## **Supporting Information**

## Bistable Hofmann-type Fe<sup>II</sup> spin-crossover two-dimensional polymers of 4alkyldisulfanylpyridine for prospective grafting of monolayers on metallic surfaces

Rubén Turo-Cortés,<sup>a</sup> Francisco Javier Valverde-Muñoz,<sup>a</sup>\* Manuel Meneses-Sánchez,<sup>a</sup> M. Carmen Muñoz,<sup>b</sup> Carlos Bartual-Murgui,<sup>a</sup> José Antonio Real<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Instituto de Ciencia Molecular/Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad de Valencia, Catedrático Beltrán Martínez 2, 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain.

<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Física Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera S/N 46022 Valencia, Spain

Email: <u>francisco.valverde@uv.es</u>. Email: <u>jose.a.real@uv.es</u>.

Page 1- Table S1. Crystallographic data for MpyS<sub>2</sub>Me (M = Pd, Pt).

Page 2- Table S2. Crystallographic data for MpyS<sub>2</sub>Et (M = Pd, Pt).

Page 3- Figure S1. Thermogravimetric analysis of MpyS<sub>2</sub>R (M = Pd, Pt; R = Me, Et).

Page 4- Figure S2. Comparison of the thermal dependence of  $\Delta C_p$  and  $\partial (\chi_M T)/\partial T$  curves for PdpyS<sub>2</sub>Me.

Page 4- Figure S3. Perspective view of PdpyS<sub>2</sub>Me at 250K showing the perfectly flat {Fe[Pd(CN)<sub>4</sub>]<sub>n</sub>

layers and the positional disorder of the  $pyS_2Me$  ligands over four positions.

Page 5- **Figure S4**. Comparison of the  $\chi_M T$  vs T plots for {Fe(pyridine)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(CN)<sub>4</sub>]} obtained by direct precipitation and by slow diffusion as single crystals.

	PdpyS <sub>2</sub> Me_120K	PdpyS <sub>2</sub> Me_250K	PtpyS <sub>2</sub> Me_120K	PtpyS <sub>2</sub> Me_250K
Empirical formula	$C_{16}H_{14}N_6S_4PdFe$		$C_{16}H_{14}N_6S_4PtFe$	$C_{16}H_8N_6S_4PtFe$
Mr	580.82		669.51	663.46
Crystal system	triclinic			
Space group	<i>P</i> -1			
<i>a</i> (Å)	7.189(3)	7.4283(4)	6.9945(8)	7.2382(8)
b (Å)	7.192(3)	7.4310(4)	7.343(2)	7.591(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	11.881(5)	12.1476(8)	11.065(2)	11.372(3)
$\alpha$ (°)	72.460(19)	107.823(6)	78.58(2)	105.36(2)
β(°)	72.419(14)	107.831(5)	73.11(2)	101.31(2)
γ(°)	89.946(13)	89.990(4)	89.902(13)	90.05(2)
V (ų)	555.6(4)	604.45(7)	532.1(2)	589.9(2)
Ζ	1			
Т (К)	120	250	120	250
D <sub>c</sub>	1.736	1.596	2.089	1.867
(mg cm⁻³)				
F(000)	288		320	314
$\mu$ (Mo-K <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub> ) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.852	1.702	7.655	6.903
Crystal size (mm)	0.01x0.08x0.08		0.05x0.5x0.5	
No. of total	2764	2433	2892	2378
reflections				
No. of reflections	2685	2186	2669	1907
[/>2 <i>o</i> (/)]				
R [I>2 <i>o</i> (I)]	0.0341	0.0462	0.1234	0.1195
wR [I>2 <i>o</i> (I)]	0.0838	0.1013	0.3023	0.2940
S	1.109	1.051	1.265	1.154

Table S1. Crystal data for MpyS<sub>2</sub>Me (M = Pd, Pt).

 $\begin{aligned} R &= \Sigma \mid |Fo| - |Fc| \mid / \Sigma \mid Fo|; \ wR = [ \Sigma [w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2] / \Sigma [w(Fo^2)^2]]^{1/2}. \\ w &= 1/ [\sigma^2(Fo^2) + (m P)^2 + n P] \ \text{where} \ P = (Fo^2 + 2Fc^2) / 3; \\ m &= 0.0393 \ \textbf{(1)}, \ 0.0502 \ \textbf{(2)}, \ 0.2000 \ \textbf{(3)}, \ \text{and} \ 0.2000 \ \textbf{(4)}; \\ n &= 0.7715 \ \textbf{(1)}, \ 0.0000 \ \textbf{(2)}, \ 0.0000 \ \textbf{(3)}, \ \text{and} \ 0.0000 \ \textbf{(4)} \end{aligned}$ 

	PdpyS <sub>2</sub> Et_100K	PdpyS <sub>2</sub> Et_250K	PtpyS <sub>2</sub> Et_100K	PtpyS <sub>2</sub> Et_250K
Empirical formula	$C_{18}H_{18}N_6S_4PdFe$	$C_{18}H_{18}N_{6}S_{4}PdFe$	$C_{18}H_{18}N_6S_4PtFe$	$C_{18}H_{18}N_6S_4PtFe$
Mr	608.87	608.87	697.56	697.56
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	I2/m	C2/m	I2/m	C2/m
a (Å)	13.8182(8)	23.9683(14)	13.8437(4)	24.0228(10)
b (Å)	7.3599(4)	7.6791(2)	7.3489(2)	7.6448(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	23.201(2)	13.8679(7)	23.3286(8)	13.9959(5)
$\beta(^{\circ})$	106.079(7)	106.053(5)	106.218(3)	106.485(4)
V (ų)	2267.2(3)	2452.9(2)	2278.91(12)	2464.7(2)
Ζ	4		4	
Т (К)	100	250	100	250
D <sub>c</sub>	1.784	1.649	2.033	1.880
(mg cm <sup>-3</sup> )				
F(000)	1216	1216	1344	1344
$\mu$ (Mo-K <sub>a</sub> ) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.820	1.682	7.153	6.614
Crystal size (mm)	0.1x0.5x0.5		0.1x0.7x0.7	
No. of total	3166	3398	3272	3489
reflections				
No. of reflections	2179	2052	2611	2588
[ <i>I</i> >2 <i>o</i> ( <i>I</i> )]				
R [I>2 <i>o</i> (I)]	0.0520	0.0707	0.0332	0.0369
wR [I>2 \sigma(I)]	0.0862	0.1355	0.0832	0.0887
S	1.035	1.035	1.119	1.064

Table S2. Crystal data for MpyS<sub>2</sub>Et (M = Pd, Pt).

$$\begin{split} R &= \Sigma \mid |Fo| - |Fc| \mid / \Sigma \mid Fo|; \ wR = [ \ \Sigma \ [w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2] \, / \ \Sigma \ [w(Fo^2)^2]]^{1/2}. \\ w &= 1/ \ [\sigma^2(Fo^2) + (m \ P)^2 + n \ P] \ \text{where} \ P = (Fo^2 + 2Fc^2) \, / \ 3; \\ m &= 0.0275 \ \textbf{(1)}, \ 0.0430 \ \textbf{(2)}, \ 0.0346 \ \textbf{(3)}, \ \text{and} \ 0.0422 \ \textbf{(4)}; \\ n &= 0.0000 \ \textbf{(1)}, \ 23.1512 \ \textbf{(2)}, \ 38.7639 \ \textbf{(3)}, \ \text{and} \ 11.5730 \ \textbf{(4)} \end{split}$$





**Figure S2**. Comparison of the thermal dependence of  $\Delta C_p$  and  $\partial(\chi_M T)/\partial T$  curves for **PdpyS<sub>2</sub>Me**. Note the excellent match between both curves and the lack in the  $\Delta C_p$  vs T plot of the peak below 150 K due to experimental constraints.



**Figure S3**. Perspective view of  $PdpyS_2Me$  at 250K showing the perfectly flat {Fe[Pd(CN)\_4]\_n layers and the positional disorder of the  $pyS_2Me$  ligands over four positions.



**Figure S4**. Comparison of the  $\chi_M T$  vs T plots for {Fe(pyridine)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(CN)<sub>4</sub>]} obtained by direct precipitation as a microcrystalline powder (blue) and by liquid-liquid slow diffusion as single crystals (red).

