Supplementary Appendix

Supplement to: Boucau J, Marino C, Regan J, et al. Duration of shedding of culturable virus in SARS-CoV-2 omicron (BA.1) infection. N Engl J Med. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2202092

This appendix has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about the work.

Table of Contents

1 2	Table of Contents	
3 4 5	Supplementary Methods	2-6
6 7	Acknowledgements	6
8 9	Author Contributions	6-7
10 11	Supplementary Figure S1	8
12 13	Supplementary Figure S2	9
14 15	Supplementary Figure S3	10
16 17	Supplementary Figure S4	11
18 19	Supplementary Table S1	12
20 21	Supplementary Table S2	13
22 22 23	Supplementary Table S3	14
23 24 25	Supplementary Table S4	15
26 27	Supplementary Table S5	16
28 29	Supplementary References	17

30 Methods

31 Study Participants

32 Non-hospitalized individuals with positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests in the Mass General Brigham 33 medical system were recruited (Supplementary Figure S4). Adults over 18 years of age with a 34 positive test within the previous four days in the medical health record were recruited. 35 irrespective of indication for testing (i.e. for symptomatic disease, contact tracing, or work or pre-36 operative screening). The study team extracted an automated list of positive COVID-19 tests 37 from the electronic medical record. The list was restricted to individuals residing in the study 38 catchment area- a 40-mile radius around the hospital established by the need to conduct home-39 based specimen collection. At the start of each week, patients with positive results were 40 stratified by vaccination status and ranked by recency of positive result with the goal of 41 recruiting 2-3 new participants per week, which was the maximum capacity of the team to 42 conduct ambulatory specimen collection. For those who consented to participation, we 43 conducted home visits three times weekly for two weeks or until negative PCR testing. At each 44 visit, we obtained self-collected nasal swabs in viral transport media, which were transported to 45 the laboratory within four hours of collection, aliquoted and frozen at -80°C until future testing. 46 Symptoms, date of onset, and severity were recorded at each specimen collection. 47 Symptomatic infections were defined as those with COVID-19-related symptoms at any point 48 during the observation period. Individuals treated with monoclonal antibodies were excluded 49 from this analysis, based on data demonstrating that they impact the rate of culture conversion¹. 50 51 Viral load Quantification

52 Viral load quantification was carried out as previously reported². Briefly, nasal swab fluids were 53 centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 2 hours at 4°C to pellet virions. 750 µL TRIzol-LS™ Reagent 54 (ThermoFisher) was then added to the pellets, and samples were subsequently incubated on 55 ice for 10 minutes. 200 µL of chloroform (MilliporeSigma) was added to each sample, and the

resulting mixtures were then vortexed and centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The

57 clear aqueous layer was collected and combined with an equal volume of isopropanol (Sigma),

58 1.5 μL GlycoBlue™ Coprecipitant (ThermoFisher) and 100 μL 3M Sodium Acetate (Life

59 Technologies); the resulting mixtures were briefly shaken and then incubated on dry ice.

60 Samples were centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 45 minutes at 4°C to yield RNA pellets, which were

61 washed with cold 70% ethanol before being resuspended in 50 µL DEPC-treated water

62 (ThermoFisher). Using the US CDC 2019-nCoV_N1 primer and probe set (IDT)

63 (<u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.html</u>) and N1 qPCR

64 standards in 16-fold dilutions to generate standard curves, SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA was

quantified. Each reaction consisted of extracted RNA, 1X TaqPath[™] 1-Step RT-qPCR Master

66 Mix, CG (ThermoFisher), forward and reverse primers, and the probe. Each sample was run in

67 triplicate, and all plates contained two non-template control (NTC) wells. Positive and negative

68 controls were run alongside all samples. To ensure appropriate sample quality, the Importin-8

69 (IPO8) housekeeping gene RNA level was quantified. The efficiency of the RNA extraction and

70 qPCR amplification was assessed by quantifying the internal virion control RCAS³ RNA level

- 71 after spiking this viral mixture into each sample.
- 72

73 SARS-CoV-2 culture

Viral culture was performed in the BSL3 laboratory of the Ragon Institute of MGH, MIT, and

75 Harvard as previously reported ². Briefly, Vero-E6 cells (American Type Culture Collection)

76 maintained in DMEM (Corning) supplemented with HEPES (Corning), 1X Penicillin

77 100IU/mL/Streptomycin 100 ug/mL (Corning), 1X Glutamine (Glutamax, ThermoFisher

78 Scientific), and 10% Fetal Bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma) using Trypsin-EDTA (Fisher Scientific)

79 were detached and seeded at 20,000 cells per well in 96w plates 16-20 hours before infection.

- 80 Specimens were thawed on ice and filtered through a Spin-X 0.45um filter (Corning) at 10,000 x
- g for 5min. 25ul of the undiluted filtrate was added to four wells of a 96w plate and serial diluted

82 (1:5) across half of the plate in media containing 5ug/mL of polybrene (Santa Cruz 83 Biotechnology). Plates were centrifuged for 1 hour at 2000 x g at 37C. The positive control 84 SARS-CoV-2 isolate USA-WA1/2020 strain (BEI Resources) was used in parallel for all assays. 85 Plates were observed with a light microscope 7 days post-infection and documented wells with 86 CPE. Supernatant of wells was harvested for RNA isolation using a QIAamp Viral RNA Mini kit 87 (QIAGEN) for confirmation of the viral sequence. For each variant, we selected four specimens 88 with viral loads between 5.2log and 6.5log, two with positive culture and two with negative 89 culture results and repeated the TCID50 protocol using Vero-E6 and A549-Ace2 (BEI 90 Resources) cell lines. In addition to the spinfection protocol described above, we included a set 91 of plates that were not centrifuged after addition of the filtered clinical specimens but were 92 incubated at 37C and 5% CO2 instead. Plates were then scored for CPE daily on days 3-7 post-93 inoculation. CPE was only observed following the spinfection protocol, and the results of the 94 initial TCID50 experiments were confirmed. For the specimens showing CPE, CPE was 95 observed at similar or earlier timepoints in Vero-E6 cells than in A549-ACE2 cells, and TCID50 96 on day 7 was similar between the two cell lines.

97

98 SARS-CoV-2 Whole Genome sequencing

Whole genome sequencing was performed as previously described² following the Illumina 99 100 COVIDSeq Test protocol. Libraries were constructed using the Illumina Nextera XT Library Prep 101 Kit, then pooled and quantified using a Qubit High Sensitivity dsDNA kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, 102 MA, USA). Genomic sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeg 2000, Illumina NextSeg 103 550, or Illumina NovaSeg SP instrument. Sequences with an assembly length greater than 104 24,000 base pairs were considered complete genomes, and those sequences were assigned a 105 Pango lineage using the most up-to-date version of pangoLEARN assignment algorithm v2.4.2⁴. 106 All sequences were deposited to GenBank and GISAID. The samples were submitted to NCBI 107 with Bioproject Accession numbers PRJNA715749 or PRJNA759255.

108

109 SARS-CoV-2 TaqPath RT-PCR Assay

110 Starting with Participant 200, samples were tested for spike gene target failure (SGTF) as an 111 additional genotyping method of detecting Omicron cases following the TagPath COVID-19 112 Combo Kit protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Nucleic acid was extracted 113 using the MagMAX Viral/Pathogen II Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit on a Thermo KingFisher Flex 114 purification system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Reverse transcription PCR 115 (RT-PCR) was conducted on extracted samples using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Dx 116 Real-Time PCR Instrument (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA), then analyzed for the 117 presence of SARS-CoV-2 on ORF1ab, N gene, and S gene targets. SGTF was determined by 118 amplification of SARS-CoV-2 for the ORF1ab and N gene targets with CT values <36 along with 119 the lack of amplification for the S gene target.

120

121 SARS-CoV-2 Spike gene amplification

122 Spike gene amplification was also performed as previously described² to determine variant

123 types for specimens with low viral load when whole genome sequencing was unsuccessful.

124 cDNA synthesis was synthesized using Superscript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen,

125 Waltham, MA, USA) as per manufacturer's protocols. cDNA amplification was performed using

126 *in-house* designed primer sets that targeted codon 1-814 of the spike gene. PCR products were

127 pooled for Illumina library construction using the Nextera XT Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San

128 Diego, CA, USA). Raw sequence data was analyzed with PASeq v1.4 (https://www.paseq.org).

129 Amino acid variants were identified at the codon level with perl code and the resulting variant file

130 was used to determine SARS-CoV-2 variant type using Nextclade version 1.13.1

131 (https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03773).

132

133 Statistical methods

134 We summarized demographic and clinical characteristics for individuals with delta and omicron 135 variant infection and compared characteristics by sub-group with chi-squared testing for 136 categorical variables and non-parametric testing for continuous variables. We graphically 137 depicted viral decay by variant with a scatter plot and median of viral load over time since the 138 index positive PCR test. To determine whether variant type or vaccination status was 139 associated with virologic decay, we used the Kaplan-Meyer method to estimate the survivor 140 function for two outcomes of interest: 1) time to conversion to negative PCR and 2) time to 141 conversion to viral culture negative. For both outcomes we conducted two survival analyses 142 defining the origin of observation as either 1) the date of the first positive PCR test or 2) the 143 earliest of the date of symptom onset or the first positive PCR test. We defined the exit as the 144 first negative test (PCR or culture) after the last positive result. Individuals who had a positive 145 PCR or culture on the final day of observation were censored as positive. For both outcomes, 146 we constructed Kaplan-Meier curves of survival by variant and vaccination status. We 147 categorized vaccination status as unvaccinated, vaccinated, for those who had received two 148 COVID-19 vaccinations (or a single dose of the Johnson & Johnson/Janssen vaccine) at least 149 14 days prior to enrollment, and boosted for those who had received three COVID-19 150 vaccinations (or a second dose of the Johnson & Johnson/Janssen vaccine) at least 14 days 151 prior to enrollment. We then fitted Cox proportional hazards models with both outcomes, and 152 age, sex, vaccination status, and variant of infection as predictors.

153

154 Study approval

Study procedures were approved by the Human Subjects Institutional Review Board and the Institutional Biosafety Committee at Mass General Brigham. All participants gave verbal informed consent, as written consent was waived by the review committee based on the risk to benefit ratio of requiring in-person interactions for an observational study of COVID-19.

160 Acknowledgements

161 The authors would like to thank study participants for willingness to engage in the study.

162

163 Author Contributions

- 164 J.B., M.G.B., J.M.V., J.Z.L, J.E.L, M.J.S, and A.K.B. designed the work. J.B., C.M., J.R., R.U.,
- 165 M.C.C., J.P.F., G.C., A.M.S., J.M., M.Y.L., A.S., T.L., A.K., M.M., Y.L., R.F.G., Z.R., S.L.I.,
- 166 G.C.C. and T.D.V. performed the work. J.B., J.R., R.U., M.C.C., J.P.F, Y.L., J.Z.L, J.E.L, M.J.S,
- 167 and A.K.B. analyzed and reported the work.



Boosted 13
 Boosted 13
 Boosted 13
 Supplementary Figure S1. Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrating time from the earlier of symptom onset or initial positive PCR to negative PCR by viral variant (A) and vaccination

173 status (C) and time from the earlier of symptom onset or initial positive PCR to negative viral

culture by viral variant (B) and vaccination status (D). Shaded areas indicate 95% confidence
 intervals.

- 175 III.ei
- 176
- 177



178 179 Supplementary Figure S2. A. Relationship between viral load and TCID50 for Omicron and Delta

- 180 infections. Each point represents a nasal swab specimen collected from an individual with Delta
- 181 (triangle) or Omicron (circle) variant infection. B. Predicted probability of a positive viral culture
- 182 by viral load for delta (black line) and Omicron (gray line) infection. Curves were derived from
- 183 post-regression margin from logistic regression model of viral load and variant on viral culture
- 184 results.
- 185
- 186



187



(A) and Omicron variant infection (B). (C-D) Time from initial positive PCR to negative viral

191 culture by vaccination status for Delta variant infection (C) and Omicron variant infection (D).

- 192 Shaded areas indicate 95% confidence intervals.
- 193 194



Supplementary Figure S4. Schema of participant recruitment.

Table S1. Cohort characteristics

	Omicron variant	Delta variant
	infection (n=34)	infection (n=32)
Female, n (%)	24 (71%)	21 (66%)
Age, mean (SD)	42 (15)	40 (16)
Vaccination status, n (%)		
Unvaccinated	9 (26%)	7 (22%)
Vaccinated	13 (38%)	24 (75%)
Boosted	12 (35%)	1 (3%)
Days since last vaccination, mean (SD)	143 (119)	199 (72)
Symptomatic infection, n (%)	34 (100%)	31 (97%)
Nasal swabs collected, n (%)		
7	29 (86%)	25 (78%)
6	4 (12%)	5 (16%)
≤5	1 (3%)	2 (6%)
Days from index PCR to first study specimen (median, IQR)	2 (2-3)	3 (2-4)

199 **Table S2**. Demographics of study participants relative to individuals with COVID-19 infection

200 reported in the state of Massachusetts (cumulative cases as of 4/21/22).

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COVID-19 Cases in		
	Massachusetts	Study	
	(through 4/21/22)	participants	
	n = 1,598,541	(n = 66)	Comments
Age			•
0-18	104,044 (31%)	0 (0%)	Children were not included in
19-29	31,994 (12%)	19 (29%)	the study. The age of
30-39	30,499 (11%)	15 (23%)	participants was similar to the
40-49	26,553 (10%)	12 (18%)	age of adults infected with
50-59	22,713 (9%)	12 (18%)	COVID-19 in the general
60-69	17,004 (6%)	4 (6%)	population, although no
70-79	13,976 (5%)	4 (6%)	participants were 80yo or older.
80+	18,691 (7%)	0 (0%)	
Sex			
Male	809,030 (48%)	45 (68%)	Women were somewhat over-
Female	907,232 (52%)	21 (32%)	represented in the study
Transgender	73 (<1%)	0 (0%)	population relative to the
Unknown sex		0 (0%)	percent of COVID-19 cases in
	16,821 (<1%)		women in the general
			population.
Race/Ethnicity		1	1
Asian, non-Hispanic	58,211 (3%)	5 (8%)	Individuals self-identifying as
Black or African	102,043 (6%)	8 (12%)	Asian, non-Hispanic, Black or
American, non-Hispanic)			African American, and White,
White, non-Hispanic	295,740 (17%)	38 (58%)	non-Hispanic were all somewhat
Hispanic	650,043 (38%)	10 (15%)	over-represented in the study
Other race, non-	156,459 (9%)	0 (0%)	cohort relative to COVID-19
Hispanic			cases in the general population.
American	1591 (<1%)	0 (0%)	Individuals self-identifying as
Indian/Alaskan Native,			Hispanic were represented in a
non-Hispanic			similar proportion to COVID-19
Native	557 (<1%)	0 (0%)	cases in the general population.
Hawaiian/Pacific			
Islander, non-Hispanic			
Unknown, refused or	468,512 (27)	4 (6%)	
missing			

201

202 State data extracted from the Massachusetts Department of Public Health publicly reported

203 data <u>https://www.mass.gov/info-details/covid-19-response-reporting#covid-19-interactive-</u>

204 <u>data-dashboard-</u>. Age, race, and ethnicity data for the study population was extracted from

205 self-reported information in the medical record.

Table S3. Median time to PCR and culture conversion

	Days to confirmed PCR Conversion	Days to Confirmed Culture
	(median, IQR)	Conversion (median, IQR)
Days from Index PCR		
Delta	10 (8-14)	4 (3-5)
Omicron	11 (8-15)	5 (3-9)
Days from Index PCR or	<u>r Symptom Onset</u>	
Delta	12 (9-15)	6 (4-7)
Omicron	13 (11-16)	8 (5-10)

	Univariable Models	Multivariable Models
Covariate	Hazard Ratio (95%CI)	Hazard Ratio (95%CI)
Age (10 years)	0.98 (0.82, 1.16)	0.98 (0.82, 1.17)
Sex		
Male	REF	REF
Female	1.14 (0.64, 2.04)	1.03 (0.57, 1.86)
Vaccination status		
Unvaccinated	REF	REF
Vaccinated	0.52 (0.27, 1.00)	0.44 (0.22, 0.90)
Boosted	0.77 (0.35, 1.71)	0.91 (0.39, 2.14)
Variant		
Delta	REF	REF
Omicron	0.86 (0.51, 1.44)	0.61 (0.33, 1.15)

209	Table S4. Cox proportional	hazards model of time from fi	irst positive PCR test to PCR conversion
-----	----------------------------	-------------------------------	--

	Univariable Models	Multivariable Models
Covariate	Hazard Ratio (95%CI)	Hazard Ratio (95%CI)
Age	0.83 (0.70, 0.99)	0.84 (0.69, 1.02)
Sex		
Male	REF	REF
Female	1.24 (0.73, 2.10)	1.32 (0.75, 2.33)
Vaccination status		
Unvaccinated	REF	REF
Vaccinated	0.97 (0.54, 1.75)	1.07 (0.57, 2.00)
Boosted	0.72 (0.34, 1.55)	1.01 (0.43, 2.33)
Variant		
Delta	REF	REF
Omicron	0.76 (0.46, 1.24)	0.77 (0.44, 1.37)

Table S5. Cox proportional hazards model of time to culture conversion

213 Supplementary References

2141.Boucau J, Chew KW, Choudhary M, et al. Monoclonal antibody treatment drives rapid215culture conversion in SARS-CoV-2 infection. medRxiv 2021:2021.12.25.21268211.

216
217 2. Siedner MJ, Boucau J, Gilbert RF, et al. Duration of viral shedding and culture positivity
218 with post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 delta variant infections. JCI Insight 2021.

219
220 3. Palmer S, Wiegand AP, Maldarelli F, et al. New real-time reverse transcriptase-initiated
221 PCR assay with single-copy sensitivity for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA in
222 plasma. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41:4531-6.

223

4. O'Toole A, Scher E, Underwood A, et al. Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging pandemic using the pangolin tool. Virus Evol 2021;7:veab064.