	-5	-2	-1	0	1	~	] ]
GC-MERGE versus MLP	0.046583	0.04663	0.03228	0.086054	0.1546	0.135913	0.213187
GC-MERGE versus Shuffled	0.020962	0.025374	0.014269	0.049417	0.087833	0.08284	0.122037
Table S6: Performance improvement of GC-MERGE over shuffled and MLP baselines. Sim-							
ilar to Supplementary Figure S4, the performance improvement (change in PCC) of GC-MERGE							

**Performance Improvement** 

Gene Expression Category (Log<sub>2</sub> Fold Change)

Table S6: **Performance improvement of GC-MERGE over shuffled and MLP baselines.** Similar to Supplementary Figure S4, the performance improvement (change in PCC) of GC-MERGE over the MLP and shuffled baselines are broken down by differential expression. It can be seen that the advantage of GC-MERGE over the other two baselines is greater for genes that have high differential expression.