## Cost-utility analysis of adding abiraterone acetate plus prednisone/prednisolone to long-term hormone therapy in newly diagnosed advanced prostate cancer: lifetime decision model in England based on STAMPEDE trial data

Caroline S Clarke<sup>\*</sup>, Rachael M Hunter, Andrea Gabrio, Christopher D Brawley, Fiona C Ingleby, David Dearnaley, David Matheson, Gerhardt Attard, Hannah L Rush, Rob J Jones, William Cross, Chris Parker, J Martin Russell, Robin Millman, Silke Gillessen, Zafar Malik, Jason F Lester, James Wylie, Noel W Clarke, Mahesh KB Parmar, Matthew R Sydes, and Nicholas D James, on behalf of the STAMPEDE investigators

\* Corresponding author: <u>caroline.clarke@ucl.ac.uk</u>, Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, London, UK.

## CHEERS checklist—Items to include when reporting economic evaluations of health

## interventions

	Item		Reported on page No /
Section/item	No	Recommendation	line No
Title and abstract			
Title	1	Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use	
		more specific terms such as "cost-effectiveness	Page 1
		analysis", and describe the interventions compared.	
Abstract	2	Provide a structured summary of objectives,	
		perspective, setting, methods (including study design	
		and inputs), results (including base case and	Page 3
		uncertainty analyses), and conclusions.	
Introduction			
Background and	3	Provide an explicit statement of the broader context	Page 5 (section 1)
objectives		for the study.	
		Present the study question and its relevance for	Page 5 (section 1)
		health policy or practice decisions.	
Methods			
Target population and	4	Describe characteristics of the base case population	
subgroups		and subgroups analysed, including why they were	Page 6 (section 2.1)
		chosen.	
Setting and location	5	State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the	Page 5 (section 1)
		decision(s) need(s) to be made.	

	Item		Reported on page No /
Section/item	No	Recommendation	line No
Study perspective	6	Describe the perspective of the study and relate this	Page 9, section 2.3.3
		to the costs being evaluated.	
Comparators	7	Describe the interventions or strategies being	Page 6, section 2.1
		compared and state why they were chosen.	
Time horizon	8	State the time horizon(s) over which costs and	Page E section 1
		consequences are being evaluated and say why	Page 5, section 1 Page 9, section 2.4.1
		appropriate.	rage 9, section 2.4.1
Discount rate	9	Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs	Page 10, sections 2.4.2
		and outcomes and say why appropriate.	and 2.4.3
Choice of health	10	Describe what outcomes were used as the	Page 6, section 2.1
outcomes		measure(s) of benefit in the evaluation and their	Page 8, section 2.3.2
		relevance for the type of analysis performed.	
Measurement of	11a	Single study-based estimates: Describe fully the	
effectiveness		design features of the single effectiveness study and	Page 8, section 2.3.2
		why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical	Page 13, section 3.2.3
		effectiveness data.	
	11b	Synthesis-based estimates: Describe fully the	
		methods used for identification of included studies	n/a
		and synthesis of clinical effectiveness data.	
Measurement and	12	If applicable, describe the population and methods	
valuation of preference		used to elicit preferences for outcomes.	n/a
based outcomes			
Estimating resources and	13a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe	
costs		approaches used to estimate resource use associated	
		with the alternative interventions. Describe primary	
		or secondary research methods for valuing each	Page 9, section 2.3.3
		resource item in terms of its unit cost. Describe any	
		adjustments made to approximate to opportunity	
		costs.	
	13b	Model-based economic evaluation: Describe	
		approaches and data sources used to estimate	
		resource use associated with model health states.	
		Describe primary or secondary research methods for	Page 10, section 2.4.3
		valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost.	
		Describe any adjustments made to approximate to	
		opportunity costs.	

	Item		Reported on page No /
Section/item	No	Recommendation	line No
Currency, price date, and	14	Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities	
conversion		and unit costs. Describe methods for adjusting	
		estimated unit costs to the year of reported costs if	Page 9, section 2.3.3
		necessary. Describe methods for converting costs	Tuge 5, Section 2.3.5
		into a common currency base and the exchange rate.	
Choice of model	15	Describe and give reasons for the specific type of	
	15	decision-analytical model used. Providing a figure to	Page 7 Figure 1
			Page 7, Figure 1
	4.6	show model structure is strongly recommended.	
Assumptions	16	Describe all structural or other assumptions	Page 9-10, section 2.4
		underpinning the decision-analytical model.	
Analytical methods	17	Describe all analytical methods supporting the	
		evaluation. This could include methods for dealing	
		with skewed, missing, or censored data;	Pages 9-10, sections 2.2.2
		extrapolation methods; methods for pooling data;	and 2.2.3
		approaches to validate or make adjustments (such as	
		half cycle corrections) to a model; and methods for	
		handling population heterogeneity and uncertainty.	
Results			
	18	Report the values, ranges, references, and, if used,	Page 14, section 3.3;
		probability distributions for all parameters. Report	Supplementary materials
Study parameters		reasons or sources for distributions used to represent	as referenced in that
		uncertainty where appropriate. Providing a table to	section
		show the input values is strongly recommended.	
Incremental costs and	19	For each intervention, report mean values for the	
outcomes		main categories of estimated costs and outcomes of	Page 11, section 3.1
		interest, as well as mean differences between the	
			Dago 1/L contion 2.2.1
		comparator groups. If applicable, report incremental	Page 14, section 3.3.1
		comparator groups. If applicable, report incremental cost-effectiveness ratios.	Page 14, section 3.3.1
Characterising uncertainty	20a		Page 14, section 3.3.1
Characterising uncertainty	20a	cost-effectiveness ratios.	Page 14, section 3.3.1 Pages 12-14, section 3.2;
Characterising uncertainty	20a	cost-effectiveness ratios. Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe the	
Characterising uncertainty	20a	cost-effectiveness ratios. <i>Single study-based economic evaluation:</i> Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for the estimated	Pages 12-14, section 3.2;
Characterising uncertainty	20a	cost-effectiveness ratios. <i>Single study-based economic evaluation:</i> Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental cost and incremental effectiveness	Pages 12-14, section 3.2; Supplementary materials
Characterising uncertainty	20a	cost-effectiveness ratios. <i>Single study-based economic evaluation:</i> Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental cost and incremental effectiveness parameters, together with the impact of	Pages 12-14, section 3.2; Supplementary materials as referenced in that
Characterising uncertainty	20a 20b	cost-effectiveness ratios. <i>Single study-based economic evaluation:</i> Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental cost and incremental effectiveness parameters, together with the impact of methodological assumptions (such as discount rate,	Pages 12-14, section 3.2; Supplementary materials as referenced in that

	Item		Reported on page No /
Section/item	No	Recommendation	line No
		parameters, and uncertainty related to the structure	Page 17, section 3.3.3
		of the model and assumptions.	Supplementary materials
			as referenced in that
			section
Characterising	21	If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes, or	
heterogeneity		cost-effectiveness that can be explained by variations	All regults are reported by
		between subgroups of patients with different	All results are reported by
		baseline characteristics or other observed variability	subgroup
		in effects that are not reducible by more information.	
Discussion			
Study findings,	22	Summarise key study findings and describe how they	Page 17, section 4
limitations,		support the conclusions reached. Discuss limitations	
generalisability, and		and the generalisability of the findings and how the	
current knowledge		findings fit with current knowledge.	
Other			
Source of funding	23	Describe how the study was funded and the role of	
		the funder in the identification, design, conduct, and	Page 20, end of paper,
		reporting of the analysis. Describe other non-	just before References
		monetary sources of support.	
Conflicts of interest	24	Describe any potential for conflict of interest of study	
		contributors in accordance with journal policy. In the	Dage 20 21 and of normal
		absence of a journal policy, we recommend authors	Page 20-21, end of paper,
		comply with International Committee of Medical	just before References
		Journal Editors recommendations.	

For consistency, the CHEERS statement checklist format is based on the format of the CONSORT statement checklist