

## Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

### Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- |     |           |
|-----|-----------|
| n/a | Confirmed |
|-----|-----------|
- The exact sample size ( $n$ ) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
  - A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
  - The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  
*Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
  - A description of all covariates tested
  - A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
  - A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
  - For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g.  $F$ ,  $t$ ,  $r$ ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and  $P$  value noted  
*Give  $P$  values as exact values whenever suitable.*
  - For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
  - For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
  - Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's  $d$ , Pearson's  $r$ ), indicating how they were calculated

*Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.*

### Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection

Data analysis

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

### Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article, the accompanying Source Data, the Supplementary Information, and the Supplementary Data. Additional information, resources, and reagents will be made available upon reasonable request; requests should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Matthew B. Robers. [Matt.Robers@promega.com](mailto:Matt.Robers@promega.com)

## Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences       Behavioural & social sciences       Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see [nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf](https://www.nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf)

## Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	No sample size calculation was performed. Sample sizes were chosen on the basis of prior studies in NMR and BRET/Luminescence experiments that showed significant effects with similar sample sizes.
Data exclusions	No data were excluded from these analyses
Replication	Replicated experiments were successful and support the conclusions drawn in this report. In the case of inhibitor potency information, the data generated in this study were reproducible by 2-3 independent scientists. All data in main figures were reproduced by 2-3 independent scientists. Bioluminescence imaging was replicated in 2 independent experiments by the same scientist. The data in supplementary figures 3A, 5F, 9(a, b, and e), 10 (a-h), 11a, and 12 (a) were reproduced by 2 independent scientists in 2-3 independent experiments. Experiments in supplementary figures 3, 5(b,c,d,e, and g), 6(B-I), 7b, 8(b-e), 9 (c and d), 10 (i and j), 11(b and c), and 12 (b-d) were only performed once by single scientists. All attempts at replication were successful.
Randomization	No formal randomization method was used in this study to avoid mislabeling during inhibitor testing.
Blinding	Blinding was not relevant to this study because no bias could be made by the subject or the tester in the experiments performed.

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

### Materials & experimental systems

- |                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| n/a                                 | Involved in the study                                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Antibodies                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eukaryotic cell lines |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Palaeontology and archaeology    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Animals and other organisms      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Human research participants      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Clinical data                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual use research of concern     |

### Methods

- |                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| n/a                                 | Involved in the study                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> ChIP-seq               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Flow cytometry         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> MRI-based neuroimaging |

## Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about [cell lines](#)

Cell line source(s)	HEK-293 cells (ATCC, CAT# CRL-1573), HeLa cells (ATCC, CAT# CCL-2), A-375 cells (ATCC, CAT# CRL-1619), HCT-116 cells (ATCC, CAT# CCL-247), NCI-H358 cells (ATCC, CAT# CRL-5807), NCI-H647 cells (ATCC, CAT# CRL-5834), Mia PaCa-2 Cells (ATCC, CAT# CRL-1420), and SW-1990 cells (ATCC, CAT# CRL-2172) were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) + 10% FBS (Seradigm), with incubation in a humidified, 37°C/5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator. H1975 cells (ATCC, CAT# CRL-5908) were cultured in RPMI 1640 (GIBCO) + 10% FBS, with incubation in a humidified, 37°C/5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator. Cells were passed for at least two generations after cryorecovery before they were used for assays.
Authentication	We did not perform cell line authentication.
Mycoplasma contamination	All cell lines were tested mycoplasma negative using MycoAlert™ Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza).
Commonly misidentified lines (See <a href="#">ICLAC</a> register)	HEK293 and HeLa cells were used in this study.