

Sl No.	Protein Receptors	PDB ID	Grid Size			Grid Center		
			X	Y	Z	X	Y	Z
1	APC	3NMZ	74	80	76	39.464	-35.473	-15.899
2	BUBR1	3SI5	54	34	50	18.288	-1.496	30.564
3	CDK8	3RGF	78	60	74	0.004	4.816	14.09
4	CK2 $\alpha$	3WAR	64	62	58	-6.857	-10.782	17.708
5	FABP6	5L8I	48	40	44	50.711	-7.935	59.08
6	K-Ras	4OBE	46	40	40	-5.975	-22.372	42.093
7	SPINDLE ASSEMBLY CHECKPOINT PROTEIN HUMAN MAD2	1DUJ	62	48	48	-14.163	27.893	-2.86
9	Bcl-xL	1MAZ	48	42	46	1.936	22.227	39.275
10	Bcl-2	2XA0	40	44	36	33.475	-16.507	-15
12	COX-2	3NT1	50	52	40	-33.808	-45.335	-24.417
14	CYTOCHROME P450	4NZ2	70	70	54	-39.032	-61.36	-25.174
15	PRTOEIN KINASE B	1UNR	64	62	88	12.956	-0.73	-1.799
16	TNF $\alpha$	4TWT	62	74	66	5.591	95.39	234.344
17	LEUKOTRIENE A4 HYDROLASE	1HS6	76	74	62	16.502	40.003	64.68
18	NF- $\kappa$ B	1VKX	74	84	90	0.144	37.93	57.762

Table S1. Grid Map of Target Proteins.

<b>Sl No.</b>	<b>Protein Receptors</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Volume</b>
1	APC	30554.495	19137.348
2	BUBR1	929.352	4503.129
3	CDK8	505.676	453.661
4	CK2 $\alpha$	22373.545	13180.014
5	FABP6	6460.869	4383.774
6	K-Ras	9880.206	5579.96
7	SPINDLE ASSEMBLY CHECKPOINT PROTEIN HUMAN MAD2	650.976	407.29
9	Bcl-xL	8154.042	3980.534
10	Bcl-2	9510.191	5132.427
12	COX-2	2009.530	1567.961
14	CYTOCHROME P450	32433.279	21039.17
15	PRTOEIN KINASE B	5370.878	2791.758
16	TNF $\alpha$	15321.63	9131.527
17	LEUKOTRIENE A4 HYDROLASE	1489.538	1170.915
18	NF- $\kappa$ B	31569.852	21777.641

Table S2. Area and volume predicted by CASTp for each protein(as active sites).

Model No	Regression Equation
1	$pIC_{50} = - 3.7345 (\pm 2.5333) MATS7m - 1.2090 (\pm 1.6292) IC5 + 10.3389 (\pm 8.1164)$ (n = 11; R = 0.890; s = 0.187; F = 15.322; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.7930, R <sup>2</sup> -Adj.= 0.7412; p = 0.0018; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.563; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.272 ; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.243)
2	<b><math>pIC_{50} = + 7.4923 (\pm 5.3523) MATS1e - 2.5401 (\pm 2.2482) MATS7e + 5.1776 (\pm 0.5934)</math></b> (n = 11; R = 0.907; s = 0.173; F = 18.502; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.8222; R <sup>2</sup> -Adj.= 0.7778; p = 0.0010; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.615; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.255; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.228)
3	$pIC_{50} = + 7.7926 (\pm 5.2314) MATS1e - 2.4613 (\pm 2.1907) MATS7v + 5.2097 (\pm 0.5738)$ (n = 11; R = 0.906; s = 0.174; F = 18.386; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.8213; R <sup>2</sup> -Adj. = 0.7766; p = 0.0010; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.587 ; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.264 ; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.236)
4	$pIC_{50} = + 8.0187 (\pm 6.4297) MATS1e - 0.7222 (\pm 0.9798) H6u + 6.4023 (\pm 1.0392)$ (n = 11; R = 0.871; s = 0.202; F = 12.569; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.7586; R <sup>2</sup> -Adj.= 0.6982; p = 0.0034; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.644; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.245; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.220)
5	$pIC_{50} = + 1.7703 (\pm 2.2997) GATS7v + 0.0957 (\pm 0.1267) DISPv + 2.2872 (\pm 2.1511)$ (n = 11; R = 0.811; s = 0.241; F = 7.672; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.6573; R <sup>2</sup> -Adj.= 0.5716; p = 0.0138; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.374; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.325 ; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.291)
6	$pIC_{50} = - 2.9042 (\pm 2.9745) MATS7e + 0.0892 (\pm 0.1150) DISPv + 3.9688 (\pm 0.6540)$ (n = 11;R = 0.841; s = 0.222; F = 9.680; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.7076; R <sup>2</sup> -Adj.= 0.6345; p = 0.0073; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.495; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.292; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.261)
7	$pIC_{50} = - 2.9872 (\pm 3.1624) MATS7e - 1.3748 (\pm 2.0869) IC5 + 11.2582 (\pm 10.3893)$ (n = 11; R = 0.826; s = 0.232; F = 8.592; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.6823;R <sup>2</sup> -Adj.= 0.6029;p = 0.0102; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.496; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.292; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.261)
8	$pIC_{50} = - 2.7542 (\pm 2.9422) MATS7v + 0.0954 (\pm 0.1141) DISPv + 3.9442 (\pm 0.6607)$ (n = 11; R = 0.836; s = 0.226; F = 9.251; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.6981; R <sup>2</sup> -Adj.= 0.6227; p = 0.0083; Q <sup>2</sup> = 0.433; S <sub>Press</sub> = 0.310; S <sub>DEP</sub> = 0.277)

Table S3. 2D-QSAR models for Xanthone derivatives.

	<b>pI C<sub>50</sub></b>	<b>MAT S7m</b>	<b>MA TS1e</b>	<b>GAT S7m</b>	<b>GAT S7v</b>	<b>GAT S7e</b>	<b>GAT S1e</b>	<b>MA TS7e</b>	<b>MA TS7v</b>	<b>DIS Pp</b>	<b>DI SP v</b>	<b>H6 u</b>	<b>HAT S7p</b>	<b>IC 5</b>
<b>pIC<sub>50</sub></b>	1.000	0.847	0.819	0.847	0.726	0.744	0.831	0.769	0.747	0.749	0.723	0.713	0.707	0.703
<b>MAT S7m</b>	0.847	1.000	0.733	0.926	0.779	0.830	0.805	0.927	0.881	0.679	0.701	0.752	0.754	0.561
<b>MAT S1e</b>	0.819	0.733	1.000	0.878	0.610	0.632	0.889	0.539	0.503	0.638	0.740	0.576	0.612	0.620
<b>GAT S7m</b>	0.847	0.926	0.878	1.000	0.862	0.893	0.862	0.847	0.822	0.758	0.785	0.820	0.803	0.653
<b>GAT S7v</b>	0.726	0.779	0.610	0.862	1.000	0.995	0.864	0.899	0.930	0.724	0.597	0.964	0.885	0.715
<b>GAT S7e</b>	0.744	0.830	0.632	0.893	0.995	1.000	0.872	0.928	0.947	0.733	0.626	0.958	0.882	0.692
<b>GAT S1e</b>	0.831	0.805	0.889	0.937	0.864	0.872	1.000	0.762	0.759	0.682	0.707	0.839	0.865	0.739
<b>MAT S7e</b>	0.769	0.927	0.539	0.847	0.899	0.928	0.762	1.000	0.992	0.665	0.577	0.876	0.827	0.599
<b>MAT S7v</b>	0.747	0.881	0.503	0.822	0.930	0.947	0.759	0.992	1.000	0.672	0.548	0.905	0.852	0.637
<b>DIS Pp</b>	0.749	0.679	0.638	0.758	0.724	0.733	0.682	0.665	0.672	1.000	0.921	0.757	0.742	0.840
<b>DIS v</b>	0.723	0.701	0.740	0.785	0.597	0.626	0.707	0.577	0.548	0.921	1.000	0.645	0.692	0.735
<b>H6u</b>	0.713	0.752	0.576	0.820	0.964	0.958	0.839	0.876	0.905	0.757	0.645	1.000	0.921	0.784
<b>HAT S7p</b>	0.707	0.754	0.612	0.803	0.885	0.882	0.865	0.827	0.852	0.742	0.692	0.921	1.000	0.868
<b>IC5</b>	0.703	0.561	0.620	0.653	0.715	0.692	0.739	0.599	0.637	0.840	0.735	0.784	0.868	1.000

Table S4. Pearson correlation matrix of selected descriptors along with pIC<sub>50</sub> value.

<b>Toxicity Rating</b>	<b>Commonly Used Term</b>	<b>(single dose to rats) mg/kg</b>
1	Extremely Toxic	1 or less
2	Highly Toxic	1-50
3	Moderately Toxic	50-500
4	Slightly Toxic	500-5000
5	Practically Non-toxic	5000-15,000
6	Relatively Harmless	15,000 or more

Table S5. Toxicity Classes.