	Lorenzini & Wittich, 2021	Lancioni <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2011	Ihrig, 2019	Dogru- Huzmeli <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2021	Bittner <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2018	Authors	Quantita	Supplem	
	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)		Is the question / objective sufficiently described?	Quantitative studies (N=9)	Supplementary material 1 – Quality appraisal	
	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Is the study design evident and appropriate?	(N=9)	erial 1 – C	
Supplemental material	Ÿes (2)	MJ Publishin	roup Limited AM i on this supplem	IJ) disclaims	(2	ts:the method of subject/comparison group selection or source of information/input variables described and appropriate?		uality appi	BMJ Open
	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Partial (1)	s (2	Are the Subject (and comparison group, if applicable) characteristics sufficiently described?		'aisal	
	Yes (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	If interventional and random allocation was possible, was it described?			
	Yes (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	If interventional and blinding of investigators was possible, was it reported?			
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	If interventional and blinding of subjects was possible, was it reported?			
	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	s (2)	Are outcome and (if applicable) exposure measure(s) well defined and robust to measurement / misclassification bias? Are means of assessment reported?			
	Yes (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Is the sample size appropriate?			
	Yes (2)	N/A	Yes (2)	A/N	Yes (2)	Are the analytic methods described/justified and appropriate?			
	Yes (2)	No (0)	Yes (2)	A/N		Is some estimate of variance is reported for the main results?			
	Yes (2)	N/A	N/A	A/N	N/A	Controlled for confounding? Jones L, et al. BMJ Open 2022; 12:e059985.	loi: 10.1136/b	mjopen-2	2021-059985
	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Yes (2)	Are results reported in sufficient detail?			
	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Yes (2)	Are conclusions supported by the results?			
	1.00	0.64	0.94	0.67	1.00	Score			

Lorenzini & Wittich, 2021	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	N/A	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	N/A	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	1.00
Sabel & Gudlin, 2014	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	0.93
Senjam <i>et al.</i> , 2021	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	0.93
Tinelli <i>et al.,</i> 2017	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Yes (2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes (2)	N/A	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	N/A	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	0.94

Qualitative study (N=1)

Authors	Question / objective sufficiently described?	Study design evident and appropriate?	Context for the study clear?	Connection to a theoretical framework / wider body of knowledge?	Sampling strategy described, relevant and justified?	Data collection methods clearly described and systematic?	Data analysis clearly described and systematic?	Use of verification procedure(s) to establish credibility?	Conclusions supported by the results?	Reflexivity of the account?	Overall score
Dunne <i>et al.</i> , 2020	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	Yes (2)	Yes (2)	Partial (1)	0.85