

Supplementary Information

Table of Contents

Specificity of the protein:DNA cross-link.....	2
Radiolabeled cystamine-functionalized DNA as a reporter of the analogous unlabeled species.....	3
Effect of the protein:DNA cross-link on Cas9-catalyzed DNA cleavage	3
Structural construct quality control	4
Cryo-EM data processing and 3D volume reconstruction	5
Structural model building and refinement	8
Missing features of the open-protein cryo-EM reconstructions	10
Open- vs. closed-protein particle counts in cryo-EM images	12
Adapting the DNA cyclization assay for Cas9	13
Interpreting results of DNA cyclization experiments	14
Interpreting results of permanganate reactivity measurements.....	18
Comparison to a previously reported permanganate reactivity experiment	19
Figure preparation	20
References for Supplementary Information.....	21
Best-fit model parameters	24
Plasmid, protein, and oligonucleotide sequences	28

Specificity of the protein:DNA cross-link

To assess the chemical identity of the cross-linked protein:DNA preparations, we tested the dependence of the observed electrophoretic mobility shift on each reactive moiety. The shift required the simultaneous presence of the Cas9 T1337C mutation and the target-strand cystamine functionalization, and the cross-linked species could be dissociated by treatment with β -mercaptoethanol (Extended Data Fig. 1b). While we occasionally observed high-molecular-weight species by SDS-PAGE analysis (likely due to inter-protein cystine formation; see, for example, lane 3 of Extended Data Fig. 1b), inclusion of 100 μ M dithiothreitol in the reaction minimized these species while preserving the protein:DNA cross-link. These results, combined with the direct observation of density in the EM maps (Fig. 1d), indicate that the cross-link was a disulfide bond formed between Cas9 Cys1337 and target-strand Cyt(-4).

The specificity of the reaction also offers evidence that the mode of protein:DNA interaction trapped by the cross-link is equivalent or similar to a mechanistically relevant mode of interaction that occurs in non-cross-linked complexes. Notably, neither of Cas9's two native cysteines, which are both surface-exposed and reduced in existing structures of the protein^{1–3}, was reactive to the cystamine-functionalized DNA (Extended Data Fig. 1b), suggesting that the structural context of Cys1337 made it uniquely reactive. Additionally, inclusion of a fully sgRNA-spacer-complementary unfunctionalized DNA duplex decreased the fraction of cross-linking of the cystamine-functionalized mismatched duplex from 66% to 47% (Extended Data Fig. 1b), supporting that the two substrates share a binding site. Finally, the rate of cross-link formation increased when RNA:DNA base pairs were allowed (time to reach 50% cross-

linked was ~20 hours for 0 bp but <30 minutes for 3 or 20 bp), suggesting that the cross-link preferentially forms while the complex is in a conformation containing RNA:DNA base pairs.

Radiolabeled cystamine-functionalized DNA as a reporter of the analogous unlabeled species

Because our radiolabeling protocol exposes DNA oligonucleotides to 5 mM dithiothreitol, we expect that the cystamine group on the modified target strand is reduced to cysteamine during radiolabeling. To regenerate the cystamine, duplex annealing reactions containing radiolabeled cysteamine-functionalized DNA were always performed with an excess of either unlabeled cystamine-functionalized DNA or free cystamine. The effectiveness of this regeneration approach is reflected in the successful incorporation of radiolabeled DNA into the protein:DNA adduct (see SDS-PAGE autoradiograph in Extended Data Fig. 1c).

Effect of the protein:DNA cross-link on Cas9-catalyzed DNA cleavage

To assess the effect of the cross-link on enzyme function, we measured the cleavage rate of a DNA duplex radiolabeled on the target strand, which allowed well-controlled measurement of both the cross-linked fraction and the extent of DNA cleavage from the same sample (see Methods). The cleavage plateau value was similar for reactions containing the same DNA substrate (67% for cystamine-functionalized and 80% for unfunctionalized) and unaffected by enzyme identity (WT vs. T1337C) (Extended Data Fig. 1c), suggesting that the two substrate variants each have a fixed fraction of

uncleavable molecules. Among the cleavable fraction of each substrate, Cas9 T1337C yielded slightly faster cleavage than Cas9 WT. Most importantly, in a reaction in which 52% of the DNA molecules were cross-linked to Cas9 (see SDS-PAGE autoradiograph in Extended Data Fig. 1c), the observed target-strand cleavage rate was faster than that of a reaction lacking the cysteine and a reaction that contained both sulfur modifications in reduced form (Extended Data Fig. 1c). Therefore, Cas9 complexes containing the cross-link perform uninhibited target-strand cleavage. As a caveat, the described measurements only reflect steps of Cas9 function that follow R-loop formation, which was already completed before initiation of the cleavage reaction. The cyclization and permanganate experiments (Fig. 4, 5) should be considered to assess the resemblance of the cross-linked complex to the non-cross-linked complex in earlier stages of Cas9 function.

Structural construct quality control

Because preparation of the structural constructs involved long incubations at 25°C in some cases (24 hours for the complex with 0 RNA:DNA matches, 8 hours for the complex with 3 RNA:DNA matches), we tested for the presence of degradation products in the cryo-EM samples. PAGE analysis revealed no significant protein or nucleic-acid degradation products in any of the cryo-EM samples (Extended Data Fig. 2a, b). For greater sensitivity in detecting nucleic-acid degradation, we prepared constructs analogous to the structural constructs that were radiolabeled on each nucleic acid strand, and we halted the cryo-EM sample preparation protocol immediately after the cross-link formation reaction and before size-exclusion purification (due to radioactivity-

related safety regulations). In these samples, we detected no DNA degradation products, including Cas9-catalyzed DNA cleavage products, which if present would have appeared next to control products in the same autoradiograph (Extended Data Fig. 2c). We did detect an sgRNA degradation product whose band volume was 18% or 7% that of the intact sgRNA band for the 0-RNA:DNA-match complex at 24 hours or the 3-RNA:DNA-match complex at 8 hours, respectively. This product was only faintly visible for the cryo-EM samples stained by SYBR Gold (1% of the volume of the intact sgRNA band for the 0-RNA:DNA-match complex; undetectable for the Cas9:sgRNA complex; 0.3% for the 3-RNA:DNA-match complex; band volume in this case reflects mass rather than molecule counts) (Extended Data Fig. 2b), suggesting that size-exclusion purification largely eliminated the degradation product from the final sample. Therefore, we conclude that the protein, RNA, and DNA in the cryo-EM samples are chemically intact.

Cryo-EM data processing and 3D volume reconstruction

Images were processed in cryoSPARC (v.3.2)⁴ unless indicated otherwise. For Cas9:sgRNA:DNA{0 RNA:DNA matches}, images first underwent patch motion correction and patch CTF estimation, followed by particle picking (blob picker, 8,386,564 particles) and three rounds of 2D classification. Recentered particles were then subject to *ab initio* reconstruction into various numbers of classes and subclasses. Manual curation of *ab initio* results yielded five starting volumes of interest: one resembling the open-protein complex, one resembling the closed-protein complex, and three appearing as partial Cas9 complexes. Volumes generated from the open-protein

and closed-protein classes were used as templates for a subsequent round of particle-picking (7,899,389 particles) of the same images, followed by removal of junk picks through three rounds of 2D classification. Duplicate particles shared between the two picking strategies were removed, leaving 1,361,570 particles (~30% more than either strategy alone). These particles underwent 3D classification into five volumes created from the original five *ab initio* classes. Particles that sorted into the open-protein (545,450) and closed-protein (189,963) classes underwent local motion correction⁵ and non-uniform (NU) refinement with per-group CTF correction including beam tilt and trefoil fitting⁶. The resulting maps had regions of low-quality density, which we attempted to improve through RELION(v3.1.0)-based subclassification⁷.

Locally motion-corrected particles were transferred to RELION via sign flipping, NU refinement, and star file generation⁸. Closed-protein particles were classified (eight classes) in RELION without image alignment (Extended Data Fig. 3a). Three of the eight classes showed signs of particle damage and were excluded from further consideration. In the other five classes (~125k particles), the DNA is bent at position +1/+2 of the candidate complementarity region. The class with the most highly interpretable DNA density (18,121 particles) was refined and post-processed in RELION (B-factor = -30 Å²) to a final gold-standard resolution of 3.2 Å. The refined map (the “unsharpened” closed-protein/bent-DNA map referenced in figure legends) was then LocScale-sharpened (default window size, part of the CCP-EM v.1.4.1 package)⁹ according to a preliminary atomic model (generating the “sharpened” closed-protein/bent-DNA map referenced in figure legends).

Similar RELION-based processing did not improve the open-protein/linear-DNA map. Half maps from the original cryoSPARC NU refinement (545,450 particles, resolution 2.5 Å) were used as input to LocSpiral sharpening (v. 1.0.0.0)¹⁰ to generate the “sharpened” open-protein/linear-DNA map referenced in figure legends. The “unsharpened” open-protein/linear-DNA map referenced in figure legends is the unsharpened map from cryoSPARC NU refinement.

For Cas9:sgRNA, processing was performed entirely in cryoSPARC, as described for the open-protein/linear-DNA particles, except only three starting volumes were curated from *ab initio* reconstructions: one resembling the open-protein complex and two low-resolution blobs. The closed-protein state was not found in these images using the described protocol or when particles were picked using a template generated from the crystal structure of Cas9:sgRNA. The final volume was reconstructed using NU refinement to generate the “unsharpened” Cas9:sgRNA map referenced in figure legends. Half maps from this refinement (87,130 particles, resolution 3.2 Å) were used as input to LocBSharpen (v. 1.0.0.0)¹⁰ to generate the “sharpened” Cas9:sgRNA map referenced in figure legends.

For Cas9:sgRNA:DNA{3 RNA:DNA matches}, processing was as for {0 RNA:DNA matches}, except the starting volumes for the first round of 3D classification were the same five low-pass-filtered starting volumes used for the {0 RNA:DNA matches} pipeline, as the *ab initio* algorithm failed to reconstruct the closed-protein state in the {3 RNA:DNA matches} particles. NU refinement of each sorted class revealed the high-resolution features unique to the {3 RNA:DNA matches} construct, such as the 3 RNA:DNA base pairs, and these five refined volumes were used for subsequent 3D

classification. Particles contributing to the best class were further subclassified (6 classes) in RELION, followed by post-processing of the best class. The final volume was reconstructed at a resolution of 3.3 Å from 17,424 particles. The refined map (the “unsharpened” 3-bp-R-loop map referenced in figure legends) was LocScale-sharpened (window size 44) according to a preliminary atomic model (generating the “sharpened” 3-bp-R-loop map referenced in figure legends).

Gold-standard Fourier shell correlation calculations (reported resolutions use the 0.143 criterion) and local resolution calculations were performed in RELION using half maps from the final refinement (either cryoSPARC or RELION, as described above). Masks for GSFSC calculations were generated in RELION.

Multi-body analysis¹¹ was used to assess interlobe rotations in complexes of Cas9:sgRNA:DNA{0 RNA:DNA matches}. Particles (without local motion correction) were transferred to RELION and 3D-classified (without alignment) using the unsharpened map and mask from cryoSPARC. Particles that sorted into well-resolved classes were refined again to serve as a consensus for multi-body analysis. Masks surrounding each body were generated using Segger¹², Chimera (v 1.4)¹³, and RELION. Open-protein/linear-DNA particles (541,570) were modeled as two bodies (NUC lobe and REC lobe); closed-protein/bent-DNA particles (188,085) were modeled as three bodies (NUC lobe, REC1/2, REC3).

Structural model building and refinement

Because the structures presented in this work bear combinations of features from various other Cas9 structural states, the starting models incorporated pieces of several

previous structures. For the open-protein/linear-DNA structure, the starting models used were 4ZT0¹ (C-terminal domain (CTD) loop residues 1241-1247, HNH, REC1, REC2, RuvC, sgRNA nt 11-81), 5FQ5¹⁴ (CTD and DNA bp -7-0 as numbered in Fig. 1b), 5F9R² (3'-most sgRNA stem-loop), and 4CMP³ (bridge helix residues 56-94). The remainder of the DNA was built from a B-form DNA duplex generated in X3DNA (v2.4)¹⁵. For the closed-protein/bent-DNA structure, the starting models used were 4ZT0 (bridge helix, CTD loop residues 1241-1247, HNH, REC1, REC2, REC3, RuvC, sgRNA nt 11-81), 5FQ5 (CTD and DNA bp -7-0 as numbered in Fig. 1b), 5F9R (3'-most sgRNA stem-loop). The same starting models were used for the 3-bp R-loop structure. For the Cas9:sgRNA structure, the starting models used were 4ZT0 (CTD, HNH, REC1, REC2, RuvC, sgRNA nt 11-81), 5F9R (3'-most sgRNA stem-loop), and 4CMP (bridge helix residues 56-94).

Individual domains were each fit into the relevant sharpened map in ChimeraX (v. 1.1.1)¹⁶. Nucleotides and amino acid residues were mutated to reflect the true sequence of the construct, and hydrogens were added with phenix.ready_set (PHENIX v. 1.19.2-4158-000)¹⁷ to improve clash resolution. Models were subsequently refined with phenix.real_space_refine (rigid_body, morphing, minimization_global), using reference to the starting structures and restraints on protein secondary structure, nucleobase pairing, and nucleobase stacking. DNA was also restrained by reference to a B-form duplex generated in X3DNA, where appropriate, and simulated annealing was used to fit into low-resolution density. The thioalkane linker was added as an ethanethiol ligand from PHENIX's eLBOW module. The sulfur-sulfur bond was formed using PHENIX's standard cystine restraints. The nitrogen-carbon restraints were generated

manually based on a crystal structure containing an alkylated cytosine¹⁸. Refinement using PHENIX's default geometry library yielded many bond lengths and angles in the nucleic acids that were marked as outliers by the PDB validation server, so the faulty parameters were rigidified *ad hoc* (that is, their estimated standard deviation values in the PHENIX library files were made smaller, with no change to the mean values).

Manual adjustments were made in Coot (v. 0.9.2)¹⁹ and PyMOL (v. 2.4.1). In areas of the EM maps where resolution was too low for *de novo* structure building but sufficient to discern secondary structural elements, atomic coordinates were most highly constrained by the starting structures. In areas where resolution was so low that the likeness to the reference structures could not be discerned, the chain was deleted. Hydrogens were deleted from the final model, and B-factors were refined (phenix.real_space_refine: adp).

Missing features of the open-protein cryo-EM reconstructions

Whereas nearly all components of the cross-linked complex are accounted for by density in the closed-protein reconstructions, the open-protein reconstructions (with and without DNA) are missing several segments of both the protein and the sgRNA (Supplementary Video 1). These segments must be unrepresented due to conformational heterogeneity rather than true absence, as the cryo-EM samples were determined to be chemically intact (see Supplementary Information section “Structural construct quality control”). Flexibility of these segments may be intrinsic features of the open-protein state.

The major unrepresented protein region is REC3 (REC lobe domain 3). REC3 conformational heterogeneity is consistent with prior observations of its radically different positions in different crystal structures^{1,3}, its capacity to support Cas9 activity when supplied in *trans*²⁰, and direct observation in cryo-EM images of the bent-DNA state, as revealed through multi-body refinement (Supplementary Video 4).

A sparse and amorphous patch of density abuts the PAM-facing surface of REC lobe domain 1 (gray in Extended Data Fig. 4b). This density is likely contributed by some combination of REC1 and a disordered ensemble of the 5' half of the sgRNA, which binds to that protein surface in all previously determined closed-protein structures. In the Cas9:sgRNA map, the curved tip of the repeat:anti-repeat duplex is resolved well enough to be modeled (Extended Data Fig. 4b), but the remainder of the 5' half of the sgRNA was left unmodeled due to insufficient resolution. For the open-protein/linear-DNA reconstruction, the entire 5' half of the sgRNA was left unmodeled due to insufficient resolution, although the curved tip of the repeat:anti-repeat duplex does appear at low density thresholds in the unsharpened map. SgRNA conformational heterogeneity is consistent with prior observations that it contributes only a small fraction of the total Cas9:sgRNA binding energy²¹.

Open- vs. closed-protein particle counts in cryo-EM images

We report here the number of particles sorted into each class by cryoSPARC heterogeneous refinement:

	cross-linked Cas9:sgRNA:DNA, 0 RNA:DNA matches	Cas9:sgRNA	cross-linked Cas9:sgRNA:DNA, 3 RNA:DNA matches
number of particles in the open-protein conformation	545,499	87,405	552,846
number of particles in the closed- protein conformation	189,978	-	134,308
approximate ratio, open- protein:closed- protein	3:1	-	4:1

These data suggest that the open-protein state is more stable than the closed-protein state. In the case of the complex containing 3 RNA:DNA matches, the dominant conformation resembled the 0-match open-protein/linear-DNA map except for at CCR positions +1 to +3, where the target-strand:non-target strand mismatch (Fig. 1c) yielded slight local distortion. We chose not to deposit or model this map due to its mechanistic irrelevance. Nonetheless, considering only the particle counts, it is surprising that the open-to-closed ratio was nearly unchanged in response to the nucleic-acid sequence adjustment, as our naive expectation was that the 3 RNA:DNA base pairs would decrease the free energy of the closed-protein state, while the 3 DNA:DNA mismatches would increase the free energy of the open-protein state. The similarity in relative

particle counts between the two construct variants suggests that structural features of the complex besides base pairing may dominate the energetics. However, single-molecule experiments in solution will be required to draw solid conclusions about energetics.

Adapting the DNA cyclization assay for Cas9

To design a DNA sequence for detecting Cas9-induced DNA bends, we began with the CAP (catabolite activator protein)-site-containing DNA scaffold used by Kahn and Crothers²², whose sequence (depicted in Extended Data Fig. 5) we reconstructed from their methods. Unlike Kahn and Crothers, we planned to avoid cloning the DNA constructs in plasmids and instead assembled them entirely through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of oligonucleotides produced by commercial chemical synthesis. With this in mind, we first desymmetrized the 16-bp regions of the scaffold that flank each side of the A-tracts (termed here “primer overlap regions”) to allow primer binding in a unique orientation during substrate assembly. We then established two “variable regions” (called “adaptors” by Kahn and Crothers) immediately flanking the primer overlap regions. Each variable region can contain up to 10 bp of the sequence AGAGCTGTAC, oriented as direct repeats (as compared to the inverted repeats of the CAP scaffold). We added PAM1 2 bp downstream of variable region II. While this placement leads to the inclusion of variable region II within the CCR, if Cas9 binding does not unwind more than 2 bp (as suggested by the permanganate experiments), we expect that the local structure of the Cas9:sgRNA:DNA interaction will not be affected by changes in the sequence of variable region II. We added PAM2 31 bp downstream of

PAM1. In anticipation of low binding occupancy and perhaps bound complexes that spend little time in the bent state, we included two in-phase PAMs (as compared to the single CAP site of Kahn and Crothers) to amplify the signal detected through cyclization measurements. We changed the CCR of PAM2 to a sequence that resembled that used in cryo-EM studies. We mutated all iterations of GG dinucleotides besides those of the two intended PAMs, generally by switching in a C wherever such a sequence appeared. Finally, we mutated all iterations of AAA trinucleotides besides those in the six AAAAAA sequences, generally by switching in a T wherever such a sequence appeared, to avoid poly-A-dependent curvature anywhere besides the intended position. The exact sequence of the final scaffold (Extended Data Fig. 5) differed from the CAP scaffold, but its core J-shaped structure is expected to closely resemble the original.

In the eleven variants of the designed substrate (Extended Data Fig. 5), we added base pairs of the sequence AGAGCTGTAC, one by one, to variable region II, while simultaneously subtracting the corresponding nucleotide from the identical sequence in variable region I, holding the total length of the substrate fixed at 160 bp with the aim of achieving similar baseline cyclization rates for all substrates. While Kahn and Crothers used radioactive nucleotides to enable DNA quantitation, we avoided radioactivity-related safety issues by including a single fluorescein-conjugated deoxythymidine within the reverse amplification primer of each substrate.

Interpreting results of DNA cyclization experiments

Due to the number of substrates and the information of interest to our study, we measured the extent of monomolecular cyclization and bimolecular ligation at a single

timepoint for each substrate, in contrast to the kinetic measurements of Kahn and Crothers on CAP substrates²². The resulting monomolecular cyclization efficiency (MCE) and bimolecular ligation efficiency (BLE) parameters, defined in the Methods, cannot be used to trivially estimate a rate due to the complexity of the concentration-dependent multimerization pathways. All Cas9 substrates exhibited substantial bimolecular ligation (Extended Data Fig. 6a, b), in contrast to some of the CAP substrates that cyclized so quickly that no bimolecular ligation was observed (we attribute this difference to the fact that Kahn and Crothers experimentally selected fast-cyclizing starting sequences, whereas we designed ours *de novo* without further selection). Additionally, we chose to stop the reactions at a relatively late timepoint to improve the fluorescence signal of the bands of interest, but at such a late timepoint, the data are subject to the effects of substrate depletion (visible, for example, in the opposing trends of MCE vs. BLE for the no-ligase condition, Extended Data Fig. 6a). Finally, the high concentration of Cas9:sgRNA used in this experiment (16 μ M) yielded a general inhibition of ligation activity, perhaps due to non-specific competition for the ligase substrate, in contrast to the low concentration of CAP needed to saturate the binding site (12 nM). In spite of these considerations, we expect that the MCE parameter presented here tracks monotonically with the J-factor of Kahn and Crothers, enabling bend detection and phasing.

In the Cas9-free experiment, the raw MCE values, plotted against the A-tract/PAM1 spacing, exhibited a sinusoidal shape (Extended Data Fig. 6a). This behavior could emerge from a variety of phase-dependent properties intrinsic to the DNA substrates, such as curvature of sequences outside the A-tract²² or torsional

alignment of the ends to be ligated^{23,24}; it is unrelated to the sinusoidal behavior of the MCE_{+Cas9}/MCE_{-Cas9} curve, which is the true source of information for protein-induced bend detection and phasing.

To ascribe an absolute bending direction to the peak observed in the MCE_{+Cas9}/MCE_{-Cas9} curve, we compared our results to the cyclization data presented in Table 1 of Kahn & Crothers, 1992. To incorporate information from all data collected into the phase determination, we arbitrarily chose to fit the Cas9 data and the CAP data to a simple sine function. The true relationship is likely more complex but is still expected to exhibit the 10.45-bp periodicity captured by the sine function. Comparison of the fitted phases, with spacing defined either with respect to PAM1 or PAM2 (results are different because the 31-bp inter-PAM spacing is not a perfect multiple of 10.45), revealed that the Cas9 bend points in nearly the opposite direction from the CAP bend. The accuracy of the reported phase difference is limited by differences between the Cas9 vs. CAP substrates and the incompletely constrained phase of the sine fit to the CAP data, but even with these uncertainties, it is clear that the two bends are generally out of phase.

To assess the resemblance of the solution complexes to the cryo-EM/crystal structures of Cas9:sgRNA:bent-DNA and CAP:DNA (PDB 1CGP)²⁵, we aligned the two structures in PyMOL using the following command:

```
sel backbone_, name C1' or name C2' or name C3' or name C4' or name C5' or name O5' or name O3'  
pair_fit \  
    Cas9 and chain N and resi 15 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain C and resi 26 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain N and resi 14 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain C and resi 25 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain N and resi 13 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain C and resi 24 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain N and resi 12 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain C and resi 23 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain N and resi 11 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain C and resi 22 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain N and resi 10 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain C and resi 21 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain N and resi 9 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain C and resi 20 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain T and resi 16 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain F and resi 6 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain T and resi 17 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain F and resi 7 and backbone_, \  
    Cas9 and chain T and resi 18 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain F and resi 8 and backbone_, \
```

```
Cas9 and chain T and resi 19 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain F and resi 9 and backbone_, \
Cas9 and chain T and resi 20 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain F and resi 10 and backbone_, \
Cas9 and chain T and resi 21 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain F and resi 11 and backbone_, \
Cas9 and chain T and resi 22 and backbone_, 1cgp and chain F and resi 12 and backbone_
```

This command defines the center of the Cas9-induced bend as the junction between bp 0 and +1 (as numbered in Fig. 1b); the center of the CAP-induced bend is defined as the center of the 4-bp helix composed of the hybridized sticky ends. As predicted by the cyclization analysis, the two bends point in opposite directions (Fig. 4c). If the center of the Cas9-induced bend were defined to lie further from the PAM (for example, within the CCR) the 218° angle depicted in Fig. 4c would be replaced by (218° - x*34°), where x is the position of the more PAM-proximal nucleotide flanking the newly defined center. Likewise, in the structural alignment depicted in Fig. 4c, the blue helix would rotate ~34° clockwise per base pair shift.

While the general shape of the collected data provide a clear prediction about the bending phase (that is, the dihedral angle formed by the three linear DNA tracts containing variable region I, variable region II, and the fluorescein-dT) we avoid interpreting information about bending magnitude (that is, the angle formed by the two arms of a Cas9-induced bend). While bending magnitude can be estimated in some cases through extensive additional experimentation and modeling²⁶, such analysis cannot be applied to the Cas9-induced bend. In contrast to the stable bend imposed by CAP binding, our cryo-EM studies revealed that a Cas9-bound substrate can spend time in both linear and bent states, leading to the entanglement of bending magnitude with bent-state lifetime in this ensemble assay (similar to the uncertainties described in Supplementary Information section “Interpreting results of permanganate reactivity measurements”).

Interpreting results of permanganate reactivity measurements

To enable interpretation of the permanganate reactivity measurements (see Methods), we conducted the experiments under “single-hit” conditions, using a low enough permanganate concentration that almost no individual DNA molecules are oxidized at more than one thymine. For the purposes of analysis, we assumed that all DNA molecules were oxidized at exactly 0 or 1 thymine. We also assumed that all radiolabeled DNA molecules are identical and in fast conformational equilibrium between stacked and unstacked states (this is supported by the total association time of Cas9 on a single PAM, previously estimated at <30 ms²⁷, which may represent an upper limit on the transition time between the bent and linear states) as compared to the rate of the chemical step of thymine oxidation (under similar conditions, the oxidation rate of a thymine in single-stranded DNA was on the order of 1 min⁻¹ (ref. ²⁸)). With these assumptions, oxidation probability p_{ox} over reaction time t reports on an underlying exponential rate constant k_{ox} , as follows: $k_{ox} = \frac{-\ln(1-p_{ox})}{t}$. The quantity $-\ln(1 - p_{ox})$ is approximately equal to p_{ox} when p_{ox} is close to 0, as is the case here. Thus, $p_{ox} = k_{ox}t$. The low regime of p_{ox} values measured here also simplifies background subtraction because each alternative pathway to DNA cleavage contributes approximately additively, allowing determination of the value of p_{ox} from measurements of $p_{cleave,-pm}$ and $p_{cleave,+pm}$ according to the equation $p_{cleave,-pm} + p_{ox} = p_{cleave,+pm}$. Fortunately, the thymines of greatest interest, Thy(+1) and Thy(+2), have $p_{ox} \approx 0$ when Cas9:sgRNA is omitted from the reaction, so essentially all oxidation events of Thy(+1) or Thy(+2) occur when DNA is bound to Cas9. Finally, we assumed that the system

remains at conformational and binding equilibrium throughout the permanganate exposure.

Under the described assumptions, p_{ox} for Thy(+1) or Thy(+2) can be expressed as follows: $p_{ox} = ft \sum_c g_c h_c$, where f is the fraction of DNA molecules bound to Cas9:sgRNA, g_c is the fraction of Cas9-bound DNA molecules populating conformation c , and h_c is the rate constant for permanganate oxidation of a thymine populating conformation c , for all conformations c . Notably, $t \sum_c g_c h_c$ is a constant for all concentrations of Cas9:sgRNA, allowing determination of the K_D for the interaction of Cas9:sgRNA with the DNA by simple hyperbolic fitting of the p_{ox} vs. [Cas9:sgRNA] plot (Fig. 5b, Extended Data Fig. 7a). The plateau value of the fitted hyperbolas is equal to $t \sum_c g_c h_c$, but the data collected offer no information about individual properties of g_c , h_c , or c . For example, the experiment does not distinguish between (1) a population of Cas9-bound DNA molecules that spend most of their time in a partially unstacked, weakly reactive state and (2) a population of Cas9-bound DNA molecules that spend most of their time in a fully stacked, unreactive state but make brief excursions to a fully unstacked, highly reactive state. Therefore, we cannot draw detailed conclusions about precise nucleotide conformations from these measurements. Still, the Cas9:sgRNA-dependent increase in p_{ox} at Thy(+1) and Thy(+2) unequivocally indicates that the ribonucleoprotein unstacks nucleotides next to the PAM during binding events.

Comparison to a previously reported permanganate reactivity experiment

Mekler and colleagues previously reported a permanganate reactivity experiment²⁹ similar to that in the present work. However, while we detected strong Cas9-dependent

permanganate sensitization at the site of R-loop initiation, Mekler et al. detected none. We propose that the different results emerged from differences in the reaction conditions (listed below) that affect either the extent of permanganate oxidation or the fraction of DNA molecules bound to Cas9. It is likely that Cas9-dependent permanganate sensitization was simply below the limit of detection under the gentler conditions of Mekler et al.

condition	Mekler et al. 2017	present work
temperature	room temperature	30°C
[KMnO ₄]	1 mM	10 mM
reaction time	1 min	2 min
[Cas9:sgRNA]	600 nM	1 to 16 µM

Figure preparation

All structural model and map figures were prepared in ChimeraX and PyMOL. The cylindrical volumes in Fig. 4a were drawn in SketchUp (Trimble). The difference vectors in Fig. 6a were produced using modevectors.py (Sean M. Law, <https://pymolwiki.org/index.php/Modevectors>). Figures depicting the details of cryo-EM analysis were prepared in cryoSPARC, RELION, and Chimera. Scatter plots were prepared in Prism 7. Final figures were prepared in Adobe Illustrator. For multibody analysis, the results of body motions were visualized using Chimera, and videos were assembled in Adobe Premiere Pro (2020).

References for Supplementary Information

1. Jiang, F., Zhou, K., Ma, L., Gressel, S. & Doudna, J. A. STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY. A Cas9-guide RNA complex preorganized for target DNA recognition. *Science* **348**, 1477–1481 (2015).
2. Jiang, F. *et al.* Structures of a CRISPR-Cas9 R-loop complex primed for DNA cleavage. *Science* **351**, 867–871 (2016).
3. Jinek, M. *et al.* Structures of Cas9 endonucleases reveal RNA-mediated conformational activation. *Science* **343**, 1247997 (2014).
4. Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290–296 (2017).
5. Rubinstein, J. L. & Brubaker, M. A. Alignment of cryo-EM movies of individual particles by optimization of image translations. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 188–195 (2015).
6. Punjani, A., Zhang, H. & Fleet, D. J. Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *Nat Methods* **17**, 1214–1221 (2020).
7. Scheres, S. H. W. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure determination. *J Struct Biol* **180**, 519–530 (2012).
8. Asarnow, D., Palovcak, E. & Cheng, Y. *asarnow/pyem*: UCSF *pyem* v0.5. (Zenodo, 2019). doi:10.5281/ZENODO.3576630.
9. Jakobi, A. J., Wilmanns, M. & Sachse, C. Model-based local density sharpening of cryo-EM maps. *Elife* **6**, e27131 (2017).

10. Kaur, S. *et al.* Local computational methods to improve the interpretability and analysis of cryo-EM maps. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1240 (2021).
11. Nakane, T., Kimanius, D., Lindahl, E. & Scheres, S. H. Characterisation of molecular motions in cryo-EM single-particle data by multi-body refinement in RELION. *Elife* **7**, e36861 (2018).
12. Pintilie, G. *et al.* Segmentation and Comparative Modeling in an 8.6-Å Cryo-EM Map of the Singapore Grouper Iridovirus. *Structure* **27**, 1561–1569.e4 (2019).
13. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).
14. Olieric, V. *et al.* Data-collection strategy for challenging native SAD phasing. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **72**, 421–429 (2016).
15. Lu, X.-J. & Olson, W. K. 3DNA: a software package for the analysis, rebuilding and visualization of three-dimensional nucleic acid structures. *Nucleic Acids Res* **31**, 5108–5121 (2003).
16. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci* **30**, 70–82 (2021).
17. Liebschner, D. *et al.* Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Cryst D* **75**, 861–877 (2019).
18. Swenson, M. C., Paranawithana, S. R., Miller, P. S. & Kielkopf, C. L. Structure of a DNA repair substrate containing an alkyl interstrand cross-link at 1.65 Å resolution. *Biochemistry* **46**, 4545–4553 (2007).
19. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 486–501 (2010).

20. Chen, J. S. *et al.* Enhanced proofreading governs CRISPR-Cas9 targeting accuracy. *Nature* **550**, 407–410 (2017).
21. Wright, A. V. *et al.* Rational design of a split-Cas9 enzyme complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 2984–2989 (2015).
22. Kahn, J. D. & Crothers, D. M. Protein-induced bending and DNA cyclization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**, 6343–6347 (1992).
23. Levene, S. D. & Crothers, D. M. Topological distributions and the torsional rigidity of DNA. A Monte Carlo study of DNA circles. *J Mol Biol* **189**, 73–83 (1986).
24. Shore, D. & Baldwin, R. L. Energetics of DNA twisting. I. Relation between twist and cyclization probability. *J Mol Biol* **170**, 957–981 (1983).
25. Schultz, S. C., Shields, G. C. & Steitz, T. A. Crystal structure of a CAP-DNA complex: the DNA is bent by 90 degrees. *Science* **253**, 1001–1007 (1991).
26. Zinkel, S. S. & Crothers, D. M. Comparative gel electrophoresis measurement of the DNA bend angle induced by the catabolite activator protein. *Biopolymers* **29**, 29–38 (1990).
27. Jones, D. L. *et al.* Kinetics of dCas9 target search in Escherichia coli. *Science* **357**, 1420–1424 (2017).
28. Cofsky, J. C. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas12a exploits R-loop asymmetry to form double-strand breaks. *eLife* **9**, e55143 (2020).
29. Mekler, V., Minakhin, L. & Severinov, K. Mechanism of duplex DNA destabilization by RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease during target interrogation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 5443–5448 (2017).

Best-fit model parameters

For all fitted parameters, values in parentheses indicate the bounds of the 95% confidence interval.

Fig. 5b

$$p_{ox} = \frac{B_{max}[Cas9: sgRNA]}{K_D + [Cas9: sgRNA]}$$

	T(+1) (orange diamond)	T(+2) (magenta triangle)	Global
B _{max}	0.03247 (0.02935, 0.03635)	0.01386 (0.01228, 0.01577)	
K _D	→	→	10.32 (8.536, 12.62)
R ²	0.9642	0.9975	0.9936

Extended Data Fig. 1c

$$y = C(1 - e^{-k_1 t}) + (B_{max} - C)(1 - e^{-k_2 t})$$

	Cas9 WT + unmodified DNA, non-reducing (blue circle)	Cas9 T1337C + cystamine-DNA, non-reducing (red square)	Cas9 WT + cystamine-DNA, non-reducing (green triangle)	Cas9 T1337C + unmodified DNA, non-reducing (magenta triangle)	Cas9 T1337C + cystamine-DNA, reducing (orange diamond)
C	0.4378 (0.2487, 0.5903)	0.4324 (0.2533, 0.5368)	0.4134 (0.2967, 0.5008)	0.4946 (0.2719, 0.6468)	0.3821 (0.1062, 0.5568)
k_1	2.328 (1.568, 4.72)	2.859 (1.959, 6.21)	2.181 (1.631, 3.354)	2.826 (1.888, 6.424)	1.445 (0.8914, 7.855)
B_{ma} x	0.7932 (0.7676, 0.8247)	0.6605 (0.6305, 0.7098)	0.6714 (0.6483, 0.7018)	0.8124 (0.7823, 0.8526)	0.6702 (0.6361, 0.7742)
k_2	0.3065 (0.1366, 0.5476)	0.2558 (0.06975, 0.6516)	0.2215 (0.104, 0.4013)	0.3261 (0.1107, 0.693)	0.2095 (0.0318, 0.5168)
R^2	0.9981	0.9953	0.9982	0.9967	0.9973

Extended Data Fig. 6b

$$y = A \cdot \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{10.45 \text{ bp}}(x + \phi_0)\right) + b$$

constraints: $A > 0, b > A$

	Cas9:sgRNA (spacing defined with respect to PAM1) (blue circle)	Cas9:sgRNA (spacing defined with respect to PAM2) (blue circle)	CAP (brown square)
A	1.063 (0.8844, 1.241)	1.063 (0.8844, 1.241)	35.05 (1.938, ???)
ϕ_0	6.234 (5.962, 6.51)	6.584 (6.312, 6.86)	2.284 $(-\infty, \infty)$
b	1.426 (1.302, 1.551)	1.426 (1.302, 1.551)	~35.05 (hit constraint)
R ²	0.8316	0.8316	0.5454

Extended Data Fig. 7a

$$p_{ox} = \frac{B_{max} [Cas9: sgRNA]}{K_D + [Cas9: sgRNA]}$$

(K_D shared)

Intact PAM, replicate 1

	T(+1) (orange diamond)	T(+2) (magenta triangle)	Global
B_{max}	0.03247 (0.02935, 0.03635)	0.01386 (0.01228, 0.01577)	
K_D	→	→	10.32 (8.536, 12.62)
R^2	0.9642	0.9975	0.9936

Intact PAM, replicate 2

	T(+1) (orange diamond)	T(+2) (magenta triangle)	Global
B_{max}	0.01785 (0.01619, 0.01988)	0.00758 (0.006716, 0.008599)	
K_D	→	→	8.14 (6.676, 10.01)
R^2	0.9894	0.9838	0.9916

Intact PAM, replicate 3

	T(+1) (orange diamond)	T(+2) (magenta triangle)	Global
B_{max}	0.02516 (0.02303, 0.02773)	0.01046 (0.009386, 0.01172)	
K_D	→	→	9.419 (7.938, 11.27)
R^2	0.9674	0.9979	0.9946

Plasmid, protein, and oligonucleotide sequences

Plasmid sequences

pSHS 207 / pjCC 100, for bacterial expression of SpCas9 WT (Addgene #101199):

pJCC_103, for bacterial expression of SpCas9 T1337C (Addgene #179525):

pJCC_162, for bacterial expression of SpCas9 KES(1107-1109)GG (“xPLL”) (Addgene #179526):

pJCC_163, for bacterial expression of SpCas9 K233A/K234A/K253A/K263A ("xHRBP") (Addgene #179527):

pJCC_164, for bacterial expression of SpCas9 R1333A/R1335A (“xPBA”) (Addgene #179528):

Protein sequences

protein ID	sequence
SpCas9 WT (JCC_100)	SNAMDKKYSIGLDIGTNSVGWAVITDEYKVPSSKKFKVLGNTDRHSIKKNLIGALLFDSGETAETRLKR TARRYTRRKNRICYLQEIIFSNEAKVDDDSFFHRLEESFLVEEDKKHERHPIFGNIVDEVAYHEKYPTI YHLRKKLVDSTDKAIDLRLIYLALAHMIKFRGHFIEGDLNPNSDVKLFQIQLVQTYNQLFEENPINAS GVDAKAILSARLSKSRRLENLIAQLPGEKKNGLFGNLIALSLGLTPNFKSNFDLAEDAKLQLSKDTYDD DLDNLLAQIDQYADLFLAAKNLSDAILSDILRVNTEITKAPLSASMIKRYDEHHQDLTLLKALVRQQ LPEKYKEIFFDQSNSKGNGYAGYIDGGASQEEFYKFIKPILEKMDGTEELLVVLNREDLLRKQRTFDNGSIP HQIHLGELHAIIRRQEDFYPFLKDNRKIEKIKLTFRIPIYYVGPALARNSRFAMTRKSEETITPWNFEE VVDKGASAQSFIERNFTNFDKLPNEKVLPHSLLYEYFTVYNELTKVYVTEGMRKPAFLSGEQKKAAIV DLLFKTNRKVTVKQLKEDYFKKIECFDSVEISGVEDRFNASLGTYHDLKIICKDQFLLNEENEDILED IVLTTLTFEDREMIEERLKTYAHLFDDKVMQKLKRRRTGWGRSLRKLINGIRDQSGKTIIDFLKSDG FANRNFMQLIHDDSLTFKEDIQKAQVSGQGDSLHEHIANLAGSPAIIKGQIQLQTVKVVDELVKVMGRHKP ENIVIEMARENQTQKGQKNSRERMKRIEEGIKELGQIQLKEHPVENTQLQNEKLYLYLQNGRDYMD QELDINRLSDYDVHDIVPQSFLKDDSDINVKVLTRSDKRNQGSNDVPSSEEVVKKMKNYWRQLLNAKLITQ RKFDNLTKAERGGLSELDKAGFIKQLVETRQITKVAQILDLSRMNTKYDENDKLIREVVKVITLKSCLV SDFRKDFQFYKREINNYHAHDAYLNAVVTGTAIIKKYPKLESEFVYGDYKVDVRKMIAKSEQEIGKA TAKYFFYSNIMNFFKTEITLANGEIRKRPLIETNGETGEIVWDKGRDFATVRKVLSMPQVNIVKKTEVQ TGGFSKESILPKRNSDKLIARKKDWDPKYGGFDSPTVAVSVLVAKEGKSKKLVSKELLGITIME RSSFEKNPIDFLEAKGYKEVKKDLIICKLPKYSLFELENGRKMLASAGELOQGNELALPSKYVNFLYLA SHYEKLKGSPEDNEQKQLFVEQHKHYLDEIEQISEFSKRVILADANLDKVL SAYNKHRDKPIREQAEN IHLFTLTNLGAPAAFKYFDTTIDRKRYTSTKEVLDATLIGHQSITGLYETRIDSQLGGD
SpCas9 T1337C (JCC_103)	SNAMDKKYSIGLDIGTNSVGWAVITDEYKVPSSKKFKVLGNTDRHSIKKNLIGALLFDSGETAETRLKR TARRYTRRKNRICYLQEIIFSNEAKVDDDSFFHRLEESFLVEEDKKHERHPIFGNIVDEVAYHEKYPTI YHLRKKLVDSTDKAIDLRLIYLALAHMIKFRGHFIEGDLNPNSDVKLFQIQLVQTYNQLFEENPINAS GVDAKAILSARLSKSRRLENLIAQLPGEKKNGLFGNLIALSLGLTPNFKSNFDLAEDAKLQLSKDTYDD DLDNLLAQIDQYADLFLAAKNLSDAILSDILRVNTEITKAPLSASMIKRYDEHHQDLTLLKALVRQQ LPEKYKEIFFDQSNSKGNGYAGYIDGGASQEEFYKFIKPILEKMDGTEELLVVLNREDLLRKQRTFDNGSIP HQIHLGELHAIIRRQEDFYPFLKDNRKIEKIKLTFRIPIYYVGPALARNSRFAMTRKSEETITPWNFEE VVDKGASAQSFIERNFTNFDKLPNEKVLPHSLLYEYFTVYNELTKVYVTEGMRKPAFLSGEQKKAAIV DLLFKTNRKVTVKQLKEDYFKKIECFDSVEISGVEDRFNASLGTYHDLKIICKDQFLLNEENEDILED IVLTTLTFEDREMIEERLKTYAHLFDDKVMQKLKRRRTGWGRSLRKLINGIRDQSGKTIIDFLKSDG FANRNFMQLIHDDSLTFKEDIQKAQVSGQGDSLHEHIANLAGSPAIIKGQIQLQTVKVVDELVKVMGRHKP ENIVIEMARENQTQKGQKNSRERMKRIEEGIKELGQIQLKEHPVENTQLQNEKLYLYLQNGRDYMD QELDINRLSDYDVHDIVPQSFLKDDSDINVKVLTRSDKRNQGSNDVPSSEEVVKKMKNYWRQLLNAKLITQ RKFDNLTKAERGGLSELDKAGFIKQLVETRQITKVAQILDLSRMNTKYDENDKLIREVVKVITLKSCLV SDFRKDFQFYKREINNYHAHDAYLNAVVTGTAIIKKYPKLESEFVYGDYKVDVRKMIAKSEQEIGKA TAKYFFYSNIMNFFKTEITLANGEIRKRPLIETNGETGEIVWDKGRDFATVRKVLSMPQVNIVKKTEVQ TGGFSKESILPKRNSDKLIARKKDWDPKYGGFDSPTVAVSVLVAKEGKSKKLVSKELLGITIME RSSFEKNPIDFLEAKGYKEVKKDLIICKLPKYSLFELENGRKMLASAGELOQGNELALPSKYVNFLYLA SHYEKLKGSPEDNEQKQLFVEQHKHYLDEIEQISEFSKRVILADANLDKVL SAYNKHRDKPIREQAEN IHLFTLTNLGAPAAFKYFDTTIDRKRYCSTKEVLDATLIGHQSITGLYETRIDSQLGGD
SpCas9 KES(1107-1109)GG ("xPLL") (JCC_162)	SNAMDKKYSIGLDIGTNSVGWAVITDEYKVPSSKKFKVLGNTDRHSIKKNLIGALLFDSGETAETRLKR TARRYTRRKNRICYLQEIIFSNEAKVDDDSFFHRLEESFLVEEDKKHERHPIFGNIVDEVAYHEKYPTI YHLRKKLVDSTDKAIDLRLIYLALAHMIKFRGHFIEGDLNPNSDVKLFQIQLVQTYNQLFEENPINAS GVDAKAILSARLSKSRRLENLIAQLPGEKKNGLFGNLIALSLGLTPNFKSNFDLAEDAKLQLSKDTYDD DLDNLLAQIDQYADLFLAANLSDAILSDILRVNTEITKAPLSASMIKRYDEHHQDLTLLKALVRQQ LPEKYKEIFFDQSNSKGNGYAGYIDGGASQEEFYKFIKPILEKMDGTEELLVVLNREDLLRKQRTFDNGSIP HQIHLGELHAIIRRQEDFYPFLKDNRKIEKIKLTFRIPIYYVGPALARNSRFAMTRKSEETITPWNFEE VVDKGASAQSFIERNFTNFDKLPNEKVLPHSLLYEYFTVYNELTKVYVTEGMRKPAFLSGEQKKAAIV DLLFKTNRKVTVKQLKEDYFKKIECFDSVEISGVEDRFNASLGTYHDLKIICKDQFLLNEENEDILED IVLTTLTFEDREMIEERLKTYAHLFDDKVMQKLKRRRTGWGRSLRKLINGIRDQSGKTIIDFLKSDG FANRNFMQLIHDDSLTFKEDIQKAQVSGQGDSLHEHIANLAGSPAIIKGQIQLQTVKVVDELVKVMGRHKP ENIVIEMARENQTQKGQKNSRERMKRIEEGIKELGQIQLKEHPVENTQLQNEKLYLYLQNGRDYMD QELDINRLSDYDVHDIVPQSFLKDDSDINVKVLTRSDKRNQGSNDVPSSEEVVKKMKNYWRQLLNAKLITQ RKFDNLTKAERGGLSELDKAGFIKQLVETRQITKVAQILDLSRMNTKYDENDKLIREVVKVITLKSCLV SDFRKDFQFYKREINNYHAHDAYLNAVVTGTAIIKKYPKLESEFVYGDYKVDVRKMIAKSEQEIGKA TAKYFFYSNIMNFFKTEITLANGEIRKRPLIETNGETGEIVWDKGRDFATVRKVLSMPQVNIVKKTEVQ TGGFSGGILPKRNSDKLIARKKDWDPKYGGFDSPTVAVSVLVAKEGKSKKLVSKELLGITIMER SSFEKNPIDFLEAKGYKEVKKDLIICKLPKYSLFELENGRKMLASAGELOQGNELALPSKYVNFLYAS HYEKLKGSPEDNEQKQLFVEQHKHYLDEIEQISEFSKRVILADANLDKVL SAYNKHRDKPIREQAEN IHLFTLTNLGAPAAFKYFDTTIDRKRYTSTKEVLDATLIGHQSITGLYETRIDSQLGGD

SpCas9 K233A/K234A/K253A /K263A (“xHRBP”) (JCC_163)	SNAMDKYSIGLDIGTNSVGWAVITDEYKVPSSKKFKVLGNTDRHSIKKNLIGALLFDSETAEATRLKR TARRYTRRKNRICYLQEIFSNEAKVDDDSFFHRLLEESFLVEEDKKHERHPIFGNIVDEVAYHEKYPTI YHLRKKLVDSTDKADLRLIYLALAHMIKFRGHFLEGLDLPNDNSDVDKLFIQLVQTYNQLFEENPINAS GVDAKAILSARLSKSRRLENLIAQLPGEAANGLFGNLIALSLGLTPNFASNFDLAEDAALQLSKDTYDD DLDNLLAQIGDQYADLFLAAKNLSDAILSDILRVNTEITKAPLSASMIKRYDEHHQDLTLLKALVRQQ LEPKYKEIFFDQSNGYAGYIDGGASQEEFYKF1KPILEKMDGTEELLVKLNREDLRKQRTFDNGSIP HQIHLGELHAIIRRQEDFYPFLKDNRKIEKILTFRIPYVGPLARGNSRFAMTRKSEETITPWNFEE VVDKGASAQSFIERNFTDFKLPNEKVKQLHSILYYEYFTVYNELTKVYVTEGMRKPAFLSGEQKKAIV DLLFKTNRKTQVQLKEDYFKKIECFDSVEISGVEDRFNASLGTYHDLKIIKDKDFLDNEENEDILED IVLTTLFEDREMIEERLKYAHLFDDKVMKQLKRRRTGWGRSLRKLINGIRDQSGKTILDFLKSDG FANRNFMQLIHDDSLTFKEDIQKAQVSGQGDSLHHEHIANLAGSPAIIKGILQTVKVVDELVKVMGRHKP ENIVIEMARENQTTQKGQKNSRERMKRIEEGIKELGSIQILKEHPVENTQLQNEKLYLYLQNGRDYMDV QELDINRLSDYDVFHIVPQSLKDDSDINKVLRSDKRNQGSNDVPESEEVVKKMNYWRQLLNKLTQ RKFDNLTKAERGGLSELDKAGFIKRQLVETRQITKVAQILDSRMNTKYDENDKLIREVKVITLKSILV SDFRKDFQFYKREINNNYHAHDAYLNAVVTGTLIKKYPKLESEFVYGDYKVDVRKMIAKSEQEIGKA TAKYFFYSNIMNFFKTEITLANGEIRKPLIETNGETGEIVWDKGRDFATVRKVLSMPQVNIVKKTEVQ TGGFSKESILPKRNSDKLIARKKDWDPKYGGFDSPTVAYSVLVVAKEKGSKKLKSVKELLGITIME RSSFEKNPIDFLEAKGYKEVKKDLIILKLPKYSILFELENGRKMLASAGEQKGNELALPSKYVNFLYLA SHYEKLKGSPEDNEQKQLFVEQHKGHLDEIIIEQISEFSKRVILADANLDKVL SAYNKHRDKPIREQAEN IIHLFTLTNLGAPAAFKYFDTTIDRKRYTSTKEVLDATLHQSIITGLYETRIDLSQLGGD
SpCas9 R1333A/R1335A (“xPBA”) (JCC_164)	SNAMDKYSIGLDIGTNSVGWAVITDEYKVPSSKKFKVLGNTDRHSIKKNLIGALLFDSETAEATRLKR TARRYTRRKNRICYLQEIFSNEAKVDDDSFFHRLLEESFLVEEDKKHERHPIFGNIVDEVAYHEKYPTI YHLRKKLVDSTDKADLRLIYLALAHMIKFRGHFLEGLDLPNDNSDVDKLFIQLVQTYNQLFEENPINAS GVDAKAILSARLSKSRRLENLIAQLPGEKNGLFGNLIALSLGLTPNFKNSNFDLAEDAALQLSKDTYDD DLDNLLAQIGDQYADLFLAAKNLSDAILSDILRVNTEITKAPLSASMIKRYDEHHQDLTLLKALVRQQ LEPKYKEIFFDQSNGYAGYIDGGASQEEFYKF1KPILEKMDGTEELLVKLNREDLRKQRTFDNGSIP HQIHLGELHAIIRRQEDFYPFLKDNRKIEKILTFRIPYVGPLARGNSRFAMTRKSEETITPWNFEE VVDKGASAQSFIERNFTDFKLPNEKVKQLHSILYYEYFTVYNELTKVYVTEGMRKPAFLSGEQKKAIV DLLFKTNRKTQVQLKEDYFKKIECFDSVEISGVEDRFNASLGTYHDLKIIKDKDFLDNEENEDILED IVLTTLFEDREMIEERLKYAHLFDDKVMKQLKRRRTGWGRSLRKLINGIRDQSGKTILDFLKSDG FANRNFMQLIHDDSLTFKEDIQKAQVSGQGDSLHHEHIANLAGSPAIIKGILQTVKVVDELVKVMGRHKP ENIVIEMARENQTTQKGQKNSRERMKRIEEGIKELGSIQILKEHPVENTQLQNEKLYLYLQNGRDYMDV QELDINRLSDYDVFHIVPQSLKDDSDINKVLRSDKRNQGSNDVPESEEVVKKMNYWRQLLNKLTQ RKFDNLTKAERGGLSELDKAGFIKRQLVETRQITKVAQILDSRMNTKYDENDKLIREVKVITLKSILV SDFRKDFQFYKREINNNYHAHDAYLNAVVTGTLIKKYPKLESEFVYGDYKVDVRKMIAKSEQEIGKA TAKYFFYSNIMNFFKTEITLANGEIRKPLIETNGETGEIVWDKGRDFATVRKVLSMPQVNIVKKTEVQ TGGFSKESILPKRNSDKLIARKKDWDPKYGGFDSPTVAYSVLVVAKEKGSKKLKSVKELLGITIME RSSFEKNPIDFLEAKGYKEVKKDLIILKLPKYSILFELENGRKMLASAGEQKGNELALPSKYVNFLYLA SHYEKLKGSPEDNEQKQLFVEQHKGHLDEIIIEQISEFSKRVILADANLDKVL SAYNKHRDKPIREQAEN IIHLFTLTNLGAPAAFKYFDTTIDRKRYTSTKEVLDATLHQSIITGLYETRIDLSQLGGD

sgRNA sequences

In PCRs used to generate DNA templates for *in vitro* transcription, the amplification primers and the first assembly primer were the same for all reactions. The sequences of the second assembly primer for each template are in the table below.

Forward amplification primer:

5'-GTCGAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGG-3' (dJCC_024)

Reverse amplification primer:

5'-CGAAGCACCAGACTCGGTGCCACTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCT-3' (dJCC_587)

First assembly primer:

5'-GTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGTTATCAA-3' (dJCC_588)

RNA ID	description	RNA sequence (5'→3')	sequence of second assembly primer (DNA) (5'→3')
sgRNA 1 (rJCC_866)	spacer has 0 matches to target strand in structural construct	GGCUGCGUAUUUUCUACUCUGU UGUUUUAGAGCUAGAAAAUAGC AAGUUAAAUAAGGUAGUCC GUUAUCAACUUGAAAAAGUGG CACCGAGUCGGUGCUUCG	AATACGACTCACTATAGGCTG CGTATTCTACTCTGTTGTT TAGAGCTAGAAATA (dJCC_877)
sgRNA 2 (rJCC_1059)	spacer has 3 matches to target strand in structural construct	GGCUGCGUAUUUUCUACUCUCA AGUUUUAGAGCUAGAAAAUAGC AAGUUAAAUAAGGUAGUCC GUUAUCAACUUGAAAAAGUGG CACCGAGUCGGUGCUUCG	AATACGACTCACTATAGGCTG CGTATTCTACTCTCAAGTTT TAGAGCTAGAAATA (dJCC_1060)
sgRNA 3 (rJCC_862)	spacer has 20 matches to target strand in structural construct	GGGACGCAUAAAAGAUGAGACA AGUUUUAGAGCUAGAAAAUAGC AAGUUAAAUAAGGUAGUCC GUUAUCAACUUGAAAAAGUGG CACCGAGUCGGUGCUUCG	AATACGACTCACTATAGGGAC GCATAAAGATGAGACAAGTTT TAGAGCTAGAAATA (dJCC_870)

DNA oligonucleotide sequences

DNA ID	description	sequence (5'→3')
target strand 1 (dJCC_618)	target strand of DNA duplex in structural constructs	GTAATGCCATTGTCTCATCTTATGCGTC (X indicates N ⁴ -cystamine-2'-deoxycytidine)
target strand 2	analog of target strand 1, without cystamine functionalization	GTAATGCCATTGTCTCATCTTATGCGTC

(djCC_534)		
target strand 3 (djCC_1014)	target strand used in permanganate experiments, intact PAM	CGCACGTACTGTAATGCCATTGTCTCATCT TTATGCGTCAGCATGAGCG
target strand 4 (djCC_1067)	target strand used in permanganate experiments, mutated PAM	CGCACGTACTGTAATCGCGATTGTCTCATCT TTATGCGTCAGCATGAGCG
target strand 5 (djCC_1113)	target strand with 20 matches to sgRNA 1 in the candidate complementarity region	CGCACGTACTGTAATGCCAACACAGAGTACA AATAACGCAGAGCATGAGCG
non-target strand 1 (djCC_533)	non-target strand of DNA duplex in structural construct with 0 RNA:DNA matches	GACGCATAAAGATGAGACAATGGCGATTAC
non-target strand 2 (djCC_1065)	non-target strand of DNA duplex in structural construct with 3 RNA:DNA matches	GACGCATAAAGATGAGAGTTGGCGATTAC
non-target strand 3 (djCC_1013)	non-target strand used in permanganate experiments, intact PAM	CGCTCATGCTGACGCATAAAGATGAGACAAT GGCGATTACAGTACGTGCG
non-target strand 4 (djCC_1066)	non-target strand used in permanganate experiments, mutated PAM	CGCTCATGCTGACGCATAAAGATGAGACAAT CGCGATTACAGTACGTGCG
non-target strand 5 (djCC_1114)	non-target strand fully complementary to target strand 5	CGCTCATGCTCTGCGTATTCTACTCTGTTT GGCGATTACAGTACGTGCG

Oligonucleotides used in each figure

Fig. 1b

- 0 RNA:DNA matches:
 - sgRNA 1
 - target strand 1
 - non-target strand 1
- 3 RNA:DNA matches:
 - sgRNA 2
 - target strand 1
 - non-target strand 2

Fig. 5

- see Extended Data Fig. 7

Extended Data Fig. 1

- sgRNA 1
- “unmodified DNA”:
 - target strand 2
 - non-target strand 1
- “cystamine-DNA”:
 - target strand 1
 - non-target strand 1
- “competitor duplex”:
 - target strand 5
 - non-target strand 5

Extended Data Fig. 2

- as described in figure legend
- “target strand” is target strand 1

Extended Data Fig. 6

- sgRNA 1

Extended Data Fig. 7

- “intact PAM”
 - target strand 3
 - non-target strand 3
- “mutated PAM”
 - target strand 4
 - non-target strand 4

Primers used for assembling DNA cyclization substrate precursors

The forward assembly primer and reverse amplification primer were the same across all assembly reactions.

Forward assembly primer:

5'-

Reverse amplification primer:

5'-

GCAGATATCGATTGATGC/iFluorT/CAAGGCCATTGTCTCATCTATATGCGTCTTACGCA
GCCTTC-3' (dJCC 1019)

A-tract/PAM1 spacing (bp)	forward amplification primer (5'→3')	reverse assembly primer (5'→3')
21	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTACAGCT CTAGCACTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1044)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCACATGCAAGC GCAACG (dJCC_1022)
22	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTACAGCT CTAGCACTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1045)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTAACATGCAAGCG CAACG (dJCC_1023)
23	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTACAGCT TAGCACTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1046)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCAGACATGCAA GCGCAACG (dJCC_1024)
24	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTACAGCT AGCACTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1047)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCAGAACATGCA AGCGCAACG (dJCC_1025)
25	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTACAGTA GCACTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1048)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCAGAGACATGC AAGCGCAACG (dJCC_1026)
26	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTACATAG CACTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1049)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCAGAGCACATG CAAGCGCAACG (dJCC_1027)
27	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTACTAGC ACTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1050)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCAGAGCTACATG GCAAGCGCAACG (dJCC_1028)
28	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTATAGCA CTGCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1051)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCAGAGCTGACA TGCAAGCGCAACG (dJCC_1029)
29	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTTAGCAC TGCCTCGTG (dJCC_1052)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTCAGAGCTGTAC ATGCAAGCGCAACG (dJCC_1030)

A-tract/PAM1 spacing (bp)	forward amplification primer (5'→3')	reverse assembly primer (5'→3')
30	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAAGTAGCACT GCGTTCGTG (dJCC_1053)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTTCAGAGCTGTAA CATGCAAGCGCAACG (dJCC_1031)
31	CTCGTACGAATCGATGAATAGCACTG CGTTCGTG (dJCC_1054)	GTCTTACGCAGCCTTCAGAGCTGTAC ACATGCAAGCGCAACG (dJCC_1032)