## checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) f-5026

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

### Datablock: f-5026

Bond precision:	C-C = 0.0040 A	Wavelength=0.79990	
Cell:	a=8.8500(18) alpha=90	b=14.500(3) beta=99.66(3)	
Temperature:	100 K	2004 33.00(0)	gamma 30
	Calculated	Reported	
Volume	3004.5(11)	3004.5(11)	
Space group	P 21	P 21	
Hall group	P 2yb	P 2yb	
Moiety formula	C38 H34, C H2 C12	?	
Sum formula	C39 H36 C12	C78 H72 C1	.4
Mr	575.58	1151.15	
Dx,g cm-3	1.273	1.272	
Z	4	2	
Mu (mm-1)	0.330	0.336	
F000	1216.0	1216.0	
F000'	1217.97		
h,k,lmax	13,21,35	13,20,31	
Nref	20947[ 10838]	17697	
Tmin, Tmax	0.968,0.977		
Tmin'	0.967		
Correction metho	od= Not given		
Data completenes	ss= 1.63/0.84	Theta( $max$ ) = 36.653	
R(reflections) =	0.0420( 17174)		wR2(reflections) = 0.1221( 17697)
S = 1.085	Npar= 75	1	0.1221(1/09/)
5 - 1.005	Mpai- 73	<b>_</b>	

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format test-name\_ALERT\_alert-type\_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

### 🎈 Alert level B

PLAT035\_ALERT\_1\_B \_chemical\_absolute\_configuration Info Not Given Please Do! PLAT230\_ALERT\_2\_B Hirshfeld Test Diff for C31A --C32A . 7.2 s.u.

### Alert level C

STRVA01\_ALERT\_4\_C Flack test results are ambiguous.

From the CIF: \_refine\_ls\_abs\_structure\_Flack 0.496
From the CIF: \_refine\_ls\_abs\_structure\_Flack\_su 0.007

PLAT029\_ALERT\_3\_C \_diffrn\_measured\_fraction\_theta\_full value Low . 0.961 Why?

# Author Response: This is caused by the limited data collection strategy with the synchrotron facility.

### Alert level G

ABSMU01\_ALERT\_1\_G Calculation of \_exptl\_absorpt\_correction\_mu not performed for this radiation type. PLAT033\_ALERT\_4\_G Flack x Value Deviates > 3.0 \* sigma from Zero . 0.496 Note PLAT045\_ALERT\_1\_G Calculated and Reported Z Differ by a Factor ... 2.00 Check PLAT092\_ALERT\_4\_G Check: Wavelength Given is not Cu, Ga, Mo, Ag, In Ka 0.79990 Ang. PLAT111\_ALERT\_2\_G ADDSYM Detects New (Pseudo) Centre of Symmetry . 100 %Fit PLAT112\_ALERT\_2\_G ADDSYM Detects New (Pseudo) Symm. Elem 100 %Fit PLAT113\_ALERT\_2\_G ADDSYM Suggests Possible Pseudo/New Space Group P21/c Check PLAT180\_ALERT\_4\_G Check Cell Rounding: # of Values Ending with 0 = 3 Note PLAT720\_ALERT\_4\_G Number of Unusual/Non-Standard Labels ..... 4 Note PLAT791\_ALERT\_4\_G Model has Chirality at C1A (Sohnke SpGr) S Verify PLAT791\_ALERT\_4\_G Model has Chirality at C1B (Sohnke SpGr) R Verify PLAT791\_ALERT\_4\_G Model has Chirality at C10A (Sohnke SpGr) R Verify PLAT791\_ALERT\_4\_G Model has Chirality at C12B (Sohnke SpGr) S Verify PLAT883\_ALERT\_1\_G No Info/Value for \_atom\_sites\_solution\_primary . Please Do ! PLAT912\_ALERT\_4\_G Missing # of FCF Reflections Above STh/L= 0.600 1076 Note PLAT913\_ALERT\_3\_G Missing # of Very Strong Reflections in FCF .... 1 Note PLAT952\_ALERT\_5\_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ 4 Units PLAT958\_ALERT\_1\_G Calculated (ThMax) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ 4 Units PLAT978\_ALERT\_2\_G Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density. 20 Info PLAT984\_ALERT\_1\_G The C-f'=0.0033 Deviates from the B&C-Value 0.0043 Check PLAT984\_ALERT\_1\_G The Cl-f'= 0.1615 Deviates from the B&C-Value 0.1777 Check PLAT992\_ALERT\_5\_G Repd & Actual \_reflns\_number\_gt Values Differ by 4 Check

```
2 ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
8 ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
22 ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected

8 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
7 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
5 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
10 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
2 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
```

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special\_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

### **Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals**

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

#### **Publication of your CIF in other journals**

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of 13/07/2021; check.def file version of 13/07/2021

