#### 1 **Supplementary Figures**



**Figure S1. Species comparison of** *MYH7*

**(A)** Phylogenetic tree analysis showing divergence of the human and mouse gene and **(B)** multiplealignment using Clustal W showing the conserved Arginine residue at 723 position across different species.

Supplementary Material **Supplementary**



#### **Figure S2. Base editing to create the** *MYH7* **c.2167C>T conversion without double strand breaks**

**(A)** Schematic of workflow for creating the *MYH7* c.2167C>T conversion in hiPSCs. **(B)** Base editing process using cytidine base editor (CBE) with a target cytidine (c) (red). Briefly, nuclease Cas9 (blue) was directed to the *MYH7* c.2167C>T conversion site by the sgRNA (green) complementary to the target strand (gtatcgcatcctgaacccag). A cytidine deaminase converts the target c to uracil (u) which is read by DNA repair enzymes as the correct base due to the nick in the nontarget strand caused by Cas9, resulting in u conversion to thymine (t). **(C)** Base editing results, with nucleotide ratios (top) and chromatogram (bottom). In the bulk population 74% of the target c were successfully converted to t. Clones were then isolated from this bulk population. Note that we followed the same process to create the *MYH6* c.2173C>T conversion and isolated *MYH7/MYH6* mutant clone via clonal selection.



**Figure S3 HCM phenotypes in multiple isogenic control and mutant hiPSC-CMs** 

**(A)** Representative images of cTnT and DAPI-stained hiPSC-CMs on Day 15 and 45 of differentiation. Isogenic control 1 and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 2 are the original lines shown in the main text. Isogenic control 2 shared the same parental hiPSC line with isogenic control 1, while isogenic control 2 does not over express CCND2. *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 1 has both *MYH7* R723C and *MYH6* R725C mutations as *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 2, the only difference between *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 1 and 2 is that they are two different isolated clones after base editing. *MYH7* mutant line only has *MYH7* R723C mutation, thus the difference between *MYH7* mutant and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant could indicate the impact of  $MYH6$  R725C. (Scale Bar = 100  $\mu$ m) **(B)** Bright-field images of the re-plated hiPSC-CMs prior to their attachment to the substrate to analyze cardiomyocyte size at Day 15 and 45 of

that were randomly picked from the bright-field images for each condition. Note that no significant difference between isogenic control 1 and 2 at Day 15 of differentiation, and no significant difference among the *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 1, *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 2 and *MYH7* mutant. Statistically significant differences between any of the isogenic control and any of the mutant at both Day 15 and 45 time points. Data represents mean ± SEM. (*N.S.*: not significant; \*\*\**P* < 0.005; Student *t* test and ANOVA;  $n = 24$  for 6 randomly picked particles with round and intact boundary from 4 bright field images per condition). **(D)** Quantification of multinucleation. Analyzed from 9 IHC images for each condition. Note that no significant difference between isogenic control 1 and 2 at Day 15 and 45 of differentiation, no significant difference among the *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 1, *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 2 and *MYH7* mutant at Day 15, and no significant difference between *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 1 and 2 at Day 45. Statistically significant differences between any of the isogenic control and any of the mutant at Day 45 of differentiation. Data represents mean  $\pm$  SEM. (*N.S.*: not significant; \*\*\**P* < 0.005; Student *t* test and ANOVA;  $n = 9$  immunostained images for each condition). **(E)** Quantification of beat rate. Analyzed from 18 calcium transient measurements for each condition. Note that no significant difference between isogenic control 1 and 2 at Day 45 of differentiation, no significant difference among the *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 1, *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 2 and *MYH7* mutant at Day 15, and no significant difference between *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 2 and *MYH7* mutant at Day 45. Statistically significant differences between any of the isogenic control and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant 2 or *MYH7* mutant at Day 45 of differentiation. Data represents mean ± SEM. (*N.S.*: not significant; \*\*\**P* < 0.005; Student *t* test and ANOVA; 3 wells of differentiated cardiomyocytes and 4 videos from separated locations in each well, and  $n = 12$  for each condition).



**Figure S4. FACS analysis for cardiomyocyte size** 

**(A)** Event count for forward scatter (FSC) for both isogenic control and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant hiPSC-CMs on Day 15, Day 30 and Day 45 time points. Green arrows and dash lines indicate peak location. Red lines were arbitrarily assigned to locate at  $FSC = 10,000,000$  for showing the significantly higher percentage of larger cell population in the *MYH7/MYH6* mutant hiPSC-CMs. **(B)** Mean scatter over time; higher values indicate larger cells. The *MYH7/MYH6* mutant hiPSC-CMs are larger at Day 30 than the isogenic control.

Supplementary Material **Supplementary**



**Figure S5. Cardiac differentiation protocol with bright field images on multiple time points** 

**(A)** Cardiac differentiation timeline and corresponding differentiation status. **(B)** Bright field images of the cell morphology for both isogenic control and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant lines on Day 0, Day 3, Day 8, Day 15, Day 30, Day 45 and Day 60 after the start of differentiation. (Bar = 100 μm) **(C)**  Quantitative analysis of cell loss and attachment. Note that we observed significant reduction of cell coverage in the mutant wells at Day 30, 45 and 60. Data represents mean ± SEM; \*\*\**P* < 0.005; Student *t* test;  $n = 4$  bright field images for each condition. **(D)** Quantitative analysis of adherent cell area. Data represents mean  $\pm$  SEM; *N.S.*: not significant; Student *t* test; n = 9 immunostained images for each condition.



#### **Figure S6. RNASeq quality control**

Similarity of the read counts between isogenic control and mutant lines at Day 15 of differentiation.



#### **Figure S7. FACS analysis for integrin expression**

**(A)** Percentage of hiPSC-CMs expressing integrin beta 1 for both isogenic control and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant hiPSC-CMs on Day 15, Day 30 and Day 45 time points. **(B)** Percentage of hiPSC-CMs expressing integrin alpha 2 for both isogenic control and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant hiPSC-CMs on Day 15, Day 30 and Day 45 time points. **(C)** Percentage of hiPSC-CMs expressing integrin alpha v for both isogenic control and *MYH7/MYH6* mutant hiPSC-CMs on Day 15, Day 30 and Day 45 time points.

### **2 Supplementary Tables**

## **Table S1. Pathogenic Converter Domain Mutations and Associated hiPSC and Animal Studies**

Mutations in MYH7 converter domain [residues 707-774] interpreted as "pathogenic" or "pathogenic/likely pathogenic" in the NCBI ClinVar database, as of April 2021.







 $MWT =$  maximal wall thickness;  $SAM =$  systolic anterior motion;  $TWI = T$ -wave inversion;  $HF =$ heart failure; LVH = left ventricular hypertrophy; \* father with same mutation and end stage HCM; † family;  $\dagger$  monozygotic twins.



## **Table S3. List of primers used for qPCR analysis**



# **Table S4. List of antibodies used in this study**

### **3 Supplementary Video**

**Supplementary Video S1.** This video shows a side-by-side comparison of morphology and beat profile in *MYH7/MYH6* mutant hiPSC-CMs and isogenic control hiPSC-CMs.

## **4 Supplementary Experimental Procedures**

### **4.1 Identification of patients with MYH7 R723C mutation and their clinical symptoms**

We searched the ClinVar database and the Clinical Genome Resource database (https://erepo.clinicalgenome.org/evrepo/ui/interpretation/7cad6d45-ed00-44b9-a31d-225ff15a0c10) for publications containing references to *MYH7* Arg723Cys. A total of 23 manuscripts were reviewed and 4 articles were selected (O'Mahony et al., 2016; Rowin et al., 2014; Tesson et al., 1998; Watkins et al., 1992). The inclusion criteria were a single mutation of *MYH7* R723C and patient level clinical data. Double or triple mutations were excluded.

## **4.2 Re-plating hiPSC-CMs**

To obtain monolayer hiPSC-CMs, a re-plating process was performed. Briefly, 1 mL of 0.25% Trypsin was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Singularized cells were obtained by pipetting with P1000 tips several times. The cell suspension was transferred to a conical tube containing RPMI20 (RPMI1640 with L-glutamine and 20% FBS) with two-fold cell suspension volume. The suspension was centrifuged at  $200 \times g$  for 5 min to remove supernatant and hiPSC-CMs were seeded at the density of  $9 \times 10^4$  cells/well on 12-well plates in RPMI/B27 medium with 10 $\mu$ M ROCK inhibitor. The re-plated hiPSC-CMs were cultured for 48 h and then fixed with 4% PFA for immunostaining.

### **4.3 Identification of Differentiation Efficiency**

Differentiation efficiency was identified by the percentage of cardiomyocytes over total cell number. Cardiomyocytes were recognized via positive cTnT staining and total cell number was based on DAPI positive nuclei in a 10× view under the microscope (ZEISS, Oberkochen, Germany). At least 5 randomly picked 10× images for both mutant hiPSC-CMs and control hiPSC-CMs were utilized for the calculation.

## **4.4 Determination of hiPSC-CM Size**

The singularized hiPSC-CMs were obtained via the same trypsinization process for re-plating. The cardiomyocyte size of the singularized hiPSC-CMs was examined by averaging the longest axis of 6 randomly picked particle (with clear boundary and intact shape) over 4 bright field images for each cell line on each time point.

The adherent cell area was measured from re-plated hiPSC-CMs. To determine the cell area, cardiomyocytes and cell boundary were recognized via positive cTnT staining. The cell area was obtained via circling each cell and calculating the area in ImageJ. At least 9 randomly picked 20× images for both mutant and control hiPSC-CMs were utilized for the assessment.

## **4.5 Determination of Multinucleation**

Multinucleation was examined on re-plated hiPSC-CMs. To determine multinucleation, cardiomyocytes were recognized via positive cTnT staining and nuclei were recognized via positive DAPI staining. The percentage of multinucleation equals to the percentage of cardiomyocytes that contained more than one nucleus (i.e., the sum of bi-, tri- and multi-nuclei cardiomyocytes) over total cell number (i.e., the sum of single-, bi-, tri- and multi-nuclei cardiomyocytes). At least 9 randomly picked 20× images for both mutant and control hiPSC-CMs were utilized for the calculation.

#### **4.6 Determination of Sarcomeric Disorganization**

Sarcomeric disorganization was examined on re-plated hiPSC-CMs. Cardiomyocytes and sarcomere structures were recognized via cTnT staining. The annotation of 20× images were removed before performing the blinded classification for highly organized and poorly organized sarcomeres (representative images as shown in Figure 2F). At least 9 randomly picked 20× images for both mutant and control hiPSC-CMs were utilized for the calculation. The percentage of highly organized sarcomere over poorly organized sarcomere was determined as quantitative result of sarcomeric disorganization.

### **4.7 Determination of Cell Coverage**

Cell coverage was examined on the undisturbed wells of hiPSC-CMs at Day 15, 30, 45 and 60 time points prior to the re-plating process. All the empty regions in each randomly picked 10× bright field image were measured and summed. Cell coverage percentage was determined by  $(A<sub>Total</sub> A_{Empty}$ / $A_{Total} \times 100\%$  where  $A_{Total}$  and  $A_{Empty}$  represent the total area of each image and the sum of empty areas, respectively.

#### **4.8 Calcium Transient Measurements**

Movement of Calcium ions was assessed with DMi8 fluorescence microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and LAS X software. Cultured hiPSC-CMs were incubated with 5 μM Fluo-4 acetoxymethyl ester (Fluo-4 AM) in culture medium at 37°C for 30 min, and then culture medium was exchanged to Tyrode's salt solution for another 30 min incubation at 37°C. After that, hiPSC-CMs were moved onto the microscope stage and covered with a heating plate to maintain the temperature at 37°C. Fluo-4 AM intensity was recorded at the frame rate of 6.90 Hz with a 30 ms exposure time. The acquired data was processed by ImageJ to obtain a time trace of calcium signal and then analyzed in a custom-written Python script to extract maximal and minimal intensity and corresponding time points for each peak. Peak amplitude was determined by  $F/F_0$  where  $F = \langle F_{\text{max}} \rangle$ .  $\langle F_{\text{min}} \rangle$  and  $F_0 = \langle F_{\text{min}} \rangle$ .  $\langle F_{\text{max}} \rangle$  and  $\langle F_{\text{min}} \rangle$  represent the averaged maximal and minimal intensity for each peak, respectively.

#### **4.9 Determination of Relative Cardiomyocyte Size and Integrin Expression via Flow Cytometry**

Singularized cell samples were collected and fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min and then washed and stored in PBS at 4 $\degree$ C. At least  $0.5 \times 10^6$  cells per sample were split and assigned to unstained control, secondary antibody only, cTnT only, and cTnT and integrin co-stained samples (integrin beta 1 or alpha 2 or alpha v). Primary antibodies (mouse anti-human cTnT, rabbit anti-human integrin beta 1, rabbit anti-human integrin alpha 2, and rabbit anti-human integrin alpha v) and secondary antibodies (goat anti-mouse 488, and goat anti-rabbit 647) were diluted in 10  $\mu$ g/mL digitonin 1:100 and 1:500, respectively. Cell samples were permeabilized and immunostained simultaneously (30 min at 4°C for primary antibody, washed with  $0.05\%$  (v/v) Tween 20 in PBS twice and then 30 min at 4 °C for secondary antibody). Washed with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 in PBS twice before resuspended in 200 μL of PBS. Acquire data by Flow Cytometry (BD Accuri C6 Flow Cytometer). To determine cardiomyocyte size and integrin expression level, gated each cell line on each time point with unstained control, adjusted with secondary antibody only control to exclude false positive events and then targeted cTnT+ events as cardiomyocytes for analysis.

#### **4.10 GO and KEGG Enrichment Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes**

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes was implemented by the clusterProfiler R package, in which gene length bias was corrected. GO terms with corrected P-value less than 0.05 were considered significantly enriched by differential expressed genes. We used the clusterProfiler R package to test the statistical enrichment of differential expression genes in KEGG pathways.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES**

- Chang, A.C.Y., Chang, A.C.H., Kirillova, A., Sasagawa, K., Su, W., Weber, G., Lin, J., Termglinchan, V., Karakikes, I., Seeger, T.*, et al.* (2018). Telomere shortening is a hallmark of genetic cardiomyopathies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *115*, 9276-9281.
- Filippo Buono, M., von Boehmer, L., Strang, J., Hoerstrup, S.P., Emmert, M.Y., and Nugraha, B. (2020). Human Cardiac Organoids for Modeling Genetic Cardiomyopathy. Cells *9*.
- Kraft, T., Montag, J., Radocaj, A., and Brenner, B. (2016). Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: Cell-to-Cell Imbalance in Gene Expression and Contraction Force as Trigger for Disease Phenotype Development. Circ Res *119*, 992-995.
- Miller, G., Colegrave, M., and Peckham, M. (2000). N232S, G741R and D778G beta-cardiac myosin mutants, implicated in familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, do not disrupt myofibrillar organisation in cultured myotubes. FEBS Lett *486*, 325-327.
- Montag, J., Petersen, B., Flogel, A.K., Becker, E., Lucas-Hahn, A., Cost, G.J., Muhlfeld, C., Kraft, T., Niemann, H., and Brenner, B. (2018). Successful knock-in of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy-mutation R723G into the MYH7 gene mimics HCM pathology in pigs. Sci Rep *8*, 4786.
- O'Mahony, C., Jichi, F., Monserrat, L., Ortiz-Genga, M., Anastasakis, A., Rapezzi, C., Biagini, E., Gimeno, J.R., Limongelli, G., McKenna, W.J.*, et al.* (2016). Inverted U-Shaped Relation Between the Risk of Sudden Cardiac Death and Maximal Left Ventricular Wall Thickness in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol *9*.
- Rowin, E.J., Maron, B.J., Kiernan, M.S., Casey, S.A., Feldman, D.S., Hryniewicz, K.M., Chan, R.H., Harris, K.M., Udelson, J.E., DeNofrio, D.*, et al.* (2014). Advanced heart failure with preserved systolic function in nonobstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: under-recognized subset of candidates for heart transplant. Circ Heart Fail *7*, 967-975.

- Teekakirikul, P., Eminaga, S., Toka, O., Alcalai, R., Wang, L., Wakimoto, H., Nayor, M., Konno, T., Gorham, J.M., Wolf, C.M.*, et al.* (2010). Cardiac fibrosis in mice with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is mediated by non-myocyte proliferation and requires Tgf-beta. J Clin Invest *120*, 3520-3529.
- Tesson, F., Richard, P., Charron, P., Mathieu, B., Cruaud, C., Carrier, L., Dubourg, O., Lautie, N., Desnos, M., Millaire, A.*, et al.* (1998). Genotype-phenotype analysis in four families with mutations in beta-myosin heavy chain gene responsible for familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Hum Mutat *12*, 385-392.
- Watkins, H., Thierfelder, L., Hwang, D.S., McKenna, W., Seidman, J.G., and Seidman, C.E. (1992). Sporadic hypertrophic cardiomyopathy due to de novo myosin mutations. J Clin Invest *90*, 1666-1671.