

---

**Supplementary information**

---

**An amygdala circuit that suppresses social engagement**

---

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

**Figure 1b: Percent mice mounting to PBS or LPS-female: Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)**

$X^2=5.238$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.0221$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
PBS-female	90.9	11
LPS-female	45.5	11

**Figure 1c: Mounting time to PBS- or LPS- female: Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)**

$t=4.826$ ,  $df=20$

$p=0.0001$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-female	41.61	7.927	11
LPS-female	2.866	1.279	11

**Figure 1d: Number of mounts to PBS- or LPS-female: Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)**

$t=5.745$ ,  $df=20$

$p<0.0001$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-female	10	1.495	11
LPS-female	1.0	0.4671	11

**Figure 1e: Latency to mount to PBS- or LPS-female: Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)**

$t=3.535$ ,  $df=20$

$p=0.0021$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-female	254.0	39.31	11
LPS-female	475.4	48.76	11

**Figure 1g: FOS fold change in AOBmi, AOBgr, BST, MEApd, MEApv, and COApm after exposure to PBS or LPS-female: Two-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Treatment (PBS or LPS)	F (1, 84) = 73.15	P < 0.0001
Brain Region (AOBmi, AOBgr, BST, MEApd, MEApv, COApm)	F (5, 84) = 20.69	P < 0.0001
Interaction	F (5, 84) = 20.69	P < 0.0001

Post-hoc (Sidak's):

PBS vs. LPS	P Value
AOBmi	>0.9999
AOBgr	0.0111
BST	0.9580
MEApd	0.9898
MEApv	0.0019
COApm	<0.0001

Descriptive Statistics:

	AOBmi			AOBgr			BST		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
PBS	1	0.170	8	1	0,162	8	1	0.107	8
LPS	1.089	0.159	8	2.231	0.373	8	1.317	0/119	8
	MEApd			MEApv			COApm		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
PBS	1	0.193	8	1	0.165	8	1	0.193	8
LPS	1.239	0.206	8	2.439	0.369	8	5.706	0.596	8

**Figure 11: Mean z-score of COApm bulk fluorescence signal during investigation of PBS or LPS-female:**

Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.736$ ,  $df=5$

$p=0.0135$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-female	2.606	0.307	6
LPS-female	5.984	1.058	6

**Figure 2b: Percent male mounting during COApm photoactivation: Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)**

$X^2=6.112$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.0134$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
EYFP	88.9	9

ChR2	28.6	7
------	------	---

**Figure 2c: Mounting Time to healthy female during COApm photoactivation:** Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (EYFP vs. ChR2)	F(1,14)=4.976	0.0426
Light (On vs. Off)	F(1,14)=4.683	0.0482
Interaction	F(1,14)=9.578	0.0079

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

EYFP vs. ChR2	P Value
Light On	0.0028
Light Off	>0.9999

Descriptive Statistics:

	Light On			Light Off		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
EYFP	52.862	11.764	9	45.498	9.516	9
ChR2	0.834	0.647	7	42.446	13.097	7

**Figure 2d: Number of mounts to healthy female during COApm photoactivation:** Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (EYFP vs. ChR2)	F(1,14) = 3.498	0.0825
Light (On vs. Off)	F(1,14) = 10.60	0.0057
Interaction	F(1,14) = 5.999	0.0281

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

EYFP vs. ChR2	P Value
Light On	0.0113
Light Off	>0.9999

Descriptive Statistics:

	Light On			Light Off		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n

EYFP	12.444	2.298	9	14.444	3.010	9
ChR2	0.714	0.565	7	14.857	3.906	7

**Figure 2e: Latency to mount to healthy female during COApm photoactivation:** Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (EYFP vs. ChR2)	F(1,14) = 6.636	0.0220
Light (On vs. Off)	F(1,14) = 17.32	0.0010
Interaction	F(1,14) = 12.40	0.0034

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

EYFP vs. ChR2	P Value
Light On	0.0005
Light Off	>0.9999

Descriptive Statistics:

	Light On			Light Off		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
EYFP	293.396	52.964	9	265.178	64.458	9
ChR2	596.026	2.738	7	257.600	42.0101	7

**Figure 2f. Feeding during COApm photoactivation-Amount:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.05443$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.9574$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	0.3975	0.04003	8
ChR2	0.3950	0.02252	8

**Figure 2f: Feeding during COApm photoactivation-Time spent:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.9208$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.3728$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	6.188	0.3140	8

ChR2	5.742	0.3688	8
------	-------	--------	---

**Figure 2g: Sociability during COApm photoactivation-Social preference (%):** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.2554$ ,  $df=13$

$p=0.8024$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	72.95	3.891	9
ChR2	71.46	4.113	6

**Figure 2g: Sociability during COApm photoactivation-Total investigation (min):** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=1.088$ ,  $df=13$

$p=0.2962$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	7.028	0.1994	9
ChR2	6.461	0.5735	6

**Figure 2h: RTPP during COApm photoactivation-Stim.preference (%):** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=1.749$ ,  $df=15$

$p=0.1007$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	48.42	2.126	9
ChR2	55.05	3.241	8

**Figure 2j: Percent male mounting to LPS-female during COApm hM4Di inhibition:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=1.418$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.2337$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
mCherry	60	15
hM4Di	81.8	11

**Figure 2k: Mounting time to LPS-female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.158$ ,  $df=24$

$p=0.0046$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	9.142	2.491	15
hM4Di	27.11	5.743	11

**Figure 2l: Number of mounts to LPS-female during COApm DREADD Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.105$ ,  $df=24$

$p=0.0048$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	3.933	1.209	15
hM4Di	11.45	2.310	11

**Figure 2m: Latency to mount to LPS-female during COApm DREADD Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=1.934$ ,  $df=24$

$p=0.0650$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	420.9	45.44	15
hM4Di	276.8	61.26	11

**Figure 3d: Max amplitude of bulk fluorescence signal in MEA *Vglut2(+)/Vgat(+)* neurons evoked by photoactivation of COApm with 400 pulses of light:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.291$ ,  $df=6$

$p=0.0166$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
<i>Vglut2</i> -Cre	0.4981	0.1061	5
<i>Vgat</i> -Cre	0.03228	0.004260	3

**Figure 3e: Number of light pulses for COApm photoactivation to elicit max bulk fluorescence signal in MEA *Vglut2(+)/Vgat(+)* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=4.056$ ,  $df=6$

$p=0.0067$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
--	------	-----	---

<i>Vglut2</i> -Cre	10.18	1.553	5
<i>Vgat</i> -Cre	1.780	0.1060	3

**Figure 3f: Mounting time to healthy female during photoactivation of COApm-MEA projection:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=5.533$ ,  $df=18$

$p<0.0001$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	41.74	5.358	10
ChR2	5.824	3.663	10

**Figure 3g: Mounting time to healthy female during photoactivation of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=4.214$ ,  $df=13$

$p=0.0010$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	60.86	12.54	7
ChR2	7.420	4.635	8

**Figure 3h: Mounting time to LPS-female with DREADD inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.1952$ ,  $df=18$

$p=0.0050$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	7.471	4.004	9
hM4Di	32.31	6.200	11

**Figure 3i: Mounting time to healthy female during concurrent photoactivation of COApm-MEA projections and hM4Di-inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.205$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.0064$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	10.86	3.968	8
hM4Di	48.13	10.93	8



**Figure 4e: Percent male mounting to LPS-female during COApm hM4Di inhibition: Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)**

$\chi^2=8.306$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.0046$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
EYFP	100	13
Chr2	50	10

**Figure 4f: Mounting time to healthy female during photoactivation COApm-TRH neurons: Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (EYFP vs. Chr2)	F(1,21) = 5.202	0.0331
Light (On vs. Off)	F(1,21) = 11.37	0.0029
Interaction	F(1,21) = 24.63	<0.0001

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

EYFP vs. Chr2	P Value
Light On	0.0004
Light Off	>0.9999

Descriptive Statistics:

	Light On			Light Off		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
EYFP	57.012	9.085	13	49.846	6.510	13
Chr2	11.476	6.633	10	49.000	8.101	10

**Figure 4g: Number of mounts to healthy female during photoactivation of COApm-TRH neurons: Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (EYFP vs. Chr2)	F(1,21) =4.515	0.0456
Light (On vs. Off)	F(1,21) = 10.78	0.0035
Interaction	F(1,21) = 12.87	0.0017

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

EYFP vs. Chr2	P Value
---------------	---------

Light On	0.0042
Light Off	0.9886

Descriptive Statistics:

	Light On			Light Off		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
EYFP	15.308	2.863	13	14.923	2.605	13
ChR2	3.800	1.837	10	12.500	1.797	10

**Figure 4h: Latency to mount to healthy female during photoactivation of COApm-TRH neurons:** Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (EYFP vs. ChR2)	F(1,21) =4.633	0.0431
Light (On vs. Off)	F(1,21) = 15.32	0.0008
Interaction	F(1,21) = 24.90	<0.0001

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

EYFP vs. ChR2	P Value
Light On	0.0001
Light Off	0.8440

Descriptive Statistics:

	Light On			Light Off		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
EYFP	181.071	19.675	13	213	33.939	13
ChR2	431.896	66.741	10	167.448	34.661	10

**Figure 4j: Percent Co-expression of *Trhr* with *Vglut2/Vgat* in MEA:** Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=7.663$ ,  $df=9$

$p<0.0001$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Vglut2/Trhr	58.58	3.614	10
Vgat/Trhr	28.28	1.921	10

**Figure 4l: Percent male mounting to healthy female following microinjection of TRH analog taltirelin into MEA:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=10.15$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.0014$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
PBS	92.85	14
Taltirelin	40	15

**Figure 4m: Mounting time to healthy female following microinjection of TRH analog taltirelin into MEA:**

Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=4.987$ ,  $df=27$

$p<0.0001$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	24.75	4.574	14
Taltirelin	2.227	0.9290	15

**Figure 4n: Number of mounts to healthy female following microinjection of TRH analog taltirelin into MEA:**

Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.900$ ,  $df=24$

$p=0.0006$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	10.21	2.307	14
Taltirelin	1.200	0.6032	15

**Figure 4o: Latency to mount to healthy female following microinjection of TRH analog taltirelin into MEA:**

Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.696$ ,  $df=27$

$p=0.0010$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	284.5	39.55	14
Taltirelin	501.4	43.05	15

**Figure 4q: Percent male mounting in Trhr conditional KO mice:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=10.06$ ,  $df=2$

$p=0.0065$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
--	---------	---

WT:Cre	27.3	11
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP	50	8
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	91.7	12

**Figure 4r: Mounting time in Trhr conditional KO mice: One-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group	F (2,28) = 3.686	0.0379

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

Comparison	Significant
WT:Cre vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP	No
WT:Cre vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	P < 0.01
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	P < 0.05

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
WT:Cre	5.280	2.733	11
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP	8.380	3.729	8
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	43.22	11.45	12

**Figure 4s: Number of mounts in Trhr conditional KO mice: One-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group	F (2,28) = 0.9628	0.3941

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

Comparison	Significant
WT:Cre vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP	No
WT:Cre vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	P < 0.01
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	P < 0.05

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
WT:Cre	2	1.070	11

Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP	2.750	1.373	8
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	8	1.409	12

**Figure 4t: Latency to mount in Trhr conditional KO mice: One-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group	F (2,28) = 1.320	0.2832

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

Comparison	Significant
WT:Cre vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP	No
WT:Cre vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	P < 0.001
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP vs. Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	P < 0.05

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
WT:Cre	531.0	37.33	11
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :GFP	447.9	62.38	8
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> :Cre	267.6	41.36	12

**Extended Data Figure 1b: Mounting time to PBS/LPS female after presentation with PBS/LPS female pair:**

Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=11.58, df=8

p<0.0001

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	43.09	3.544	9
LPS	2.612	0.8804	9

**Extended Data Figure 1c: Mounting time to untreated healthy female: Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)**

t=0.1176, df=7

p<0.9097

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Female1	22.95	3.058	8

Female2	22.56	2.341	8
---------	-------	-------	---

**Extended Data Figure 1d: Investigation time of PBS- and LPS-females in 3ch assay:** Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.994$ ,  $df=10$

$p=0.0025$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Sham	0.2000	0.2000	5
VNOX	13.45	2.760	7

**Extended Data Figure 1f: Duration of other typical male behaviors during direct interaction with a PBS- or LPS-female:** Two-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (PBS or LPS)	F (1, 60) = 4.004	P = 0.0499
Male behavior (Facial investigation, Anogenital investigation, Social grooming)	F (2, 60) = 41.36	P < 0.0001
Interaction	F (2, 60) = 11.86	P < 0.0001

Post-hoc (Sidak's):

PBS vs. LPS	P Value
Facial investigation	ns
Anogenital investigation	P < 0.0001
Social grooming	ns

Descriptive Statistics:

	Facial investigation			Anogenital investigation			Social grooming		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	48.09	5.578	11	149.0	22.6636	11	9.42	2.287	11
LPS	63.83	6.85	11	71.93	7.9402	11	18.62	4.276	11

**Extended Data Figure 1g: Percentage of individual female behaviors during males' mounting attempts:** Two-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
---------------------	--------------	---------

Group (PBS or LPS)	F (1, 52) = 1.099e-014	P > 0.9999
Female responses (Stand/lordosis, Run, Rear, Sit)	F (3, 52) = 5.844	P =0.0016
Interaction	F (3, 52) = 6.461	P =0.0008

Post-hoc (Sidak's):

PBS vs. LPS	P Value
Stand/Lordosis	Ns
Run	Ns
Rear	Ns
Sit	P < 0.01

Descriptive Statistics:

	Stan/Lordosis			Run			Rear			Sit		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	N	Mean	SEM	N	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	14.83	9.5407	11	56.78	9.6639	11	15.02	4.7006	11	13.38	5.4607	11
LPS	3.750	2.5282	11	24.25	8.1429	11	7.5	5.0564	11	64.50	12.3444	11

**Extended Data Figure 1h: Number of crossings** :Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=4.821, df=20

p=0.0001

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	44.82	5.331	11
LPS	17.73	1.779	11

**Extended Data Figure 2a: Mounting time of VNO-removed or sham-surgery males to LPS-female:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=3.994, df=10

p=0.0025

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
--	------	-----	---

Sham	0.2000	0.2000	5
VNOX	13.45	2.760	7

**Extended Data Figure 2d: Number of tdTomato(+) neurons in BST, MEA, and COApm after Cre injection Ai14 reporter mice: One-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Brain Region (BST, MEA, COApm)	F (2,9) = 46.40	0.0001

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

Comparison	p Value
BST vs. MEA	0.0031
BST vs. COApm	<0.0001
MEA vs. COApm	0.0027

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
BST	46.25	14.72	4
MEA	540.3	52.75	4
COApm	1045	114.5	4

**Extended Data Figure 2h: Number of FOS (+) cells in AOBmi, AOBgr, BST, MEApd, MEApv, and COApm after exposure to PBS or LPS-female: Two-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Treatment (PBS or LPS)	F (1, 84) = 3.599	P < 0.0001
Brain Region (AOBmi, AOBgr, BST, MEApd, MEApv, COApm)	F (5, 84) = 51.44	P < 0.0054
Interaction	F (5, 84) = 6.670	P < 0.0001

Post-hoc (Sidak's):

PBS vs. LPS	P Value
AOBmi	0.9992
AOBgr	0.0008
BST	0.5652
MEApd	0.9900
MEApv	0.0014



COApm	<0.0001
-------	---------

Descriptive Statistics:

	AOBmi			AOBgr			BST		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
PBS	32.8229	5.5653	8	24.3021	3.9374	8	36.0938	3.8553	8
LPS	35.75	6.0112	8	54.2188	4.03	8	47.53	3.93	8
	MEApd			MEApv			COApm		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n
PBS	19.4	3.76	8	19.927	3.284	8	11.406	2.204	8
LPS	24.093	4.029	48.593	48.594	3.53	8	65.08	1.2	8

**Extended Data Figure 3e: Mean z-score of the fluorescence during direct investigation of the estrus or diestrus female: Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)**

$t=1.197$ ,  $df=4$

$p=0.2975$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Estrus	3.307	0.2163	5
Diestrus	2.965	0.4058	5

**Extended Data Figure 3f: Percent mice mounting to Estrus or Diestrus female: Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)**

$X^2=1.091$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.2963$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
Estrus	100	6
Diestrus	83.3	6

**Extended Data Figure 3g: Mounting time to Estrus or Diestrus female: Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)**

$t=0.6582$ ,  $df=10$

$p=0.5252$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Estrus	43.02	11.22	6
Diestrus	33.98	7.913	6

**Extended Data Figure 3h: Number of mounts to Estrus or Diestrus female:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.5392$ ,  $df=10$

$p<0.6016$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Estrus	9.667	1.926	6
Diestrus	11.50	2.802	6

**Extended Data Figure 3i: Latency to mount to Estrus or Diestrus female:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.2871$ ,  $df=10$

$p=0.7799$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Estrus	226.7	32.72	6
Diestrus	249.8	73.44	6

**Extended Data Figure 3j: Percent mating plug at 24h of Estrus or Diestrus females:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=5.333$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.0209$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
Estrus	83.3	6
Diestrus	16.7	6

**Extended Data Figure 4c: Number of FOS (+) cells in COApm of males after exposure to PBS- or LPS-odor:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=5.979$ ,  $df=12$

$p<0.0001$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-odor	22.38	2.426	6
LPS-odor	43.61	2.473	8

**Extended Data Figure 4c: Mean z-score of the fluorescence in COApm evoked by the PBS- or LPS-odor investigation:** Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=4.424$ ,  $df=5$

$p=0.0069$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-odor	4.295	0.8788	6
LPS-odor	7.498	1.161	6

**Extend Data Figure 4g: Percent mice mounting to PBS- or LPS-odor applied female:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$$X^2=5.838, df=1$$

$$p=0.0157$$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
PBS-odor	80	10
LPS-odor	27.27	11

**Extend Data Figure 4h: Mounting time to PBS- or LPS-odor applied female:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$$t=2.371, df=19$$

$$p=0.0285$$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-odor	29.18	10.89	10
LPS-odor	3.949	2.323	11

**Extend Data Figure 4i: Number of mounts to PBS- or LPS-odor applied female:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$$t=2.828, df=19$$

$$p<0.0108$$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-odor	8.1	2.297	10
LPS-odor	1.455	0.8242	11

**Extend Data Figure 4j: Latency to mount to PBS- or LPS-odor applied female:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$$t=3.222, df=19$$

$$p=0.0045$$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS-odor	298.8	63.83	10
LPS-odor	531.6	37.31	11

**Extended Data Figure 4k: Duration of other typical male behaviors during direct interaction with a PBS- or LPS-odor applied female: Two-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (PBS or LPS)	F (1, 57) = 4.172	P = 0.0457
Male behavior (Facial investigation, Anogenital investigation, Social grooming)	F (2, 57) = 94.47	P < 0.0001
Interaction	F (2, 57) = 3.909	P = 0.0256

Post-hoc (Sidak's):

PBS vs. LPS	P Value
Facial investigation	P = 0.9661
Anogenital investigation	P = 0.0038
Social grooming	P = 0.9219

Descriptive Statistics:

	Facial investigation			Anogenital investigation			Social grooming		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	46.5	7.935	10	131.0	8.825	10	7.108	3.755	10
LPS	51.19	6.066	11	93.09	13.232	11	0.7673	0.444	11

**Extended Data Figure 4l: Percentage of individual female behaviors during males' mounting attempts: Two-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval)**

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (PBS or LPS)	F (1, 36) = 1.137e-014	P > 0.9999
Female responses (Stand/lordosis, Run, Rear, Sit)	F (3, 36) = 10.12	P < 0.0001
Interaction	F (3, 36) = 0.2828	P = 0.8374

Post-hoc (Sidak's):

PBS vs. LPS	P Value
Stand/Lordosis	0.9751

Run	0.9271
Rear	>0.9999
Sit	0.9986

Descriptive Statistics:

	Stan/Lordosis			Run			Rear			Sit		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	N	Mean	SEM	N	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	16.241	10.64	8	59.745	13.792	8	10.01	6.03	8	14.0	7.22	8
LPS	7.246	7.246	3	71.956	5.728	3	11.014	5.862	3	9.78	7.71	3

**Extended Data Figure 4m: Number of cage crossings:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.01627$ ,  $df=19$

$p=0.9872$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	46	4.650	10
LPS	45.91	3.251	11

**Extended Data Figure 5b: Investigation time to healthy female during COApm photoactivation:** Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Group (EYFP vs. Chr2)	F(1,14)=0.6806	0.4232
Light (On vs. Off)	F(1,14)=0.5351	0.4766
Interaction	F(1,14)=0.3492	0.5640

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

EYFP vs. Chr2	P Value
ON	0.9988
OFF	0.5807

Descriptive Statistics:

	Light On			Light Off		
	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	n

EYFP	223.942	18.561	9	194.796	14.653	9
ChR2	222.771	24.212	7	219.674	16.500	7

**Extended Data Figure 5c: Grooming time during COApm photoactivation:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=2.175$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.0473$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	8.543	1.910	9
ChR2	16.68	3.48	7

**Extended Data Figure 5d: Percentage of photoactivation trials with self-grooming:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=1.219$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.2429$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	16.91	3.330	9
ChR2	22.41	2.773	7

**Extended Data Figure 5f: Investigation time to LPS-female during COApm DREADD Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.8427$ ,  $df=24$

$p=0.4077$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	203.6	15.28	15
hM4Di	226.8	24.48	11

**Extended Data Figure 5h: Percent male mounting to LPS-female during COApm hM4Di inhibition:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=3.616$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.0572$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
Saline	44.4	8
CNO	85.7	7

**Extended Data Figure 5i: Mounting time to LPS female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=3.044, df=13

p=0.0094

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Saline	1.010	0.5569	8
CNO	20.19	6.745	7

**Extended Data Figure 5j: Number of mounts to LPS female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=2.723, df=13

p=0.0174

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Saline	0.7500	0.4119	8
CNO	6.143	2.075	7

**Extended Data Figure 5k: Latency to mount to LPS female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=1.821, df=13

p=0.0917

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Saline	511.4	45.45	8
CNO	361.5	71.24	7

**Extended Data Figure 5m: Percent male mounting to LPS-female during COApm hM4Di inhibition:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=N/A$ , df=NA

p=NA

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
mCherry	100	8
hM4Di	100	8

**Extended Data Figure 5n: Mounting time to healthy female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=0.7289, df=14

p=0.4781

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
--	------	-----	---

mCherry	41.66	8.492	8
hM4Di	50.96	9.523	8

**Extended Data Figure 5o: Number of mounts to healthy female during COApm DREADD Inhibition:**

Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.08068$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.9368$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	12.38	2.375	8
hM4Di	12.63	1.990	8

**Extended Data Figure 5p: Latency to mount to healthy female during COApm DREADD Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.9176$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.3743$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	166.6	26.84	8
hM4Di	201.3	26.65	8

**Extended Data Figure 5r: Percent male mounting to diestrus female during COApm hM4Di inhibition:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$\chi^2=NA$ ,  $df=NA$

$p=NA$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
Saline	100	6
CNO	100	6

**Extended Data Figure 5s: Mounting time to diestrus female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.1304$ ,  $df=10$

$p=0.8988$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Saline	32.88	5.485	6
CNO	33.91	5.719	6

**Extended Data Figure 5t: Number of mounts to diestrus female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.7984$ ,  $df=10$



p=0.4432

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Saline	10.17	1.990	6
CNO	8.333	1.1145	6

**Extended Data Figure 5u: Latency to mount to diestrus female during COApm hM4Di Inhibition:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=0.3211, df=10

p=0.7548

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Saline	248.8	27.02	6
CNO	263.9	38.62	6

**Extended Data Figure 6i: Mean z-score of the MEA fluorescence during direct investigation of the PBS- or LPS-female:** Paired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=4.512, df=2

p=0.0458

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	2.044	0.9928	3
LPS	6.247	1.321	3

**Extended Data Figure 7a: Percent male mounting to healthy female during photoactivation of COApm-MEA projection:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=8.571$ , df=1

p=0.0034

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
EYFP	100	10
ChR2	40	10

**Extended Data Figure 7b: Percent male mounting to healthy female during photoactivation of MEA-Vglut2 neurons:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=4.773$ , df=1

p=0.0289

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
EYFP	100	7
ChR2	50	8

**Extended Data Figure 7c: Percent male mounting to LPS-female with DREADD inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=12.18$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.0005$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
mCherry	44.4	9
hM4Di	100	11

**Extended Data Figure 7d: Percent male mounting to healthy female during concurrent photoactivation of COApm-MEA projections and hM4Di-inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=2.286$ ,  $df=1$

$p=0.1306$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Percent	N
mCherry	75	8
hM4Di	100	8

**Extended Data Figure 7e: Number of mounts to healthy female during photoactivation of COApm-MEA projection:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=5.686$ ,  $df=18$

$p<0.0001$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	14.60	1.408	10
ChR2	2.600	1.572	10

**Extended Data Figure 7f: Number of mounts to healthy female during photoactivation of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.427$ ,  $df=13$

$p=0.0045$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	14	2.862	7

ChR2	3	1.669	8
------	---	-------	---

**Extended Data Figure 7g: Number of mounts to LPS-female with DREADD inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=2.250$ ,  $df=18$

$p=0.0372$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	3.333	1.818	9
hM4Di	8.818	1.628	11

**Extended Data Figure 7h: Number of mounts to healthy female during concurrent photoactivation of COApm-MEA projections and hM4Di-inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=2.167$ ,  $df=14$

$p=0.0479$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	5.750	1.906	8
hM4Di	13.75	3.161	8

**Extended Data Figure 7i: Latency to mounts to healthy female during photoactivation of COApm-MEA projection:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=4.596$ ,  $df=18$

$p=0.0002$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	201.7	38.34	10
ChR2	507.6	53.68	10

**Extended Data Figure 7j: Latency to mounts to healthy female during photoactivation of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.669$ ,  $df=13$

$p=0.0028$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
EYFP	167.3	32.08	7
ChR2	454.4	67.35	8

**Extended Data Figure 7k: Latency to mounts to LPS-female with DREADD inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=3.692$ ,  $df=18$

p=0.0017

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	446.2	68.71	9
hM4Di	155.8	43.85	11

**Extended Data Figure 7l: Latency to mounts to healthy female during concurrent photoactivation of COApm-MEA projections and hM4Di-inhibition of MEA-*Vglut2* neurons:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=1.854, df=14

p=0.0849

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
mCherry	370.3	73.61	8
hM4Di	204.4	50.89	8

**Extended Data Figure 9c: Number of FOS(+) cells in COApm-TRH (-) cells photoactivation:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=5.533, df=4

p=0.0052

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Control	10.67	1.764	3
ChR2	67.33	10.09	3

**Extended Data Figure 9d: Percent male mounting to healthy female with photoactivation of COApm-TRH (-) cells:** Chi-Squared Test of Independence (95% confidence interval)

$X^2=NA$ , df=NA

p=NA

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	N
Control	100	5
ChR2	100	5

**Extended Data Figure 9e: Mounting time to healthy female with photoactivation of COApm-TRH(-) cells:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=1.329, df=8

p=0.2204

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
--	------	-----	---

Control	35.58	5.929	5
ChR2	61.72	17.96	5

**Extended Data Figure 9f: Number of mounts to healthy female with photoactivation of COApm-TRH(-) cells:**

Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.08528$ ,  $df=8$

$p=0.9341$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Control	10.60	1.288	5
ChR2	10.80	1.960	5

**Extended Data Figure 9g: Latency to mount to healthy female with photoactivation of COApm-TRH(-) cells:**

Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=0.9694$ ,  $df=8$

$p=0.3608$

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Control	174.1	44.41	5
ChR2	241	52.82	5

**Extended Data Figure 9l: ACU of the average fluorescence signal in MEA-Vglut2 neurons calcium imaging:**

Friedman test (95% confidence interval)

Source of variation	Friedman statistic	P value
Time	23.24	0.0003

Post-hoc (Bonferroni's):

Comparison	Significant
Baseline vs. 0-30s	$P > 0.9999$
Baseline vs. 0-60s	$P = 0.2243$
Baseline vs. 0-90s	$P = 0.0101$
Baseline vs. 0-120s	$P = 0.0019$
Baseline vs. 0-150s	$P = 0.0101$

**Extended Data Figure 9m: Investigation time following microinjection of taltirelin into MEA: Unpaired two-**

tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

$t=4.171$ ,  $df=27$

p=0.0003

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
PBS	262.8	17.13	14
Taltirelin	150.8	20.43	15

**Extended Data Figure 10c: Percent of Trhr (+) cells following Cre expression in MEA:** Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=18.59, df=4

p<0.0001

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup>	84.07	3.225	3
Trhr <sup>fl/fl</sup> with Cre	14.76	1.873	3

**Extended Data Figure 10g: Amplitudes of MEApv responses evoked by photoactivation of COApm inputs:**

Unpaired two-tailed t-test (95% confidence interval)

t=4.663, df=10

p=0.0009

Descriptive Statistics:

	Mean	SEM	N
GFP	3.535	0.3301	6
Cre	1.570	0.2619	6