Science Advances

Supplementary Materials for

Cenozoic megatooth sharks occupied extremely high trophic positions

Emma R. Kast et al.

Corresponding author: Emma R. Kast, emma.r.kast@gmail.com

Sci. Adv. **8**, eabl6529 (2022) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abl6529

The PDF file includes:

Figs. S1 to S6 Table S1 Legends for data S1 to S3

Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following:

Data S1 to S3



Fig. S1.

Results from fossil enameloid and coral carbonate standards run in triplicate with each batch of analyses. (A) $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ and (C) N content for the fossil enameloid standard by analysis date; (B) carbonate bound organic matter $\delta^{15}N$ ($\delta^{15}N_{CBOM}$) and (D) N content for the coral carbonate standard. Note that the scales for $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{CBOM}$, and N content have different values but the same range to allow for comparisons between the two standards. The coral carbonate standard and so does not extend over the same range of analysis dates.



Fig. S2.

 $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ vs. N content for all specimens measured in this study. Symbols in legend and as in Fig. 2A, with the exception that modern specimens (*Carcharodon carcharias* and *Carcharias taurus*) are shown here in open symbols for reference. As described in the text, we would expect a negative correlation between $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ and N content if diagenesis is altering the $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ values of these specimens. There is a weak positive correlation across all fossil specimens (black line and shaded 95% CI, $r^2 = 0.27$, Pearson's correlation 0.52, t = 7.23, df = 144, p-value = $2.1*10^{-11}$). However, this is driven mostly by the high $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ and high N content of *Otodus* sharks relative to the other fossil specimens, suggesting that there may be taxa specific differences in N content of shark enameloid. When considering *Otodus* teeth or piscivore teeth alone, there is no significant correlation between $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ and N content (Pearson's correlation 0.17, t = 1.16, df = 44, p-value = 0.25 and Pearson's correlation -0.09, t = -0.82, df = 77, p-value = 0.42, respectively). Overall we do not find evidence from the paired $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ and N content data for a diagenetic influence on the $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ values of these specimens.



Fig. S3.

Shark enameloid-bound N content for each studied epoch, by taxa. Symbols as in Fig. 2A. Lower N content in fossil samples may be due to the loss of organic matter from the enameloid matrix over time. Alternatively, there may be a role for contamination by tooth dentin which tends to lose its organic matter quickly. This would tend to bias the fossil samples to lower N content without significant changes in $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$. With the exception of higher N content in *Otodus megalodon* tooth enameloid, there does not seem to be any consistent patterns in enameloid-bound N content of fossil teeth over the Cenozoic epochs studied here.



Fig. S4.

Assessing baseline $\delta^{15}N$ changes. Piscivorous shark $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ for each studied epoch, by species. Mean $\delta^{15}N_{EB}\pm 1\sigma$ are given in black symbols for each species.



Fig. S5.

Shark $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ for each studied epoch and broad location, by taxa. Symbols and inset map as in Fig. 2. Differences between average genera $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ at different locations is < 3‰, and patterns between genera are conserved across locations.





Otodus megalodon $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ vs. total length as estimated from tooth size. Colors are location: USA east coast (red, n = 6), Japan (blue, n = 5), and USA west coast (yellow, n = 1) (see Data S1). *O. megalodon* $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ samples without size estimates are with empty symbols to the left. *O. megalodon* was likely ~ 2 m at birth (46).

Table S1.

Results of Welch's t-test comparisons of the $\delta^{15}N_{EB}$ of large shark species and contemporaneous piscivorous sharks, for each epoch. Late Cretaceous *Cretalamna* sp., Pliocene *Carcharodon carcharias*, Pliocene *C. hastalis*, and modern *C. carcharias* are indistinguishable from contemporaneous piscivorous sharks.

epoch	species	t	df	р
Late Cretaceous	Cretalamna sp.	-0.57	6.16	0.5886
Paleocene	Otodus obliquus	5.68	5.27	0.0020
Eocene	Otodus auriculatus	12.26	10.84	0.0000
Oligocene	Otodus angustidens	5.09	7.68	0.0011
Miocene	Carcharodon hastalis	3.88	5.41	0.0100
Miocene	Otodus chubutensis	9.86	10.19	0.0000
Miocene	Otodus megalodon	3.63	7.71	0.0071
Pliocene	Carcharodon carcharias	1.89	6.29	0.1054
Pliocene	Carcharodon hastalis	-0.07	8.52	0.9448
Pliocene	Otodus megalodon	5.79	16.85	0.0000
Modern	Carcharodon carcharias	3.72	2.37	0.0501

Data S1. (separate file)

Specimen information, enameloid-bound $\delta^{15}N$, and N content data for modern and fossil teeth used in this study. Tooth sizes and estimated total lengths for *Otodus megalodon* specimens. Dental collagen $\delta^{15}N$ values for modern *Carcharias taurus* specimens. Enameloid-bound $\delta^{15}N$ and N content for fossil enameloid standard analyses, carbonate-bound $\delta^{15}N$ and N content data for coral carbonate standard analyses.

Data S2. (separate file)

Literature compilation of modern shark $\delta^{15}N$ measurements, including description of variables and list of citations.

Data S3. (separate file)

Literature compilation of modern marine mammal $\delta^{15}N$ measurements, including description of variables and list of citations.