## Supporting Information for Describing Chemical Reactivity with Frontier Molecular Orbitalets

Jincheng Yu,<sup> $\dagger, \ddagger, \parallel$ </sup> Neil Qiang Su,<sup> $\P, \dagger, \parallel$ </sup> and Weitao Yang<sup>\*, \dagger, \\$</sup>

†Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA ‡Taishan College, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

¶Department of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Advanced Energy Materials Chemistry (Ministry of Education) and Renewable Energy Conversion and Storage Center (RECAST), Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

§Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

||J. Y. and N. S. contributed equally to this work and share the first authorship

E-mail: weitao.yang@duke.edu



Figure S1:  $F_r$  and  $F_e$  of hexadecaoctaene. (a)  $F_r$  is plotted as a function of  $\gamma$ , the value increases as  $\gamma$  increases. (b)  $F_e$  is plotted as a function of  $\gamma$ , the value decreases as  $\gamma$  increases.



Figure S2: CMOs and orbitalets near FMO(L)s of the DBA CT system: (a) HOMO-2, (b) HOMOL-2, (c) HOMO, (d) HOMOL, (e) LUMO+1, (f) LUMOL+1, (g) LUMO+2, and (h)LUMOL+2. The excitation from HOMOL-1 to LUMOL can explain the CT process. Iso = 0.05.



Figure S3: CIS results of the TCNE-aromatic molecule CT systems: (a) TCNE-benzene, (b) TCNE-naphthalene, (c) TCNE-anthracene in V configuration, and (d) TCNE-anthracene in P configuration. CIS calculations show the same CT character as FMOLs do. Iso = 0.02.



Figure S4: FMOs for TS1 and TS2 of the bifurcating reaction shown in Figure 14: (a) HOMO of TS1, (b) LUMO of TS1, (c) HOMO of TS2, and (d) LUMO of TS2. FMOs cannot clearly explain the bifurcating character of the reaction. Iso = 0.02.



Figure S5: LUMOLs for TS1 and TS2 of the bifurcating reaction shown in Figure 14: (a) LUMOL of TS1, (b) LUMOL of TS2. The LUMOLs are mainly localized to the region where the chemical interaction happens.



Figure S6: (a) HOMO and (b) HOMOL of diphenyldiazomethane at Iso = 0.02. Compared to Figure 12 in the main text, using a smaller Iso value can cause each segment of the orbital or orbitalet to be larger, but the overall orbital(et) shapes remain similar.