Online Appendix for Public Trust, Policing, and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Evidence from an Electoral Authoritarian Regime

Supporting Information not meant for print publication.

APPENDIX

A	Descriptive statistics	2
B	Districts in Study Sample	4
С	Ethics and Survey Protocol	6
D	Additional analyses	7

A DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

Table A.1:	Summary	Statistics
------------	---------	------------

	Obs	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Summary Compliance Index	2586	0.16	1.01	-8.2	2.0
Stayed Home (Last 7 Days)	2587	2.63	2.42	0.0	7.0
N. Times Religious Service (Last 7 Days)	2587	0.10	0.60	0.0	7.0
N. Times Restaurant/Cafe (Last 7 Days)	2587	0.50	1.40	0.0	7.0
N. Times Comm. Meeting (Last 7 Days)	2587	0.19	0.58	0.0	7.0
N. Times Social Gathering (Last 7 Days)	2587	0.39	0.76	0.0	7.0
Kept Social Distance	2587	2.71	1.08	1.0	4.0
Worn Face Mask	2586	3.08	1.05	1.0	4.0
Agreement with lockdown	2587	4.22	1.24	1.0	5.0
Agreement with ban on gatherings	2586	4.31	1.22	1.0	5.0
Agreement with social distancing	2585	4.69	0.71	1.0	5.0
Trust Central Gov (Baseline)	2214	2.85	1.04	1.0	4.0
Trust Police (Baseline)	2235	3.30	1.38	1.0	5.0
Trust District Gov (Baseline)	2118	2.49	1.07	1.0	4.0
Trust in LC3 Gov (Baseline)	2170	2.77	1.04	1.0	4.0
Trust LC1 Gov (Baseline)	2140	3.27	0.97	1.0	4.0
Trust People in Community (Baseline)	2244	2.70	0.91	1.0	4.0
Trust Central Gov (Follow-up)	2569	3.19	0.93	1.0	4.0
Trust MoH (Follow-up)	2585	3.58	0.68	1.0	4.0
Trust Police (Follow-up)	2583	2.88	1.04	1.0	4.0
Trust District Gov (Follow-up)	2552	2.39	1.08	1.0	4.0
Trust LC1 Gov (Follow-up)	2585	3.25	0.88	1.0	4.0
Trust People in Community (Follow-up)	2584	2.85	0.92	1.0	4.0
NRM Party ID	2617	0.65	0.48	0.0	1.0
N. Correct Symptoms Named	2587	2.89	0.40	0.0	3.0
N. COVID Facts Correct	2587	2.33	0.75	0.0	3.0
Female	2617	0.48	0.50	0.0	1.0
Age	2617	43.33	14.29	18.0	95.0
Education	2617	1.62	1.38	0.0	7.0
Religiosity	2587	3.15	0.82	1.0	5.0

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variable	COVID Sample	Baseline Attrition	Difference
Age	41.757	41.493	-0.264
	(14.175)	(17.660)	(0.552)
Married	0.801	0.696	-0.105**
	(0.399)	(0.460)	(0.015)
Have Children	0.931	0.861	-0.070**
	(0.253)	(0.346)	(0.010)
Literacy	0.784	0.586	-0.197**
	(0.412)	(0.493)	(0.016)
Level of Education	1.612	1.179	-0.432**
	(1.371)	(1.092)	(0.046)
Employed	0.880	0.822	-0.057**
	(0.325)	(0.382)	(0.012)
HH Income	167250	93,824	-73426**
	(408713)	(240116)	(12,830)
Trust LC1	2.266	2.271	0.004
	(0.974)	(0.991)	(0.035)
Trust LC3 Govt	1.766	1.799	0.033
	(1.042)	(1.079)	(0.038)
Trust District Govt	1.491	1.574	0.083*
	(1.067)	(1.072)	(0.039)
Trust Central Govt	1.845	1.958	0.113**
	(1.040)	(1.042)	(0.037)
Trust Police	3.296	3.535	0.239**
	(1.383)	(1.365)	(0.049)
Observations	2,245	1,211	

Table A.2: Attrition from Baseline Sample

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variable	Afrobarometer R8	Covid Sample	Difference
Age	36.916	40.842	3.926**
	(14.991)	(13.612)	(0.568)
No Formal Education	0.111	0.079	-0.032**
	(0.314)	(0.269)	(0.011)
Close to a Party	0.767	0.757	-0.010
-	(0.423)	(0.429)	(0.018)
NRM ID	0.510	0.650	0.140**
	(0.500)	(0.477)	(0.020)
Observations	904	1,905	

Table A.3: Comparison with Uganda Afrobarometer R8

Note: Afrobarometer sample is from rural enumeration areas in Uganda's round 8 survey.

"Covid" sample is our mobile phone survey sample used for main analysis.

B DISTRICTS IN STUDY SAMPLE

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variable	Out of Sample Districts	Covid Sample Districts	Difference
District Population	261152	453163	192011**
	(226052)	(90,500)	(63,557)
Age	19.937	20.554	0.616*
	(1.038)	(0.782)	(0.299)
Literacy	0.437	0.494	0.057
	(0.102)	(0.045)	(0.029)
Years of Education	3.850	4.494	0.645*
	(1.011)	(0.537)	(0.286)
Own Vehicle	0.033	0.042	0.009
	(0.034)	(0.017)	(0.010)
Own Motorcycle	0.089	0.100	0.011
	(0.043)	(0.030)	(0.012)
Own Television	0.070	0.111	0.042
	(0.097)	(0.058)	(0.028)
Own Radio	0.593	0.655	0.063
	(0.156)	(0.087)	(0.044)
Sugar consumption	0.643	0.727	0.084
	(0.177)	(0.145)	(0.051)
Distance to Water	0.967	0.874	-0.093
	(0.328)	(0.274)	(0.095)
Rooms in Home	1.899	1.946	0.047
	(0.211)	(0.125)	(0.060)
Observations	99	13	112

Table B.1: Sample District Comparison - Census Data

Note: Table shows average characteristics of districts based on 2014 census data.

Figure B.1: Location of Districts in Sample



C ETHICS AND SURVEY PROTOCOL

Studying public health compliance in the initial wave of the COVID-19 pandemic has important ethical implications. Our main aim throughout was to learn from and contribute to prior research on this topic while ensuring that minimal risk was posed to survey respondents. A primary ethical concern was making sure that our efforts would not contribute to the transmission of the virus. A secondary concern was reducing anxiety around discussing difficult or socially sensitive issues. We took several steps to address these concerns before implementing our study.

Most important, our study relied on a mobile phone survey rather than face-to-face interviews, which reduced the risk of transmitting the virus. The mobile phone survey built on a previous in-person survey that was conducted as part of a community policing study. In-person survey respondents indicated whether they were willing to be contacted again in future research. This provided a unique opportunity for us to follow up with an existing sample of respondents with whom our implementing partner IPA had already established a relationship, this time focusing on both policing and public health.

To reduce anxiety around socially sensitive issues, we repeatedly reassured respondents that they could skip individual questions or entire modules if they experienced any discomfort during the survey. We also worked closely with both university IRBs and Ugandan review boards to ensure that best ethical practices were used throughout. Our study was approved by one of the author's university IRB, MILDMAY Uganda Research and Ethics Committee (MUREC), and the Ugandan National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) (ID numbers redacted for anonymity during peer review). Our study was preregistered in the American Economic Association's registry for randomized controlled trials (ID number redacted for anonymity during peer review).

In our study, we discuss our sample. We provide a few additional comments here. On the one hand, admittedly, our sample cannot be considered nationally representative. We show how our sample varies from rural enumeration areas in Round 8 of the Afrobarometer. On average the respondents in our sample are somewhat older, less educated, and more likely to be NRM supporters than those in the Afrobarometer (see Table A.3). On the other hand, with the imperative of using mobile phones to reach respondents during the pandemic, no survey could have recovered a nationally representative sample given the relatively low rates of mobile phone ownership in rural Uganda (and rural Africa more broadly). A key advantage of our study's sample over much of the existing work is that our measures of respondents' trust have been recorded more than a year prior to the onset of the pandemic.

D ADDITIONAL ANALYSES

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Support for	Support for Social	
	Ban on Gatherings	Distancing Rules	Index of Support
Base category: Government			
International NGOs	-0.09^+	-0.06	-0.09^+
	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Religious leaders	-0.15**	-0.07	-0.14**
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Traditional leaders	-0.10*	-0.04	-0.09^+
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Constant	0.09*	0.04	0.08^{*}
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Observations	2584	2584	2584

Table D.1: Endorsement Experiment

Notes: OLS regression models with standard errors clustered at the village level.

DVs: (1) Agreement with ban on gatherings; (2) Agreement with social distancing rules; (3) Index of agreement with ban and social distancing rules.



Figure D.1: Support for Banning Gatherings (Pairwise Comparisons)

Notes: Pairwise comparisons of linear predictions from Model 1 in Table D.1 with 95% confidence intervals. Standard errors are clustered at the village level.

Variable	Go N	(1) vernment Mean/SE	In N	(2) tl NGOs Mean/SE	R N	(3) eligious Mean/SE	Tr N	(4) aditional Mean/SE	F-test for joint orthogonality (p-value)
Female	670	0.455 (0.019)	638	0.505 (0.020)	654	0.489 (0.020)	625	0.462 (0.020)	0.245
Age	670	42.909 (0.540)	638	43.265 (0.554)	654	43.315 (0.543)	625	43.198 (0.593)	0.955
Education	670	1.590 (0.050)	638	1.672 (0.057)	654	1.613 (0.054)	625	1.643 (0.057)	0.723
Religiosity	670	3.142 (0.032)	638	3.161 (0.034)	654	3.165 (0.034)	625	3.112 (0.029)	0.645
NRM Party ID	670	0.666 (0.018)	638	0.674 (0.019)	654	0.665 (0.018)	625	0.634 (0.019)	0.446
Income (Standard)	568	0.018 (0.048)	540	-0.013 (0.033)	555	-0.063 (0.023)	549	-0.003 (0.034)	0.429
Trust Central Govt	563	1.824 (0.044)	535	1.910 (0.044)	547	1.788 (0.046)	543	1.875 (0.044)	0.221
Trust Police	564	3.280 (0.058)	539	3.410 (0.058)	554	3.235 (0.059)	550	3.271 (0.060)	0.171
Trust District Govt	546	1.557 (0.045)	509	1.438 (0.048)	522	1.446 (0.046)	514	1.527 (0.047)	0.185
Trust in LC3 Govt	556	1.768 (0.045)	519	1.782 (0.045)	535	1.753 (0.044)	533	1.769 (0.045)	0.977
Trust LC1 Govt	546	2.266 (0.042)	510	2.257 (0.044)	528	2.278 (0.041)	530	2.270 (0.043)	0.988
Trust Community	570	1.709 (0.037)	539	1.714 (0.040)	557	1.740 (0.038)	550	1.620 (0.039)	0.142

Table D.2: Endorsement Expe	eriment - Balance Tests
-----------------------------	-------------------------

		DĽ	D' / ' /	1.02	LOI		
	Central	Police	District	LC3	LCI	Community	NRM ID
Central Gov	1						
Police	0.316	1					
District Gov	0.354	0.257	1				
LC3 Gov	0.291	0.230	0.445	1			
LC1 Gov	0.210	0.255	0.214	0.253	1		
Community	0.252	0.224	0.246	0.270	0.290	1	
NRM ID	0.154	0.0920	0.0593	0.107	0.0467	0.0754	1
Bottom Pane	el: Follow	-up Meas	sures of T	rust			
	Central	Police	District	MoH	LC1	Community	NRM ID
Central Gov	1						
Police	0.453	1					
District Gov	0.415	0.344	1				
MoH	0.374	0.397	0.243	1			
LC1	0.249	0.282	0.278	0.192	1		
Community	0.187	0.228	0.266	0.172	0.402	1	
NRM ID	0.223	0.196	0.103	0.135	0.0199	0.0296	1

Table D.3: Correlations in Measures of Trust

Top Panel: Baseline Measures of Trust

Tan Danah Dagakua Magannag at Turat							
Top ratief: Dasenne Measur	es of trus	ι (Γ)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Trust in Government (Index)	0.06^{*}			0.06^{*}			
	(0.03)			(0.03)			
Trust LC1 Govt		0.03		0.01			
		(0.02)		(0.03)			
Trust People in Community			0.04	0.02			
			(0.03)	(0.03)			
NRM Party ID	0.10	0.11^{+}	0.11^{+}	0.10			
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)			
Constant	-0.76***	-0.68***	-0.73***	-0.72***			
	(0.08)	(0.10)	(0.08)	(0.10)			
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905			
Bottom Panel: Follow-up M	easures of	Trust					
	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
Trust in Government (Index)	0.09**			0.08**			
	(0.03)			(0.03)			
Trust LC1		0.04		0.01			
		(0.03)		(0.03)			
Trust People in Community			0.05^{+}	0.03			
			(0.03)	(0.03)			
NRM Party ID	0.07	0.11^{+}	0.11^{+}	0.07			
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)			
Constant	-0.79***	-0.79***	-0.82***	-0.82***			
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)			
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905			

Table D.4: Correlates of Public Health Compliance (with Partisanship)

Notes: OLS regression models with standard errors clustered at the village level.

Each model includes covariates for gender, age, education, and income levels at baseline. DV: Index of public health compliance.

Standard errors in parentheses

Top Panel: Baseline Measures of Trust								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
Trust Central Govt	0.04				0.02			
	(0.03)				(0.03)			
Trust Police		0.06^{*}			0.05^{+}			
		(0.03)			(0.03)			
Trust District Govt			0.03		0.01			
			(0.02)		(0.03)			
Trust in LC3 Govt				0.04	0.02			
				(0.03)	(0.03)			
NRM Party ID	0.10^{+}	0.10^{+}	0.11^{+}	0.11^{+}	0.10			
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)			
Constant	-0.74***	-0.76***	-0.77***	-0.76***	-0.75***			
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)			
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905	1905			
Bottom Panel: Follow-	up Measu	res of Tru	ist					
	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)			
Trust Central Govt	0.06*				0.02			
	(0.03)				(0.03)			
Trust Police		0.08**			0.05			
		(0.03)			(0.03)			
Trust District Govt			0.05^{+}		0.02			
			(0.03)		(0.03)			
Trust MoH				0.07**	0.05^{+}			
				(0.03)	(0.03)			
NRM Party ID	0.09	0.08	0.11^{+}	0.09	0.07			
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)			
Constant	-0.77***	-0.70***	-0.82***	-0.76***	-0.76***			
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.09)			
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
<u>.</u>	1007	1005	1005	1005	1005			

Table D.5: Disaggregating Trust in the State (With NRM ID)

Notes: OLS regression models with standard errors clustered at the village level.

Each model includes covariates for gender, age, education, and income levels at baseline. DV: Index of public health compliance.

Standard errors in parentheses

+ $p < 0.10, \, {}^{*} \, p < 0.05, \, {}^{**} \, p < 0.01, \, {}^{***} \, p < 0.001$

Top Panel: Baseline Measures of Trust					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Trust in Government (Index)	0.09***			0.08**	
	(0.03)			(0.03)	
Trust LC1 Govt		0.04^{+}		0.02	
		(0.02)		(0.03)	
Trust People in Community			0.03	-0.00	
			(0.03)	(0.03)	
Constant	-0.40***	-0.26***	-0.33***	-0.36***	
	(0.01)	(0.06)	(0.02)	(0.06)	
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905	
Bottom Panel: Follow-up M	easures of	Trust			
	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Trust in Government (Index)	0.13***			0.12***	
	(0.03)			(0.03)	
Trust LC1		0.04^{+}		-0.00	
		(0.03)		(0.03)	
Trust People in Community			0.05^{+}	0.01	
			(0.03)	(0.03)	
Constant	-0.49***	-0.39***	-0.41***	-0.50***	
	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.04)	
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905	

Table D.6: Correlates of Public Health Compliance (No Controls)

Notes: OLS regression models with S.E. clustered at the village level.

Standard errors in parentheses

+ $p < 0.10,\,^{*} p < 0.05,\,^{**} p < 0.01,\,^{***} p < 0.001$

Ton Panel· Raselin	e Measur	es of Trust			
Top I and Dasenn	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Trust Central Govt	0.06*	(2)	(3)	(1)	$\frac{(3)}{0.03}$
Trust Central Gove	(0.00)				(0.03)
Trust Doligo	(0.03)	0 00***			0.02**
Trust I once		(0.03)			(0.03)
Transt District Court		(0.03)	$0.04 \pm$		(0.03)
Trust District Govt			(0.04)		(0.01)
			(0.03)	0.04	(0.03)
Trust in LC3 Govt				0.04	0.01
-				(0.03)	(0.03)
Constant	-0.36***	-0.40***	-0.37***	-0.36***	-0.41***
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905	1905
Bottom Panel: Foll	low-up Me	easures of	Trust		
	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Trust Central Govt	0.08**				0.02
	(0.03)				(0.03)
Trust Police		0.11***			0.08*
		(0.03)			(0.03)
Trust District Govt			0.06*		0.01
			(0.03)		(0.03)
Trust MoH			(0100)	0.10***	0.05^+
				(0.03)	(0.03)
Constant	-0.43***	-0.37***	-0.45***	-0.41***	-0.44***
	(0.03)	(0.00)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.05)
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905	1905

Table D.7: Disaggregating Trust in the State (No Controls)

Notes: OLS regression models with standard errors clustered at the village level. DV: Index of public health compliance.

Standard errors in parentheses

Top Panel: Baseline Measur	es of Trus	t		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Trust in Government (Index)	0.07*			0.06^{+}
	(0.02)			(0.03)
Trust LC1 Govt		0.03^{+}		0.01
		(0.02)		(0.02)
Trust People in Community			0.04	0.02
			(0.02)	(0.03)
Constant	-0.66***	-0.57***	-0.62***	-0.63***
	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.04)
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905
Bottom Panel: Follow-up M	easures of	Trust		
	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Trust in Government (Index)	0.10**			0.09*
	(0.03)			(0.03)
Trust LC1		0.05^{*}		0.01
		(0.02)		(0.02)
Trust People in Community			0.05^{+}	0.03
			(0.03)	(0.03)
Constant	-0.72***	-0.69***	-0.72***	-0.76***
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES
\mathbf{V}^{11}		TTEC	TIPO	TIC
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table D.8: Correlates of Public Health Compliance - District Clustered S.E.

Notes: OLS regression models with standard errors clustered at the DISTRICT level. Each model includes covariates for gender, age, education, and income levels at baseline. DV: Index of public health compliance.

Standard errors in parentheses

+ $p < 0.10, \, ^{\ast} \, p < 0.05, \, ^{\ast\ast} \, p < 0.01, \, ^{\ast\ast\ast} \, p < 0.001$

Top Panel: Baseline M	easures of	Trust			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Trust Central Govt	0.05				0.03
	(0.03)				(0.03)
Trust Police		0.06^{*}			0.05^{+}
		(0.02)			(0.03)
Trust District Govt			0.03		0.00
			(0.03)		(0.04)
Trust in LC3 Govt				0.04^{+}	0.02
				(0.02)	(0.03)
Constant	-0.65***	-0.65***	-0.66***	-0.66***	-0.66***
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905	1905
Bottom Panel: Follow-	up Measu	res of Tru	st		
	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Trust Central Govt	0.07*				0.02
	(0.03)				(0.03)
Trust Police		0.08**			0.05^{+}
		(0.02)			(0.02)
Trust District Govt			0.05		0.02
			(0.03)		(0.03)
Trust MoH				0.08**	0.05*
				(0.03)	(0.02)
Constant	-0.69***	-0.62***	-0.72***	-0.68***	-0.69***
	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.06)
Demographic Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table D.9: Disaggregating	Trust in the State -	District Clustered S.E.
---------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------

Notes: OLS regression models with standard errors clustered at the DISTRICT level. Each model includes covariates for gender, age, education, and income levels at baseline.

DV: Index of public health compliance.

Standard errors in parentheses

Top Panel: Baseline Measures of Trust				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Trust in Government (Index)	-0.02			-0.01
	(0.02)			(0.02)
Trust LC1 Govt	· · /	-0.03		-0.03
		(0.02)		(0.02)
Trust People in Community			-0.01	-0.00
-			(0.02)	(0.02)
Female	-0.13***	-0.13***	-0.14***	-0.13***
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Age	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Education	0.11***	0.11***	0.11***	0.11***
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Income	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Constant	1.18***	1.11***	1.17***	1.11***
	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.04)	(0.06)
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905
Bottom Panel: Follow-up M	easures of	Trust		
Trust in Government (Index)	-0.05*			-0.04
	(0.02)			(0.02)
Trust LC1		-0.04*		-0.03^{+}
		(0.02)		(0.02)
Trust People in Community			-0.02	0.00
			(0.02)	(0.02)
Female	-0.12**	-0.14***	-0.14***	-0.13***
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Age	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Education	0.10***	0.10***	0.11***	0.10***
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Income	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.00
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Constant	1.21***	1.22***	1.20***	1.23***
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905

Table D.10: Correlates of COVID Knowledge (True/False Questions)

Notes: OLS regression models with standard errors clustered at the village level. DV: Count of correct responses to COVID T/F Questions

Standard errors in parentheses

+ $p < 0.10, \, ^{\ast} \, p < 0.05, \, ^{\ast\ast} \, p < 0.01, \, ^{\ast\ast\ast} \, p < 0.001$

Top Panel: Baseline Measur	es of Tru	st		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Trust in Government (Index)	-0.01			-0.01
	(0.01)			(0.01)
Trust LC1 Govt		-0.00		-0.01
		(0.01)		(0.01)
Trust People in Community			0.02	0.02^{+}
			(0.01)	(0.01)
Female	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Age	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
C	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Education	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Income	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Constant	1.99***	1.98***	2.00***	2.00***
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)
Village FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1905	1905	1905	1905
Bottom Panel: Follow-up M	easures o	f Trust		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
······································	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Trust in Government (Index)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8) -0.01
Trust in Government (Index)	(5) -0.01 (0.01)	(6)	(7)	(8) -0.01 (0.01)
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1	(5) -0.01 (0.01)	-0.01	(7)	(8) -0.01 (0.01) -0.01
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1	(5) -0.01 (0.01)	(6) -0.01 (0.01)	(7)	(8) -0.01 (0.01) -0.01 (0.01)
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community	(5) -0.01 (0.01)	(6) -0.01 (0.01)	-0.00	(8) -0.01 (0.01) -0.01 (0.01) 0.00
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community	(5) -0.01 (0.01)	(6) -0.01 (0.01)	(7) -0.00 (0.01)	(8) -0.01 (0.01) -0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01)
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female	(5) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02	(8) -0.01 (0.01) -0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 0.02
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female	(5) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02)	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02)	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02)	$ \begin{array}{r} (8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \end{array} $
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age	(5) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02	$(8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ $
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age	(5) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01)	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01)	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01)	$(8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.01) \\ (0.02) \\ (0.01) \\ (0$
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age Education	(5) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01	$(8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01$
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age Education	$\begin{array}{c} (5) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01)	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01)	$(8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ (0.0$
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age Education Income	$\begin{array}{c} (5) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00	$\begin{array}{r} (8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \end{array}$
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age Education Income	$\begin{array}{c} (5) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01)	$\begin{array}{c} (8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age Education Income Constant	$\begin{array}{c} (5) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 2.00^{***} \end{array}$	(6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 2.00****	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 2.00^{***}	$\begin{array}{c} (8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 2.00^{***} \end{array}$
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age Education Income Constant	$\begin{array}{c} (5) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 2.00^{***} \\ (0.02) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 2.00^{***} \\ (0.02) \end{array}$	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 2.00^{***} (0.02)	$\begin{array}{c} (8) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ -0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.02) \\ -0.02 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.01 \\ (0.01) \\ 0.00 \\ (0.01) \\ 2.00^{***} \\ (0.03) \end{array}$
Trust in Government (Index) Trust LC1 Trust People in Community Female Age Education Income Constant Village FE	(5) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 2.00*** (0.02) YES	 (6) -0.01 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 2.00*** (0.02) YES 	(7) -0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 2.00*** (0.02) YES	(8) -0.01 (0.01) -0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02) -0.02 (0.01) 0.01 (0.01) 0.00 (0.01) 2.00*** (0.03) YES

Table D.11. Conclates of Knowledge of COVID Symptoms	Table D.11:	Correlates of	Knowledge of	COVID Symptoms
--	-------------	---------------	--------------	-----------------------

Notes: OLS regression models with S.E. clustered at the village level (in parentheses).

DV: Knowledge of COVID symptoms (count)

	(1)	
Delta		
Constant	0.51***	
	(0.04)	
Gamma		
Constant	1.51***	
	(0.02)	
Observations	2586	

Table D.12: List Experiment on Not Wearing Masks

Notes: Least squares linear estimator with standard errors clustered at the village level.

Delta = estimated proportion of sample not wearing mask in public.

Gamma = predicted number of affirmative answers to non-sensitive items.

+ p < 0.10, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Table D.13: List Experiment on Attending Religious Service

	(1)	
Delta		
Constant	0.05	
	(0.03)	
Gamma		
Constant	1.49***	
	(0.02)	
Observations	2587	

Notes: Least squares linear estimator with standard errors clustered at the village level.

Delta = estimated proportion of sample attending church or mosque in last 7 days.

Gamma = predicted number of affirmative answers to non-sensitive items.