

Table S1

Patient and facility characteristics in US HD facilities that switched to Etelcalcetide-first vs. remained Cinacalcet-first

A. Facility characteristics in US HD facilities that switched to Etelcalcetide-first vs. remained Cinacalcet-first

Characteristics	Remain Cinacalcet-first		Switch to Etelcalcetide-first	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
N facilities	34		32	
N patients	1670	2178	1924	1847
N patients using calcimimetics	536	673	612	793
DO size (% LDO/MDO vs SDO/Ind)*	79%		19%	
Facility type (% hospital-based)*	9%		31%	
Facility location (% rural)*	24%		6%	
Facility size (N patients)	71 ± 36	74 ± 35	70 ± 34	67 ± 31
Facility % Black race	38 ± 32	39 ± 33	30 ± 31	30 ± 31
Facility % calcimimetic use	29 ± 9	27 ± 10	32 ± 16	41 ± 18
Facility % vitamin D use	81 ± 9	79 ± 11	67 ± 15	65 ± 16
Facility % PB (calcium-based) use	55 ± 25	52 ± 29	50 ± 22	54 ± 23
Facility % PB (non-cal-based) use	56 ± 13	56 ± 14	53 ± 17	53 ± 22
Facility mean dialysate calcium (mEq/L)	2.4 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.1

Mean ± SD, median [IQR], or % shown; DO=dialysis organization, PB=phosphate binder; LDO/MDO=large/medium DOs with 10+ affiliated HD units; SDO=small DOs (<10 affiliated units); Ind=independent HD units.

B. Patient characteristics in US HD facilities that switched to Etelcalcetide-first vs. remained Cinacalcet-first

Characteristics	Calcimimetic-using patients only			
	Remain Cinacalcet-first		Switch to Etelcalcetide-first	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
N facilities	34		32	
N patients	536	673	612	793
Demographics				
Age (y)	57 ± 14	58 ± 14	61 ± 14	63 ± 14
Sex (% male)	54%	56%	54%	55%
Race (% Black)	46%	51%	41%	38%
Dialysis vintage (y)	3.8 [1.6,6.7]	4.5 [2.4,7.5]	3.8 [1.6,6.4]	3.7 [1.9,7.3]
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	30.2 ± 7.8	29.8 ± 7.7	30.6 ± 8.0	30.5 ± 7.8
Comorbidity history (%)				
Coronary artery disease	23%	25%	18%	23%
Cerebrovascular disease	8%	7%	8%	10%
Heart failure	23%	17%	22%	25%
Peripheral vascular disease	18%	14%	12%	12%
Hypertension	86%	89%	73%	81%
Other cardiovascular disease	18%	14%	19%	26%
Cancer (non-skin)	5%	5%	4%	5%
Diabetes	62%	64%	57%	62%
Gastrointestinal bleeding	8%	6%	6%	8%
Lung disease	7%	5%	8%	8%
Neurologic disease	11%	9%	4%	4%
Psychiatric disorder	29%	30%	26%	28%
Recurrent cellulitis, gangrene	11%	10%	4%	6%
Markers of nutrition & inflammation				

Serum albumin (g/dL)	3.8 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 0.3
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	10.9 ± 1.0	10.8 ± 1.0	10.6 ± 1.0	10.7 ± 1.1
Serum potassium (mEq/L)	4.8 ± 0.5	4.9 ± 0.5	4.8 ± 0.5	4.7 ± 0.5
Dialysis treatments				
Catheter use (%)	8%	10%	19%	14%

Mean ± SD, median [IQR], or % shown

Table S2

MBD marker outcomes in Etelcalcetide-first vs. Cinacalcet-first US HD facilities: Approach 1 results by level of adjustment

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val
Continuous outcomes								
PTH (pg/mL)	-237 (-301, -172)	<0.001	-140 (-220, -57)	<0.001	-115 (-196, -34)	0.005	-119 (-221, -17)	0.02
Serum Ca (mg/dL)	-0.16 (-0.26, -0.05)	0.004	-0.06 (-0.19, 0.07)	0.36	-0.12 (-0.25, 0.01)	0.07	-0.04 (-0.19, 0.12)	0.62
Serum P (mg/dL)	-0.29 (-0.46, -0.11)	0.001	-0.33 (-0.56, -0.10)	0.01	-0.18 (-0.40, 0.04)	0.11	-0.02 (-0.29, 0.26)	0.89
Binary outcomes								
PTH >600 pg/mL	-23.2% (-29.5, -16.9)	<0.001	-13.2% (-21.1, -5.2)	0.001	-11.4% (-19.3, -3.5)	0.005	-10.3% (-20.4, -0.3)	0.04
Ca <8.4 mg/dL	6.5% (0.4, 12.6)	0.04	1.8% (-6.1, 9.6)	0.66	5.0% (-3.0, 13.0)	0.22	-0.7% (-10.5, 9.2)	0.89
P >5.5 mg/dL	-7.4% (-12.5, -2.3)	0.004	-5.9% (-12.9, 1.1)	0.10	-1.1% (-8.0, 5.8)	0.76	4.4% (-4.2, 13.0)	0.31

Adjusted mean differences (95% CI) shown with progressive covariate adjustment; Continuous outcomes show mean difference in outcome labs (Etelcalcetide-first minus Cinacalcet-first); Binary outcomes show prevalence (%) difference in outcome labs being out of target (Etelcalcetide-first minus Cinacalcet-first). Linear mixed models with a random facility intercept were fit combining all facilities (Etelcalcetide-first and Cinacalcet-first) to estimate the mean difference. For the binary outcomes, these linear models are so-called "linear probability models". Progressive fixed effect adjustments were as follows:

Model 1: unadjusted

Model 2: Model 1 + facility-level characteristics (dialysis organization size, facility size, facility % Black race, hospital-based, facility % total calcimimetic use)

Karaboyas et al, Kidney Med, "Etelcalcetide Versus Cinacalcet in Hemodialysis Patients in the United States: A Facility Calcimimetic Approach to Assess Real-World Effectiveness"

Model 3 (Primary analysis): Model 2 + patient-level case-mix (age, sex, Black race, dialysis vintage, BMI, serum albumin, hemoglobin, serum potassium, 13 summary comorbidities, catheter use)

Model 4 (Possible mediators): Model 3 + facility-level MBD treatments (facility % vitamin D use, facility % phosphate binder [calcium-based] use, facility % phosphate binder [non-calcium-based] use, facility mean dialysate calcium)

Table S3

MBD marker outcomes in Etelcalcetide-first vs. Cinacalcet-first US HD facilities: Approach 1 results stratified by dialysis organization size

	Small and independent dialysis organizations				Large and medium dialysis organizations			
	Etelcalcetide-first HD facilities	Cinacalcet-first HD facilities	Adjusted difference (95% CI)	p-value	Etelcalcetide-first HD facilities	Cinacalcet-first HD facilities	Adjusted difference (95% CI)	p-value
N facilities	38	23	--	--	7	44	--	--
N calcimimetic users	838	266	--	--	131	921	--	--
Continuous outcomes								
PTH (pg/mL)	462 ± 351	684 ± 588	-142 (-266, -17)	0.03	589 ± 432	725 ± 557	-121 (-250, 8)	0.07
Serum Ca (mg/dL)	8.9 ± 0.6	9.2 ± 0.7	-0.16 (-0.36, 0.04)	0.12	9.0 ± 0.7	9.0 ± 0.5	-0.05 (-0.21, 0.10)	0.52
Serum P (mg/dL)	5.5 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.6	-0.43 (-0.72, -0.14)	0.004	5.8 ± 1.4	5.7 ± 1.5	0.09 (-0.27, 0.45)	0.63
Binary outcomes								
PTH >600 pg/mL	19%	42%	-15.0% (-26.4, -3.5)	0.01	32%	44%	-11.3% (-23.8, 1.2)	0.08
Ca <8.4 mg/dL	21%	15%	5.0% (-9.4, 16.8)	0.58	17%	13%	7.2% (-1.6, 16.1)	0.11
P >5.5 mg/dL	44%	56%	-9.1% (-18.5, 0.2)	0.06	55%	52%	6.2% (-5.1, 17.6)	0.28

Crude mean ± std dev and prevalence (%) shown; Linear mixed models with random facility intercept adjusted for HD facility characteristics (dialysis organization size, facility size, facility % Black race, hospital-based, facility % total calcimimetic use) and patient characteristics (age, sex, Black race, dialysis vintage, BMI, serum albumin, hemoglobin, serum potassium, 13 summary comorbidities, catheter use). PTH=parathyroid hormone; Ca=calcium; P=phosphorus.

Table S4

MBD marker outcomes in US HD facilities that switched to Etelcalcetide-first vs. remained Cinacalcet-first: Approach 2 results by level of adjustment

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val	Estimate (95% CI)	p-val
Continuous outcomes								
PTH (pg/mL)	-243 (-318, -169)	<0.001	-184 (-265, -102)	<0.001	-169 (-249, -90)	<0.001	-178 (-259, -96)	<0.001
Serum Ca (mg/dL)	-0.22 (-0.28,-0.16)	<0.001	-0.12 (-0.20,-0.05)	0.17	-0.10 (-0.20, -0.01)	0.04	-0.14 (-0.22,-0.06)	0.34
Serum P (mg/dL)	-0.07 (-0.28, 0.15)	0.55	-0.04 (-0.27, 0.20)	0.76	0.04 (-0.17, 0.25)	0.71	0.07 (-0.15, 0.29)	0.54
Binary outcomes								
PTH >600 pg/mL	-22.3% (-29.5, -15.2)	<0.001	-15.5% (-23.2, -7.8)	<0.001	-14.4% (-22.0, -6.8)	<0.001	-14.5% (-22.4, -6.7)	<0.001
Ca <8.4 mg/dL	6.1% (0.7, 11.4)	0.03	4.1% (-1.7, 10.0)	0.16	5.5% (-0.2, 11.3)	0.06	3.8% (-2.1, 9.8)	0.21
P >5.5 mg/dL	-6.0% (-13.8, 1.8)	0.13	-4.2% (-12.3, 4.0)	0.32	-1.9% (-9.6, 5.8)	0.62	-1.5% (-9.4, 6.4)	0.70

Difference-in-differences (95% CI) shown with progressive covariate adjustment; Continuous outcomes show mean difference in outcome labs by period (after minus before); Binary outcomes show prevalence (%) difference in outcome labs being out of target by period (after minus before). Linear mixed models with a random facility intercept were fit combining all facilities (Etelcalcetide-first and Cinacalcet-first) to estimate the interaction effect between period and facility calcimimetic preference. For the binary outcomes, these linear models are so-called "linear probability models". Progressive fixed effect adjustments were as follows:

Model 1: Period (when estimating the period effect separately by facility preference) OR period, facility preference, and their interaction

Karaboyas et al, Kidney Med, "Etelcalcetide Versus Cinacalcet in Hemodialysis Patients in the United States: A Facility Calcimimetic Approach to Assess Real-World Effectiveness"

Model 2: Model 1 + facility-level characteristics (dialysis organization size, facility size, facility % Black race, hospital-based, facility % total calcimimetic use)

Model 3 (Primary analysis): Model 2 + patient-level case-mix (age, sex, Black race, dialysis vintage, BMI, serum albumin, hemoglobin, serum potassium, 13 summary comorbidities, catheter use)

Model 4 (Possible mediators): Model 3 + facility-level MBD treatments (facility % vitamin D use, facility % phosphate binder [calcium-based] use, facility % phosphate binder [non-calcium-based] use, facility mean dialysate calcium)