

RBM10 and *EGFR* mutation clonality estimation Foundation Medicine dataset

	EGFR mutant	<i>RBM10</i> mutant
clonal	14	4
subclonal	31	41

p = 0.02 (Fisher exact test)



Supplementary Figure 1. *RBM10* **mutations and** *MET* **gene amplification in MSK-IMAPCT and Foundation Medicine** *EGFR* **mutant lung adenocarcinoma datasets. A,** Oncoprint of *EGFR* and *EGFR* allelic mutations along with *RBM10* and *MET* alterations in NSCLC. Targeted deep sequencing data from MSK-IMPACT was used to present the concurrent gene alterations in NSCLC. Different colors represent different genetic alterations: DEL=deletion; DNP=Double nucleotide polymorphism; INS=Insertion; SNP=single nucleotide polymorphism; TNP=Triple nucleotide polymorphism; CNV=Copy Number Variation. **B,** Oncoprint of *EGFR-RBM10-MET* gene alterations in lung cancer. Targeted whole exome sequencing data from Foundation Medicine was used to display the pattern of co-alterations. **C,** *RBM10* and *EGFR* mutation clonality estimation in the Foundation Medicine dataset. The distribution (kernel density estimation) of mutational allele frequencies of *RBM10* and *EGFR* mutations were analyzed in this clinical dataset (n=45). A threshold for clonality was set at 0.6 (p = 0.02, Fisher exact test) (30). SV=single nucleotide variant, CN=copy number gain.



*: P<0.05

Supplementary Figure 2. The effects of osimertinib treatment in RBM10-depleted, *EGFR* mutant cell lines in vitro. A-B, H3255 (A) or PC-9 (B) cells with shScramble or *RBM10* knockdown confirmed by immunoblot. C-F, Crystal violet (CV) cell viability assays demonstrating the effects of osimertinib treatment in each indicated *EGFR* mutant cell line model with *RBM10* knockdown (or control) on growth in vitro. Data represent 3 independent experiments (C, D). Bars represent the mean \pm SD (E, F). One-way ANOVA was used to calculate P values, respectively. *; p < 0.05.

А

WB $\frac{Beas2B}{shScr} \frac{shRBM10}{\#1 \ \#2}$ RBM10 Actin

В



Tumor formation shScr (0/16) sh*RBM10* #1 (0/10)

> sh*RBM10* #2 (0/10)







Supplementary Figure 3. The effects of *RBM10* knockdown on tumorigenesis and tumor growth. A-B, Beas2B cells with scramble or *RBM10* knockdown were confirmed with the immunoblot (A). Those cells were implanted into immunodeficient mice and observed for 60 days (B). C-F, SCID mice bearing established tumors with PC-9 (C, E) or H3255 (D, F) cells with shScramble or *RBM10* knockdown were treated with vehicle control once daily for 14 days. Tumor volume was measured using calipers on the indicated days (n=10 tumors each arm). Percent changes in tumor volume compared to baseline for individual xenografts were measured (C, D). Representative IHC analysis of Ki-67, cleaved caspase 3 and TUNEL expression by H-score analysis in PC-9 (E) and H3255 (F) tumors are shown. IHC Scale bars; 100 μ m and magnification at 200X. The mean \pm SEM for each group is shown. Student's t-test was used to calculate P values, respectively. N.S.: not significant.



Supplementary Figure 4. The effects of *RBM10* CRISPR knockout on tumor growth. SCID mice bearing tumors with PC-9 or H3255 cells with sgControl or sg*RBM10* knockout were treated with vehicle control once daily. A-D, Tumor volume was measured using calipers on the indicated days (n=10 tumors each arm). Percent changes in tumor volume compared to baseline for individual xenografts were measured. E-F, The *Bcl-xS/xL* ratio (%) was compared in sgControl (PC-9:10.0, H3255: 9.7) versus sg*RBM10*(PC-9:1.8, H3255: 2.0) tumors by qRT-PCR (*p<0.01). Bars represent the mean \pm SEM. One-way ANOVA was used to calculate P values, respectively.



Supplementary Figure 5. The effects of *RBM10* **mutation on cleaved caspase 7 protein expression.** Cleaved Caspase 7 levels were compared between *RBM10* mutant and wild type tumors in Reverse Phase Protein Array (RPPA) data from the TCGA *EGFR* mutant cohort. Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to calculate P values.







G

CAG

TAG (STOP)



Supplementary Figure 6. RBM10 expression in tumor xenograft and clinical samples. A-B, Representative IHC images of RBM10 in tumors harvested from H3255 and PC-9 subcutaneous tumor xenografts expressing either shScr or sh*RBM10*. C, *RBM10* mRNA expression levels in TCGA lung adenocarcinoma samples. *RBM10* mutant and WT samples were stratified using whole exome mutation data and the *RBM10* mRNA expression levels were obtained from corresponding RNAseq data. The boxplot with whisker plot shows the minimum, median, maximum, first and third quantile expression levels. The Y-axis shows the normalized gene expression (RPKM; Reads Per Kilobase Million). \dagger : p <0.01. D, RBM10 protein expression in a panel of cell lines. E, Representative IHC analysis of RBM10 expression and H-score in tumors harvested from subcutaneous tumor xenografts of H3255 and PC-9 (*RBM10* WT) (The shScr in A and B are presented as duplicated images here), and H1975 (*RBM10* G840*fs**) and A014 (*RBM10* Q255*) cells. F-G, Whole exome sequencing findings for A014 (*RBM10* Q255*) and H1975 (*RBM10* G840*fs**) indicating the C to T change and frameshift mutation, respectively. Scale bars; 100 µm and magnification at 40X and 200X. Student's t-test was used to calculate P values, respectively. *; p < 0.05.

А







Supplementary Figure 7. Lower *RBM10* expression is associated with shorter PFS and a decreased *Bcl-xS/xL* ratio in *EGFR* mutant NSCLC.

A human EGFR mutant NSCLC, EGFR TKI-treated patient cohort (n=88) was stratified into RBM10 high to low mRNA expression in quartiles. PFS (A: p-value, Wilcoxon test) and the Bcl-xS/xL ratio (B: p-value, ANOVA) across RBM10 high to low expression groups are shown.



Supplementary Figure 8. *RBM10* mutation is associated with suboptimal EGFR TKI response in *EGFR* mutant NSCLC. A, Case #4 was a patient enrolled in a neo-adjuvant osimertinib clinical trial and found to harbor co-occurring *EGFR L858R* and *RBM10 c2167-1 G>T* splice site mutations. Following one month of osimertinib treatment, radiographic measurements indicated no substantial regression of the primary lung tumor or the enlarged hilar lymph node. Following two months of osimertinib treatment, pathologic evaluation of the resected tumor specimen showed 68.3% residual tumor cell viability by H&E staining (200X magnification). Scale bar; 100 μ m. **B**, The position of the *RBM10 c2167-1 G>T* splice site mutation is shown.



В

Case #1	Case #2	Case #3
S167*	Y36*	Q595*
C I T C T T Å A G G T	GACTAACGG	TCCTAG TAT
	40	20













Ε

F



Supplementary Figure 9. Effect of clinically annotated *RBM10* mutations on the Bcl-xS/Bcl-xL ratio and biology of RBM10. A, Engineered *RBM10 Y36**, *S167**, *Q595** and *G840fs** mutations and the known domains of *RBM10* are shown. **B**, RT-PCR analysis of A014 (*RBM10 Q255**) cells transfected with *RBM10* mutations (*Y36**, *S167** and *Q595**) constructs. Mutant sequence is shown, with reference nucleotide in blue. **C**, Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the Bcl-xS-to-Bcl-xL ratio (mRNA levels) in A014 cells with *RBM10* mutations (*Y36**, *S167** and *Q595**) and WT *RBM10*. **D-E**, RT-PCR analysis (**D**) and quantitative RT-PCR (**E**) analysis of the FLAG (mRNA levels) following genetic reconstitution of N-terminal FLAG tagged *RBM10* mutations in RBM10-deficient A014 cells. F, N-terminal FLAG tagged *RBM10* mutations reconstituted in RBM10-deficient A014 cells. The proteomic at 1µM for 24 hours. Cell lysates were harvested, and the FLAG protein expression was determined by western blot analysis. Data represent 3 independent experiments. Bars represent the mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA was used to calculate P values. *: p < 0.05





Supplementary Figure 10. Effect of clinically annotated *RBM10* **mutations on Osimertinib treatment response.** Immunoblot analysis of *RBM10* CRISPR knock out PC-9 (**A**) and H3255 (**B**) cells transfected with constructs overexpressing *RBM10* WT or mutant forms (*Y36*, S167*, Q595**). Cells were treated with osimertinib (500 nM) or DMSO for 48 hours and western blot analysis for the indicated proteins was performed on cellular extracts.



Supplementary Figure 11. Global analysis of alternative mRNA splicing regulated by *RBM10* in HEK293 cells. A, mRNA splicing of 412 genes is differentially regulated by *RBM10* knockdown (si*RBM10* for 72h) in HEK293 cells. Functional annotation of these differentially spliced genes was carried out using multiple databases (KEGG, BBID and BIOCARTA). The data are represented as a bar diagram indicating probability of fold pathway enrichment. Adjusted p value cutoff for significant gene sets was set to 0.05. Hypergeometric test was used for pathway enrichment analysis within the algorithm (DAVID 6.8). **B**, A trend towards a decrease in the Bcl-xS-to-Bcl-xL ratio, derived from RNA deep sequencing reads, in HEK293 cells with *RBM10* knockdown (si*RBM10*) compared to control (siCTRL) was observed (p = 0.06: Student's t-test).



PC-9

Supplementary Figure 12. Bcl-xS/xL ratio change by *RBM10* knockdown in PC-9 cells. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the *Bcl-xS*-to-*Bcl-xL* ratio (mRNA levels) in PC-9 cells expressing sh*RBM10* or shScr control (p<0.05). Bars represent the mean \pm SEM. Student's t-test was used to calculate P values.



Supplementary Figure 13. Effect of *RBM10* **mutation on the** *Bcl-xS/xL* **ratio.** The *Bcl-xS/xL* ratio was compared in 5 *RBM10* wild type tumors and 3 mutant tumors from *EGFR* mutant cases (p=0.0045). Student's t-test was used to calculate P values, respectively.



0

Parent

RBM10 wt

RBM10 G840fs* WT G840fs*

+

Supplementary Figure 14. Phenotype of the RBM10-deficient H1975 cell line. A, Conventional PCR analysis using validated primers to detect both *Bcl-xL* and *Bcl-xS* isoforms in H1975 cells with genetic rescue of *RBM10* or *Bcl-xS* compared to parental control is shown. **B**, Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the Bcl-xS-to-Bcl-xL ratio (mRNA levels) in H1975 cells with genetic rescue of *RBM10* or *Bcl-xS* compared to parental control cells. **C**, **D**, **E**, H1975 cells (RBM10-deficient) overexpressing *Bcl-xS* or reconstituted with *RBM10* 24 hours before treatment with osimertinib (100 nM) or DMSO control. The activity of Caspase-3/7 was measured using Caspase-Glo 3/7 assay. Each bar represents the mean \pm SEM of the fold change after normalization to DMSO control (**C**). Cell lysates were harvested, and the indicated proteins were determined by western blot analysis (**D**, **E**). **F**, Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the Bcl-xS-to-Bcl-xL ratio (mRNA levels) in A014 (*RBM10 Q255**) cells expressing *RBM10* WT or the *RBM10* mutation native to H1975 (*G840fs**⁷). **G**, Immunoblot analysis of A014 cells transfected with expression constructs containing *RBM10* WT or the H1975 *RBM10* variant (*G840fs**⁷). Cells were treated with osimertinib (500 nM) or DMSO for 48 hours and western blot analysis was performed on cellular extracts. Data represent 3 independent experiments. O.E.: Overexpression. BP; Base Pairs. One-way ANOVA was used to calculate P values, respectively. *; p < 0.05.





A014 EGFR L858R

*: P<0.05



А

С

Supplementary Figure 15. Change of mitochondrial membrane potential in response to RBM10 differential expression. A-D, Cells were stably expressed with SypHer-dmito construct. Cells were treated with uncoupling reagent carbonyl cyanide 4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP) as a positive control. SiScramble or si*RBM10* conditions are shown for PC-9 (A) and H3255 (B) cell lines. RBM10 or Bcl-xS expression constructs for H1975 (C) and A014 (D) cell lines were transfected 48 hours before image acquisition. SypHer-dmito fluorescence (F470/F430) ratio was calculated for each cell and analyzed with ImageJ software. Each bar represents the mean \pm SEM. One-way ANOVA was used to calculate P values, respectively. *: p < 0.05 compared to control of each cell.



P

-9	Ve	Vehcle		Navitoclax		Osimertinib		Osi + Nav	
	sgCont	sgRBM10	sgCont	sgRBM10	sgCont	sgRBM10	sgCont	sgRBM10	
CR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PR	0	0	0	0	8	0	10	10	
SD	0	0	0	0	2	9	0	0	
PD	10	10	9	10	0	1	0	0	
RR (%)	0	0	0	0	80	0	100	100	
					-		N.S.		
								P<0.000	



H3255	Ve	Vehcle		Navitoclax		Osimertinib		Osi + Nav	
	sgCont	sgRBM10	sgCont	sgRBM10	sgCont	sgRBM10	sgCont	sgRBM10	
CR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PR	0	0	0	0	10	1	10	10	
SD	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	
PD	10	10	9	8	0	0	0	0	
RR (%)	0	0	0	0	100	10	100	100	
							N.S.	т	
							11.0.	p=0.00	



Supplementary Figure 16. Combined Navitoclax effects with Osimertinib in RBM10-depleted *EGFR* mutant cell lines and tumors. A-B, Western blot analysis of Navitoclax (ABT-263) 500 nM, in combination with osimertinib 500 nM treatment in H3255 (*EGFR^{L858R}; RBM10*^{WT}), PC-9 (*EGFR^{del19}; RBM10*^{WT}) models with sgControl (sgCont) or sg*RBM10*. C-D, Waterfall plots representing immunodeficient mice bearing H3255 (C) or PC-9 (D) tumor xenografts expressing either sgCont or sg*RBM10*; mice were treated with vehicle, navitoclax (50mg/kg), 2 mg/kg (H3255) or 5mg/kg (PC-9) osimertinib, or combination (navitoclax and osimertinib) therapy for 14 days (n=10 tumors each arm). Percent changes in tumor volume compared to baseline volume (Day 0) for individual tumor xenografts are shown. **E-F**, Objective tumor responses were graded using RECIST response criteria. **G-H**, H3255 (**G**) and PC-9 (**H**) tumor xenograft explants demonstrating the effect of *RBM10* knockout on PARP cleavage in mice treated with indicated treatment for 4 days. Three tumors per group were harvested 4 hours after indicated treatments on day5, and subsequent analyses of the indicated proteins was performed by western blot. CR: Complete response, PR: Partial response, SD: Stable disease, PD: Progression disease, NE: Not evaluable, RR: Response rate. Fisher's exact test was used to calculate P values, respectively.



Supplementary Figure 17. EGFR TKI resistance mechanism in RBM10-depleted *EGFR* **mutant cells.** The *EGFR T790M* mutation sequences in the Erlotinib resistant PC9sgRBM10 and H3255sgRBM10 cells after 2 months of treatment in vitro are shown. The ratio of Methionine to Threonine are shown below the peaks.

	RBM10 low	RBM10 inter-low	RBM10 inter-high	RBM10 high	p value
Age (Mean ± SD)	64.1 ± 12.3	63.0 ± 12.2	59.3 ± 13.4	62.3 ± 8.9	N.S.
Gender (Male / Female)	4 / 18	6 / 16	7 / 15	3 / 19	N.S.
EGFR-TKI (Gefitinib / Erlotinib)	11 / 11	10 / 12	12 / 10	9 / 13	N.S.
EGFR mutation (Del19 / L858R)	13 / 6	12 / 7	11 / 11	14 / 8	N.S.
Brain metastasis (+ / -)	4 / 18	9 / 13	7 / 15	9 / 13	N.S.

Supplementary Table 1. Clinical parameters of a human EGFR TKI-treated patient cohort (n=88). Available clinical parameters were examined, and no significant differences were found between the groups stratified by clinical parameters in Supplementary Figure 7 (Kruskal-Wallis test). N.S.: not significant.

	<i>RBM10</i> wild type	<i>RBM10</i> mutant	p value
Age (Mean ± SD)	66.2 ± 12.1	66.2 ± 12.1	N.S.
Gender (Male / Female)	23 / 34	5 / 8	N.S.
EGFR-TKI (1 st generation / 2 nd 3 rd generation)	38 / 19	9 / 4	N.S.
EGFR mutation (Del19 / L858R)	30 / 27	4 / 9	N.S. (p=0.13)
TP53 mutation (+ / -)	22 / 35	6 / 7	N.S.
RB1 or CDK4/6 or WNT alt (+ / -)	46 / 11	12 / 1	N.S. (p=0.44)

Supplementary Table 2. Clinical and co-mutation parameters of a human EGFR TKI-treated patient cohort with WES (n=70). Available comutation and clinical data were examined, and no significant differences were found between the groups (Mann-Whitney test). N.S.: not significant. Supplementary Table 3. Differentially spliced exons upon *RBM10* knockdown. RefSeq exons with significant Percentage Splicing In (PSI) changes (FDR $\leq 5\%$, $\Delta PSI \geq 0.1$) after *RBM10* KD in HEK-293 cells (36). Significant Z-values are shown in bold. PSI values in HEK are median between replicates.

Supplementary Table 4. Analysis of RBM10-mediated differential alternative mRNA isoforms in PC-9 lung cancer cells. One hundred eightytwo mRNA isoforms of 171 genes are differentially regulated by *RBM10* knockdown (sh*RBM10*) in *EGFR* mutant PC-9 lung cancer cells. The differential mRNA isoform expression criteria were set as $|\log_2FC| > 1$ and FDR < 0.05.