## The Quality of Health Economic Studies (QHES) instrument

Taken from: Ofman JJ, Sullivan SD, Neumann PJ, Chiou CF, Henning JM, Wade SW, Hay JW. Examining the value and quality of health economic analyses: implications of utilizing the QHES. Journal of Managed Care and Pharmacy 2003; 9(1):53-61.

No	Questions	Points	Yes	No
1.	Was the study objective presented in a clear, specific, and		./	
	measurable manner?	7	<b>V</b>	
2.	Were the perspective of the analysis (societal, third-party payer, etc.)		1	
	and reasons for its selection stated?	4	<b>V</b>	
3.	Were variable estimates used in the analysis from the best available		./	
	source (i.e., randomized control trial - best, expert opinion - worst)?	8	<b>V</b>	
4.	If estimates came from a subgroup analysis, were the groups pre-		. /	
	specified at the beginning of the study?	1	<b>V</b>	
5.	Was uncertainty handled by (1) statistical analysis to address random		1	
	events, (2) sensitivity analysis to cover a range of assumptions?	9	<b>V</b>	
6.	Was incremental analysis performed between alternatives for			,
	resources and costs?	6		<b>V</b>
7.	Was the methodology for data abstraction (including the value of		. /	
	health states and other benefits) stated?	5	<b>V</b>	
8.	Did the analytic horizon allow time for all relevant and important			
	outcomes? Were benefits and costs that went beyond 1 year		<b>/</b>	
	discounted (3% to 5%) and justification given for the discount rate?	7	•	
9.	Was the measurement of costs appropriate and the methodology for	8	<b>/</b>	
	the estimation of quantities and unit costs clearly described?			
10.	Were the primary outcome measure(s) for the economic evaluation			
	clearly stated and did they include the major short-term, long-term,		<b>/</b>	
	and negative outcomes?	6	•	
11.	Were the health outcomes measures/scales valid and reliable? If			
	previously tested valid and reliable measures were not available, was		<b>/</b>	
	justification given for the measures/scales used?	7	•	
12.	Were the economic model (including structure), study methods and			
	analysis, and the components of the numerator and denominator		$\checkmark$	
	displayed in a clear, transparent manner?	8	Ţ	
13.	Were the choice of economic model, main assumptions, and		. /	
	limitations of the study stated and justified?	7	<b>V</b>	
14.	Did the author(s) explicitly discuss direction and magnitude of			./
	potential biases?	6		<b>Y</b>
15.	Were the conclusions/recommendations of the study justified and		. /	
	based on the study results?	8	<b>V</b>	
16.	Was there a statement disclosing the source of funding for the study?	3	<b>✓</b>	
	TOTAL POINTS	100	88	1