Supplementary file 4: RAMESES publication standards checklist

1	Title, identifies the document as a realist	Yes	Page 1
	synthesis or review.		
2	Abstracts should ideally contain brief details	Yes	Page 3-4
	of the study's background, review question		
	or objectives; search strategy; methods of		
	selection, appraisal, analysis and synthesis of		
	sources; main results; and implications for		
	practice.		
3	Explain why the review is needed and what	Yes	Page 7-8
	it is likely to contribute to existing		
	understanding of the topic area.		
4	State the objective(s) of the review and/or	Yes	Page 8
	the review question(s). Define and provide a		
	rationale for the focus of the review.		
5	Any changes made to the review that was	NA	
	initially planned should be briefly described		
	and justified.		
6	Explain why realist synthesis was considered	Yes	Page 7
	the most appropriate method to use.		
7	Describe and justify the initial process of	Yes	Page 9
	exploratory scoping of the literature.		
8	State and provide a rationale for how the	Yes	Page 9 and 10
	iterative searching was done. Provide details		
	on all the sources accessed for information		
	in the synthesis. For example, where		
	electronic databases have been searched,		
	details should include, for example, the		
	name of the database, search terms, dates		
	of coverage and date last searched. If		
	individuals familiar with the relevant		
	literature and/or topic area were contacted,		
	indicate how they were identified and		
	selected.		
9	Explain how judgements were made about	Yes	Page 10
	including and excluding data from		_
	documents, and justify these.		
10	Describe and explain which data or	Yes	Page 10, 11,
	information were extracted from the		Supplementary files
	included documents and justify this		1 and 3
	selection.		
11	Describe the analysis and synthesis	Yes	Page 11, 12 and
	processes in detail. This section should		15,16
	include information on the constructs		
	analysed and describe the analytic process.		
12	Provide details on the number of documents	Yes	Abstract briefly,
	assessed for eligibility and included in the		Table 2
	review with reasons for exclusion at each		
	stage, as well as an indication of their source		
		•	

		1	T
	of origin (for example, from searching		
	databases, reference lists and so on).		
13	Provide information on the characteristics of	Yes	Supplementary file 1
	the documents included in the synthesis.		
14	Present the key findings with a specific focus	Yes	Pages 15, 16 and 17
	on theory building and testing.		
15	Summarize the main findings, taking into	Yes	Pages 17-18
	account the synthesis' objective(s), research		
	question(s), focus and intended audience(s).		
16	Discuss both the strengths of the review and	Yes	Page 20
	its limitations. These should include (but		
	need not be restricted to) (a) consideration		
	of all the steps in the synthesis process and		
	(b) comment on the overall strength of		
	evidence supporting the explanatory insights		
	that emerged. The limitations identified may		
	point to areas where further work is needed.		
17	Where applicable, compare and contrast the	Yes	Page 19
	synthesis' findings with the existing		
	literature (for example, other reviews) on		
	the same topic.		
18	List the main implications of the findings and	Yes	Page 20
	place these in the context of other relevant		
	literature. If appropriate, offer		
	recommendations for policy and practice.		
19	Provide details of funding source (if any) for	Yes	Page 5
	the synthesis, the role played by the funder		_
	(if any) and any conflicts of interests of the		
	reviewers.		
	I.	1	1