### **Supplementary Information**

## **Supplementary Methods**

 **DNA manipulation and genetic techniques.** Oligonucleotides were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies. Plasmid DNA was isolated using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen). Chromosomal DNA was purified using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). PCR amplification was performed using KAPA HiFi polymerase (Kapa Biosystems). PCR products and other DNA fragments were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit or the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen). Restriction endonucleases, T4 polynucleotide kinase, T4 ligase and T4 polymerase treatment were performed following the manufacturer's recommendations (New England Biolabs). Nucleic acid quantification was performed using a Nano-Drop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). DNA sequencing was performed using Big Dye Terminator Sequencing v3.1 Cycle Sequencing (Applied Biosystems) at the Australian Equine Genomic Research Centre (AEGRC), University of Queensland.

 **Bioinformatic analysis.** An *E. coli* genome database was generated by downloading up to 100 randomly selected sequence assemblies from each of the top 83 *E. coli* sequence types in the *E. coli* collection on EnteroBase (www.enterobase.warwick.ac.uk), a large publicly available *Enterobacteriaceae* genome sequence database [1]. This resulted in a collection of 8,247 assemblies (downloaded in January 2019) that we refer to as the 83ST database. The presence of the individual *uclA-B-C-D* genes in each genome was assessed by tblastn, using the amino acid sequence as a query, and employing a cut-off at 70 % identity and 95 % coverage. The nucleotide sequence of each gene in each genome was extracted using blastdbcmd and curated manually for false-positive hits. All alignments were constructed using ClustalO v1.2.4 [2] with default settings. Maximum-likelihood trees were produced with IQTree v1.6.8 using ModelFinder with default settings and supported by a bootstrap value of 100 [3]. Trees and metadata were visualised in Evolview [4].

 **Genetic mutagenesis of bacteria.** Gene disruption mutants were generated using λ-Red recombinase-mediated homologous recombination as described previously [5]. Mutant strains F11*ucl*, UTI89*ucl*, S77EC*ucl* and HVM1299*ucl* were generated via a previously described three-way PCR procedure [6] to amplify a chloramphenicol (*cm*) resistance cassette from pKD3 with a 500-bp  homology region to the *ucl* genes. UTI89*lacI-Z* was generated by amplifying the *gfp-cm* cassette with a 700-bp homology region using primers 4057 (5′- tcgtcttcatcctgctcttc) and 4058 (5′- gctaaatgccgaatggttg), and the chloramphenicol resistance cassette was subsequently removed using the FLP flippase-encoding pCP20 [5]. Single-nucleotide switching of F11 and UTI to F11-P*ucl*T-78G 34 and UTI89-Pucl<sup>G-78T</sup> was performed using pORTMAGE vectors as described previously [7].

 **Protein preparation, immunoblotting and whole-cell ELISA.** Strains to be assessed were grown overnight in LB broth, supplemented with the necessary antibiotics. Whole-cell lysates were prepared 37 by centrifuging 1 ml of overnight cultures standardised to  $OD_{600nm} = 1.0$ . Cell pellets were resuspended in 40 µl water and 5 µl of 2 M HCl and boiled for 10 min before being neutralised with 39 5 µl of 2 M NaOH, followed by adding 50 µl of  $2 \times$ SDS loading buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 4 % w/v SDS, 20 % v/v glycerol, 0.2 % w/v bromophenol blue, pH 6.8). Samples were boiled for 10 min, prior to electrophoresis; a volume of 10 μl was routinely analysed. SDS-PAGE and transfer of proteins to a PVDF membrane for western-blot analysis was performed as described previously [8]. Rabbit polyclonal antibody generated against UclA, UclD or UcaD was utilised as primary antibody and detected with commercially purchased alkaline phosphatase conjugated anti-rabbit antibodies (Sigma Aldrich). SIGMAFAST BCIP/NBT (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as the substrate for detection. Western blots were scanned using the Bio-Rad GS-800 calibrated imaging densitometer.

 To detect Ucl surface expression, cells were suspended in 100 mM sodium carbonate (pH 9.5) and standardised to an OD600 of 1. 100 µl of culture was used to coat each well of a MaxiSorp 96-well ELISA plate (Thermo Fisher 44-2404-21) overnight at 4 °C. Wells were blocked with 5 % skim milk in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Antibody incubations were performed in 100 µl PBS with 0.05 % Tween-20 for 1 hour at room temperature. Ucl fimbriae were probed with α-UclA, while α- *E. coli* (Life Research B65001R) was used as a cell-loading control, and secondary antibody was α-53 rabbit IgG, AP conjugated (Sigma A3687). All wash steps were performed three times with 250 µl PBS with 0.05 % Tween-20. The reaction was developed with 100 µl of substrate pNPP (Sigma P7998) in the absence of light and optical density was measured at 420 nm after 30 minutes.

 **β-galactosidase assay.** β-galactosidase assays were performed essentially as described previously [9]. Briefly, strains to be assessed were grown overnight in LB broth, supplemented with the necessary antibiotics. Cultures were diluted in Z buffer (60 mM Na2HPO4, 40 mM NaH2PO4, 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO4, pH 7) with 0.004 % SDS and 16 % chloroform added. Samples were vortexed and incubated at 28 °C to permeabilise the cells. The substrate o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) was added to initiate the reaction, which was  subsequently stopped with sodium bicarbonate. β-galactosidase activity was assessed in quadruplicate for each strain, by measuring the absorbance at 420 nm. All experiments were performed as three independent replicates. Statistical analysis of β-galactosidase levels between F11*lacI-Z* and UTI89*lacI-Z* carrying each of the pQF50 construct was performed using an unpaired, one-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparison test.

 **Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′ RACE).** The transcription start site of *ucl* was determined 68 using 5' RACE (Version 2.0; Invitrogen) [10]. Exponentially growing cells  $OD_{600nm} = 0.6$ ) were stabilized with two-volumes of RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen), prior to RNA extraction using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and treated with rDNase I (Ambion) to remove contaminating DNA. First-strand cDNA was synthesized and PCR-amplified using the following gene specific primers: 6973 (5′- ctgagcactattcatacc) and 6974 (5′- gaatggcaaagggtgtcag), following the manufacturer's specification. Amplified cDNA ends were sequenced to determine the transcription start site.

 **Mouse gut colonization assays.** Competitive gut colonization assays were carried out as previously described, with slight modifications [11, 12]. Seven- to eight-week-old female Specific Pathogen Free C3H/HeN mice (Charles River Labs) were first inoculated via oral gavage with 100 uL of streptomycin (1000 mg/kg in water) 24 hours prior to introduction of the F11 strains. Bacteria were grown statically from frozen stocks at 37°C for 24 hours in 250 ml flasks containing 20 ml LB and then diluted 1:1000 into fresh LB and grown for another 24 hours. Prior to gavage, 6 mL of each culture was spun down at 8,000 x g for 8 minutes and pellets were washed twice and then resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Each mouse was inoculated with 50 µl PBS containing a total of ~5 x 107 CFU, comprised of a 1:1 mix of F11::kan and F11::cm (control) or F11::kan and F11-P*ucl*T- <sup>78G</sup> (cm resistant). At the indicated time points post-inoculation, individual mice were briefly (3 to 10 min) placed into unused takeout boxes for weighing and feces collection. Freshly deposited feces were recovered and directly added to 1 ml of 0.7 % NaCl, weighed, and set on ice. Fecal pellets were broken up by homogenization and samples were then briefly centrifuged to pellet any large insoluble debris. Supernatants were serially diluted and spread onto LB agar plates containing either 89 chloramphenicol (20 µg/ml) or kanamycin (50 µg/ml) to select for growth of the relevant bacterial strains. Plating assays confirmed that the mice contained no endogenous bacteria that were resistant to chloramphenicol or kanamycin prior to introduction of the ExPEC strains. Mice were housed 3 to 5 per cage and were allowed to eat (irradiated Teklad Global Soy Protein-Free Extruded chow) and drink antibiotic-free water *ad libitum*. Competitive indices (CI) were calculated as the ratio of the cm  resistant over kan resistant bacteria recovered from the feces divided by the ratio of the same strains within the inoculum.

**Cloning, expression and purification of UclD<sup>LD</sup> and UcaD<sup>LD</sup>. The structures of the lectin domains**  of UclD and UcaD were predicted using Phyre (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/) [13]. The coding sequences for the *uclD and ucaD* lectin domains were amplified from *E. coli* strain F11 and *P. mirabilis* PM54 clinical isolate [14] genomic DNAs. The amplicons were cloned into pET22b, which encodes a C-terminal His-tag. Epoch Life Science made and confirmed by sequencing the pET22b::*uclD* and pET22b::*ucaD* constructs.

 *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pLys harbouring pET22b::*uclD* and pET22b::*ucaD* were grown at 37 °C in LB 103 media supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g/mL ampicillin. Cells were induced at OD<sub>600nm</sub> of 0.5 with 1 mM 104 IPTG at 37 °C. Periplasmic extractions by cold osmotic shock of cells incubated overnight were 105 carried out. UclD<sup>LD</sup> and UcaD<sup>LD</sup> were purified using a HiTrap nickel column (GE Healthcare). Proteins were eluted in a gradient of 0-400 mM imidazole in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl. Fractions containing the UclD or UcaD, as judged by SDS-PAGE, were pooled and dialysed against a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl overnight at 4 °C. Size-exclusion chromatography (HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-200 HR GE Healthcare) in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl was used to further purify UclD and UcaD, as assessed by SDS-PAGE.

 **Glycan array analysis.** Glycan array analysis was carried out using methods previously described [15, 16]. Briefly, 2 µg of UclD and UcaD, in a final volume of 500 μL of array PBS (PBS with 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 2mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>), were pre-complexed with a mouse anti-his antibody and detected with fluorescent Alexafluor555 secondary and tertiary antibodies in a molar ration of 4:2:1 for 10 mins prior to application to the array. Slides were previously pre-blocked for 15 mins in array PBS with 0.5 % BSA. Slides were rinsed in PBS and dried by centrifugation. Protein was hybridised to the array for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. After 15 min, the slide was immersed in array PBS with 0.2% BSA and washed for 2 min. The slide was then placed in a 50 mL falcon tube and washed in array PBS for 2 min, before rinsing in clean PBS then drying by centrifugation at 200 x *g* for 5 min. The array was scanned by a ProScan Array scanner, and the results analysed by ScanArray Express software program. Binding was classified as RFU (relative fluorescence units) structure reported as positive had a value above mean background (defined as mean background plus 3 standard deviations), and had a P value of < 0.005.

 **SPR analysis.** SPR analysis was carried out using a Biacore T200 system (Cytivia) as previously described [15] with minor modifications. Briefly, UclD and UcaD were immobilized onto flow cells 127 of a CM5 sensor chip using amine coupling at 20  $\mu$ g/mL in 10 mM sodium acetate pH 4.0 and at a

- flow rate of 5 µL/min for 10 minutes. Glycans were chosen based on positive glycan array results for
- each of the proteins with each glycan run across a dilution range starting at a maximum concentration
- of 100 µM and minimum concentrations tested being 1.6 nM using single cycle kinetics. Results were
- analysed using the Biacore T200 evaluation software.

### **Crystallization and crystal structure determination.**

133 UclD<sup>LD</sup>: UclD<sup>LD</sup> crystals were produced using the hanging drop method, with drops containing 1  $\mu$ L of protein (10 mg/mL) and 1 µL of well solution (20-25% w/v PEG 3350, 0.1 M Bis-Tris propane pH 6.5, 0.2 M sodium iodide). The crystals appeared within 1-5 days. The crystals were cryoprotected 136 in glycerol (80% well solution and 20%  $(v/v)$  glycerol) before flash-cooling in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected from a single crystal at the Australian Synchrotron MX1 beamline, using a wavelength of 0.9537 Å. Data collection was performed using Blu-Ice software [17], indexed and integrated using MOSFLM and scaled with AIMLESS within the CCP4 suite [18]. Molecular replacement was initially attempted using several published fimbrial adhesin structures as templates, but a solution could not be obtained. Because the crystallisation condition contained sodium iodide, 142 we determined the UclD<sup>LD</sup> structure by SAD phasing using the CRANK2 [19] pipeline of the CCP4 suite and a dataset (2.85 Å resolution) collected at wavelength of 1.3776 Å, where the anomalous scattering properties of iodide are still significant. Iodine atoms were located by SHELXD [20], and automatic model building was performed using Buccaneer [21] and Refmac [22]. The higher 146 resolution UclD<sup>LD</sup> structure was subsequently solved by molecular replacement using PHASER [23]. The model was refined using Phenix [24], with iterative model building carried out between rounds of refinement using Coot [25]. Structure validation was performed using MolProbity [26]. The 149 structure was refined to final  $R_{work}/R_{free}$  values of 24.8%/30.6% (Table A in S1 Text). The moderate 150 quality of the UclD<sup>LD</sup> dataset ( $R_{meas}$  of 27.9%, Table A in S1 Text) is a likely reason for the high  $R_{free}$ 151 value. The final UclD<sup>LD</sup> model contains residues 21-215. Electron density was not observed for residues 43-48, suggesting that these regions have a disordered or flexible conformation in the crystals. The coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB with ID 7MZP.

154 UcaD<sup>LD</sup>: UcaD<sup>LD</sup> crystals were produced using the hanging drop method with drops containing 1  $\mu$ L of protein (8-16 mg/mL) and 1 µL of well solution (0.1 M sodium citrate buffer pH 4.5-5.5, 2-3 M NaCl). The crystals appeared within 3-5 days. The crystals were cryoprotected in Paratone-N, before

 flash-cooling in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected from a single crystal at the Australian Synchrotron MX2 beamline, using a wavelength of 0.9537 Å. Data collection was performed using Blu-Ice software [17], indexed and integrated using MOSFLM and scaled with AIMLESS within the CCP4 suite [18]. The structure was solved by molecular replacement using 161 PHASER [23] and UclD<sup>LD</sup> as the template. The model was refined using Phenix [24] and structure 162 validation was performed using MolProbity [26]. The structure was refined to final  $R_{work}/R_{free}$  values of 16.9 %/19.2 %, respectively (Table A in S1 Text). The final UcaDLD model contains residues 21- 217. Electron density was not observed for residues 44-47, suggesting that these regions have a disordered or flexible conformation in the crystals. Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB with ID 7MZO.

167 UcaD<sup>LD</sup>:monosaccharide complexes: Pre-formed UcaD<sup>LD</sup> and UclD<sup>LD</sup> crystals were soaked with 0.1-0.2 M of the monosaccharides Fuc, Glc, Gal, GlcNAc, GalNAc or Neu5Ac for 48 hours in the crystallisation solution (0.1 M sodium citrate buffer pH 4.5-5.5, 2-3 M NaCl). The crystals were cryoprotected in Paratone-N and flash-cooled at 100 K. X-ray diffraction data were collected from single crystals on the MX2 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, using a wavelength of 0.9537 Å. The data-sets was processed using either MOSFLM (Gal complex), or XDS (Fuc and Glc complexes) [27] and scaled using AIMLESS in the CCP4 suite [18]. The structures were solved by molecular 174 replacement using PHASER [23] and ligand-free UcaD<sup>LD</sup> as the template. The models were refined using Phenix [24], and structure validations were performed using MolProbity [26]. Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB with IDs 7MZQ (Fuc complex), 7MZR (Glc complex), and 7MZS (Gal complex).

 **Molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulations.** The initial structure for MD simulations was obtained by molecular docking of lacto-N-fucopentose VI with AutoDock Vina [28], as implemented in the YASARA molecular modelling package (Ver. 16.46) [29]. A grid box covering the entire monosaccharide-binding site and surroundings was used to place lacto-N-fucopentose VI. The docked structure with the best superimposition between the fucose moiety of lacto-N-183 fucopentose VI and the fucose molecule observed in the  $UcaD<sup>LD</sup>:Fuc$  complex was then subjected to further optimization by a 40 ns MD simulation using the AMBER force-field implemented in the YASARA software suite [29]. A representative energy-minimised snapshot from the MD trajectory was used for the analyses.

## **Supplementary Figures**





 **Fig A. The Ucl fimbrial operon is most frequently found in phylogroup B2 strains.** Percentage of strains encoding *uclABCD* for each sequence type in the 83ST database. The bars are split to show the presence of specific *uclA* alleles; the least common *uclA* variants are summarised by the "other" designation (other: 12 variants observed; 33/404, ~8%); see Fig B in S1 Text. The *ucl* genes were most frequently found in ExPEC strains from ST12, ST73, ST127, ST131 and ST141 in the pathogenic B2 phylogroup (360/900; 40%), compared to strains from STs in phylogroups D (15/600; 2.5%) and F (3/500; 0.6%), and rarely found in strains from STs in other *E. coli* phylogroups.



 **Fig B.** Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *uclA* variants found in the 83ST database, with the number of each *uclA* variant indicated in the bar graph. A total of 15 *uclA* allelic variants that differed by up to 27% at the nucleotide level were identified. The most common allelic variant was *uclA*-10 (212/404; 52.5%), followed by *uclA*-5 (88/404; 21.8%) and *uclA*-13 (71/363; 17.6%); other allelic variants were infrequent.

- 
- 





 **Fig D. Analysis of the** *ucl* **promoter.** a) Left, schematic diagram of the *ucl* promoter region from F11 and UTI89 cloned in the reporter plasmid pQF50. Indicated are the TSS, -10 and -35 promoter elements, and OxyR binding site. Right, β-galactosidase activity (measured in Miller units) for each P*ucl*-*lacZ* fusion construct in UTI89*lacZ*. Plasmid pQF50-P*ucl*F11 *-lacZ* possessed a higher β-236 galactosidase activity as compared to pOF50-Pucl<sup>UTI89</sup>-lacZ ( $p \le 0.0001$ ; one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test). b) Promoter region of *ucl* operon from F11. The transcription start site is indicated as +1, with the predicted -10 and -35 core promoter elements indicated accordingly. Bolded T with an arrow indicates the single nucleotide that differed in F11, where a G is present at this position in UTI89, S77EC and HVM1299. The region from F11 and UTI89 cloned into the pQF50 *lacZ* reporter plasmid is indicated by arrows denoting the 5' ends of primers 6571- 6572.





start codon of the *uclA* gene and the +1 transcription start site. Bottom: sequence chromatogram.



255 **Fig F.** a) Whole-cell lysate western-blot analysis of F11<sup>T-78G</sup> and UTI89<sup>G-78T</sup>. Higher expression of 256 UclA was observed in UTI89<sup>G-78T</sup> mutants and lower in abundance in F11<sup>T-78G</sup>, compared to that of their wild-type strains. b) Qualitative analysis of 100 cells assessed for Ucl fimbriation using α-UclA immuno-gold labelling. c) Representative UclA immunogold-labelled TEM images for F11, F11 $\Delta u c l A$  and F11-Pucl<sup>T-78G</sup>.



264 **Fig G. Anti UclA whole-cell ELISA.** a) Whole-cell ELISA demonstrating expression of Ucl fimbriae 265 on wild-type F11 (wt), F11Δ*ucl* (*ucl*) and F11-Pucl<sup>T-78G</sup> (T-78G), as well as wild-type UTI89 (wt), 266 UTI89Δ*ucl* (*ucl*) and UTI89-Pucl<sup>G-78T</sup>. Ucl fimbriae were detected using UclA-specific polyclonal antibody. b) Control whole cell ELISA of wild-type F11 (wt), F11Δ*ucl* (*ucl*) and F11-Pucl<sup>T-78G</sup> (T-78G), as well as wild-type UTI89 (wt), UTI89Δ*ucl* (*ucl*) and UTI89-Pucl<sup>G-78T</sup> employing a general 269 *E. coli* antibody (Life Research B65001R). The black dots show individual measurements for four 270 technical replicates from three biological replicates (n=12); the grey bar indicates the mean. Statistical 271 analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test.

263



 **Fig H. Binding of OxyR to the** *ucl* **promoter region (P***ucl***). a**, Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE of OxyR-6xHis. Lane M: PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder (Life Technologies, catalogue no. 26616), Lane 2: Nickel-affinity purified OxyR-6xHis. **b**, Schematic diagram of the *uclABCD* operon, indicating the transcription start site (TSS), -10 and -35 promoter region, and OxyR binding sequence 279 containing the  $T^{(-78)}$  in F11 and the  $G^{(-78)}$  in UTI89. Also indicated are the 261 bp Pucl PCR fragment and the 240 bp *uclC* PCR fragment (negative control) used in the gel shift assay. **c**, Electrophoretic 281 mobility shift assay of the Cy3-Pucl<sup>-78T</sup> (top) and Cy3-Pucl<sup>-78G</sup> (bottom) fragments with OxyR and increasing concentrations of unlabelled competitor (P*ucl*-UL) DNA.



 **Fig I. Conservation of the P***ucl* **OxyR binding site in ST127.** A total of 845 genomes from ST127 strains on Enterobase were downloaded, 698 of which were positive for the Ucl fimbriae genes. The OxyR binding site was extracted from each P*ucl* sequence and aligned to generate the DNA logo shown at the top of the figure. Twenty-seven unique OxyR binding sites were identified, with nucleotide sequence changes shown below the consensus sequence. The number of times each unique sequence was identified in the dataset is indicated. The OxyR binding site consensus sequence was found most frequently (n=486), while the F11 T-78G SNP was also common (n=96). In total, 31% of the P*ucl* OxyR binding sites contained at least one SNP compared to the consensus sequence, with the F11 T-78G SNP most prevalent (14%). Sequences containing the G-78T and T-76C are indicated.

298

299



300

301

 **Fig J. UclA expression is increased in ECOR60.** a) OxyR binding site sequences from UTI89 (consensus), F11 and ECOR60, highlighting the C-76T nucleotide sequence change in ECOR60. b) 304 Whole-cell lysate western-blot analysis of ECOR60, ECOR63, F11 (WT) and F11-Pucl<sup>T-78G</sup> employing a UclA-specific antibody. Higher expression of UclA was observed in ECOR60 and F11 306 (WT) compared to ECOR63 and F11-Pucl<sup>T-78G</sup>. The promoter region of the *ucl* operon from ECOR63 and UTI89 is identical.



 **Fig K. Ucl fimbriae do not impact colonisation of the mouse gut in single infection experiments.** Mice were inoculated wild-type F11 (tagged with a chloramphenicol resistance cassette; black Circles), F11-P*ucl*<sup>T-78G</sup> (green squares) and an F11∆*ucl* mutant (orange triangles). Each group contained 10-11 mice infected and monitored during two independent experiments. Bacterial loads were assessed over a 2-week period.

- 
- 
- 



 **Fig L. Architecture of Ucl fimbriae demonstrated by co-immunogold labelled electron microscopy.** a) Electron micrograph demonstrating immunogold labelled UclA major subunit (left; 5 nm gold particles) and UclD tip adhesin (right; 10 nm gold particles) of Ucl fimbriae. b) Cartoon model of Ucl fimbriae architecture, depicting the UclA major subunit repeating protein (green), UclD tip adhesin (orange), UclC usher (yellow) and UclB chaperone (red). Also labelled are the inner membrane (IM) and outer membrane (OM) of the cell



 **Fig M. Prevalence of the** *uclABCD* **genes in** *Proteus* **species.** Genomes were assessed from the NCBI database. The analysis was performed using tblastn for UcaA, UcaB, UcaC and UcaD, with a positive result determined for blast hits with >70% identity and >80% coverage for all four proteins.



 **Fig N.** Amino acid sequence alignment of the UclD (NCBI protein entry EEZ6997767), UcaD (CAR41289.1) and GafD (Q47341) adhesins. Identical amino acids are shaded in black; similar amino acids are shaded in grey. The consensus sequence is indicated.



343

**Fig O.** A) Crystal packing analysis of UcaD<sup>LD</sup> (PDB: 7MZO). The molecules are shown in cartoon 345 representation. The asymmetric unit consists of one UcaD<sup>LD</sup> molecule shown in cyan, while 346 symmetry-related molecules are colored orange. The monosaccharide binding region of the 347 asymmetric unit is circled and highlighted in magenta. **B)** Comparison of the monosaccharide 348 binding site region in 3 different crystal forms of ligand-free UcaD<sup>LD</sup>. C) Comparison of the 349 monosaccharide binding site in the UcaD<sup>LD</sup>:Fuc, UcaD<sup>LD</sup> and UclD<sup>LD</sup> crystal structures.

350

351



**Fig P. Electron density map of the fucose binding site in the UcaDLD :Fuc complex structure.** Composite (2Fo - Fc, blue, contoured at 2σ) and difference (Fo - Fc, green/red, contoured at 3.0σ) electron density map of the Fuc binding site after refinement. Positive difference density adjacent to C4 suggests that a minor fraction of the Fuc molecules in the crystal adopts an alternate conformation.

365

366



367

368

**Fig Q. UcaDLD** 369 **:Fuc interactions in the MD derived structure.** Interactions between the fucose 370 residue of lacto-N-fucopentose VI (yellow) and residues of the binding pocket of ≤3.6 Å are shown 371 as dashed lines. The binding model of the fucose molecule observed in the Uca $D^{LD}$ : Fuc crystal 372 structure is highlighted in green stick representation, for comparison.

373

## 375 **Supplementary Tables**

### 376 **Table A. Crystallographic data.**



<sup>a</sup> The values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.

**b** Calculated with AIMLESS [42].

<sup>c</sup> Rmeas =  $\sum hkl$ {N(hkl)/[N(hkl)-1]} 1/2  $\Sigma i$ [Ii(hkl)- <I(hkl)>|/  $\Sigma hkl\Sigma i$ Ii(hkl), where  $Ii(hkl)$  is the intensity of the *i*th measurement of an equivalent reflection with indices *hkl*. d *<sup>R</sup>*pim = *Σhkl*{1/[*N*(*hkl*)-1]}1/2 Σ*i*|I*i*(*hkl*)- <sup>&</sup>lt;*I*(*hkl*)>|/ Σ*hkl*Σ*i*I*i*(*hkl*).

 $\mathcal{E}_{\text{Rwork}} = \sum_{hkl} |\hat{F}_{\text{obs}}| - |\hat{F}_{\text{calc}}| / \sum_{hkl} |\hat{F}_{\text{obs}}|$ , where  $F_{\text{obs}}$  and  $F_{\text{calc}}$  are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes.

f *R*<sub>free</sub> is equivalent to *R*work but calculated with reflections (5-10%) omitted from the refinement process.

# **Table B. Polar interactions in the UcaD<sup>LD</sup>: monosaccahride complexes<sup>1</sup>.**

379

377



380<br>381  $\frac{381}{180}$  <sup>1</sup>Bond distances are based on the distances between nitrogen and oxygen atoms and do not include hydrogen atoms. <sup>2</sup>The water molecule is displayed in Figure 5. hydrogen atoms. <sup>2</sup>The water molecule is displayed in Figure 5.

383

384



# 386 **Table C: List of strains and plasmids used in this study.**

# 390 **Table D: List of primers used in this study.**



391

389



#### 394 **Table E. Glycan array analysis of UclD and UcaD**





٠

T.















396 Red indicates binding as shown in Fig 5. Binding indicates a value of greater than 1-fold of average

397 background plus 3 standard deviations as described in the MIRAGE table (Table F).

### 399 **Table F. Supplementary glycan microarray document based on MIRAGE guidelines DOI:**  400 **10.1093/glycob/cww118.**









402

## **Supplementary Section References**

 1. Zhou Z, Alikhan NF, Mohamed K, Fan Y, Agama Study G, Achtman M. The EnteroBase user's guide, with case studies on Salmonella transmissions, Yersinia pestis phylogeny, and Escherichia core genomic diversity. Genome research. 2020;30(1):138-52. doi: 10.1101/gr.251678.119. PubMed PMID: 31809257.

 2. Sievers F, Higgins DG. Clustal Omega for making accurate alignments of many protein sequences. Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society. 2018;27(1):135-45. doi: 10.1002/pro.3290. PubMed PMID: 28884485; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5734385.

 3. Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature methods. 2017;14(6):587-9. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4285. PubMed PMID: 28481363; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5453245.

 4. Zhang H, Gao S, Lercher MJ, Hu S, Chen WH. EvolView, an online tool for visualizing, annotating and managing phylogenetic trees. Nucleic acids research. 2012;40(Web Server issue):W569-72. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks576. PubMed PMID: 22695796; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3394307.

 5. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2000;97(12):6640-5. Epub 2000/06/01. doi: 10.1073/pnas.120163297. PubMed PMID: 10829079; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC18686.

 6. Totsika M, Beatson SA, Sarkar S, Phan MD, Petty NK, Bachmann N, et al. Insights into a multidrug resistant Escherichia coli pathogen of the globally disseminated ST131 lineage: genome analysis and virulence mechanisms. PLoS One. 2011;6(10):e26578. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026578. PubMed PMID: 22053197; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3203889.

 7. Nyerges A, Csorgo B, Nagy I, Balint B, Bihari P, Lazar V, et al. A highly precise and portable genome engineering method allows comparison of mutational effects across bacterial species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2016;113(9):2502-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1520040113. PubMed PMID: 26884157; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4780621.

 8. Ulett GC, Webb RI, Schembri MA. Antigen-43-mediated autoaggregation impairs motility in Escherichia coli. Microbiology. 2006;152(Pt 7):2101-10. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.28607-0. PubMed PMID: 16804184.

 9. Miller JH. A short course in bacterial genetics: a laboratory manual and handbook for Escherichia coli and related bacteria. Plainview, N.Y: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1992.

 10. Rapid amplification of 5' complementary DNA ends (5' RACE). Nature methods. 2005;2(8):629-30. Epub 2005/09/09. PubMed PMID: 16145794.

 11. Spaulding CN, Klein RD, Ruer S, Kau AL, Schreiber HL, Cusumano ZT, et al. Selective depletion of uropathogenic E. coli from the gut by a FimH antagonist. Nature. 2017;546(7659):528- 32. Epub 2017/06/15. doi: 10.1038/nature22972. PubMed PMID: 28614296; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5654549.

 12. Russell CW, Fleming BA, Jost CA, Tran A, Stenquist AT, Wambaugh MA, et al. Context- Dependent Requirements for FimH and Other Canonical Virulence Factors in Gut Colonization by Extraintestinal Pathogenic Escherichia coli. Infection and immunity. 2018;86(3). doi: 10.1128/IAI.00746-17. PubMed PMID: 29311232; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5820936.

 13. Kelley LA, Sternberg MJ. Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study using the Phyre server. Nature Protocols. 2009;4(3):363-71. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2009.2. PubMed PMID: 19247286.

 14. Furlong EJ, Lo AW, Kurth F, Premkumar L, Totsika M, Achard MES, et al. A shape-shifting redox foldase contributes to Proteus mirabilis copper resistance. Nature communications. 2017;8:16065. doi: 10.1038/ncomms16065. PubMed PMID: 28722010; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5524982.

 15. Shewell LK, Day CJ, Jen FE, Haselhorst T, Atack JM, Reijneveld JF, et al. All major cholesterol-dependent cytolysins use glycans as cellular receptors. Science advances. 2020;6(21):eaaz4926. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaz4926. PubMed PMID: 32494740; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7244308.

 16. Waespy M, Gbem TT, Elenschneider L, Jeck AP, Day CJ, Hartley-Tassell L, et al. Carbohydrate Recognition Specificity of Trans-sialidase Lectin Domain from Trypanosoma congolense. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2015;9(10):e0004120. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004120. PubMed PMID: 26474304; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4608562.

 17. McPhillips TM, McPhillips SE, Chiu HJ, Cohen AE, Deacon AM, Ellis PJ, et al. Blu-Ice and the distributed control system: software for data acquisition and instrument control at macromolecular crystallography beamlines. J Synchrotron Radiat. 2002;9(Pt 6):401-6. Epub 2002/11/01. PubMed PMID: 12409628.

 18. Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, et al. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2011;67(Pt 4):235-42. Epub 2011/04/05. doi: 10.1107/S0907444910045749. PubMed PMID: 21460441; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3069738.

 19. Pannu NS, Waterreus WJ, Skubak P, Sikharulidze I, Abrahams JP, de Graaff RA. Recent advances in the CRANK software suite for experimental phasing. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2011;67(Pt 4):331-7. doi: 10.1107/S0907444910052224. PubMed PMID: 21460451; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3069748.

 20. Sheldrick GM. A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica Section A. 2008;64(Pt 1):112-22. doi: 10.1107/S0108767307043930. PubMed PMID: 18156677.

 21. Cowtan K. The Buccaneer software for automated model building. 1. Tracing protein chains. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2006;62(Pt 9):1002-11. doi: 10.1107/S0907444906022116. PubMed PMID: 16929101.

 22. Murshudov GN, Skubak P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, et al. REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2011;67(Pt 4):355-67. doi: 10.1107/S0907444911001314. PubMed PMID: 21460454; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3069751.

 23. McCoy AJ. Solving structures of protein complexes by molecular replacement with Phaser. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2007;63(Pt 1):32-41. Epub 2006/12/14. doi: 10.1107/S0907444906045975. PubMed PMID: 17164524; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2483468.

 24. Adams PD, Baker D, Brunger AT, Das R, DiMaio F, Read RJ, et al. Advances, interactions, and future developments in the CNS, Phenix, and Rosetta structural biology software systems. Annual review of biophysics. 2013;42:265-87. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biophys-083012-130253. PubMed PMID: 23451892.

 25. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2010;66(Pt 4):486-501. PubMed PMID: 20383002; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2852313.

 26. Chen VB, Arendall WB, 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2010;66(Pt 1):12-21. Epub 2010/01/09. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909042073. PubMed PMID: 20057044; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2803126.

 27. Kabsch W. XDS. Acta Crystallographica Section D. 2010;66(Pt 2):125-32. Epub 2010/02/04. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909047337. PubMed PMID: 20124692; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2815665.

 28. Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. Journal of computational chemistry. 2010;31(2):455-61. doi: 10.1002/jcc.21334. PubMed PMID: 19499576; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3041641.

 29. Krieger E, Koraimann G, Vriend G. Increasing the precision of comparative models with YASARA NOVA--a self-parameterizing force field. Proteins. 2002;47(3):393-402. PubMed PMID: 11948792.

 30. Kjaergaard K, Schembri MA, Ramos C, Molin S, Klemm P. Antigen 43 facilitates formation of multispecies biofilms. Environ Microbiol. 2000;2(6):695-702. doi: 10.1046/j.1462- 2920.2000.00152.x. PubMed PMID: 11214802.

 31. Rasko DA, Rosovitz MJ, Myers GS, Mongodin EF, Fricke WF, Gajer P, et al. The pangenome structure of Escherichia coli: comparative genomic analysis of E. coli commensal and pathogenic isolates. J Bacteriol. 2008;190(20):6881-93. Epub 20080801. doi: 10.1128/JB.00619-08. PubMed PMID: 18676672; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2566221.

 32. Stapleton A, Moseley S, Stamm WE. Urovirulence determinants in Escherichia coli isolates causing first-episode and recurrent cystitis in women. J Infect Dis. 1991;163(4):773-9. doi: 10.1093/infdis/163.4.773. PubMed PMID: 1672702.

 33. Chen SL, Hung CS, Xu J, Reigstad CS, Magrini V, Sabo A, et al. Identification of genes subject to positive selection in uropathogenic strains of Escherichia coli: a comparative genomics  approach. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(15):5977-82. Epub 20060403. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0600938103. PubMed PMID: 16585510; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1424661.

 34. Mulvey MA, Schilling JD, Hultgren SJ. Establishment of a persistent Escherichia coli reservoir during the acute phase of a bladder infection. Infect Immun. 2001;69(7):4572-9. doi: 10.1128/IAI.69.7.4572-4579.2001. PubMed PMID: 11402001; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC98534.

 35. Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Stanton-Cook M, Skippington E, Totsika M, Forde BM, et al. Global dissemination of a multidrug resistant Escherichia coli clone. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111(15):5694-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1322678111. PubMed PMID: 24706808; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3992628.

 36. Furlong EJ, Lo AW, Kurth F, Premkumar L, Totsika M, Achard MES, et al. A shape-shifting redox foldase contributes to Proteus mirabilis copper resistance. Nat Commun. 2017;8:16065. Epub 2017/07/20. doi: 10.1038/ncomms16065. PubMed PMID: 28722010; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5524982.

 37. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97(12):6640-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.120163297. PubMed PMID: 10829079; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC18686.

 38. Martinez E, Bartolome B, de la Cruz F. pACYC184-derived cloning vectors containing the multiple cloning site and lacZ alpha reporter gene of pUC8/9 and pUC18/19 plasmids. Gene. 1988;68(1):159-62. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(88)90608-7. PubMed PMID: 2851489.

 39. Farinha MA, Kropinski AM. Construction of broad-host-range plasmid vectors for easy visible selection and analysis of promoters. J Bacteriol. 1990;172(6):3496-9. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.6.3496-3499.1990. PubMed PMID: 2111810; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC209165.

 40. Guzman LM, Belin D, Carson MJ, Beckwith J. Tight regulation, modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. J Bacteriol. 1995;177(14):4121-30. doi: 10.1128/jb.177.14.4121-4130.1995. PubMed PMID: 7608087; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC177145.

 41. Schembri MA, Hjerrild L, Gjermansen M, Klemm P. Differential expression of the Escherichia coli autoaggregation factor antigen 43. J Bacteriol. 2003;185(7):2236-42. Epub 2003/03/20. doi: 10.1128/jb.185.7.2236-2242.2003. PubMed PMID: 12644494; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC151503.

 42. Evans PR, Murshudov GN. How good are my data and what is the resolution? Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2013;69(Pt 7):1204-14. doi: 10.1107/S0907444913000061. PubMed PMID: 23793146; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3689523.