Cell Reports, Volume 39

Supplemental information

IgG targeting distinct seasonal coronavirus-

conserved SARS-CoV-2 spike subdomains correlates

with differential COVID-19 disease outcomes

Jose L. Garrido, Matías A. Medina, Felipe Bravo, Sarah McGee, Francisco Fuentes-Villalobos, Mario Calvo, Yazmin Pinos, James W. Bowman, Christopher D. Bahl, Maria Ines Barria, Rebecca A. Brachman, and Raymond A. Alvarez

Supplemental Information

	SARS-CoV-2 Convalescent ve				
Clinical Characteristics	Convalescent	Naive			
Sex, female/male	12/16	12/8			
(Total)	(28)	(20)			
Median Age ± SD	38 ±10.1073292	38 ± 13.5418741			
Days from onset of disease to blood draw (median ± SD)	43 ± 17.1284933	55.5 ± 22.8414827			
Blood type					
A+	13	4			
B+	5	71			
B-	0	1			
AB+	1	1			
0+	8	7			
0-	1	1			
Underlying conditions	vit d3 deficient, depression, allergies, high cholesterol, high blood pressure, asthma, hypertension, high uric acid (gout), diabetes, pregnant, HIV	high cholesterol, high blood pressure, acid indigestion, inflammatory conditions, hypertension, overweight, seizures, ADHD, herpes, hypothyroid			

Table S1. Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 convalescent and naïve donors

	All convalescent donors			Mild convalescent donors			Severe convalescent donors		
Symptoms	Frequency of symproms (N=28)	Average symptom severity score (1-10)	Average Duration of Symptoms (Days)	Frequency of symproms (N=13)	Average symptom severity score (1-10)	Average Duration of Symptoms (Days)	Frequency of symproms (N=15)	Average symptom severity score (1-10)	Average Duration of Symptoms (Days)
Runny Nose	14/28 (50.0%)	5.14	9.20	7/13 (53.9%)	3.43	8.00	7/15 (46.7%)	6.86	8.67
Sore throat	16/28 (57.1%)	5.06	5.25	6/13 (53.8%)	3.75	3.50	10/15 (66.7%)	5.7	4.6
Cough	21/28 (75.0%)	5.33	8.50	9/13 (69.2%)	4.00	6.43	12/15 (80%)	6.33	9.00
Fatigue	25/28 (89.3%)	6.56	7.24	12/13 (92.3%)	4.36	4.73	13/15 (86.7%)	8.39	10.0
Myalgia	24/28 (87.5%)	6.83	6.33	11/13 (84.6%)	4.46	3.40	13/15 (86.7%)	8.62	8.69
Diarrhea	7/28 (25.0%)	6.14	8.14	2/13 (15.4%)	5.50	2.00	5/15 (33.3%)	6.40	9.80
Fever	19/28 (67.9%)	6.11	4.47	9/13 (69.2%)	4.09	2.78	10/15 (66.7%)	7.70	6.22
Vomitting	5/28 (17.9%)	4.30	4.00	3/13 (23.1%)	4.00	4.00	2/15 (13.3%)	4.75	3.00
Nausea	4/28 (14.3%)	5.50	4.00	2/13 (15.4%)	4.50	6.00	2/15 (13.3%)	6.50	7.00
Headache	24/28 (85.7%)	5.50	7.69	11/13 (86.6%)	3.85	3.70	13/15 (86.7%)	6.77	10.0
Shortness of breath	11/28 (39.3%)	4.00	8.00	2/13 (15.4%)	3.00	2.00	9/15 (60%)	4.22	8.11

Table S2. Symptomology of SARS-CoV-2 convalescent donors. Related to Table 1.

Table depicts frequency of COVID-19 symptoms experienced by convalescent donors. Symptom intensity was scored out of 10, with 10 being the most severe, and 0 not being experienced. Mild convalescent donors were defined as donors with composite symptom intensity scores below 45. Severe convalescent donors were defined as donors with composite symptom intensity scores above 45.



Supplementary Figure 1. Comparison of anti-spike IgG levels in convalescent donors as quantified by ELISA vs Cell-based assays. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Anti-spike IgG titers in SARS-CoV-2 naïve (CoV-2-) and SARS-CoV-2 positive convalescent (CoV-2+) donors (n=20 and 28, respectively), as quantified by recombinant spike protein ELISA vs Cell-based (CB) binding assay. Red line represents 3-fold above the mean anti-spike levels of naïve (CoV-2-) donors, as quantified by ELISA. (B,C) Levels of anti-spike IgG titers as quantified by (B) ELISA, or (C) Cell based IgG binding assay. SARS CoV-2 naïve (CoV-2-) and convalescent (CoV-2+) donors are shown with convalescent donors split by COVID19 severity scores. (n=20 naïve, 13 mild, 15 more severe and 17 ICU). The SEM of N=3 experiments are shown.





Figure depicts the levels of FcyR-signaling induce by purified IgG derived from SARS-CoV-2 convalescent donors in response to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein expressed on the surface of 293T cells (n=28). Graphs show the levels of **(A)** FcyRIIa and **(B)** FcyRIIIa signaling induced by 25 µg/ml of purified IgG from all SARS CoV-2 convalescent donors. Red line represents 2-fold above the mean anti-spike levels of all naïve (CoV-2⁻) donors in each FcyR signaling assay. Scatter plots show the area under the **(C)** FcyRIIa or **(D)** FcyRIIIa signaling curve versus COVID-19 severity scores. Scatter plots show the area under the **(E)** FcyRIIa or **(F)** FcyRIIIa signaling curve versus the levels of anti-spike IgG titers as quantified by cell-based IgG binding assay. Scatter plots show the area under the **(G)** FcyRIIa or **(H)** FcyRIIIa signaling curve versus the levels of anti-spike IgG titers as quantified by cell-based IgG binding assay. Scatter plots show the area under the **(G)** FcyRIIa or **(H)** FcyRIIIa signaling curve versus the levels of anti-spike IgG titers as quantified by cell-based IgG binding assay. Scatter plots show the area under the **(G)** FcyRIIa or **(H)** FcyRIIIa signaling curve versus the levels of anti-spike IgG titers as quantified by cell-based IgG binding assay. Scatter plots show the area under the **(G)** FcyRIIa or **(H)** FcyRIIIa signaling curve versus the levels of anti-spike IgG titers as quantified by Cl_Sug/ml, \mathcal{S}_{10} /ml, \mathcal{S}_{10} /ml). Area under all points was used to calculate AUC. The SEM of N=3 experiments are shown, along with significance of slopes and r² values.

	SARS CoV-2 Spike protein sequence	Functional region	Domain Homology with other hCoV spike protein					
Sequence Numbering			betacoronaviruses			alphacoronaviruses		
			SARS1	OC43	HKU1	NL63	229E	
S-1-1273	SARS CoV-2	Full spike protien	78%	32%	30%	24%	26%	
S1-319-541	RVQPTESIVRFP NITNLCPFGEVF NATRFASVYAW NRKRISNCVADY SVLYNSASFSTF KCYGVSPTKLND LCFTNVYADSFVI RGDEVRQIAPGQ TGKIADYNYKLP DDFTGCVIAWNS NNLDSKVGGNY NYLYRLFRKSNL KPFERDISTEIYQ AGSTPCNGVEG FNCYFPLQSYGF QPTNGVGYQPY RVVVLSFELLHA PATVCGPKKSTN LVKNKCVNF	Receptor Binding Domain (RBD)	73.0%	20.7%	20.3%	17.1%	12.6%	
S1-556-576 (Pep4)	NKKFLPFQQFGR DIADTTDAV	C-terminus domain (CTD1)	66.7%	14.9%	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	
S1-618-638 (Pep1)	TEVPVAIHADQL TPTWRVYST	C-terminus domain (CTD2)	76.2%	19.0%	14.3%	4.8%	14.3%	
S1/S2-672- 687 (Pep2)	ASYQTQTNSPR RARSV	Furin cleavage site (S1/S2)	37.5%	25.0%	31.3%	6.3%	0.0%	
S2-805-823 (Pep5)	ILPDPSKPSKRSF IEDLLF	S2' Fusion protein (S2'FP)	89.5%	63.2%	47.4%	52.6%	47.4%	
S2-1143-1167 (Pep6)	PELDSFKEELDK YFKNHTSPDVDL G	5' HR2 flanking region (5'fHR2)	100.0%	60.0%	44.0%	12.0%	16.0%	
S2-1179-1213 (Pep3)	IQKEIDRLNEVAK NLNESLIDLQELG KYE QYIKWP	Heptad Repeat- 2 (HR2) region	100.0%	60.0%	42.9%	34.3%	42.9%	

Table S3. Sequence identity of SARS CoV-2 Immunodominant epitopes and functional regions in comparison to seasonal hCoVs. Related to Figure 2.

The level of sequence identity between spike proteins were assessed using PRALINE software (IBIVU), by comparing SARS-CoV-2 spike protein sequence (Genbank YP_009724390.1) to spike protein sequences of SARS1 (Genbank AAP13567.1), OC43 (Genbank AVR40344.1), HKU1 (YP_173238.1), NL63 (APF29071.1), or 229E (APT69883.1). Percent sequence identity was measured by the level of exact AA conservation in reference to the SARS-CoV-2 spike sequence. Gaps in hCoV sequences were treated as no conservation.



Supplementary Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 convalescent IgG differentially target seasonal CoVconserved and non-conserved SARS-CoV-2 immunodominant epitopes. Related to Figure 5. Graphs compare the levels of IgG-binding to (A) RBD, (B) CTD1, (C) S2'FP, (D) CTD2 (E) HR2, (F) S1/S2 (G) 5'F HR2 regions in in SARS-CoV-2 naïve (CoV-2-, n=20) and SARS-CoV-2 positive convalescent (CoV-2+, n=28) donors. Convalescent donors were split into two groups based on COVID19 severity scores, MILD (<45, n=13), and more severe (>45, n=15). The SEM of N=3 experiments are shown.



Supplementary Figure 4. Preferential antibody-binding to different regions of the SARS CoV-2 spike correlates with COVID-19 severity. Related to Figure 6.

(A,C) Scatter matrix chart summarizes the Spearman's correlation (r values, upper) and the scatter plots (lower) between all analyzed variables for samples separated by mild (n=13) or more severe (n=15) symptoms, respectively. The small bar graphs (diagonal) represent the distribution of data for each variable. (B,D) Heatmaps show the Spearman's correlations (r values) between IgG-Spike or IgG-RBD and the levels of IgG targeting the six functional spike domains for samples separated by **B**) mild (n=13) or **D**) more severe (n=15) symptoms.