## Supplementary Information for

# A brain-enriched IncRNA shields cancer cells from immunemediated killing for metastatic colonization in the brain

Weiguang Liu<sup>1#</sup>, Peng Sun<sup>2#</sup>, Lingling Xia<sup>1</sup>, Xilin He<sup>1</sup>, Zhengmiao Xia<sup>1</sup>, Yehong Huang<sup>1</sup>, Wenzhuo Liu<sup>1</sup>, Lulu Li<sup>1</sup>, Liming Chen<sup>1, 3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, School of Life Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Department of Pathology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China <sup>3</sup>Cancer Institute, School of Life Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China <sup>#</sup>W. L. and P.S. contributed equally to this work <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Liming Chen; **Email:** chenliming1981@njnu.edu.cn

### This PDF file includes:

Captions for figures Figures S1 to S7 Tables S1 to S3 Legends for Datasets S1 to S6

Other supplementary materials for this manuscript include the following:

Datasets S1 to S6

### Supplementary Information Text

#### Additional procedures

**RT–qPCR analysis.** All experiments were carried out by following the manufacturers' instructions. In brief, RNAs were reverse-transcribed into cDNA using HiScript II Q-RT SuperMix (Vazyme), and qPCR was performed using ChamQ SYBR Qpcr Master Mix (Vazyme). The relative expression levels of IncRNAs and mRNAs were calculated according to the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method. GAPDH served as the internal control. Primer sequences for RT–qPCR are listed in Table S1.

**Subcellular fractionation.** In brief, cells were collected by trypsinization and washed with  $1 \times PBS$  buffer three times. Then, the cells were lysed with lysis buffer A (10 mM HEPES solution, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgAc<sub>2</sub>, 3 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 340 mM sucrose, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM PMSF, 0.25% NP-40, pH 7.9) and incubated on ice for 30 min. The cell lysate was centrifuged to collect the supernatant as the cellular cytoplasmic fraction. The remaining cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer B (50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1% Triton-X100, 1 mM DTT, pH 7.9) and incubated on ice for 30 min. Then, the sample was centrifuged to collect the supernatant as the cellular nucleic fraction. BMOR, U6 and GAPDH levels were examined by RT–qPCR, where U6 and GAPDH served as markers for the cytoplasmic and nucleic fractions, respectively.

**5' and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE).** We performed 5' and 3' RACE using the SMARTer RACE 5'/3' Kit (Takara) following the manufacturer's protocol. The RACE PCR fragments were sequenced using Sanger sequencing. The primers used are listed in Table S2.

In vitro transcription/translation. In vitro transcription/translation experiments were performed following the protocols provided by the manufacturers. Briefly, T7 promoter containing DNA sequences or plasmids was used in a TNT® Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation System (Promega). Then, 1 µg plasmid DNA template was mixed with 40 µl TNT® T7 Quick Master Mix, 1 µl methionine (1 mM), 1 µl Transcend<sup>™</sup> Biotin-Lysyl-tRNA, and nuclease-free water for a final

volume of 50 µl per reaction. The reaction tube was incubated at 30 °C for 90 min, and 1 µl reaction

product was added into diluted 2  $\times$  SDS loading sample buffer for immunoblot analysis by detecting the signals using streptavidin-HRP (Cell Signaling Technology).

**Cell transfection and lentiviral infection.** Plasmids used in cell transfection and lentiviral infection were constructed according to standard protocols. Related primer sequences are shown in Table S3. For cell transfection, we used Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For lentiviral infection, first, lentiviral particles were produced. In brief, 5  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> HEK293T cells were seeded for 24 h and then cotransfected with 4 µg shRNA expression vector (pLKO.1-shRNA control or pLKO.1-BMOR-shRNA#1 or pLKO.1-BMOR shRNA#2), 3 µg pSPAX2 and 1 µg pMD2. G plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then, lentiviral particles were produced and collected for lentiviral infection.

**Migration and invasion assays.** Migration and invasion assays were performed using Transwell migration chambers (Merck Millipore) following the manufacture's protocol. In brief,  $2 \times 10^5$  cells were seeded with serum-free DMEM into the upper chamber, and 600 µl 20% FBS DMEM was placed in the lower chamber. The chamber was incubated for 36 h in a humidified incubator with an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C. Then, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal violet.

**LDH cytotoxicity assays.** Cell cytotoxicity was detected through LDH release using an LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Beyotime) according to the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, cells were seeded in a 96-well plate with or without  $2 \mu g/ml poly(I:C)$  treatment. After incubation for 24 h, the cell culture media was collected, and dead cell debris was removed via centrifugation. Then, the supernatants were transferred to a new 96-well plate and pulsed with LDH release reagent. The mixtures were incubated in a cell incubator for 1 h. LDH release was detected by measuring the absorbance at 490 nm using a Bio–Rad iMark plate reader.



**Fig. S1.** LncRNA BMOR is a real IncRNA that is associated with brain metastasis. (A) RT–qPCR results show that BMOR is one of the most upregulated IncRNAs in 231-BM cells versus 231-Par cells among the selected IncRNAs. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001, NS for not significant (t test). (B) A representative image of the 2D gel electrophoresis experiment in the 5' and 3' RACE assays. (C) The sequence of BMOR identified by 3' and 5' RACE. (D) The sequence of the full-length BMOR. (E) An in vitro transcription/translation assay showed that BMOR has no detectable polypeptide products. BMOR: full-length BMOR; Antisense: antisense of full-length BMOR; Empty control: empty vector of PCDNA3.1 served as a negative control; Positive control: PCDNA3.1 with luciferase gene used as a positive control. (F) In silico analysis of the full-length BMOR sequence reveals BMOR without any coding probability using the web server for Coding Potential Assessing Tool (CPAT) (<u>http://lilab.research.bcm.edu/</u>). (G) Subcellular fractionation analysis revealed that BMOR was predominantly distributed in the nucleic fraction versus the cytoplasmic fraction in 231-BM cells using RT–qPCR. GAPDH and U6 were used as cytoplasmic and nucleic fraction markers, respectively. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 (t test).



**Fig. S2.** Analysis of BMOR expression in metastatic breast cancers using data archived in the human cancer metastasis database (HCMDB) reveals that BMOR only shows substantially high expression in breast cancer metastasis to brain tissue as compared with breast cancer metastasis to nonbrain tissues, including lung, liver, chest wall, lymph node, and bone. \*\*\* p < 0.001 (t test).



**Fig. S3.** Depletion of BMOR in 231-BM cells by BMOR shRNAs versus control significantly suppresses the formation of metastatic lesions in brain metastases in an intracardiac injection nude mouse model. (A) RT–qPCR confirmed that BMOR expression was successfully depleted in 231-BM cells using BMOR shRNA#1 and BMOR shRNA#2 compared with the scrambled shRNA control. \*\*\*p < 0.001(t test). (B-C) Another set of female immunodeficient mice undergoing intracardiac injection of 231-BM cells with versus without BMOR depletion shows that BMOR promotes brain metastasis. (B) Overall survival analysis of mice reveals that the recipient mice bearing BMOR-depleted 231-BM cells versus controls show longer survival times. Log rank test: p = 0.0024 for shRNA Control vs. BMOR shRNA#1 and p = 0.0023 for shRNA Control vs. BMOR shRNA#2. \*\*p < 0.01. (C) Presentative images of brain metastases in mice using MRI Imaging System show that depletion of BMOR in 231-BM cells inhibits the formation of metastatic lesions in the brain are indicated by dashed yellow circles with red arrows.



**Fig. S4.** The impacts of BMOR overexpression on brain metastasis development. (A) RT–qPCR analysis confirmed successful overexpression of BMOR in 231-Par cells using PLV-luci-BMOR (BMOR) compare with the control using PLV-luci-control (Control). \*\*\*p < 0.001 (t test). (B) RT–qPCR analysis confirmed successful overexpression of BMOR in Hs578T cells using PLV-luci-BMOR (BMOR) compared with the control using PLV-luci-control (Control). \*\*\*p < 0.001 (t test). (C) Quantification of bioluminescence flux in brain (left) and nonbrain (right) tissue on the data from IVIS Imaging System with indicated treatment across time. The results show that intracardiac injection of BMOR-overexpressing 231-Par cells versus control cells cannot enhance the formation of metastatic tumors in the mouse brain. \*\*p < 0.01, NS for not significant (t test).



Fig. S5. BMOR inhibits several immune response pathways important for inducing cytotoxicity of cancer cells. (A-D) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) shows that BMOR inhibits several immune response pathways important for inducing the cytotoxicity of cancer cells, including IFNα and IFNy responses and TNF $\alpha$  signaling via NF- $\kappa$ B. "(+)" and "(-)", respectively, indicate upregulation and downregulation of immune response pathways. (A-B) GSEA transcriptome analysis of 231-BM cells with depletion of BMOR versus control showed upregulation of IFNα and IFNy responses and TNF $\alpha$  signaling via NF- $\kappa$ B. (C) GSEA transcriptome analysis of 231-BM cells compared with 231-Par cells revealed downregulation of IFNα and IFNy responses and TNFα signaling via NF-κB. (D) GSEA transcriptome analysis of brain metastases versus their matched primary breast tumors revealed downregulation of IFNα and IFNγ responses and TNFα signaling via NF-kB. Data were retrieved from a publicly available dataset: GEO GSE125989. (E-F) BMOR inhibits the expression of ISGs, IFNs, and cytokine genes associated with the immune response pathways important for inducing cytotoxicity of cancer cells, including ISG54, RSAD2, RANTES, IFNβ, IFNα, IL1A, IL1B, IL6, TNFα, G-CSF and TNFβ. Individual genes are indicated with gene names. (E) RT-qPCR results show that depletion of BMOR in 231-BM versus controls upregulates the expression of ISG54, RSAD2, RANTES, IFNβ, IFNα, IL1A, IL1B, IL6, TNFα, G-CSF and TNFβ. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001 (t test). (F) RT–qPCR results show that overexpression of BMOR in both 231-Par and Hs578T cells versus their corresponding controls downregulates the expression of ISG54, RSAD2, RANTES, IFNβ, IFNα, IL1A, IL1B, IL6, TNFα, G-CSF and TNFβ. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001 (t test).



**Fig. S6.** Effects of BMOR on the migration potential and cytotoxicity of cancer cells. (A-D) BMOR cannot enhance the migration potential of cancer cells in migration and invasion assays in in vitro cancer cell culture without additional treatment. (A-B) Migration and invasion assays show that BMOR depletion versus control does not reduce the migration potential of 231-BM cells: (A) Representative images; (B) Quantification. \*p < 0.05, NS for not significant (t test). (C-D) Migration and invasion assays show that BMOR overexpression versus control does not enhance the migration potential of 231-Par cells: (C) Representative images; (D) Quantification. NS for not significant (t test). (E-G) BMOR inhibits the Poly(I:C)-induced cytotoxicity of cancer cells in LDH cytotoxicity assays. (E) The results of LDH cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR depletion versus control increases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR overexpression versus control decreases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR overexpression versus control decreases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR depletion versus control increases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR overexpression versus control decreases the cytotoxicity of 231-Par cells. \*\*\*p < 0.001, NS for not significant (t test). (G) The results of LDH cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR overexpression versus control decreases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR overexpression versus control decreases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR overexpression versus control decreases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treatment but not without, BMOR overexpression versus control decreases the cytotoxicity assays show that upon Poly(I:C) treat



**Fig. S7.** Brain-enriched IncRNA BMOR binds IRF3 and inhibits IRF3 phosphorylation and activation in cells. (A) Selected BMOR-binding proteins identified by RNA pull down-MS from Dataset 6. (B-D) Modulation of BMOR expression does not affect the mRNA expression level of IRF3. (B) RT– qPCR results show that with or without Poly(I:C) treatment, depletion of BMOR in 231-BM cells versus the corresponding controls cannot significantly affect the expression of the IRF3 gene. \*\*p < 0.01, NS for not significant (t test). (C) RT–qPCR results show that with or without Poly(I:C) treatment, overexpression of BMOR in 231-Par cells versus the corresponding controls cannot significantly affect the expression of significant (t test). (C) RT–qPCR results show that with or without Poly(I:C) treatment, overexpression of BMOR in 231-Par cells versus the corresponding controls cannot significantly affect the expression of the IRF3 gene. \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.001, NS for not significant (t

test). (D) RT-qPCR results show that with or without Poly(I:C) treatment, overexpression of BMOR in Hs578T cells versus the corresponding controls cannot significantly affect the expression of the IRF3 gene. \*\*p < 0.01, NS for not significant (t test). (E) In HEK293T cells, representative western blot images show that overexpression of BMOR as compared to control can reduce the phosphorylation level of IRF3 but not the protein level of IRF3. (F) The results of luciferase reporter assays show that with or without Poly(I:C) treatment, depletion of BMOR in 231-BM cells versus the corresponding controls significantly increased the luciferase activity of the ISRE-containing promoter. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, NS for not significant (t test). (G) A diagram of BMOR and its truncation deletions, including those used in Fig. 4G and Fig. S7H for BMOR-IRF3 domain mapping assays. (H) A representative western blot image of biotinylated RNA pull down assays performed to map the IFR3-binding region in BMOR required for interaction with IRF3; FL and AS represent full-length BMOR and its antisense, respectively. The results support that the IBR1 (1-200 bp) and IBR2 (500-600 bp) regions in BMOR are critical for the BMOR-IRF3 interaction. (I) Representative western blot images of Co-IP assays show that depletion of BMOR in 231-BM cells versus control cells increases TBK1 coprecipitation with IRF3. (J-K) The results of immunohistochemical staining using an antibody for F4/80 (a well-characterized microglial marker) show that LNA-BMOR and CpG treatment compared with control can increase the recruitment of microglia in the brain metastatic lesions. (J) Representative immunohistochemical staining images (200  $\times$ ) using antibodies for F4/80 protein with the indicated treatments. (K) Quantification of immunohistochemical staining images. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, NS for not significant (t test).

	Gene name	Forward primer sequence	Reverse primer sequence
	GAPDH	AGCCACATCGCTCAGACAC	GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC
	U6	GCTTGCTTCAGCAGCACATA	AAAAACATGGAACTCTTCACG
	BMOR	GAGTTCCACGATCGCTTCAC	GTGATCAATGGCTGCAGAGG
	HOXB-AS1	GGGACTCCAGCGAAATTACA	AGGCACTGGTGTAGGAATGG
	LINC01812	GTGAAGAGCACTGTGGGTGA	GGCCTTCCTGAGTTCCTCTT
	LINC02241	CATGGGGTCGTCTTCATCCC	CAGAACCCTTTGTCCACGGT
	LINC01803	GGCACCTGGATTAAGCAGAC	GGGATGTAGACCAGCCTCTG
	LRRC2-AS1	TCAGCCCATCAGATAGCAGC	GGATGATGCGTAGCGTTCCT
	LINC00659	AGAGCATGTTTTCCTTGGCTTT	TATGTCAGCTGTGATGTGGCA
	VCAN-AS1	AGAGCATGTTTTCCTTGGCTTT	TATGTCAGCTGTGATGTGGCA
	ITGA9-AS1	GAAAGCGAAACCGTGGATAA	GCTGTGTCCACTTGCTCCTT
	NKILA	CTGGTTTCGCAGGAGACTGT	CTACGCGAGTTCCCGTCTTT
	MAL2-AS1	GCTAGGAACACACTCCGGTC	TGGTACTAGGTGGTGGGTGT
	KRT7-AS	CTTGGCACGAGCATCCTTGA	CTCTGGTACTTGGGGGGAGTAG
	LINC01088	GCTGGCAGAGAGGAAGCTAA	TTAAGGGCCAGCTTGACTGT
	PSMG3-AS1	CTGAACTGCCGTGTTTTGGG	GAGCGGAGACTCCATTAGCC
	UCA1	CCCTACCCCAGTAATCCCCA	AGACTGCCTTTGGGTTGAGG
	TSPEAR-AS1	CTGAAGCAACATCAGGCGGA	AAAACACGGGGGCTTCTGTCA
	MALAT1	ATTGCCGACCTCACGGATTT	CAGCAGCAGACAGGATTCCA
	IL1A	TGGTAGTAGCAACCAACGGGA	ACTTTGATTGAGGGCGTCATTC
	IL1B	AGCTACGAATCTCCGACCAC	CGTTATCCCATGTGTCGAAGAA
	IL6	ACTCACCTCTTCAGAACGAATTG	CCATCTTTGGAAGGTTCAGGTTG
	ΤΝFα	CCTCTCTCTAATCAGCCCTCTG	GAGGACCTGGGAGTAGATGAG
	G-CSF	GCTGCTTGAGCCAACTCCATA	GAACGCGGTACGACACCTC
	IFNα	GCCTCGCCCTTTGCTTTACT	CTGTGGGTCTCAGGGAGATCA
	IFNβ	GCTTGGATTCCTACAAAGAAGCA	ATAGATGGTCAATGCGGCGTC
	τνξβ	ATGACACCACCTGAACGTCTC	CTCTCCAGAGCAGTGAGTTCT
	RANTES	CCAGCAGTCGTCTTTGTCAC	CTCTGGGTTGGCACACACTT
	ISG54	AAGCACCTCAAAGGGCAAAAC	TCGGCCCATGTGATAGTAGAC
-	RSAD2	TGGGTGCTTACACCTGCTG	GAAGTGATAGTTGACGCTGGTT

 Table S1.
 Primers used for RT-qPCR.

Table S2.	Primers us	sed for 5'	and 3'	RACE of BMOR.
-----------	------------	------------	--------	---------------

RACE type	Primer sequence		
	outer primer: GCAGAGGCAACAATAACAAGCAGAAGAA		
5 RACE	inner primer: TCAGGAGTCAAGGTCAGGCTATCACGCT		
2' DACE	outer primer: GGATCAAATGGAGGAGATGGAAG		
3 RACE	inner primer: TCTTCTGCTTGTTATTGTTGCCTC		

Plasmid name	Forward primer sequence	Reverse primer sequence	
PCDNA3 1-			
BMOR-FL	CCTCAGAGCTGGT	CGTCACCAG	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCACATGGGGAGACAG	CCCAAGCTTGCTATCACGCTGAGGTGA	
BMOR-R1	CCTCAGAGCTGGT	GAAGCACAC	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCCTGACCTTGACTCCT	CCCAAGCTTTACTTAAGAACCAAATGAT	
BMOR-R2	GAGTTCCACGAT	AAAATTCA	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCCTGACCTTGACTCCT	CCCAAGCTTGAGACAGAGCTTTGCTCT	
BMOR-R3	GAGTTCCACGAT	CGTCACCAG	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCTTACAAATTAATATTG	CCCAAGCTTCTTGTTCTTTTGCTTGCAT	
BMOR-R4	TGTACTCTCAT	GCCATTCT	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCGAGAGGGATGATAGA	CCCAAGCTTGAGACAGAGCTTTGCTCT	
BMOR-R5	GATGCAAGAAGA	CGTCACCAG	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCACATGGGGAGACAG	CCCAAGCTTCTTGTTCTTTTGCTTGCAT	
BMOR-R6	CCTCAGAGCTGGT	GCCATTCT	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCACATGGGGAGACAG	CCCAAGCTTCCCATTACTTGCAATGAAT	
BMOR-R7	CCTCAGAGCTGGT	TTTATAAC	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCACATGGGGAGACAG	CCCAAGCTTTACTTAAGAACCAAATGAT	
BMOR-R8	CCTCAGAGCTGGT	AAAATTCA	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCTTACAAATTAATATTG	CCCAAGCTTGAGACAGAGCTTTGCTCT	
BMOR-R9	TGTACTCTCAT	CGTCACCAG	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCTTACAAATTAATATTG	CCCAAGCTTCCCATTACTTGCAATGAAT	
BMOR-R10	TGTACTCTCAT	TTTATAAC	
PCDNA3.1-	CTAGCTAGCTGGTTGGGTAGGTTT	CCCAAGCTTGAGACAGAGCTTTGCTCT	
BMOR-R11	AAGAAATGCCAG	CGTCACCAG	
P3×Flag-CMV-	CCCAAGCTTATGGGAACCCCAAA	CCGGAATTCTCAGCTCTCCCCAGGGC	
IRF3	GCCACGGATCCTG	CCTGGAAATC	
P3×Flag-CMV-	CCCAAGCTTATGGGAACCCCAAA	CCGGAATTCTCACCCTACCCGGGCCAT	
IRF3-K1	GCCACGGATCCTG	TTCTACCAA	
P3×Flag-CMV-	CCCAAGCTTATGGGAACCCCAAA	CCGGAATTCTCACAGAATGTCTTCCTG	
IRF3-K2	GCCACGGATCCTG	GGTATCAGA	
P3×Flag-CMV-	CCCAAGCTTGATGAGTTACTGGGT	CCGGAATTCTCAGCTCTCCCCAGGGC	
IRF3-K3	AACATGGTGTTG	CCTGGAAATC	
P3×Flag-CMV-	CCCAAGCTTGAGTGGGAGTTCGA	CCGGAATTCTCAGCTCTCCCCAGGGC	
IRF3-K4	GGTGACAGCCTTC	CCTGGAAATC	
P3×Flag-CMV-	CCCAAGCTTATGGGAACCCCAAA	CCGGAATTCTTCCCCCGGCACCAACA	
IRF3-K5	GCCACGGATCCTG	GCCGCTTCAG	
P3×Flag-CMV-	CCCAAGCTTGATGAGTTACTGGGT	CCGGAATTCTCACCCTACCCGGGCCAT	
IRF3-K6	AACATGGTGTTG	TTCTACCAA	
pLV-Luci-BMOR	CCGCTCGAGACATGGGGAGACAG CCTCAGAGCTGGT	CGCGGATCCGAGACAGAGCTTTGCTC TCGTCACCAG	
pLKO.1- BMORshRNA#1	CCGGACTTGCAGTCATTGTAAATA TCTCGAGATATTTACAATGACTGCA AGTTTTTTG	AATTCAAAAAACTTGCAGTCATTGTAAA TATCTCGAGATATTTACAATGACTGCAA GT	
pLKO.1-BMOR	CCGGACCTACACATCATACAATAA	AATTCAAAAAACCTACACATCATACAAT	
shRNA #2	ACTCGAGTTTATTGTATGATGTGTA	AAACTCGAGTTTATTGTATGATGTGTAG	
PGL3-ISRE-Luci	CGGGAAAGTGAAACTAGGGAAAG TGAAACTAGGGAAAGTGAAACTAA	GATCTTAGTTTCACTTTCCCTAGTTTCA CTTTCCCTAGTTTCACTTTCCCGGTAC	

**Table S3.** Primers used for generation vectors for transfection of cells.

Dataset S1. (separate file). Transcription profiles of 231-BM cells vs. 231-Par cells.

Dataset S2. (separate file). Transcription profiles of 231-BM cells with vs. without BMOR depletion.

**Dataset S3. (separate file).** List of altered GSEA pathways in BMOR-depleted 231-BM cells compared to 231-BM cells without BMOR depletion.

**Dataset S4. (separate file).** List of altered GSEA pathways in 231-BM cells compared to 231-Par cells.

**Dataset S5. (separate file).** List of altered GSEA pathways in brain metastases compared to their paired primary breast tumors.

Dataset S6. (separate file). List of BMOR-binding proteins identified by RNA pull down-MS.