Supplementary Figures and Legends



Figure S1. Structural determination of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator complex in the inhibitory mode (Related to Figure 3).

A. Workflow for the data processing of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator Inhibitory mode complex.

- B. A sample raw cryo-EM image for this dataset. Exemplary particles used for data processing shown in white circles.
- C. 2D clustering of extracted particles. Clustering reveals recognizable features, including the Rag-Ragulator extension pointing away from the GATOR1 complex (arrow).
- D. Half-set gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FCS) for the Inhibitory mode complex.
- E. Map-model FSC for the Inhibitory mode complex.
- F. Local resolution estimation of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator cryo-EM density map.
- G. Orientation distribution plot for the Inhibitory mode complex.



Figure S2. Structural determination of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator complex in the GAP mode (Related to Figure 3).

- A. Workflow for the data processing of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator GAP mode complex.
- B. A sample raw cryo-EM image for this dataset. Exemplary particles used for data processing shown in white circles.
- C. 2D clustering of extracted particles. Clustering reveals distinguishable features (arrow).
- D. Half-set gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FCS) for the GAP mode complex.

- E. Map-model FSC for the GAP mode complex.
- F. Local resolution estimation of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator cryo-EM density map.
- G. Orientation distribution plot for the GAP mode complex.



Figure S3. Structural determination of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator complex in the dual mode (Related to Figure 3).

- A. Workflow for the data processing of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator Dual mode complex.
- B. A sample raw cryo-EM image for this dataset. Exemplary particles used for data processing shown in white circles.
- C. 2D clustering of extracted particles. Clustering reveals distinguishable features (arrow).
- D. Half-set gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FCS) for the Dual mode complex.
- E. Half-set gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FCS) for the GAP mode complex.
- F. Map-model FSC for the dual mode complex.
- G. Map-model FSC for the GAP mode complex.
- H. Local resolution estimation of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator cryo-EM density map.
- I. Orientation distribution plot for the Dual mode complex.



Figure S4. Model building and refinement (Related to Figure 3).

- A. NPRL3 cryo-EM density map from the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator GAP mode dataset.
- B. NPRL3 structural model from AlphaFold (AF) prediction.
- C. Direct overlay of density map and AF prediction.
- D. Overlay of individual NPRL3 domains predicted from AF.
- E. Partitioned domains from AF prediction algorithm.
- F. Individual domains (i.e. TINI, CTD-1, CTD-2) overlaid with cryo-EM density map.

- G. Bulky residues located on αT4 of the NPRL3 TINI domain used to ensure the amino acid register was fit properly.
- H. Bulky residues located on αC8 of the NPRL3 CTD-2 domain used to ensure the amino acid register was fit properly.
- I. Extra electron density within the nucleotide binding pocket of RagA corresponds to bound nucleotide, GDP:AIF₃, in the GAP mode structure.
- J. Crystal structure of the GppNHp-bound RagA analog, Gtr1p, nucleotide binding pocket (PDB: 3R7W).
- K. Extra electron density within the nucleotide binding pocket of RagC corresponds to bound nucleotide, GDP:AIF₃, in the GAP mode structure.
- L. Crystal structure of the GppNHp-bound RagA analog, Gtr2p, nucleotide binding pocket (PDB: 3R7W).
- M. Electron density of Arg-78 of NPRL2 in the GAP mode structure.
- N. Comparison between the original GATOR1 model (PDB: 6CET) and updated GATOR1 model.

Figure S5. Effect of GATOR1 mutations on mTORC1 signaling in response to amino acids in cells (Related to Figure 4 and Figure 5).

- A & B. Effect of expression of various NPRL2 mutants in sgNPRL2 cells on the ability to restore mTORC1 signalling in the presence and absence of amino acids.
- C & D. Effect of expression of various NPRL3 mutants in sgNPRL3 cells on the ability to restore mTORC1 signalling in the presence and absence of amino acids.
- E & F. Effect of expression of various DEPDC5 mutants in sgDEPDC5 cells on the ability to restore mTORC1 signalling in the presence and absence of amino acids.
- G. Coomassie staining gel of recombinantly expressed and purified GATOR1[DEPDC5(Y775A)] and GATOR1[DEPDC5(Δ165, Y775A)] protein complexes.

Figure S6. Nucleotide binding properties of RagA-RagC and mutants (Related to Figure 6).

- A. Equilibrium binding assay to assess GTP and GDP affinity towards individual Rag subunit.
- B. SDS-PAGE gel to assess nucleotide binding to Rag GTPases. GTP binding (left) and GDP binding (right) measure the dissociation constants (*K*_d) of nucleotides. Asterisk indicates an inability to determine GTP binding to the RagA-RagC(S75N) mutant due to the known disruption of binding that this mutation induces.
- C & D. Quantification of the radioactive signals from panel B for the wild-type RagA-RagC binding to GTP (C), or GDP (D). A single-site binding equation was fit to determine the dissociation constant of both nucleotides *K*_d. This experiment was repeated three times and a representative was shown here. A.U., arbitrary units.
- E & F. Quantification of the radioactive signals from panel B for the mutant RagA-RagC(S75N) binding to GTP (C), or GDP (D). A single-site binding equation was fit to determine the dissociation constant of both nucleotides *K*_d. This experiment was repeated three times and a representative was shown here. A.U., arbitrary units.
- G & H. Quantification of the radioactive signals from panel B for the mutant RagA-RagC(F92A) binding to GTP (C), or GDP (D). A single-site binding equation was fit to determine the dissociation constant of both nucleotides *K*_d. This experiment was repeated three times and a representative was shown here. A.U., arbitrary units.
- I. Summary of the dissociation constants (K_d) of nucleotides at 4 °C. Experiments were performed three times, and the mean ± SEM reported.

Figure S7. Impact of GATOR1 and FLCN-FNIP2 binding on Rag GTPase structure and conformation (Related to Figure 7).

- A. Global (left) and local (right) architecture of the GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator complex in the GAP mode.
- B. Global (left) and local (right) architecture of the FLCN-FNIP2-Rag-Ragulator complex.
- C. Comparison of Rag GTPase conformation while bound to GATOR1 and FLCN-FNIP2. *d* measures the distance between the N-terminal tips of the two α G5 helices on the Rag GTPases. θ measures the angle formed by three points: the C- and N-terminal tips of the α G5 helix of RagA, and the N-terminal tip of the α G5 helix of RagA, and the N-terminal tip of the α G5 helix of RagC, thus reflecting the relative rotation.
- D. Summary of Rag GTPase conformation parameters, θ and *d*, while bound to its upstream regulators.
- E. N-terminal alpha helix of LAMTOR1 located at the GAP site in the dual mode GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator cryo-EM density map.
- F. N-terminal alpha helix of LAMTOR1 located at the Inhibitory site in the dual mode GATOR1-Rag-Ragulator cryo-EM density map.