# Science Advances

## Supplementary Materials for

### Multiepitope supramolecular peptide nanofibers eliciting coordinated humoral and cellular antitumor immune responses

Yaoying Wu et al.

Corresponding author: Joel H. Collier, joel.collier@duke.edu

*Sci. Adv.* **8**, eabm7833 (2022) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abm7833

#### This PDF file includes:

Table S1 Figs. S1 to S12

#### Table S1 Peptides used in this paper. All peptides were purified by HPLC, and the masses were confirmed by MALDI

PEPvIII-Coil29	MW= 5105 g/mol
NH2-LEEKKGNYVVTDH SGSG QARILEADAEILRAYARILEAHAEILRAQ-NH2*	_
Trp2-Coil29	MW= 4880 g/mol
NH2- SVYDFFVWL AAYGG QARILEADAEILRAYARILEAHAEILRAQ-NH2*	
Td-Coil29	MW= 6053 g/mol
NH2-FNNFTVSFWLRVPKVSASHLE SGSG QARILEADAEILRAYARILEAHAEILRAQ-NH2*	
Coil29	MW= 3345 g/mol
Ac-QARILEADAEILRAYARILEAHAEILRAQ-NH2*	_
PEPvIII	MW= 1531 g/mol
NH2-LEEKKGNYVVTDH-NH2*	
Trp2	MW= 1174 g/mol
NH <sub>2</sub> -SVYDFFVWL-NH <sub>2</sub> *	
Td	MW= 2478 g/mol
NH2-FNNFTVSFWLRVPKVSASHLE-NH2*	
Biotin-PEPvIII	MW= 1757 g/mol
Biotin-LEEKKGNYVVTDH-NH <sub>2</sub> *	
*N-terminal NH2 indicates amidation	

12 Indicates amidation

**DBCO-P/Td-Fiber** 

**Biotin-Tr/Td-Fiber** 

#### DBCO-P/Biotin-Tr/Td-Fiber



в

Α

P/Td-Fiber

Tr/Td-Fiber

P/Tr/Td-Fiber



Figure S1. (A) Labelling handle modified peptides showed self-assembled morphology consistent to unmodified Coil29 nanofibers. (B) Gold nanoparticle labeling confirmed co-assembly between PEPvIII-Coil29 and Trp2-Coil29 peptides (fivenanometer particles label PEPvIII epitopes and ten-nanometer particles label Trp2 epitopes; scale bar = 100 nm)



Figure S2 The majority of fluorophore-labeled nanofibers were taken up by CD19+ B cells, with F4/80+ macrophages and CD11c+MHCII+ dendritic cells internalizing smaller proportions of the materials, 4 h after IP administration. (A) Representative flow histogram (B) The frequencies of each cell types among fluorophore+ CD45+ cells (\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\*\* p<0.001 by multiple comparison calculated using two-way ANOVA with Tukey test. N=3).



**Figure S3.** Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cell epitopes were efficiently presented in vitro when delivered by co-assembled nanofibers. (A) BMDCs were incubated with either SIINFEKL peptides or assembled nanofibers from indicated groups for 4 hours, followed by incubation with B3Z hybridoma reporter cells for 12 hours. Co-assembled nanofibers were found to efficiently present CD8+ T cell epitopes (\*\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, when compared to SIINFEKL/OVA<sub>323-339</sub>-fiber group by multiple comparison using two-way ANOVA with Dunnett test; N=3) (B) BMDC were incubated with either OVA<sub>323-339</sub> peptides or assembled nanofibers from indicated groups, followed by DOBW hybridoma reporter cells. IL-2 ELISA assays were carried out to determine the level of OVA<sub>323-339</sub>/MHCII presentation for different treatment conditions. Co-assembled nanofibers were found to efficiently present CD4+ T cell epitopes (\*\*\*\* p<0.0001 when compared to co-assembled SIINFEKL/OVA<sub>323-339</sub>-fiber group, as determined by multiple comparison using two-way ANOVA with Dunnett test; N=3).



Figure S4. CpG-adjuvanted nanofibers elicited Th1-biased lgG responses. Sera were collected from mice in Fig 3A on week 5 and diluted 500-fold for isotype ELISA.



**Figure S5. Co-assembled P/Td-fibers elicited strong cellular responses against Td CD4+ T cell epitopes, but generated low background Trp2-specific responses.** Splenocytes from immunized mice in Fig 2A were harvested 7 days after final immunizations, and were examined for cellular responses against Trp2 (A) and Td (B), using IFN-specific ELISPOT assay. (A) p-values calculated by multiple comparison using two-way ANOVA with Tukey test. N=8 (B) No statistical differences were found between groups for either stimulation condition by multiple comparison using two-way ANOVA with Tukey test. N=8.



Figure S6. NK1.1+ cells were expanded and harvested for ADCC assays after 12-day culture of splenocytes in the presence of IL-2 at 1000 U/mL.



Figure S7. Sera from mice immunized with P/Td-fibers mediated ADCC activity specifically against B16 cells with EGFRvIII receptors. CFSE-labelled B16vIII or B16F10 cells were incubated with mouse NK cells with an E:T ratio of 1:1 in the presence of mouse sera after serial dilutions. The viability of B16vIII were assessed after 7 hour incubation, and the percentage of dead cells is defined as ADCC activity. The p values were calculated between different cell types within each treatment group by multiple comparison using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni test. N=3



Figure S8. Representative flow cytometry of CFSE-labeled B16vIII cells 7 h after coculturing with NK cells in the presences of sera from four immunization groups (PBS, Peptides/CFA, P/Td-fiber and P/Tr/Td-fiber)



Figure S9. Representative flow cytometry of phagocytosis activity 5 h after coculturing B16vIII and BMDMs in the presences of sera from four immunization groups (PBS, Peptides/CFA, P/Td-fiber and P/Tr/Td-fiber)



Figure S10. Sera from immunized mice mediated ADCP phagocytosis specifically against cells with EGFRvIII receptors; and aCD47 antibodies enhanced ADCP against target cells. CFSE-labelled B16vIII cells were incubated with CellTrace yellow-labelled BMDM with an E:T ratio of 2:1 in the presence of mouse sera from indicated groups, in addition to isotype control antibodies (A) or aCD47 (B). The phagocytosis activity against tumor cells (the percentage of CFSE+ macrophages) were assessed after a 5 hour incubation. The p-values were calculated between target cell types within each sera group, by multiple comparison using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni test. \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\*\* p<0.0001 compared with PBS within B16vIII or B16F10 groups, by multiple comparison using two-way ANOVA with Dunnett test (N=4).



**Figure S11. Immune checkpoint and phagocytosis blockade antibodies enhanced cytotoxic activity against B16vIII cells** *in vitro*. Activity fold changes of the blockade antibodies relative to isotype control (experimental data from Figure 6A, 6B, and 6C): **(A)** ADCC (N=4) **(B)** ADCP (N=4) **(C)** CTL (N=10). \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\*\* p<0.001 by multiple comparison using one-way ANOVA with Tukey test).





**Figure S12.** P/Tr/Td-Fiber vaccine, CFA and CpG showed similar effect in eliciting T cell responses, while multiepitope nanofibers exhibited superior ability in eliciting antibody responses against PEPvIII antigens. (A) C57BL/6 mice received two subcutaneous immunizations (black arrows) and splenocytes were harvested on week 3 for ELISPOT assays. PEPvIII-specific IgG titers in sera were monitored on week 2 before booster immunizations and week 3. (B) P/Tr/Tdfiber, peptides/CFA emulsion group, and peptides/CpG group all stimulated significant level of Trp2-specific T cell responses on week 3 (\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\*\* p<0.0001 by multiple comparison using one-way ANOVA with Tukey test, N=10). (C) P/Tr/Tdfiber, peptides/CFA emulsion group, and peptides/CpG group all stimulated significant level of Td-specific T cell responses on week 3 (\*\*\*\* p<0.0001 by multiple comparison using one-way ANOVA with Tukey test, N=10). (D) P/Tr/Td-fiber elicited stronger PEPvIII-specific IgG responses after primary and booster immunizations, while neither CFA nor CpG was effective in inducing antibody responses when delivered with peptide epitopes. (\* p<0.05, \*\*\*\* p<0.0001 by multiple comparison via one-way ANOVA with Tukey test, N=5).