts grouped together (biliary hamartomas) and/or as greatly enlarged bile ducts, were identified by visualization of at least three Masson trichrome stained liver sections from each background and evaluated by an experienced liver pathologist (Dr. Roger Moreira). The incidences of biliary dysgenesis were recorded with the mean hepatic dysgenesis loci per section reported.

### Immunofluorescence labeling

Immunofluorescence labeling of paraffin embedded sections was prepared as previously described.<sup>2</sup> The primary reagents were, biotinylated-LTA (1:250, Vector Laboratories), AQP2 antibody (1:500; ProSci), and PCNA antibody (1:250; Cell Signaling), with DAPI employed as a nuclear counterstain. Secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor, Invitrogen) were used at a 1:500 dilution. For proliferation analysis, cyst linings were determined to be the layer of cells surrounding a dilated tubule of >500  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> in P1-P18 mice and a PCNA-positive cell was determined to be any cell in a cyst lining that had an intense PCNA signal when compared to the surrounding DAPI

signal. Proliferation was also measured in P18 WT CD tubule linings for all backgrounds apart from F1(129/B6). The percentage of proliferating cystic epithelial cells was calculated as the number of PCNA positive cells/the total number of cells in the cyst linings and averaged for each mouse. For each kidney, 20-50 cysts were quantified for analysis and were selected from throughout the kidney using an overlay-grid.

## BUN and cAMP measurements

Blood was collected by cardiac puncture after mice were euthanized by CO<sup>2</sup>, heparin added to prevent clotting, and was centrifuged for 20min at 1500g to collect plasma. Diluted plasma was used to determine BUN using the colorimetric BUN assay (BUN-Urea, BioAssay Systems). cAMP levels were performed using 30-70mg of pulverized, flash-frozen kidney tissue (Direct cAMP EIA kit, ENZO). Both assays were performed according to the manufacturers' protocols.

## Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Analysis

Magnetic resonance imaging scans in all animals were performed in a Bruker Advance 700 Mhz (16.4T) vertical bore nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer following procedures approved by the IACUC.<sup>3</sup> TKV was calculated from axial slices of the kidney using Analyze 12.0 software and using an automated method.<sup>4</sup> Cyst volume was determined by multiplying the fraction of CA by the final TKV. TKV was corrected for mouse length (Ln), measured from the nose to the base of the tail, for the preclinical study.

## **Supplementary References**

- 1. Olson RJ, Hopp K, Wells H, et al. Synergistic Genetic Interactions between Pkhd1 and Pkd1 Result in an ARPKD-Like Phenotype in Murine Models. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2019;**30**(11):2113-27. 10.1681/ASN.2019020150.
- 2. Hopp K, Ward CJ, Hommerding CJ, et al. Functional polycystin-1 dosage governs autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease severity. *J Clin Invest.* 2012;**122**(11):4257-73. 10.1172/JCI64313.
- 3. Irazabal MV, Mishra PK, Torres VE, et al. Use of Ultra-high Field MRI in Small Rodent Models of Polycystic Kidney Disease for In Vivo Phenotyping and Drug Monitoring. *J Vis Exp.* 2015(100):e52757. 10.3791/52757.
- 4. Edwards ME, Periyanan S, Anaam D, et al. Automated total kidney volume measurements in pre-clinical magnetic resonance imaging for resourcing imaging data, annotations, and source code. *Kidney Int.* 2020:[Epub ahead of print]. 10.1016/j.kint.2020.07.040.

## **Supplementary Tables**

Table S1: Details of cystic kidney	disease in the different	genetic backgrounds ir	<i>Pkd1</i> <sup>RC/RC</sup> mice by sex
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Endpoint		B6		BC	F1(	(BC/B6)		129	F1	(129/B6)
KW/BW%	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
1m	1.65 ± 0.17	1.58 ± 0.05	2.12 ± 1.05	$3.04 \pm 0.55$ t	1.84 ± 0.42	2.07 ± 0.19	2.34 ± 0.25	2.56 ± 0.70	2.00 ± 0.28	1.60 ± 0.23
3m	1.96 ± 0.26	1.73 ± 0.21	3.22 ± 0.61 <sup>#</sup>	3.25 ± 1.13 <sup>tt</sup>	2.10 ± 0.13	1.75 ± 0.11	3.77 ± .063 ttt	$2.39 \pm 0.38$	2.26 ± 0.19	3.20 ± 1.61 t
6m	1.93 ± 0.25	2.02 ± 0.33	3.51 ± 0.34 <sub>111</sub>	3.95 ± 0.72 <sub>ttt</sub>	2.53 ± 0.45	2.27 ± 0.27	4.15 ± 0.76 ttt	5.71 ± 2.44 ttt	2.47 ± 0.07	4.15 ± 0.71 ttt **
9m	2.08 ± 0.12	$2.34 \pm 0.26$	3.15 ± 0.32 tt	4.81 ± 0.49 <sub>ttt</sub> **	$3.20 \pm 0.42$ tt	4.00 ± 0.57 tt **	3.66 ± 0.98 ttt	4.54 ± 0.39 ttt	1.73 ± 0.21	3.77 ± 0.82 t ***
12m	2.43 ± 0.23	4.31 ± 0.53***	$3.28 \pm 0.67$ t	4.92 ± 0.89**	3.02 ± 0.23	3.82 ± 0.29**	3.72 ± 1.32 III	4.82 ± 0.29	2.12 ± 0.27	3.59 ± 0.48**
CA%			· · · ·							
1m	3.21 ± 1.30	3.04 ± 1.01	15.56 ± 5.32 t	19.58 ± 5.75 ttt	6.54 ± 4.41	3.95 ± 2.61 t	15.01 ± 5.44 ±	16.10 ± 8.45 ttt	9.20 ± 4.36	4.85 ± 2.27
3m	5.30 ± 2.41	3.10 ± 1.25	18.91 ± 8.27 #	17.39 ± 3.57 ttt	7.13 ± 4.04	12.60 ± 5.73	32.12 ± 16.63 ##	19.43 ± 7.89 ttt	7.87 ± 3.14	9.63 ± 5.27
6m	5.71 ± 4.77	3.96 ± 2.14	23.87 ± 6.32 ttt	22.60 ± 2.99 ttt	10.70 ± 4.09	8.23 ± 2.79	28.44 ± 5.31 ttt	32.03 ± 14.07 ttt	14.66 ± 4.59	$18.20 \pm 4.70^{\times}$ ttt
9m	3.91 ± 3.03	7.16 ± 3.63	22.03 ± 2.25 ttt	20.22 ± 2.56 tt	18.41 ± 5.66 tt	16.74 ± 1.45 t	24.65 ± 5.35 #	25.53 ± 3.65 ttt	5.53 ± 2.38	17.00 ± 5.25 tt **
12m	$8.09 \pm 4.46$	20.77 ± 4.93***	27.65 ± 13.29 ±	26.98 ± 8.51	13.20 ± 1.47	18.84 ± 4.40	16.90 ± 8.29	18.90 ± 3.15	13.44 ± 4.92	29.20 ± 5.08***
CN						<i></i>				10.0
1m	14 ± 8	20 ± 7	79 ± 19	$104 \pm 37$ t	47 ± 27	24 ± 11	79 ± 24	52 ± 15	29 ± 10	19 ± 6
3m	19 ± 3	18 ± 8	162 ± 60 ttt	134 ± 52 <sup>#</sup>	46 ± 28	76 ± 27	110 ± 24 <sup>++</sup>	/3 ± 14	39 ± 11	66 ± 44
6m	17 ± 9	71 ± 37*	189 ± 26 ttt	220 ± 37 ttt	151 ± 58 m	71 ± 21**	146 ± 37 ttt	186 ± 54 <sup>++</sup>	86 ± 42	88 ± 24 ^
9m	47 ± 7	89 ± 36 ×	220 ± 21 ×	333 ± 73 <sub>ttt</sub> **	182 ± 30 ×	244 ± 29 ttt	$156 \pm 8 \times$	$243 \pm 27^{*}_{ttt}$	78 ± 44 x	143 ± 21 <sub>#</sub>
12m BUN	66 ± 39	94 ± 8	212 ± 58 ±	181 ± 43 t	185 ± 31 #	241 ± 38 #	159 ± 17 <sup>++</sup>	235 ± 48 ± *	141 ± 42 #	269 ± 0.4 <sub>111</sub> ***
1m	16.6 ± 2.5	18.8 ± 3.5	19.3 ± 1.2	22.4 ± 4.8	16.0 ± 2.9	16.8 ± 4.1	18.6 ± 3.8	19.4 ± 5.1	17.2 ± 2.0	13.9 ± 0.7
3m	23.3 ± 1.0	24.8 ± 1.8	22.6 ± 5.4	18.4 ± 2.3	19.8 ± 3.6	16.2 ± 1.8	$25.2 \pm 4.4$	$20.8 \pm 3.0$	24.0 ± 2.2	$20.5 \pm 2.6$
6m	24.8 ± 3.3	23.2 ± 2.3	20.0 ± 5.7	24.8 ± 0.5	21.2 ± 3.8	16.0 ± 2.5	28.2 ± 4.3	26.4 ± 5.7	29.3 ± 2.8	33.7 ± 3.1
9m	24.5 ± 5.1	24.7 ± 6.4	33.8 ± 9.0 t	30.4 ± 6.5	22.2 ± 4.4	19.8 ± 4.8	27.7 ± 4.7	32.2 ± 4.8 <sup>×</sup>	27.7 ± 2.1	21.6 ± 1.1
12m	20.4 ± 2.0	40.6 ± 12.8***	40.8 ± 16.0 ttt	32.2 ± 17.9	20. ± 6.1	25.4 ± 4.5 ttt	24.8 ± 4.9	35.0 ± 7.4*	31.2 ± 4.2 tt	48.2 ± 10.5
FA%										
1m	1.44 ± 1.19	$0.99 \pm 0.40$	1.30 ± 1.02	$0.90 \pm 0.47$	0.56 ± 0.07	$0.65 \pm 0.68$	0.54 ± 0.59	$0.72 \pm 0.55$	0.27 ± 0.18	0.11 ± 0.07
3m	$0.55 \pm 0.35$	1.76 ± 0.23	0.59 ± 0.35	1.76 ± 2.23	0.26 ± 0.17	$0.83 \pm 0.41$	1.27 ± 0.72	$1.59 \pm 0.41$	1.54 ± 0.53	$1.04 \pm 0.58^{\times}$
6m	1.67 ± 0.54	1.50 ± 0.82	3.00 ± 0.90	1.73 ± 0.54	1.41 ± 0.93	0.72 ± 0.22	2.36 ± 1.00	4.50 ± 1.75	0.67 ± 0.83	1.28 ± 1.35
9m	2.77 ± 0.98	5.68 ± 1.66	6.22 ± 5.36	2.61 ± 1.56	2.35 ± 1.74	7.68 ± 2.73**	5.88 ± 3.28	6.30 ± 1.81	1.64 ± 0.44	4.78 ± 2.73*
12m	11.11 ± 3.95	9.81 ± 2.08	6.05 ± 2.61 #	10.58 ± 3.94	3.85 ± 0.12 #	8.74 ± 4.61*	11.16 ± 3.24	17.18 ± 4.10 +++ ***	3.84 ± 1.61 ##	$12.74 \pm 0.34^{\times}$
cAMP										
1m	7.09 ± 4.28	6.83 ± 4.14	3.08 ± 1.29	4.28 ± 1.89	10.05 ± 2.24	8.97 ± 1.31	10.18 ± 2.91	17.15 ± 5.24	6.97 ± 2.95	7.72 ± 0.91
3m	4.61 ± 1.60	$6.83 \pm 2.06$	2.60 ± 1.15	5.02 ± 2.64	7.45 ± 1.51	6.96 ± 1.14	17.93 ± 4.98 m	10.60 ± 3.91	3.84 ± 0.41	4.74 ± 1.15
6m	8.47 ± 2.97	7.18 ± 2.49	9.21 ± 4.86	8.43 ± 5.79	6.56 ± 1.68	$12.24 \pm 6.05$	33.90 ± 13.85 ##	$27.84 \pm 5.56 \times$	13.16 ± 5.69	8.10 ± 1.13
9m	13.72 ± 7.13	19.27 ± 4.85	11.19 ± 2.27	11.93 ± 2.33	11.90 ± 4.73	18.30 ± 5.29	50.45 ± 6.86 ##	38.44 ± 17.13 ttt	8.95 ± 2.12	12.98 ± 5.80
12m <b>тку</b>	14.31 ± 4.51	$20.03 \pm 6.82^{\times}$	24.21 ± 9.56 x	21.94 ± 11.67	$14.34 \pm 6.32 x$	23.70 ± 11.93*	30.37 x	40.57 ± 14.31 <sub>ttt</sub>	6.95 ± 1.43 x	$10.59 \pm 0.06 {}^{\times}{}_{\times}$
1m	466 ± 91	$432 \pm 97$	1109 ± 235	848	NA	403	676 ± 256	518 ± 97	519 ± 71	377 ± 63
3m	697 ± 238	$579 \pm 125^{\times}$	1581 x	1272 ± 241	827 ± 155 x	666 ± 179	1422 ± 303 * x	928 + 416 +	$1044 \pm 335 \times$	954 + 200 +
6m	944 ± 221	$660 \pm 114^{**}$	1344	1105	NA	1021	$1639 \pm 121$	$1704 \pm 196^{\times}$	826 ± 128	1295 ± 474 ··· *
9m	$717 \pm 31$	791 ± 146	NA	2122	NA	NA	$1649 \pm 5$	1966 + 319 ***	1339 + 207 +++	1637 + 177 ++
12m	729 ± 70	956 ± 115 <sup>×</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	$1510 \pm 114 x$	1961	1166 ± 241 x	1531 ± 1209 tt

One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni multiple comparison test between males and females. \*p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001.

Two-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test to age-matched and sex-matched B6 mice. † p<0.05, † p<0.01, † p<0.001

BUN, mg/dL; cAMP, pmol/mg protein, TKV, mm<sup>3</sup>, NA, data not available.

Significance was not determined for groups with N<3, that are italicized. <sup>X</sup> Could not be statistically analyzed between males and females, x Could not be statistically analyzed between age-matched and sexmatched B6 mice. Number of animals per group are shown in Table 1.

Endpoint	E	36	I	BC	F1(B	SC/B6)	1:	29	F1(1	29/B6)
KW/BW%	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
1m	1.65 ± 0.17	1.58 ± 0.05	2.12 ± 1.05	3.04 ± 0.55	1.84 ± 0.42	2.07 ± 0.19	2.34 ± 0.25	2.56 ± 0.70	2.00 ± 0.28	1.60 ± 0.23
3m	1.96 ± 0.26	1.73 ± 0.21	3.22 ± 0.61*	3.25 ± 1.13	2.10 ± 0.13	1.75 ± 0.11	3.77 ± .063	2.39 ± 0.38	2.26 ± 0.19	3.20 ± 1.61*
6m	1.93 ± 0.25	2.02 ± 0.33	3.51 ± 0.34*	3.95 ± 0.72	2.53 ± 0.45*	2.27 ± 0.27	4.15 ± 0.76*	5.71 ± 2.44**	2.47 ± 0.07	4.15 ± 0.71***
9m	2.08 ± 0.12*	2.34 ± 0.26**	3.15 ± 0.32	4.81 ± 0.49**	3.20 ± 0.42***	4.00 ± 0.57***	3.66 ± 0.98	4.54 ± 0.39*	1.73 ± 0.21	3.77 ± 0.82**
12m	2.43 ± 0.23***	4.31 ± 0.53***	3.28 ± 0.67*	4.92 ± 0.89*	3.02 ± 0.23***	3.82 ± 0.29***	3.72 ± 1.32	4.82 ± 0.29*	2.12 ± 0.27	3.59 ± 0.48**
CA%										
1m	3.21 ± 1.30	3.04 ± 1.01	15.56 ± 5.32	19.58 ± 5.75	6.54 ± 4.41	3.95 ± 2.61	15.01 ± 5.44	16.10 ± 8.45	9.20 ± 4.36	4.85 ± 2.27
3m	5.30 ± 2.41	3.10 ± 1.25	18.91 ± 8.27	17.39 ± 3.57	7.13 ± 4.04	12.60 ± 5.73**	32.12 ± 16.63*	19.43 ± 7.89	7.87 ± 3.14	9.63 ± 5.27
6m	5.71 ± 4.77	3.96 ± 2.14	23.87 ± 6.32	22.60 ± 2.99	10.70 ± 4.09	8.23 ± 2.79	28.44 ± 5.31	32.03 ± 14.07*	14.66 ± 4.59 <sup>×</sup>	18.20 ± 4.70***
9m	3.91 ± 3.03	7.16 ± 3.63	22.03 ± 2.25	20.22 ± 2.56	18.41 ± 5.66**	16.74 ± 1.45***	24.65 ± 5.35	25.53 ± 3.65	5.53 ± 2.38	17.00 ± 5.25***
12m	8.09 ± 4.46	20.77 ± 4.93***	27.65 ± 13.29	26.98 ± 8.51	13.20 ± 1.47	18.84 ± 4.40***	16.90 ± 8.29	18.90 ± 3.15	13.44 ± 4.92	29.20 ± 5.08***
CN										
1m	14 ± 8	20 ± 7	79 ± 19	104 ± 37	47 ± 27	24 ± 11	79 ± 24	52 ± 15	29 ± 10	19 ± 6
3m	19 ± 3	18 ± 8	162 ± 60	134 ± 52	46 ± 28	76 ± 27*	110 ± 24	73 ± 14	39 ± 11	66 ± 44
6m	17 ± 9	71 ± 37*	189 ± 26**	220 ± 37**	151 ± 58**	71 ± 21*	146 ± 37*	186 ± 54***	86 ± 42 <sup>×</sup>	88 ± 24
9m	$47 \pm 7^{x}$	89 ± 36**	220 ± 21	333 ± 73***	182 ± 30	244 ± 29***	156 ± 8 <sup>×</sup>	243 ± 27***	78 ± 44	143 ± 21**
12m	66 ± 39**	94 ± 8***	212 ± 58**	181 ± 43	185 ± 31***	241 ± 38***	159 ± 17**	235 ± 48***	141 ± 42**	269 ± 0.4***
BUN										
1m	16.6 ± 2.5	18.8 ± 3.5	19.3 ± 1.2	22.4 ± 4.8	16.0 ± 2.9	16.8 ± 4.1	18.6 ± 3.8	19.4 ± 5.1	17.2 ± 2.0	13.9 ± 0.7
3m	23.3 ± 1.0*	24.8 ± 1.8	22.6 ± 5.4	18.4 ± 2.3	19.8 ± 3.6	16.2 ± 1.8	25.2 ± 4.4	20.8 ± 3.0	24.0 ± 2.2*	$20.5 \pm 2.6^{\times}$
6m	24.8 ± 3.3**	$23.2 \pm 2.3$	$20.0 \pm 5.7^{\times}$	$24.8 \pm 0.5$	21.2 ± 3.8	16.0 ± 2.5	28.2 ± 4.3*	26.4 ± 5.7	29.3 ± 2.8***	$33.7 \pm 3.1^{\times}$
9m	24.5 ± 5.1**	24.7 ± 6.4	33.8 ± 9.0	30.4 ± 6.5	22.2 ± 4.4	19.8 ± 4.8	27.7 ± 4.7*	32.2 ± 4.8**	27.7 ± 2.1**	21.6 ± 1.1
12m	20.4 ± 2.0	40.6 ± 12.8***	40.8 ± 16.0*	32.2 ± 17.9	20.0 ± 6.1	25.4 ± 4.5**	24.8 ± 4.9	35.0 ± 7.4***	31.2 ± 4.2***	48.2 ± 10.5
FA%										
1m	1.44 ± 1.19	0.99 ± 0.40	1.30 ± 1.02	$0.90 \pm 0.47$	0.56 ± 0.07	$0.65 \pm 0.68$	0.54 ± 0.59	0.72 ± 0.55	0.27 ± 0.18	0.11 ± 0.07
3m	0.55 ± 0.35	1.76 ± 0.23	0.59 ± 0.35	1.76 ± 2.23	0.26 ± 0.17	0.83 ± 0.41	1.27 ± 0.72	1.59 ± 0.41	1.54 ± 0.53	1.04 ± 0.58 <sup>×</sup>
6m	1.67 ± 0.54	1.50 ± 0.82	3.00 ± 0.90	1.73 ± 0.54	1.41 ± 0.93	0.72 ± 0.22	2.36 ± 1.00	4.50 ± 1.75*	0.67 ± 0.83	1.28 ± 1.35
9m	2.77 ± 0.98	5.68 ± 1.66**	6.22 ± 5.36	2.61 ± 1.56	2.35 ± 1.74	7.68 ± 2.73*	5.88 ± 3.28*	6.30 ± 1.81**	1.64 ± 0.44	4.78 ± 2.73*
12m	11.11 ± 3.95***	9.81 ± 2.08***	6.05 ± 2.61	10.58 ± 3.94***	3.85 ± 0.12**	8.74 ± 4.61**	11.16 ± 3.24***	17.18 ± 4.10***	3.84 ± 1.61**	12.74 ± 0.34 <sup>×</sup>
cAMP										
1m	7.09 ± 4.28	6.83 ± 4.14	3.08 ± 1.29	4.28 ± 1.89	10.05 ± 2.24	8.97 ± 1.31	10.18 ± 2.91	17.15 ± 5.24	6.97 ± 2.95	7.72 ± 0.91
3m	4.61 ± 1.60	6.83 ± 2.06	2.60 ± 1.15	5.02 ± 2.64	7.45 ± 1.51	6.96 ± 1.14	17.93 ± 4.98	10.60 ± 3.91	3.84 ± 0.41	4.74 ± 1.15
6m	8.47 ± 2.97	7.18 ± 2.49	9.21 ± 4.86	8.43 ± 5.79	6.56 ± 1.68	12.24 ± 6.05	33.90 ± 13.85**	27.84 ± 5.56	13.16 ± 5.69*	8.10 ± 1.13
9m	13.72 ± 7.13	19.27 ± 4.85**	11.19 ± 2.27	11.93 ± 2.33	11.90 ± 4.73	18.30 ± 5.29	50.45 ± 6.86***	38.44 ± 17.13	8.95 ± 2.12	12.98 ± 5.80*
12m	14.31 ± 4.51 <sup>×</sup>	20.03 ± 6.82***	24.21 ± 9.56	21.94 ± 11.67***	14.34 ± 6.32	$23.70 \pm 11.93^*$	30.37	40.57 ± 14.31	6.95 ± 1.43	10.59 ± 0.06 <sup>×</sup>
ΤΚν										
1m	466 ± 91	432 ± 97	1109 ± 235	848	NA	403	676 ± 256	518 ± 97	519 ± 71	377 ± 63
3m	697 ± 238 <sup>×</sup>	579 ± 125	1581 <sup>×</sup>	1272 ± 241 <sup>×</sup>	827 ± 155	666 ± 179	1422 ± 303	928 ± 416	1044 ± 335	954 ± 200**
6m	944 ± 221**	660 ± 114**	1344 <sup>×</sup>	1105 <sup>×</sup>	NA	1021.22	1639 ± 121 <sup>×</sup>	1704 ± 196***	826 ± 128	1295 ± 474***
9m	717 ± 31	791 ± 146***	NA	2122 <sup>×</sup>	NA	NA	1649 ± 5 <sup>×</sup>	1966 ± 319***	1339 ± 207***	1637 ± 177***
12m	729 ± 70 <sup>×</sup>	956 ± 115***	NA	NA	NA	NA	1510 ± 114 <sup>×</sup>	1961	1166 ± 241	1531 ± 1209***

Table S2: Progression of disease by sex compared to 1m within each genetic background

One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test comparing sex-matched animals to 1-month mice within each background. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. BUN, mg/dL; cAMP, pmol/mg protein, TKV, mm<sup>3</sup>, NA, data not available.

Significance was not determined for groups with N<3, that are italicized. <sup>X</sup> Could not be statistically analyzed between males and females, x Could not be statistically analyzed between age-matched and sex-matched B6 mice. Number of animals per group are shown in Table 1.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Endpoint	Sham	Tolvaptan	Significance <sup>1</sup>			
Initial TKV (mm <sup>3</sup> )	331 ± 89	343 ± 89	0.6378			
Initial LnTKV	447 400	40.0 + 40.0	0 4007			

Table S3: Comparison of sham vs. tolvaptan-treated mice

Initial TKV (mm <sup>3</sup> )	331 ± 89	343 ± 89	0.6378
Initial LnTKV (mm³/cm body length)	44.7 ± 10.6	46.9 ± 10.8	0.4927
KW/BW%	2.76 ± 0.72	$2.20 \pm 0.44$	0.0020
Final LnTKV (mm³/cm body length)	99.5 ± 25.8	75.5 ± 21.2	0.0010
Change LnTKV% (%∆LnTKV)	126.3 ± 45.5	$64 \pm 40.0$	<0.0001
Cyst Number	147 ± 55	111 ± 39	0.0113
Cyst Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	197 ± 128	120 ± 1105	0.0125
Cystic Area%	19.73 ± 7.80	16.09 ± 5.83	0.0733
cAMP (pmol/mg total protein)	9.94 ± 4.23	7.74 ± 3.17	0.0481
BUN (mg/dL)	23.7 ± 7.1	19.6 ± 4.6	0.0225

Unpaired t-test compared to sham treated animals. Significant values are bolded.

Table S4: Sex-specific comparison of sham vs. tolvaptan-treated mice

Endpoint	Sha	am	Tolvaptan			
	Males	Males Females		Females		
Initial BW (g)	12.0 ± 3.0	12.1 ± 2.0	11.9 ± 2.1	12.3 ± 2.7		
Initial LnTKV (mm <sup>3</sup> /cm body length)	42.8 ± 11.6	46.7 ± 9.6	45.4 ± 8.9	48.3 ± 12.7		
Final BW (g)	27.4 ± 3.2***	22.7 ± 1.7	25.9 ± 2.2***	20.8 ± 1.9 t		
Final LnTKV (mm <sup>3</sup> /cm body length)	109.7 ± 27.5	89.3 ± 20.3	89.0 ± 19.7 <sub>†</sub> ***	62.0 ± 12.6 ttt		
Change LnTKV% (%∆LnTKV)	160.8 ± 36.3***	91.7 ± 20.1	96.1 ± 26.4 ± ***	31.2 ± 16.6 ±		
KW (g)	0.81 ± 0.31*	0.59 ± 0.13	0.62 ± 0.15**	0.42 ± 0.11 tt		
KW/BW%	2.87 ± 0.84	2.66 ± 0.58	2.35 ± 0.40	2.05 ± 0.45 tt		
Cyst Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	223 ± 157	171 ± 90	153 ± 70*	87 ± 47 tt		
Cyst Number	149 ± 64	145 ± 46	122 ± 34	100 ± 41 t		

Unpaired t-test comparing males vs. females within treatment groups. \*\*\*p<0.001. Unpaired t-test comparing sex-matched mice across treatment groups. † p<0.05, †† p<0.01, †† p<0.001

#### **Supplementary Figures**



Figure S1. Analysis of kidney cAMP across different genetic backgrounds in *Pkd1*<sup>RC/RC</sup> mice.

(**A**) Kidney cAMP was determined in mice from each background at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12m. Mean values +/- SD are shown on the scatter plot. The significance of the difference in the cAMP level in each background compared to the 1m baseline value was performed using a one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: \* p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. The significance of cAMP levels in each background were also determined compared to age matched B6 data using a two-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: \* p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.05, ††p<0.001. (**B**) Mice at each age and background were analyzed for sex specific differences in cAMP levels. Two-way ANOVA analysis followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test between males and females for age-matched mice of each genetic background: \*p<0.05. Each timepoint in each background was also compared to corresponding B6 mice to determine differences per sex in cystic disease using two-way ANOVA analysis followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test:

<sup>†</sup>p<0.05, <sup>†††</sup>p<0.001 Statistical analysis only performed on groups that contained N $\ge$ 3, and groups not analyzed are marked with X. Number of animals per group are shown in Table 1.



## Figure S2. Characterization of cystic disease in early perinatal *Pkd1*<sup>RC/RC</sup> mice across the genetic backgrounds.

(A) Masson Trichrome stained cross-sectional images of mice at P1, P4, P6, P12 and P18 in the different backgrounds. Scale bar 250µm. (B) CA measured from kidney cross sections for each background and timepoint shown as a scatter plot with the mean indicated. Change in cystic disease compared to the P1 baseline within each genetic background was analyzed with oneway ANOVA analysis followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. Each background was also compared to age matched B6 mice to determine differences in cystic disease by two-way ANOVA analysis followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test compared to age matched B6 mice: <sup>†</sup>p<0.05, <sup>††</sup>p<0.01, <sup>†††</sup>p<0.001. (**C**) Tubular segment origin of cysts for each of the backgrounds determined by LTA (proximal tubule; PT; white) or AQP2 (collecting duct; CD; green) staining or unstained/another segment (blue). Difference in %CD cysts compared to P1 within each genetic background was analyzed with oneway ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. Difference in %CD cysts from age matched B6 mice was determined by two-way ANOVA analysis followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: <sup>††</sup>p<0.01, <sup>†††</sup>p<0.001. (D) Examples of immunofluorescence imaging of cyst linings to determine origin of cysts (LTA, PT [white]; AQP2, CD [green]; DAPI, nuclei [blue]). Scale bar 50µm. Statistical analysis only performed on groups that contained N $\geq$ 3, and groups not analyzed are marked with X.





(A) Immunofluorescence imaging of cyst linings detected for PCNA (red), LTA (PT; white), AQP2 (CD; green) and DAPI (blue) to determine proliferating cystic epithelia (%PCE). PCNA positive nuclei were counted as representative of cell undergoing proliferation and only determined in cyst

linings. Cysts were identified as tubules with a diameter >200 $\text{um}^2$ . Scale bar 50 $\mu$ m. (**B**) Quantitative analysis of PCNA positive cells in cyst linings showing mean values. Three wildtype animals were collected and analyzed at P18 for each background, except F1(129/B6). Mean P18 wildtype %PCNA positive tubule epithelial cells: B6, 2.6; BC, 5.6; F1(BC/B6), 3.0; and 129, 1.3. The significance of differences in PCE compared to P1 baseline within each background was tested with a one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. The significance of differences between each background compared to age matched B6 data was determined with a two-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01.





(A) Representative kidney coronal MR sections from BC and F1(BC/B6) mice. Scale bar 1cm. Note that different animals were imaged at each timepoint. (B) Quantification of TKV determined from MR images. Arrow indicates urine retention. The significance of differences in TKV within each background compared to 1m baseline was determined with a one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test: \*p<0.05. The significance of differences in TKV in each background compared to age matched B6 mice was determined with a two-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test:  $^{+}p<0.01$ ,  $^{++}p<0.001$ . Statistical analysis only performed on groups that contained N≥3, and groups not analyzed are marked with X.

#### Figure S5



## Figure S5. Visualization of inter-kidney volume variability in individual 129 and F1(129/B6) *Pkd1*<sup>RC/RC</sup> mice.

Coronal MR sections of kidneys from 129 (**A**) and F1(129/B6) (**B**) *Pkd1*<sup>RC/RC</sup> mice at 1m and 3m exhibiting inter-kidney cystic variability especially in the 129-line. The left kidney volumes (LKV) and right kidney volumes (RKV) are shown. Scale bar 1cm. (**C**) Chart showing the inter-kidney difference in TKV by percentage for each mouse. Significant difference in 129 vs. F1(129/B6) at 1m and 3m was determined using an unpaired t-test \*\*\*p<0.001.



Figure S6. Comparison of final TKV and LnTKV to KW/BW (A), Cystic area (CA; B), KW (C) and LnKW (D).

Combined data from the preclinical trial comparing these endpoints at 13w. R<sup>2</sup> determined by linear regression analysis.





(**A**) Change in sham treated  $Pkd1^{RC/RC}$  F1(129/B6) males and females from start (3w) to end (13wks) of preclinical study. (**B**) Change in LnTKV in both sham and tolvaptan-treated males and females during the 10-week study. A paired t-test comparing animals at 3w and 13w resulted in p<0.001 for all four groups.



Figure S8. Preclinical testing of tolvaptan in F1(129/B6) *Pkd1*<sup>RC/RC</sup> mice, sex specific data. Initial BW (3w) (**A**) and LnTKV (**B**) in the sham and tolvaptan treated male and female groups. Corresponding final data (13w) for the endpoints: BW (**C**), LnTKV (**D**),  $\%\Delta$ LnTKV (**E**), KW (**F**), KW/BW (**G**), CV (**H**), and CN (**I**). Significant differences between males vs. females within treatment groups was determined using an unpaired t-test \*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.001. Differences between sex-matched animals between treatment groups were also determined using an unpaired t-test †p<0.05, <sup>++</sup>p<0.01, <sup>+++</sup>p<0.001. (**Table S4**)