Supplemental Online Content

Boutelle KN, Eichen DM, Peterson CB, et al. Effect of a novel intervention targeting appetitive traits on body mass index among adults with overweight or obesity: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(5):e2212354. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.12354

eTable. Primary Outcome Analysis of Relationship Between Treatment Allocation and Percent Body Fat Measured With Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry at Posttreatment (Month 12) and 12-Month Follow-Up (Month 24) Assessments

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable. Primary Outcome Analysis of Relationship Between Treatment Allocation

and Percent Body Fat Measured With Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry at

Posttreatment (Month 12) and 12-Month Follow-Up (Month 24) Assessments Note:

Body composition measurements available for sub-sample completing the DXA

procedure (ROC n = 32; BWL n = 33; ROC+ n = 33; AC n = 28).

| | Body Fat% | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Comparison with AC | Comparison with BWL |
| | Est | Est |
| | (2.5%,97.5%) | (2.5%,97.5%) |
| Difference at End of Treatment | | |
| AC | Ref | 1.56 |
| | | (-0.18, 3.29) |
| ROC | 0.19 | 1.74 |
| | (-1.58,1.94) | (0.01, 3.47) |
| ROC+ | -1.58* | -0.41 |
| | (-3.71, -0.22) | (-2.04,1.23) |
| BWL | -1.55 | Ref |
| | (-3.29, 0.19) | |
| Difference in Rate of Change from Post-Treatment to Final Follow-up | | |
| AC | Ref | -1.35 |
| | | (-2.73, 0.03) |
| ROC | -0.89 | 2.24* |
| | (-2.34,0.55) | (-3.59, -0.89) |
| ROC+ | 0.34 | -1.01 |
| | (-1.07,1.74) | (-2.32, 0.29) |
| BWL | 1.35 | Ref |
| | (-0.03, 2.73) | |

Note: AC = Active Comparator; ROC = Regulation of Cues; ROC+= Regulation of Cues + Behavioral Weight Loss; BWL = Behavioral Weight Loss. All models include covariates for age, sex, race-ethnicity, physical activity, loss of control eating and baseline percent body fat. Estimates (Est) and credible intervals (2.5% to 97.5% intervals reflect a 95% probability of where the true estimate would lie, given the current study data) are estimated from joint imputation models. * = Bayesian tail probability p<0.05