

1 **Supplementary Methods**

2 **Breast cancer patient sample**

3 Patients who were diagnosed with and treated for breast cancer at Seoul National
4 University Hospital were included in this study. Tumor and adjacent normal tissues were
5 surgically resected from patients in each subtype group at Seoul National University Hospital.
6 Ten tumors and 10 paired adjacent normal tissues were used for the miRNA microarray analysis,
7 and the numbers and types of patients were as follows: luminal A (n=2), luminal B (n=2), HER2
8 (n=3), and TNBC (n=3). Thirteen tumor tissues were analyzed to confirm miR-371b-5p
9 expression using qPCR. The range of initial surgical age of the patients used for the miRNA
10 microarray analysis was 36–59 years. Tumor blocks were harvested from the tumor cores and
11 normal tissues were derived from the same patients at a location at least 5 cm away from the
12 cancer lesion. Absence of cancer cells in the normal tissues was confirmed through H&E
13 staining and microscopic examination. Tissues were stored in nitrogen tanks at a tissue bank
14 (Laboratory of Breast Cancer Biology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul,
15 Korea).

16 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

17 Tumor and adjacent normal tissues were surgically resected from patients in each
18 subtype group at Seoul National University Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all
19 patients before collection of the specimens, and the study was approved by the Institutional
20 Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (IRB number: 1704-019-843).

21 **miRNA and mRNA profiling**

22 Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA)
23 and purified using chloroform and isopropanol for miRNA microarray analysis on an Agilent
24 G4872A platform (Illumina, Inc., CA, USA). First, isolated total RNA was amplified using an
25 Illumina TotalPrep RNA amplification kit (Invitrogen, CA, USA) to yield biotinylated cRNA,

26 according to the manufacturer's instructions. The labeled cRNA was hybridized to each
27 HumanHT-12 expression v2 BeadChip array. The value of probe signals was transformed into
28 log-scale and normalized using the quantile method. Data analysis was performed as described
29 previously (1).

30 **Survival and molecular subtype association analysis**

31 The Kaplan-Meier (KM) plotter tool was used to show the correlation between miR-
32 371-5p expression or CSDE1 and overall survival (OS) in patients with breast cancer (2).
33 Survival analysis according to CSDE1 and RAC1 expression was analyzed using the Cancer
34 Target Gene Screening Tool (3). Subtype-specific *CSDE1* expression analysis was performed
35 using the cBioportal (4, 5) on The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) breast cancer dataset (6).
36 *CSDE1* mRNA expression was classified using PAM50 subtyping. TNBC patients were
37 automatically split by KMplot into two groups with high (n=52) and low (n=151) levels of
38 miR-371b-5p (expression range: 6-7, cutoff value: 6.51, HR: 0.44). Chemotherapy-treated
39 TNBC patients were automatically split by KMplot into two groups with high (n=31) and low
40 (n=79) levels of miR-371b-5p expression (expression range: 6-7, cutoff value: 6.5, HR: 0.38).
41 The settings for specifying the chemotherapy group were as follows: Cohorts, systemically
42 treated patients; ER-positive endocrine therapy, any; Chemotherapy, include all. Lymph node-
43 positive TNBC patients were automatically split by KMplot into two groups with high (n=21)
44 and low (n=46) levels of CSDE1 expression (expression range: 921-7722, cutoff value: 3758).
45 The settings for specifying the lymph node-positive TNBC patients were as follows: Lymph
46 node status, positive; Intrinsic subtype, basal. The relative expression value was indicated as
47 the number of standard deviations away from the mean expression value in the reference
48 population (Z-score).

49 **Animal studies**

50

51 Female Balb/c Nude mice at 7 weeks from Orient Bio (Korea) were used in the
52 xenograft studies. All procedures for nude mice were approved by the Animal Care and Use
53 Committee of Yonsei University Medical School and performed in specific pathogen-free
54 facility under conditions in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory
55 Animals of Yonsei University Medical School. All animal experiments were approved by the
56 institutional review board (IRB) of the Yonsei university college of medicine (Approved animal
57 experiment number : 2018-0155). Mice were randomly allocated to different groups (miRNA-
58 control, n = 8; miR-371b-5p, n=7) and were subcutaneously inoculated with 1×10^6 stable
59 MDA MB-231-(miRNA control) and MDA-MB-231-(miR-371b-5p) cells into each flank
60 under 150 μ l of saline/zoletil/rompun (7:1:1) anesthesia. From palpable tumor formation until
61 termination, tumor sizes were measured every 2–3 days using calipers, and tumor volume was
62 calculated using the following formula: length \times width² \times 0.5236. Mice were sacrificed in a
63 7.5% CO₂ chamber, and tumors were harvested for immunohistochemical and other analyses.

64 **Cell lines**

65 MCF10A, SK-BR-3, T47D, BT-549, MDA-MB-157, and MDA-MB-468 cells were
66 purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). MCF7, ZR-75-1, HCC-1937, MDA-MB-231,
67 and Hs578T cells were purchased and authenticated in the Korean Cell Line Bank (Seoul,
68 Korea). All human breast cancer cells were characterized and authenticated in cell biology
69 collection using short tandem repeat DNA profiles. Human breast cancer cells were grown in
70 DMEM (SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-157, MDA-MB-468, and HEK293T) or RPMI (MCF7, T47D,
71 BT-549, and MDA-MB-231) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone™,
72 GE Healthcare, IL, USA). MCF10A breast cancer cells were maintained in DMEM/F-12 with
73 25% horse serum (Gibco®, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 20 ng/ml EGF (Peprotech Inc., NJ,
74 USA), 10 μ g/ml insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA), 0.5 μ g/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma-

75 Aldrich), and 100 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich). The cell lines used in this study were
76 grown in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

77 **Generation of stable cell lines**

78 Lentiviruses expressing miR-371b-5p and control miRNA (miR-con) vector were
79 purchased from Applied Biological Materials, Inc. (BC, Canada). For generation of *CSDE1*-
80 knockout cell lines, a sequence targeting *CSDE1* that has no predicted off-targets obtained from
81 CHOPCHOP v2 (7, 8) was inserted into the lentiCRISPR v2 vector (Addgene, MA, USA).
82 Lentivirus particles in the culture medium were harvested and added to cells with polybrene.
83 After puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) selection, a stable clone was obtained and confirmed through
84 qRT-PCR and western blotting for further experiments.

85 **Transfection**

86 The miR-371b-5p mimic (miRVana™, Invitrogen) was reverse-transfected using the
87 siPORT™ NeoFX™ Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's
88 instructions. The control cells were transfected with miRVana™ miR-negative control mimic
89 (Negative Control #1, Invitrogen). siRNA targeting *CSDE1* (Silencer siRNA, Invitrogen) and
90 siRNA negative control (siRNA Negative Control #1, Invitrogen) were transfected using the
91 Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen). Both the *CSDE1* (NM_007158)
92 vector (ORF size, 2301bp; RC207101, Origene®, USA) and Empty vector (Origene®) were
93 transfected using the FuGENE® HD Transfection Reagent (Promega, USA), following the
94 manufacturer's instructions.

95 **Immunoprecipitation**

96 Cells were lysed using lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM
97 EDTA; 1% Triton X-100; 0.5% NP-40, and protease inhibitor) and incubated on ice for 5 min.
98 Lysates were clarified by centrifugation for 15 min at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C. For
99 immunoprecipitation, the supernatant was incubated with the primary antibody (Flag, F1804,

100 Sigma; CSDE1, NBP1-71915, Novus; RNAPII p-CTD Ser2, 61083, Active Motif; RNAPII p-
101 CTD Ser5, ab5408, Abcam) at 4 °C overnight. Magnetic protein G beads (Invitrogen) were
102 added to each sample and incubated at room temperature for 2h. Samples were pulled down
103 and washed three times with wash buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA;
104 1% Triton X-100, and 0.5% NP-40). The samples were then boiled and loaded for further
105 analysis.

106 **RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay**

107 RIP experiments were performed according to protocol of a previous study (9). Briefly,
108 cells were cross-linked using 1% formaldehyde, followed by addition of 0.2 M glycine. Each
109 sample was centrifuged for 5 min at 4 °C. Cells were resuspended in ice-cold FA lysis buffer
110 containing RNase inhibitor (Promega). The samples were then sonicated and centrifuged. Pre-
111 cleared supernatant was incubated overnight with the primary antibodies under rotation at 4 °C.
112 Anti-CSDE1 (NBP1-71915, Novus), anti-RNAPII Ser2 (ab238146, Abcam), anti-RNAPII ser5
113 (ab5408, Abcam), anti-normal IgG (12-371, Merck, USA), and anti-Flag (F1804, Sigma) were
114 incubated with protein A/G magnetic beads (Invitrogen) and stirred for 90 min at 4 °C. Pellet
115 beads were washed with ice-cold FA500, LiCl, and TE buffers and eluted with RIP elution
116 buffer containing RNase inhibitor for 10 min at 37 °C. Total RNA was then extracted using
117 TRIzol® (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and phenol:chloroform and reverse transcribed for
118 further qRT-PCR analysis.

119 **RNA extraction and qRT-PCR**

120 Total RNA (500 ng) was isolated using the miRNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Germany)
121 according to the manufacturer's instructions and reverse transcribed using the TaqMan®
122 MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) to detect
123 miR-371b-5p expression. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) for miRNA analysis was
124 performed with the TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) using the

125 LightCycler® System (Roche, Switzerland); RNU48 was used as an endogenous control. The
126 mRNAs for qRT-PCR were extracted using the NucleoSpin® RNA/Protein kit (MACHEREY-
127 NAGEL, Germany). Total RNA was reverse transcribed, and each target gene was detected
128 using specific primers.

129 **Western blotting**

130 Western blotting was performed as previously described (10). Briefly, proteins were
131 isolated using the NucleoSpin® RNA/Protein kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL). Proteins were
132 separated on SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane and subjected to immunoblotting.
133 Primary antibodies used in this study were CSDE1 (1:1000, rabbit, ab96124, Abcam, UK),
134 Rac1 (1:1000, mouse, ab33186, Abcam, UK), RNA pol II p-CTD (ser2) (1:1000, rat, 61083,
135 Active Motif, USA; 1:1000, rabbit, ab238146, Abcam), RNA pol II p-CTD (ser5) (1:1000,
136 mouse, ab5408, Abcam, UK), CDK7 (1:1000, mouse, #2916, Cell Signaling, USA), Flag
137 (1:5000, rabbit, F7425, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), and β -actin (1:10000, rabbit, A300-491A,
138 Bethyl Laboratories, USA). β -actin was used as a loading control. Immunoreactive proteins
139 were detected by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5000, ADI-SAB-
140 100-J, ADI-SAB-300-J, Enzo Life Sciences, NY, USA) and the enhanced chemiluminescence
141 reagent, EzWestLumi plus (ATTO, JAPAN), and analyzed by Amersham™ Imager 600 (GE
142 healthcare, UK).

143 **Cell viability assay and Caspase-3 activation assay**

144 Cell viability was measured by the CCK-8 assay. Cells were transfected with miRNA
145 or siRNA on a 24-well plate per group at a density. Following cell attachment, CCK-8 labeling
146 mixture (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Japan) was added to a single well for each
147 group and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured
148 using a multi-well spectrophotometer (Synergy HTX; BioTek, VT, USA). Cells were harvested,

149 and caspase-3 activity was measured using ApoAlert Caspase Colorimetric kit (Clontech
150 Laboratories, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

151 **Cell cycle analysis**

152 Cells were transfected with miR-negative control mimic or miR-371b-5p mimic. After
153 48 h, cells were washed, harvested with PBS, and fixed with 70% ethanol at 4 °C overnight.
154 The fixed cells were then centrifuged and washed with PBS, resuspended with PI/RNase
155 staining buffer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), and incubated at room temperature in
156 the dark for 30 min. The DNA content was analyzed using a FACSCanto II flow cytometer
157 (BD Biosciences).

158 **Wound healing assay**

159 The miRNA-transfected cells were incubated for 24 h in 6-well plates until they
160 reached 100% confluence. After overnight incubation in complete medium, cells were
161 scratched using a 200 µl pipette tip, washed with 0% FBS medium, and further incubated in 0%
162 FBS medium. The wound healing area of each sample was calculated using the ImageJ
163 software.

164 **Transwell cell migration and invasion assay**

165 The cells (5×10^4 cells/well) were seeded in upper chambers of the Transwell cell
166 culture insert (Corning, NY, USA) and Matrigel Invasion Chambers (BD Biosciences) for the
167 migration and invasion assays, respectively. The lower chambers contained culture medium
168 supplemented with 10% FBS. After 24–48 h, non-migrated cells or invaded cells in upper
169 chambers were removed using cotton swabs. The membranes were fixed and stained with 0.01%
170 crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich). The migrated or invaded cells were counted using the i-Solution
171 software (IMT, Gyeongnam, Korea) or multi-well spectrophotometer (Synergy HTX; BioTek,
172 VT, USA).

173 **Dual luciferase assay**

174 Human *CSDE1* 3'UTR, which included the predicted miR-371b-5p seed sequence and
175 mutated seed sequences, was inserted into the psiCHECK™-2 vector (Promega, Madison, WI,
176 USA) using the In-fusion® HD Cloning Kit (Clontech Laboratories, CA, USA). This luciferase
177 reporter construct was transfected into HEK293T cells with 15–30 nM solution of miR-371b-
178 5p mimics or negative control miRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the
179 manufacturer's instructions. After 48 h, all experimental cells were passively lysed, and
180 reporter activity was measured using the Dual Luciferase Assay System (Promega). The
181 following sizes and positions of the 3'UTR were used in this study: *CSDE1* (NM_001007553;
182 1st seed position, 1122-1128 of *CSDE1* 3'UTR; 2nd seed position, 1242-1248 of *CSDE1*
183 3'UTR), *CDC7* (NM_003503; 1st seed position, 1172-1178 of *CDC7* 3'UTR; 2nd seed position,
184 822-828 of *CDC7* 3'UTR), *E2F2* (NM_004091; 1st seed position, 1612-1619 of *E2F2* 3'UTR;
185 2nd seed position, 2362-2368 of *E2F2* 3'UTR), *MCM4* (NM_005914; 1st seed position, 738-
186 744 of *MCM4* 3'UTR; 2nd seed position, 1000-1006 of *MCM4* 3'UTR), *NEK2* (NM_002497;
187 1st seed position, 432-428 of *NEK2* 3'UTR), *PAG1* (NM_018440; 1st seed position, 2519 -
188 2525 of *E2F2* 3'UTR; 2nd seed position, 6209 -6216 of *E2F2* 3'UTR).

189 **Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence analyses**

190 For immunohistochemistry analysis, paraffin-embedded slides were probed with the
191 primary antibodies against Ki67 (1:200, rabbit, ab16667, Abcam, UK). Staining was visualized
192 using NovaRED substrate (Vector Laboratories, Cambridgeshire, UK), followed by
193 counterstaining with hematoxylin. For immunofluorescence analysis of paraffin-embedded
194 slides, the primary antibodies against *CSDE1* (1µg/ml, rabbit, NBP2-38665, Novus; 1:500,
195 rabbit, ab96124, Abcam, UK) and *RAC1* (1:100, mouse, ab33186, Abcam, UK) were incubated
196 with slides overnight at 4 °C. For immunofluorescence staining, the cells were fixed with 10%
197 neutral buffered formalin (BioSesang, Korea) and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100
198 containing 5% BSA. Thereafter, the cells were incubated overnight with the primary antibodies

199 (rhodamine-labeled phalloidin, 1:500, R415, Invitrogen; anti-CSDE1, 1:250, rabbit, ab96124,
200 Abcam, UK; anti-Ki67, 1:250, rabbit, ab16667, Abcam, UK; DAPI, 1:1000, Invitrogen, MA,
201 USA) at 4 °C. Fluorescent secondary antibodies (1:1000; A11032, A11034, and A11037,
202 Invitrogen, MA, USA) were used to detect the primary antibodies. Immunofluorescence
203 images were captured at a wavelength of 488 nm or 594 nm, using a confocal laser scanning
204 microscope (LSM-700, Carl Zeiss).

205 **Availability of data and materials**

206 The data that support the findings of this study are available from GSE154255, but
207 restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current
208 study and therefore are not publicly available. Data are, however, available from the authors
209 upon reasonable request and with permission of the corresponding author.

210 **Statistical analysis**

211 Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 5 software. Data are
212 presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). All sample sizes were indicated in the
213 corresponding figure. At least three biological or technical replicates were used for statistical
214 analysis of *in vitro* experiments. For statistical analysis of *in vivo* data, at least four values (n=4)
215 per group were used. The data were analyzed using a two-tailed unpaired student's t-test for
216 comparison between different groups. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$ (* $p < 0.05$,
217 ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$).

218

219

220

221 **References**

222

- 223 1. Son D, Kim Y, Lim S, Kang HG, Kim DH, Park JW, et al. miR-374a-5p promotes
224 tumor progression by targeting ARRB1 in triple negative breast cancer. *Cancer Lett.*
225 2019;454:224-33.
- 226 2. Lanczky A, Nagy A, Bottai G, Munkacsy G, Szabo A, Santarpia L, et al. miRpower: a
227 web-tool to validate survival-associated miRNAs utilizing expression data from 2178 breast
228 cancer patients. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2016;160(3):439-46.
- 229 3. Kim HY, Choi HJ, Lee JY, Kong G. Cancer Target Gene Screening: a web application
230 for breast cancer target gene screening using multi-omics data analysis. *Brief Bioinform.*
231 2020;21(2):663-75.
- 232 4. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, et al. Integrative
233 analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Science*
234 *signaling.* 2013;6(269):p11.
- 235 5. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, et al. The cBio cancer
236 genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data.
237 *Cancer discovery.* 2012;2(5):401-4.
- 238 6. Ciriello G, Gatza ML, Beck AH, Wilkerson MD, Rhie SK, Pastore A, et al.
239 Comprehensive Molecular Portraits of Invasive Lobular Breast Cancer. *Cell.* 2015;163(2):506-
240 19.
- 241 7. Labun K, Montague TG, Gagnon JA, Thyme SB, Valen E. CHOPCHOP v2: a web tool
242 for the next generation of CRISPR genome engineering. *Nucleic acids research.*
243 2016;44(W1):W272-6.
- 244 8. Montague TG, Cruz JM, Gagnon JA, Church GM, Valen E. CHOPCHOP: a
245 CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN web tool for genome editing. *Nucleic acids research.* 2014;42(Web
246 Server issue):W401-7.
- 247 9. Selth LA, Gilbert C, Svejstrup JQ. RNA immunoprecipitation to determine RNA-
248 protein associations in vivo. *Cold Spring Harbor protocols.* 2009;2009(6):pdb prot5234.
- 249 10. Park JW, Kim Y, Lee SB, Oh CW, Lee EJ, Ko JY, et al. Autophagy inhibits cancer
250 stemness in triple-negative breast cancer via miR-181a-mediated regulation of ATG5 and/or
251 ATG2B. *Mol Oncol.* 2022.

252