Characterization of studies with less than 10 patients that included the use of robotic-assisted surgery

Author		Level of				Mean age (%		Exclusion	Mean Follow-			
(Year)	Type of Study	Evidence	Population	Cohort size	Control group	female)	Inclusion Criteria		Up	Outcomes Measured	Results	Comments
											Operative mortality (none)	
			Sympathetic Nerve								Median operating time: 10.5h	
			Reconstruction (SNR)				Post-operative compensatory	No history of	F/u every 6 months	mortality, operating time, LOS,	LOS Median: 4 days	Short f/u period - could only
Chang et al.,			Chang Gung	_		Median: 41	sweating	non-surgical	postoperatively	graft type, symptom		assess symptom improvement in
2020	Retrospective	IV	University, Taoyuan Patients who underwent		N/A	years (29% F)	necessitating SNR Patients who	sympathectomy	by phone	improvement	Symptom improvement of 70%	one patient
			robotic flap harvest				underwent open or					
			following robotic extirpative surgery		Open rectus		robotic flap harvest following robotic		16 ± 24 months		No significant differences in complications and hernia	Small sample size, short f/u time,
Asaad et al., 2021	retrospective case series	IV	Houston, TX	-	abdominis muscle harvest (n=95)	66	extirpative surgery	N/A	(median, 8 months)	Outcomes/complications	recurrences between the robotic and open techniques	difference in surgical indications and defect types
2021	Case selles	IV	Housion, 1X		naivest (n-95)	00	J	IN/A	monuis)	Outcomes/complications	No intraoperative perforation or	and defect types
			Patients with locally								positive circumferential resection	
			advanced low rectal cancer and underwent				Patients with locally				margin.	
			extralevator excision with gracilis flap				advanced low rectal cancers not				Complications include locoregional recurrence, flap	
0			reconstruction				amenable to			Demographic	venous congestion, perineal	
Sieffert et al., 2017	retrospective		Dayton, OH	6	N/A	63	sphincter 3 preservation	N/A	N/A	Operative data Outcomes/complications	abscess that went on to complete healing.	Small sample size, short f/u time
			_				Vesicovaginal				1 patient: occasional abdominal	
			Robotic-assisted rectus				fistula, pelvic organ prolapse,				pain	
			abdominus harvest for pelvic floor				exenteration, vaginectomy, partial				1 patient: intermittent bowel obstruction	
			reconstruction				vulvectomy, and			Bowel obstructions, infections,		
Haverland et al., 2021	case series	IV	Arizona, USA	6	N/A	69.2 (66.6%)	abdominoperineal resection.	Not reported	9.2 mo.	emergency room visits, and readmission.	1 patient: pelvic abscess, requiring readmission.	
			Patients with SCC			, ,		·			No intraoperative complications	Small sample size precluded
Selber, 2010	Case series	IV	Houston, TX	5	N/A	70.8	Patients with SCC	N/A	N/A	Outcomes/Complications	Successful reconstruction	finding sig. difference in operative times/hospital stay
			Robotic extralevator							,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			abdominoperineal excision with robotic									
			rectus abdominis flap								Early perineal wound infection	
			harvest for distal rectal adenocarcinoma						Not reported	Hernia or bulge formation	1 patient: parastomal hernia	
Singh et al., 2015	case series	IV	Chicago, USA	3	N/A	50.3 (33%)	Not reported	Not reported	Longest: 16 mo.	Infection	1 patient: abdominal weakness	Average operative time: 522 min.
			0 .			(,	,	,	3***		No signs of recurrence at 5 m f/u	Short f/u; no abdominal CT scans
Vigneswaran et			Paraesophageal hernias				Paraesophageal			Technique,	No adverse effects of harvsting	for better post-op clinical evaluation and to evaluate role of
al., 2021	Case Series		Chicago, USA	2	N/A	70.5 (50%)	hernia	N/A	5 m	Outcomes/complications	posterior rectus shealth	mesh
			Rectus abdominis harvest for lower									
			extremity defect								No hemia or bulge	
Patel et al., 2012	case report	IV	Ohio, USA	1	N/A	30 (100%)	N/A	N/A	6 mo.	Not reported	No infections noted	Short followup and only 1 patient limits the validity of this study
												Setup time: 20 min.
												Robotic pedicle dissection: 40
			Robotic harvest of deep									min.
			inferior epigastric								No flap or donor-site	Traditional DIEP cost: \$14,800
Gundlapalli et			perforator flap								complications	
al., 2018	case report	IV	South Carolina, USA	1	N/A	51 (100%)	N/A	N/A	9 mo.	Not reported	No hemia or bulge	Robotic DIEP cost: \$16,300
			Robotic harvest of omental flap for extremity								No flap or donor-site	
Özkan et al.,			coverage								complications	
2019	case report	IV	Istanbul, Turkey	1	N/A	58 (0%)	N/A	N/A	12 mo.	Not reported	No infection	Total operative time: 2.5 hrs
			Robotic harvest of a pedicled omentum flap								No intra- or postoperative complications	
			for chest wall defect							Disease recurrence	· ·	
Day et al., 2021	case report	IV	Minnesota, USA	1	N/A	68 (100%)	N/A	N/A	2 mo.	Flap or donor-site complications	Patient died 3 months postop due to metastatic disease	
.,, 2021		<u> </u>				(7 / 0 /						

Author		Level of				Mean age (%		Exclusion	Mean Follow-			
(Year)	Type of Study	Evidence	Population	Cohort size	Control group	female)	Inclusion Criteria	Criteria	Up	Outcomes Measured	Results	Comments
											No postoperative after-effects reported	
											Vasomotor disorders disappeared immediately after surgery	
			Man with hypothenar							Postoperative after-effects	Progressive regression of paresthesis in L ulnar nerve after 2m	
Facca & Liverneaux, 2010	Case Report	IV	hammar syndrome Strasbourg, France	1	N/A	39	Hypothenar hammar syndrome	N/A	6 months	Improvement in paresthesias, vasomotor disorders, and cold- related pain episodes	Some infrequent persistant cold- related pain episodes at 6m postoperatively	
Naito et al., 2020	Case Report	IV	Patient with brachial plexus injury Tokyo, Japan	1	N/A	57	Patient with brachial plexus injury, need for intercostal n. harvest	N/A	N/A	Outcomes/complications	No respiratory complications, no pain at nerve-harvesting site; patient could ambulate freely POD 1; good patient satisfaction	Specialized technique, small sample size
			in the second se								Patient could form an erection, no stricture or fiscula on voiding cystourethryrogram	
Yilmaz et al., 2020	Case Report	IV	Patient with need for penile replantation Ankara, Turkey	1	N/A	35	Patient with need for penile replantation	N/A	6 weeks	Outcomes/complications	No hematoma or glans necrosis, some necrotic penile skin was debrided and recon. with a fasciocutaneous flap	Small sample size
	·									·	Robotic harvest: 80 mins	·
											100mL blood loss	
			Patient with lower								Patient discharged 24 h after surgery with full ambulation	
Teven et al.,			extremity lymphedema				Patient with need for omentum lymphatic			Outcomes/complications,	At 10 wk, incisions healed, sig. improvement in lymphedema	
2021	Case Report	IV	Pheonix, Arizona	1	N/A	52	transplant	N/A	10 weeks	operative time	symptoms	Small sample size, short f/u time
			Patient with palmar				Patient with need for sympathetic trunk				At 24, 33, and 42 m postoperatively, symptoms improved by 70%	
Chang et al., 2021	Case Report	IV	hyperhidrosis Taoyuan, Taiwan	1	N/A	59	reversal reconstruction with sural n. graft	N/A	42 months	Outcomes/complications	No intra or post-operative complications, pt discharged POD 4	Robot use requires healthy n. stump, reliable technique in order to be safe