

Figure S1: The distribution of sequence depths within each dataset used in this study.



Figure S2: The distribution of mapping rates for each dataset used in this study.



Figure S3: Boxplots of (A) genus-level and (B) family-level Shannon diversity within each dataset. P-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, **p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.0001. (C) Forest plot showing effect sizes from a meta-analysis on genus-level diversity ($I^2 = 44.10\%$, Q test p-value= 0.094). (D) Forest plot showing effect sizes from a meta-analysis on family-level diversity ($I^2 = 66.51\%$, Q test p-value= 0.012). RE Model: Random effect model.



Figure S4: Analysis of viral species Heip evenness within each dataset. (A) Boxplots of viral species-level Heip evenness for gut samples of CRC subjects and healthy controls stratified by disease status in each dataset. BH adjusted p-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, *p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001. (B) Multivariate analysis of the adjusted impact of age, gender and BMI on Heip evenness. (C) Forest plot showing effect sizes from a meta-analysis on species-level evenness ($I^2 = 0.00\%$, Q test p-value= 0.89). RE Model: Random effect model.



Figure S5: Analysis of viral species Chao1 richness within each dataset. (A) Boxplots of viral species-levelChao1 richness for gut samples of CRC subjects and healthy controls stratified by disease status in each dataset. BH adjusted p-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, **p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001. (B) Multivariate analysis of the adjusted impact of age, gender and BMI on Chao1 richness. (C) Forest plot showing effect sizes from a meta-analysis on species-level richness ($I^2 = 69.93\%$, Q test p-value= 0.005). RE Model: Random effect model.



Figure S6: PCoA plot of gut samples of CRC subjects and healthy controls for each separate dataset. R^2 values and p-values on subtitles were calculated by PERMANOVA to quantify the separation of the samples into two groups.



Figure S7: Boxplots showing the log transformed TMM normalized abundance of significant CRC-associated viral species within each dataset. P-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, *p< 0.01, ***p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.001.



Figure S8: Boxplots showing the log transformed TMM normalized abundance of significant CRC-associated viral genera within each dataset. P-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, *p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.001, ****p< 0.001.



Figure S9: Boxplots showing the log transformed TMM normalized abundance of significant CRC-associated viral families ((A) Drexlerviridae, (B) Inoviridae, (C) Herelleviridae)) and families to which significant CRC-associated viral species belong ((D) Myoviridae, (E) Podoviridae, (F) Siphoviridae)) within each dataset. P-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, *p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.001.



Figure S10: Boxplots showing the log transformed HUMAnN3 pathway abundance of significant pathways within each dataset. P-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, *p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.001.



Figure S11: Alpha diversity of bacterial species. (A) Boxplots showing bacterial Shannon index. (B) Boxplots showing bacterial richness. P-values were calculated using the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ns:p> 0.05, *p< 0.05, **p< 0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.0001. (C) Forest plot showing effect sizes from a meta-analysis on species-level bacterial diversity (meta-analysis $I^2 = 73.31\%$, Q test p-value = 0.0029). (D) Forest plot showing effect sizes from a meta-analysis $I^2 = 81.82\%$, Q test p-value < 0.0001). RE Model: Random effect model.



Figure S12: Prediction performances of random forest classifiers based on gut viral abundance. (A) Within and cross study AUROC matrix obtained by using genus-level abundance. The diagonal refers to results of cross validation within each dataset. Off-diagonal values refer to prediction results trained on the study on each row and tested on the study on each column. (B) Within and cross study AUROC matrix obtained by using family-level abundance. (C) Within and cross study AUROC matrix obtained by using pathway abundance. (D) LODO results with the x axis indicating the study left out as the validation set and other studies combined as the training set.

Figure S13: Prediction performances of random forest classifiers based on gut microbial abundance. (A) Within and cross study AUROC matrix obtained by using bacterial species-level abundance. The diagonal refers to results of cross validation within each dataset. Off-diagonal values refer to prediction results trained on the study on each row and tested on the study on each column. (B) Within and cross study AUROC matrix obtained by using both bacterial and viral species-level abundance profiles. (C) Within and cross study AUROC matrix obtained by using both bacterial species-level and viral genome-level abundance profiles. (D) LODO results with the x axis indicating the study left out as the validation set and other studies combined as the training set.