

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees [\(http://bmjopen.bmj.com\)](http://bmjopen.bmj.com/).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <info.bmjopen@bmj.com>

BMJ Open

Effects of remote learning during COVID-19 lockdown on children's visual health: a Systematic Review.

 $\mathbf{1}$

I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined *in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the* Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our *[licence](https://authors.bmj.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BMJ_Journals_Combined_Author_Licence_2018.pdf)*.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

For Crypton

Title: Effects of remote learning during COVID-19 lockdown on children's visual health: a Systematic Review.

Authors names: María C. Cortés-Albornoz¹, Sofía Ramírez-Guerrero¹; William Rojas-Carabali¹; Alejandra de-la-Torre¹; Claudia Talero-Gutiérrez^{1*}

: 1. Neuroscience Research Group (NEUROS) and Centr
alth Sciences, Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá.
hor: Claudia Talero-Gutiér[re](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0733-5105)z, Kra 24#63C-69. Escuela
iolombia), +573158959271, <u>claudia.talero@urosario.edu</u>
olombia), +5 **Author affiliations: 1.** Neuroscience Research Group (NEUROS) and Centro Neurovitae, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá.

Corresponding author: Claudia Talero-Gutiérrez, Kra 24#63C-69. Escuela de Medicina- Quinta

de Mutis (Bogotá, Colombia), +573158959271, claudia.talero@urosario.edu.co

Author notes:

María C. Cortés-Albornoz, MD.: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0733-5105, mariacam.cortes@urosario.edu.co

Sofía Ramírez-Guerrero: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8927-8284, sofia.ramirezg@urosario.edu.co

William Rojas-Carabali, MD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9976-8989, warcinvest@gmail.com

Alejandra de-la-Torre, MD., PhD.: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0684-1989, [alejadelatorre@yahoo.com](mailto:alejadelatorre@yahoo.co)

Claudia Talero-Gutiérrez MD.: orcid.org/0000-0003-1601-5015

 $\mathbf{1}$

Abstract:

Objective: Increased exposure to digital devices for online classes increases susceptibility to visual impairments, particularly among school students exposed to e-learning strategies. This study aims to identify the impact of remote learning due to COVID-19 lockdown in children's visual health.

Methods: A systematic review was conducted using Scopus, PubMed, and ScienceDirect databases. Eligible articles included cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies, case series, and case reports, as well as those published in English, Spanish, or French, from the year 2020 onwards. Articles excluded were different study designs, published before 2020, studies focused on the adult population, and those evaluating children with genetic syndromes.

matic review was conducted using Scopus, PubMed
articles included cross-sectional, case-control, and cohor
well as those published in English, Spanish, or French
ccluded were different study designs, published before 2
ion **Results:** A total of 19 articles were included with previous quality assessments following the Joanna Briggs checklist. Risk of bias assessment was applied using the NIH quality assessment tool for before-after studies, the Hoy et al. tool for prevalence studies, the Murad MH et al. tool for case reports/case series, and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for cohort studies. Overall, the main ocular effects found were refractive errors, accommodation disturbances, and visual symptoms (dry eye syndrome and asthenopia).

Conclusion: Before the pandemic started, there was evidence of the relationship between screen time and visual impairments. However, increased dependence on digital devices for online classes has either induced or exacerbated visual disturbances in children exposed to remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown**.**

PROSPERO registration number CRD42022307107

Keywords: COVID-19, lockdown, remote learning, distance education, screen time, vision, myopia, children.

Key questions:

What is already known on this topic:

wn on this topic:
abases (PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Scopus) for prim
f, English, and Spanish between 2000 and 2022. The sea
visual impairment)) OR (myopia [MeSH Terms])) AND
e, nursing, health sciences to retrieve evidenc We searched 3 databases (PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Scopus) for primary research articles published in French, English, and Spanish between 2000 and 2022. The search followed the key terms: (vision) OR (visual impairment)) OR (myopia [MeSH Terms])) AND (screen time) in areas such as neuroscience, nursing, health sciences to retrieve evidence before COVID-19 pandemic. We identified different studies that inquired screen time, video gaming, and internet use with ocular surface alterations, refractive error progression, visual fatigue, retinal microvasculature alterations among other visual consequences on children. We highlight one cohort study before pandemic that found that increased near work and computer use increased the risk of myopia, while outdoor time showed a protective effect. Myopia incidence and progression are determined by other environmental and socio-cultural factors linked to lifestyles. In 2021 a retrospective crosssectional study from 2016 to 2017 evaluated the association of electronic device use with dry eye disease in children and found a strong association with severe meibomian gland atrophy. These studies showed that adaptation of new habits due to the increase in the use of technology could trigger changes in children's visual health worldwide.

What this study adds:

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that summarizes evidence about the effects of remote learning during COVID-19 lockdown on children's visual health. We assessed reported data from more than 1.1 million patients worldwide addressing five clinical conditions, including esotropia, accommodation disturbances, dry eye, asthenopia, and refractive errors, especially myopia, a highly prevalent and costly condition. Most studies demonstrate that the lifestyle and habits changes during the pandemic expose children to risk factors such as high near work time and low outdoor time, increasing the incidence and prevalence of myopia and triggering new conditions such as digital eye strain and esotropia.

How this study might affect research, practice or policy:

Example the incidence and prevalence of myopia
me, increasing the incidence and prevalence of myopia
igital eye strain and esotropia.
at affect research, practice or policy:
I the most prevalent visual abnormalities in chi This study identified the most prevalent visual abnormalities in children exposed to intense digital screen time during online classes in the COVID-19 lockdown scenario. The appearance and worsening of convergence insufficiency, accommodation disturbances, refraction errors, and asthenopia represents a public health issue in the growing technological era. In accordance with previous evidence, results obtained from this systematic review highlight the importance of implementing preventive and therapeutic strategies to delay the appearance or further development of visual disturbances in children.

 $\mathbf{1}$

Introduction:

Since the World Health Organization declared a global pandemic in March 2020, COVID-19 has become the focus of governmental decisions to slow down its death toll and ameliorate its consequences. Schools, universities, and businesses have been forced to close to prevent spread, inducing a drastic change from in-person relationships to absolute digital dependence [\(1\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/PqN4) Lifestyle and behavioral modifications that have emerged in response to lockdowns have affected approximately 80% of the world's student population (1).

ange from in-person relationships to absolute digital dependifications that have emerged in response to lockd of the world's student population (1).

f in-house quarantine implied a significant decrease in o

i, and increa The establishment of in-house quarantine implied a significant decrease in outdoor activities, less exposure to sunlight, and increased time spent doing near work, which predisposed individuals to visual impairments, especially among school and university students submerged into a digital learning approach [\(1,2\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/PqN4) Growing dependence on e-learning and electronic devices has increased the incidence of visual fatigue, onset and progression of myopia, dry eye syndrome, irregular astigmatism, and acute concomitant esotropia, among other ocular pathologies [\(3\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/VGK0)

Even before the pandemic was declared, it was estimated that by the end of the century, almost 100% of the world's population will have acquired myopia [\(1\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/PqN4) During COVID-19 lockdown, the increasing need for electronic devices, digital screens, and virtual classrooms have caused previously healthy students to develop myopia, and those who already had it faced a rapid progression. Obligatory confinement, intensive near-work activities, and lower sunlight exposure lead to visual fatigue and, eventually, myopia, the most prevalent ocular impairment [\(3\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/VGK0)

 $\mathbf{1}$

BMJ Open

On the other hand, digital screen use is considered a common risk factor of dry eye syndrome (DED), characterized by the deterioration of tear film quality, which can be exacerbated by longer digital screen time [\(4](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/fBYf)[,5\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/JwTZ). The longer the screen time, the higher the risk and the more severe the symptoms [\(6\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/C6ka) . Myopia and dry eye syndrome are a few examples of the consequences in visual health caused by the increasing demand on e-learning approaches to which children have been exposed from a very young age. Therefore, this systematic review aims to identify the impact of remote learning due to COVID-19 lockdown in children's visual health.

Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

Foung uge. Therefore, ans systematic terror anns to the COVID-19 lockdo[w](https://www.sciencedirect.com/search)n in children's visual health.
 Systematic r[evi](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/advanced/)ew was conducted using three online data
 Systematic review was conducted using three online data
 In January of 2022 a Systematic review was conducted using three online databases. The following terms were used in PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/advanced/) (((((vision) OR (visual impairment)) OR (myopia[MeSH Terms])) AND (COVID-19)) AND (lockdown)) AND (screen time). In Science direct database (https://www.sciencedirect.com/search) ((vision)OR (visual impairment) OR (myopia)) AND ((Covid-19 lockdown)) AND (screen time)). Finally in Scopus database ([https://www.scopus.com](https://www.scopus.com/search/form.uri?display=basic)) we used ALL (vision OR ("visual" AND "impairment") OR myopia AND ("Covid-19" AND "lockdown") AND ("screen" AND "time")) AND (LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "MEDI") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "COMP") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "NEUR") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "NURS") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "HEAL")) . The ID CRD42022307107 was generated in the International prospective register of systematic reviews PROSPERO.

Data collection

 $\mathbf{1}$

be included if they staated the effects of fenoce teaming
spical children's visual health. And excluded if they (I) v
effects of remote learning during COVID-19 lockdown
th; (III) participants were children with genetic sy A total of 324 articles were retrieved, duplicates were removed, and the remaining articles were filtered by title and abstract following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systemtic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (figure 1 and Supplementary table 1). Five researchers divided into two groups screened all articles, and 26 articles were selected. At weekly meetings, authors analyzed studies, debated disagreements, and double-checked all articles following inclusion and exclusion criteria. Articles were included if they studied the effects of remote learning during COVID-19 lockdown on neurotypical children's visual health. And excluded if they (I) were published before 2020; (II) studied the effects of remote learning during COVID-19 lockdown on adult or university students' visual health; (III) participants were children with genetic syndromes or visual disability; (IV) were book chapters, editorial or opinion texts; (V) were published in languages other than Spanish, English, and French. A total of 19 articles were included and evaluated with Joanna Briggs's checklist to guarantee their quality. Additionally, risk of bias assessment was applied using The National Institutes of Health (NIH) quality assessment tool for before-after (Pre-Post) studies with no control group (7), the Hoy et al. proposed tool (8,9), the Tool for evaluating the methodological quality of case reports and case series proposed by Murad MH et al. [\(10\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/X5et) and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for cohort studies (7). All domains were evaluated in the tools (Supplementary table 2).

Finally, data were extracted to obtain the following information: title, authors, digital object identifier number, objective, type of study, country of the study, population (age and sample), presence of control group (age and sample), implemented test or evaluation methodology, main visual outcome, results, conclusion, and a final question: is there an effect of COVID-19 lockdown on visual health? Visual health improves, worsens, or remains the same?. All information was synthesized using qualitative and quantitative synthesis (results column). Considering the

BMJ Open

heterogeneity among studies, we create subgroups of analysis to cluster findings, for example, studies regarding dry eye disease, refractive errors, clinical symptoms, among others. All investigators participated in the data collection and synthesis.

Patient and public involvement:

This research was done without patient or public involvement. However, the findings will be shared in conferences with pediatric ophthalmologists and myopic patients who attend ophthalmological services.

Results

For the period optical mologists and myopic
process.
The review were grouped based on the main visual out
tus and progression during COVID-19 lockdown. Ove
efractive errors (myopia), accommodation disturbances (
and fatigu Articles included in the review were grouped based on the main visual outcome associated with children's vision status and progression during COVID-19 lockdown. Overall, the main ocular effects found were refractive errors (myopia), accommodation disturbances (esotropia), and visual symptoms (dry eye and fatigue) (**Table 1**). Among all studies, 14 were conducted in Asia [\(11-24](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl)), 2 in Europe [\(25,26\),](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/kGl4) and 3 in America (27-29). After the risk of bias assessment, we found that all the cross-sectional studies presented a low risk of bias. The unique case series had a low risk of bias. Three of the before-and-after studies had a fair quality, and one had good quality.

Table 1: Articles related to visual outcomes and the impact of remote learning during COVID-19 .

es regarding refractive errors related to virtual learning
them studied myopia progression as the main visual ou
opia worsened throughout COVID-19 lockdown in ch
ears old (13,15,17,18,20–22). One study showed a sig
trefra We found 10 articles regarding refractive errors related to virtual learning during COVID -19 lockdown. Most of them studied myopia progression as the main visual outcome. Seven studies evidenced that myopia worsened throughout COVID-19 lockdown in children and teenagers between 5 to 18 years old (13,15,17,18,20–22). One study showed a significant decrease in spherical equivalent refraction (SER) in children with hyperopia and emmetropia [\(26\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/wkK3) Interestingly, a study evaluating axial length in myopic children undergoing orthokeratology did not find any change in myopia progression during lockdown (19). Furthermore, one study focused on children's risk factors and behavioral changes due to COVID-19 lockdown and its relationship with myopia found that all children had changes in near-work time, electronic device use, and outdoor time. However, myopic children had a significantly lower daily light exposure than nonmyopic [\(28\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/IgVx) Monthly myopia progression during COVID-19 lockdown was reported to be -0.074 D/month, which correlates to an annual progression in 2020 of -0.71 ± 0.46 D [\(13,18\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Qp0k) SER was estimated in several studies. In 2020, the mean SER in myopic children and teenagers was between -1.94 ± 2.13 D and -2.7 ± 1.21 D being significantly lower than in 2019 (-1.64 ± 5.49 D and -1.99 ± 1.04 D, p: <0.001) [\(17,18\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/kP5f) In the same way, a significant decrease in the mean SER of hyperopic and emmetrope children was found in 2019 and 2020 to be 0.66 ± 2.03 D (2019) and 0.48 ± 1.81 D (2020) with a p ≤ 0.001 [\(26\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/wkK3) Finally, studies comparing virtual learning during

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

BMJ Open

COVID-19 lockdown as an exposure risk factor found a higher incidence of myopia in exposed children (p< 0.01) [\(20-22\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/gkE8) .

Additionally, three studies reported accommodation and vergence dysfunction secondary to near work and increased screen-use time [\(11,25,29\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl+HEgT+kGl4). Two studies focused on binocular accommodation in a total of 156 children, from 10 to 17 years old, reported a significant increase in the Convergence Insufficiency Symptom Survey (CISS) after exposure to longer screen time during online classes [\(11,25,29\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl+HEgT+kGl4). The other study reported 4 cases of children with acquired concomitant esotropia and vergence abnormalities secondary to excessive use of digital devices [\(11,25,29\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl+HEgT+kGl4)

Example 1, 10 To to 17 years one, reported a signal
For persons of the study reported 4 cases of children with a
none abnormalities secondary to excessive use of digital d
emerging visual symptoms were identified in six st On the other hand, emerging visual symptoms were identified in six studies whose population ranged from 8 to 20 years old. They all reported worsening of visual symptoms such as impaired vision, asthenopia, dryness, scratchiness, headache, eye redness, eye strain, and light sensitivity, among others [\(12,14,16,23,24,29\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Nlme+KD0j+HEgT+H1GY+Iq8m+9hsd)

Overall results based on qualitative data synthesis showed a negative effect of COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in children. Only one of the articles included did not show a deleterious impact on visual impairment (19).

Discussion

Refractive errors:

COVID-19 lockdown impacted children and teenagers' behavior and daily life, resulting in increased digital time, near work, and decreased outdoor time [\(30\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/N6Xv) It is estimated that close to

1.37 billion students worldwide have changed to a digital or e-learning school modality [\(30\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/N6Xv). These factors have been related to myopia incidence and progression [\(30\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/N6Xv). First, the relationship between near work, especially near reading, and myopia has been well studied even before the pandemic, as stated in The Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Ethnicity and Refractive Error Stud[y\(30,31\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/zaOu). Second, several studies have focused on screen time and its association with myopia development [\(30,32,33\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/s1iF+F9aO). Third, outdoor time has been considered a protective factor against myopia onset. He et al. showed a 23% reduction in myopia incidence after 40 minutes of outdoor time [\(30,34\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/N6Xv+GdY5) .

al. showed a 23% reduction in myopia incidence after 4
al. showed a 23% reduction in myopia incidence after 4
Mirhajianmoghadam et al. assessed subjective and obje
myopic children in the USA during 2020 (28). Initially, p
 During COVID-19, Mirhajianmoghadam et al. assessed subjective and objective measures in 14 myopic and 39 non-myopic children in the USA during 2020 (28). Initially, parents completed the University of Houston Near Work, Environment, Activity, and Refraction survey in three sessions. The first session corresponded to questions related to summer 2020, while the COVID-19 pandemic. The second session was regarded as a typical school period before COVID-19, and a third session corresponded to a typical summer period before COVID-19. Later, an actigraph device measured children's physical activity, sleep, and ambient illumination (time spent outdoors) for 10 days. Results showed that all children had less time outdoors during COVID-19 summer than before lockdown summers and an increase in daily electronic device use. Furthermore, myopic children had less daily light exposure (183.6 \pm 39.3 lux) and less time outdoors (0.2 hours per day) during COVID-19 compared to non-myopic children $(279.5 \pm 23.5 \text{ lux}, P = 0.04)(28)$ $(279.5 \pm 23.5 \text{ lux}, P = 0.04)(28)$.

Some previous studies have proposed that increased digital use time is associated with decreased time spent outdoors and retina impaired dopamine release, normally stimulated by daylight

exposure. This suppresses axial expansion of the eye, preventing myopia progression [\(35,36\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/F5ba+ZMUp). For example, Wu et al. reported that children who spent more than 11 hours a week outdoors had a 53% decrease in myopia progression [\(37\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/9lQb). And Ip et al. reported an increased incidence of progression in children living in apartment buildings compared to those living in detached houses [\(38\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/4PTo) And Xu et al. found that students' online time was significantly positively associated with increased myopia incidence and progression [\(21\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/cfQX) However, other studies have not shown this correlation [\(18\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/9Yfc). Aslan et al. reported that myopia advancement in 2020 was mainly slow $(0.31 \pm 0.2 \text{ D})$ in most of the children evaluated (49 subjects), followed by moderate proregression on 45 children (0.82 \pm 0.14 D). However, this study found no correlation with digital time use or glasses use [\(18\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/9Yfc). Yet, myopia progression and digital time use relationship are still under investigation.

Example and progressi[on](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Qp0k) (21). However, once stating
slan et al. reported that myopia advancement in 202
st of the children evaluated (49 subjects), followed by me
 $t \pm 0.14$ D). However, this study found no correlation wi Moreover, Mirhajianmoghadam et al. and Aslan et al.'s results supported findings that evaluated myopia progression due to the COVID-19 lockdown. For example, Chang et al. compared myopic progression before, during, and after COVID-19 lockdown in a 44,187-student population in China, assessing the non-cycloplegic autorefraction and the SER (13). Four evaluation rounds separated by 6 months during 2019 and 2020 indicated a transitory accelerated myopic progression in children that reversed after lockdown. Mean SER during pre-pandemic was -0.030 D/month, later during lockdown mean SER was -0.074 D/month and during post COVID 19 lockdown, was 0.016 D/month, accordingly to a myopic proportion of 48% (before lockdown), 45.2% (before lockdown) , 73.7% (shortly after lockdown) and 67.9% (after lockdown) during round 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. Authors considered accommodative spasms and structural changes related to restricted outdoor time, increased screen time, and limited indoor space as the leading causes of

 $\mathbf{1}$

the progression. Moreover, younger children were at a higher risk of myopic progression during lockdown due to more pronounced lifestyle changes related to less light exposure and dopamine levels [\(13\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Qp0k) .

Spin in girls. The prevalence of myopia appeared to be a
in other years for children aged 6 years, 2 times highe
s higher for those aged 8 years. That leads to the hyp
ensitive to environmental changes than older (15). Fu This matches with Wang et al., who reported a substantial decrease in the SER, especially for children aged 6 (−0.32 D), 7 (−0.28 D), and 8 (−0.29 D) years, p-value: <0.05 [\(15\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/jOp6). With an earlier development of myopia in girls. The prevalence of myopia appeared to be approximately 3 times higher in 2020 than in other years for children aged 6 years, 2 times higher for children aged 7 years, and 1.4 times higher for those aged 8 years. That leads to the hypothesis that younger children are more sensitive to environmental changes than older (15). Furthermore, Wang et al. reported a prevalence of Myopia of 39.27% in primary school students, 73.39% in junior school, and 84.89% in high school students, identifying a 2020 increase rate of myopia among teenagers (55.02%) compared to 2019 (44.64%) (17).

Interestingly, Lv et al. investigated the potential impacts of home confinement on myopia progression from the perspective of axial length growth in children applying orthokeratology treatment [\(19\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/tJlf) They found a monthly axial length growth of 0.023 ± 0.019 mm/month, 0.018 ± 0.019 0.021 mm/month, and 0.014 ± 0.016 mm/month before, during and after home confinement, respectively. However, the monthly axial length growth after and before confinement was not significantly different $(P = 0.333)$, while age was negatively associated with the axial length growth rate during confinement in myopic children [\(19\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/tJlf) This coincides with the findings of a previous meta-analysis that suggested that orthokeratology decreased the rate of myopia progression in children [\(39\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/vTSB) .

For performance, the solution of the statement (p < 0.001). Children who spent more time outdoors of confinement (p < 0.001 and p = 0.049) (26). Even though emonstrate a myopia progression, it is concerning to idenfinemen Conversely, Alvarez-Peregrina et al. did not find an increase in the prevalence of myopic children between 2019 and 2020 [\(26\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/wkK3). However, they encountered that the percentage of hyperopes decreased, and the percentage of emmetropes increased ($p < 0.001$). The average SE value in 2019 was +0.66 \pm 2.03 D, compared to +0.48 \pm 1.81 D in 2020 (p \leq 0.001). This decrease was significant in children of 5 years old. Additionally, 47% (CI 95%: 45–50) of children decreased the amount of time spent outdoors ($p < 0.001$). Children who spent more time outdoors had higher SE in both cases: pre and post confinement ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.049$) (26). Even though Alvarez-Peregrina et al.'s results did not demonstrate a myopia progression, it is concerning to identify that the reduction of SER is a strong predictor factor of myopia in emmetropes and hyperopes children, as analyzed in WePrOM study [\(40\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/FbLa)

Accommodation and vergence disturbances:

The longer duration of digital device use, the more accommodative effort is required, and consequently, increasing asthenopia symptoms and accommodation and vergence dysfunction. Mohan et al. studied the effects of online classes during the COVID-19 pandemic, considering the time spent during online classes and other digital devices such as TV, video games, and smartphones. They evidenced that 36 out of 46 children were symptomatic for convergence insufficiency, according to the CISS survey, followed by an optometrist's and pediatric ophthalmologist's evaluation. However, children exposed to classes of less than 4 hours/day registered fewer symptoms than those who attended online classes for more than 4 hours every day. Furthermore, near exophoria, near point convergence, positive fusional weakness, and accommodation excess were also reported higher in children exposed to longer online classes [\(11\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl).

Similarly, Hamburger et al. evaluated ocular symptoms in 110 children who attended virtual school during the COVID-19 pandemic and found out 61% of the children reported a significant increase in convergence insufficiency as evidenced by a higher CISS score after online classes [\(29\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/HEgT) .

m (25). All of them experienced acute onset of diplopia at
al screens. The ophthalmologic examination reported maters (PD) at far and near distances in all four patients.
y cycloplegic refraction of $+1.00$ to $+2.00$ dio On the other hand, Vagge et al. reported four cases of children between 4 and 16 years old who developed acute acquired concomitant esotropia after intense digital device use during the COVID-19 lockdown (25). All of them experienced acute onset of diplopia after more than 8 hours a day spent on digital screens. The ophthalmologic examination reported manifest esotropia from 20 to 35 prism diopters (PD) at far and near distances in all four patients. Two out of the four presented bilaterally cycloplegic refraction of $+1.00$ to $+2.00$ diopter sphere. One of them presented cycloplegic refraction of -2.50 in the right eye and -2.25 in the left eye, and one of the four presented -0.5 bilaterally (25). Some studies suggest that digital-induced esotropia can be associated with excessive application of near vision, as well as a dynamic activation of medial rectus muscles when exposed to longer periods of digital screen time that affect the near vision triad [accommodation-convergence reflex: convergence of both eyes, contraction of the ciliary muscle resulting in a change of lens shape (accommodation), and pupillary constriction [\(25,41,42\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Ra6p) .

Visual symptoms

COVID-19 lockdown and remote learning has increased digital device use and consequently has precipitated a rise in dry eye symptoms and asthenopia. Hamburger et al. reported a significant increase in asthenopia symptoms before and after online classes with predominating symptoms such as discomfort, fatigue, and impaired vision. Moreover, an increased asthenopia score was identified in more than half of the children evaluated [\(29\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/HEgT)**.** Likewise, Li et al. identified a positive association between screen time and risk of asthenopia in approximately 25,000 students from 8

BMJ Open

to 20 years old, attributing higher risks of asthenopia to conditions such as myopia, astigmatism, and mechanical factors like greater distance from the screen [\(23\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/9hsd)

Elhusseiny et al. reported a significant increase in symptoms such as dryness, grittiness, and scratchiness associated with prolonged exposure to digital screens for education and leisure purposes in 403 children between 10 to 18 years [\(16\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/H1GY) Similarly, Mohan et al. identified longer screen time during COVID-19 lockdown compared to pre-COVID era in 217 children, of which almost half attended online classes (12). Specifically, more than a third of the children evaluated used digital devices for over 5 hours a day, and 50.23% manifested dry eye syndrome with itching and headache as the most predominant symptoms.

COVID-19 lockdown compared to pre-COVID era in 2

online classes (12). Specifically, more than a third of the

for over 5 hours a day, and 50.23% manifested dry eye s

most predominant symptoms.

ed 654 students between 5 Gupta et al. evaluated 654 students between 5 to 18 years old using the Rasch-based Computer-Vision Symptom Scale (14). The authors reported a significant increase in average digital device exposure, more frequently smartphone use for more than 5 hours/day. Also, children's visual symptoms were eye redness, eye strain, blurred vision, light sensitivity, and heaviness of eyelids [\(14\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/KD0j) Furthermore, Li et al. identified a higher risk of computer vision syndrome in children with myopia with and without correction, presence of astigmatism, fewer outdoor activities, and prolonged screen time (24).

The relationship between digital screen time and dry eye syndrome had already been described in both adults and children, even before the COVID-19 global pandemic [\(43–46\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/zf35+eXWS+Ut9F+tYPz) . Changes in blinking dynamics and ocular surface abnormalities are some of the consequences that arise from intense screen time exposure. Regarding ocular surface measures, longer screen time can decrease blinking frequency and completeness, resulting in reduced tear break-up time and tear volume, as well as changes in tear lipid composition [\(4,47\).](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/fBYf+TFQL) This means that the longer the exposure to digital devices, the more deterioration of tear film quality, and the higher the risk of developing dry eye symptoms [\(4\)](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/fBYf) .

Conclusions:

the pediatric population to identify and treat these disease

e world must implement public health strategies to mi

s as common and costly as myopia. Additionally, furthe

g-term impact generated by the health conditions The changes in habits and lifestyles worldwide derived from the COVID-19 pandemic have severely impacted children's eye health. Ophthalmologists must know the effect that virtual learning has had on the pediatric population to identify and treat these diseases early. In addition, countries around the world must implement public health strategies to mitigate these impacts, especially in diseases as common and costly as myopia. Additionally, further studies are required to evaluate the long-term impact generated by the health conditions that started during the pandemic and could have a chronic course.

Contribution

Conceptualization, M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G.; methodology, M.C.C.- A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C, and C.T.-G.; investigation M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G; resources, M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G; data curation, M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., and C.T.-G.; writing—original draft preparation M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G.; writing—review and editing M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G.; supervision, A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Acknowledgments:

The review was supported by the Universidad del Rosario. The sponsors had no role in the design, data collection, or analysis of the study

 $\mathbf{1}$

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding: None

Patient consent for publication: Not required

Ethics approval: No ethical approval was needed

Data availabilitu statement: Data collected from this study is available for sharing. Extraction data is available by request to the corresponding article.

References:

- 1. [Díaz Llopis M, Cisneros Lanuza A. Myopia, the challenge of Ophthalmology and its worldwide](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/PqN4) ["explosive epidemic." Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2018 Aug;93\(8\):365–7.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/PqN4)
- 2. [Enthoven CA, Tideman JWL, Polling JR, Yang-Huang J, Raat H, Klaver CCW. The impact of](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/IM6U) [computer use on myopia development in childhood: The Generation R study. Prev Med. 2020](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/IM6U) [Mar;132:105988.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/IM6U)
- 3. [Fan Q, Wang H, Kong W, Zhang W, Li Z, Wang Y. Online Learning-Related Visual Function](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/VGK0) [Impairment During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic. Front Public Health. 2021 Nov](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/VGK0) [29;9:645971.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/VGK0)
- 4. [Al-Mohtaseb Z, Schachter S, Shen Lee B, Garlich J, Trattler W. The Relationship Between Dry Eye](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/fBYf) [Disease and Digital Screen Use. Clin Ophthalmol. 2021 Sep 10;15:3811–20.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/fBYf)
- **Example 1:** Data collected from this study is available for
equest to the corresponding article.
Express Lanuza A. Myopia, the challenge of Ophthalmology
mic." Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2018 Aug;93(8):365–7.
deman JWL, Polli 5. [Napoli PE, Nioi M, Fossarello M. The "Quarantine Dry Eye": The Lockdown for Coronavirus](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/JwTZ) [Disease 2019 and Its Implications for Ocular Surface Health. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2021 Apr](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/JwTZ) [19;14:1629–36.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/JwTZ)
- 6. [Inomata T, Iwagami M, Nakamura M, Shiang T, Yoshimura Y, Fujimoto K, et al. Characteristics](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/C6ka) [and Risk Factors Associated With Diagnosed and Undiagnosed Symptomatic Dry Eye Using a](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/C6ka) [Smartphone Application. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2020 Jan 1;138\(1\):58–68.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/C6ka)
- 7. [Ma L-L, Wang Y-Y, Yang Z-H, Huang D, Weng H, Zeng X-T. Methodological quality \(risk of bias\)](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/HbVF) [assessment tools for primary and secondary medical studies: what are they and which is better? Mil](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/HbVF) [Med Res. 2020 Feb 29;7\(1\):7.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/HbVF)
- 8. [Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, Blyth F, March L, Bain C, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/D7eo) [studies: modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. J Clin Epidemiol.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/D7eo) [2012 Sep;65\(9\):934–9.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/D7eo)

9. [Simo LP, Agbor VN, AgborNdip E, Ekaney DSM, Mbeng EN, Etombi CL, et al. Prevalence and](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/ZTAG) [determinants of anaemia in children aged months in Africa: a protocol for systematic review and](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/ZTAG) [meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020 Apr 16;10\(4\):e032042.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/ZTAG)

123456789

- 10. [Murad MH, Sultan S, Haffar S, Bazerbachi F. Methodological quality and synthesis of case series](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/X5et) [and case reports. BMJ Evid Based Med. 2018 Apr;23\(2\):60–3.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/X5et)
- 11. [Mohan A, Sen P, Shah C, Datt K, Jain E. Binocular Accommodation and Vergence Dysfunction in](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/ONpl) [Children Attending Online Classes During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Digital Eye Strain in Kids](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/ONpl) [\(DESK\) Study-2. J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus. 2021 Jul;58\(4\):224–31.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/ONpl)
- 12. [Mohan A, Sen P, Shah C, Jain E, Jain S. Prevalence and risk factor assessment of digital eye strain](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Nlme) [among children using online e-learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: Digital eye strain among](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Nlme) [kids \(DESK study-1\). Indian J Ophthalmol. 2021 Jan;69\(1\):140–4.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Nlme)
- 13. [Chang P, Zhang B, Lin L, Chen R, Chen S, Zhao Y, et al. Comparison of Myopic Progression](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Qp0k) [before, during, and after COVID-19 Lockdown. Ophthalmology. 2021 Nov;128\(11\):1655–7.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Qp0k)
- 14. [Gupta R, Chauhan L, Varshney A. Impact of E-Schooling on Digital Eye Strain in Coronavirus](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/KD0j) [Disease Era: A Survey of 654 Students. J Curr Ophthalmol. 2021 Apr;33\(2\):158–64.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/KD0j)
- 15. [Wang J, Li Y, Musch DC, Wei N, Qi X, Ding G, et al. Progression of Myopia in School-Aged](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/jOp6) [Children After COVID-19 Home Confinement. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2021 Mar 1;139\(3\):293–300.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/jOp6)
- ising online e-learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: Digity-1). Indian J Ophthalmol. 2021 Jan;69(1):140–4.

B, Lin L, Chen R, Chen S, Zhao Y, et al. Comparison of Myond after COVID-19 Lockdown. Ophthalmology. 2021 Nov;12
 16. [Elhusseiny AM, Eleiwa TK, Yacoub MS, George J, ElSheikh RH, Haseeb A, et al. Relationship](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/H1GY) [between screen time and dry eye symptoms in pediatric population during the COVID-19 pandemic.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/H1GY) [Ocul Surf. 2021 Oct;22:117–9.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/H1GY)
- 17. [Wang W, Zhu L, Zheng S, Ji Y, Xiang Y, Lv B, et al. Survey on the Progression of Myopia in](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/kP5f) [Children and Adolescents in Chongqing During COVID-19 Pandemic. Front Public Health. 2021](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/kP5f) [Apr 28;9:646770.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/kP5f)
- 18. [Aslan F, Sahinoglu-Keskek N. The effect of home education on myopia progression in children](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/9Yfc) [during the COVID-19 pandemic. Eye \[Internet\]. 2021 Jun 30; Available from:](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/9Yfc) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41433-021-01655-2>
- 19. [Lv H, Wang Y, Sun S, Wei S, Guo Y, Wu T, et al. The impact of COVID-19 home confinement on](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/tJlf) [axial length in myopic children undergoing orthokeratology. Clin Exp Optom. 2022 Jan 4;1–5.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/tJlf)
- 20. [Ma D, Wei S, Li S-M, Yang X, Cao K, Hu J, et al. The Impact of Study-at-Home During the](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/gkE8) [COVID-19 Pandemic on Myopia Progression in Chinese Children. Front Public Health.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/gkE8) [2021;9:720514.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/gkE8)
- 21. [Xu L, Ma Y, Yuan J, Zhang Y, Wang H, Zhang G, et al. COVID-19 Quarantine Reveals That](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/cfQX) [Behavioral Changes Have an Effect on Myopia Progression. Ophthalmology. 2021](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/cfQX) [Nov;128\(11\):1652–4.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/cfQX)
- 22. [Hu Y, Zhao F, Ding X, Zhang S, Li Z, Guo Y, et al. Rates of Myopia Development in Young](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/aJ4a) [Chinese Schoolchildren During the Outbreak of COVID-19. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2021 Oct](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/aJ4a) [1;139\(10\):1115–21.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/aJ4a)
- 23. [Li L, Zhang J, Chen M, Li X, Chu Q, Jiang R, et al. Contribution of Total Screen/Online-Course](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Iq8m) [Time to Asthenopia in Children During COVID-19 Pandemic Influencing Psychological Stress.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Iq8m)

Aug $25;1–11$.

Andreu-Vázquez C, Ruiz-Pomeda A,

JA, Zhitnitsky MD, Zhang Q, et al. The

A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2015

- 38. [Ip JM, Saw S-M, Rose KA, Morgan IG, Kifley A, Wang JJ, et al. Role of near work in myopia:](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/4PTo) [findings in a sample of Australian school children. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008 Jul;49\(7\):2903–](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/4PTo) [10.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/4PTo)
- 39. [Si J-K, Tang K, Bi H-S, Guo D-D, Guo J-G, Wang X-R. Orthokeratology for myopia control: a](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/vTSB) [meta-analysis. Optom Vis Sci. 2015 Mar;92\(3\):252–7.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/vTSB)
- 40. [Wong YL, Yuan Y, Su B, Tufail S, Ding Y, Ye Y, et al. Prediction of myopia onset with refractive](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/FbLa) [error measured using non-cycloplegic subjective refraction: the WEPrOM Study. BMJ Open](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/FbLa) [Ophthalmol. 2021 Jun 9;6\(1\):e000628.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/FbLa)
- 41. [Lee HS, Park SW, Heo H. Acute acquired comitant esotropia related to excessive Smartphone use.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Ra6p) [BMC Ophthalmol. 2016 Apr 9;16:37.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Ra6p)
- 42. [Motlagh M, Geetha R. Physiology, Accommodation. In: StatPearls \[Internet\]. StatPearls Publishing;](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Lizw) [2021.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Lizw)
- 43. [Uchino M, Yokoi N, Uchino Y, Dogru M, Kawashima M, Komuro A, et al. Prevalence of dry eye](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/zf35) [disease and its risk factors in visual display terminal users: the Osaka study. Am J Ophthalmol. 2013](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/zf35) [Oct;156\(4\):759–66.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/zf35)
- 44. [Hanyuda A, Sawada N, Uchino M, Kawashima M, Yuki K, Tsubota K, et al. Physical inactivity,](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/eXWS) [prolonged sedentary behaviors, and use of visual display terminals as potential risk factors for dry](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/eXWS) [eye disease: JPHC-NEXT study. Ocul Surf. 2020 Jan;18\(1\):56–63.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/eXWS)
- 45. [Bhattacharya S, Saleem SM, Singh A. Digital eye strain in the era of COVID-19 pandemic: An](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Ut9F) [emerging public health threat. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2020 Aug;68\(8\):1709–10.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/Ut9F)
- II. 2016 Apr 9;16:37.

tha R. Physiology, Accommodation. In: StatPearls [Internet].

i N, Uchino Y, Dogru M, Kawashima M, Komuro A, et al. P

ik factors in visual display terminal users: the Osaka study. A

66.

ada N, Uch 46. [Rojas-Carabali W, Uribe-Reina P, Muñoz-Ortiz J, Terreros-Dorado JP, Ruiz-Botero ME, Torres-](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/tYPz)[Arias N, et al. High Prevalence of Abnormal Ocular Surface Tests in a Healthy Pediatric Population.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/tYPz) [Clin Ophthalmol. 2020 Oct 22;14:3427–38.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/tYPz)
- 47. [Rossi GCM, Scudeller L, Bettio F, Pasinetti GM, Bianchi PE. Prevalence of dry eye in video display](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/TFQL) [terminal users: a cross-sectional Caucasian study in Italy. Int Ophthalmol. 2019 Jun;39\(6\):1315–22.](http://paperpile.com/b/dAXrVA/TFQL)

Figure Legend:

Figure 1- PRISMA Flow Diagram

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org.

Supplementary table 1: PRISMA 2020 Checklist

- 46
- 47

Page 25 of 29

BMJ Open

PRISMA 2020 Checklist

46 47

10 11 $\overline{1}$

 $\overline{1}$ 16 17

> *From:* Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 39 40

> > For more information, visit[:](http://www.prisma-statement.org/) <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

 $^{41}_{42}$ 10.1136/bmj.n71 42

- 43
- 44

- 46
- 47

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table 2: Risk of bias assessment

Risk of bias assessment of cross-sectional studies using the Hoy et al. proposed tool.

1

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Interpretation: Low risk: 0-4 (No:High risk), Moderate risk: 5-7 (No:High risk), High risk 8-10 (No:High risk)

Risk of bias assessment for before-and-after studies using NIH tool.

47

BMJ Open

Interpretation: Good: 10 or more YES; Fare: 6 or more YES; Poor: 5 or less YES Abbreviations:

CD: Cannot Determine; NR: Not reported.

Risk of bias assessment of case series using the Murad MH et al. proposed tool.

Risk of bias assessment of cohort studies using the NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Effects of remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on children's visual health: a Systematic Review

 $\mathbf{1}$

I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined *in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the* Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our *[licence](https://authors.bmj.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BMJ_Journals_Combined_Author_Licence_2018.pdf)*.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

For Prince

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Title: Effects of remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on children's visual health: a Systematic Review

Author names: María C. Cortés-Albornoz¹, Sofía Ramírez-Guerrero¹; William Rojas-Carabali¹; Alejandra de-la-Torre¹; Claudia Talero-Gutiérrez^{1*}

Author affiliations: 1. Neuroscience Research Group (NEUROS) and Centro Neurovitae, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá

thor: Claudia Talero-Gutièrrez, Kra 24#63C-69. Esc

Salud- Quinta de Mutis (Bogotá, Colombia

ario.edu.co

bornoz, MD: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0733-5105,

rosario.edu.co

rero: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8927-8284,

s **Corresponding author:** Claudia Talero-Gutiérrez, Kra 24#63C-69. Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud- Quinta de Mutis (Bogotá, Colombia), +573158959271, claudia.talero@urosario.edu.co

Author notes:

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

María C. Cortés-Albornoz, MD: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0733-5105, mariacam.cortes@urosario.edu.co

Sofía Ramírez-Guerrero: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8927-8284, sofia.ramirezg@urosario.edu.co

William Rojas-Carabali, MD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9976-8989, warcinvest@gmail.com

Alejandra de-la-Torre, MD, PhD: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0684-1989, [alejadelatorre@yahoo.com](mailto:alejadelatorre@yahoo.co)

Claudia Talero-Gutiérrez, MD: orcid.org/0000-0003-1601-5015, claudia.talero@urosario.edu.co

Word count: 3.713

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Increased exposure to digital devices as part of online classes increases susceptibility to visual impairments, particularly among school students taught using e-learning strategies. This study aimed to identify the impact of remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on children's visual health.

Design: Systematic review using the PRISMA guidelines

Data sources: Scopus, PubMed, and ScienceDirect databases from the year 2020 onwards

Eligibility Criteria: We included cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies, case series, and case reports, published in English, Spanish, or French, that approached the effects of remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in neurotypical children.

s, PubMed, and ScienceDirect databases from the year 2
We included cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort stu
hed in English, Spanish, or French, that approached t
COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in neurotypical ch
s **Data extraction and synthesis:** We included a total of 19 articles with previous quality assessments using the Joanna Briggs checklist. Risk of bias assessment was applied using National Institutes of Health (NIH) quality assessment tool for before-after studies with no control group, the tool developed by Hoy et al. to assess cross-sectional studies, the Murad et al. tool to evaluate the methodological quality of case reports and case series, and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for cohort studies.

Results: All but one study reported a deleterious impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in children. Overall, the most frequently identified ocular effects were refractive errors, accommodation disturbances, and visual symptoms such as dry eye and asthenopia.

Conclusions: Increased dependence on digital devices for online classes has either induced or exacerbated visual disturbances, such as rapid progression of myopia, dry eye and visual fatigue symptoms, and vergence and accommodation disturbances, in children who engaged in remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42022307107

Keywords: Children; COVID-19; Distance education; Lockdown; Remote learning; Myopia; Screen time; Vision.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- A systematic review was conducted in three different databases, studies were filtered following the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.
- Analyzed studies approached the effects of remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in children.
- To facilitate comparison, eligible studies were clustered according to the main ocular effects evaluated, including refractive errors (myopia), accommodation disturbances (esotropia) and visual symptoms (dry eye and fatigue).
- We used quality assessment guidelines and specific risk of bias assessment tools for each study design included.
- Heterogeneous methods used in each study, including both subjective and objective measures, limits precise comparisons between them.

 $\frac{L}{2}$

INTRODUCTION

Since the World Health Organization declared a global pandemic in March 2020, COVID-19 has become the focus of governmental decisions aimed at protecting the public and limiting the death toll. Schools, universities, and businesses have been forced to close to prevent the spread of the virus, limiting in-person relationships and substantially enhancing our digital dependence. The lifestyle and behavioral modifications that have emerged in response to the lockdowns have affected approximately 80% of the world's student population [1, 2].

Form inconnections that have emerged in response to
ely 80% of the world's student population [1, 2].
f in-house quarantine led to a significant decrease in the
activities, reduction in exposure to sunlight, and increas
to The establishment of in-house quarantine led to a significant decrease in the amount of time spent engaged in outdoor activities, reduction in exposure to sunlight, and increase in time spent doing near work. These factors can enhance the risk of visual impairments, especially among school and university students encouraged to adopt a digital learning approach[3]. A growing dependence on e-learning and electronic devices has increased the incidence of visual fatigue, the onset and progression of myopia, dry eye, irregular astigmatism and acute concomitant esotropia among other ocular pathologies[4].

Even before the COVID-19 pandemic, an estimated 22.9% of the global population had myopia [5]. During the COVID-19 lockdown, the increased need for electronic devices, digital screens, and virtual classrooms might have caused previously healthy students to develop myopia, and faster progression in those who already had impaired vision. Obligatory confinement, intensive near work activities, and decreased exposure to sunlight can lead to visual fatigue, and may also enhance the risk of myopia, the most prevalent ocular condition[\[4\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/VGK0)

Digital screen use is considered a common risk factor for dry eye, characterized by the deterioration of tear film quality. The risk of dry eye and symptom severity can be exacerbated by increased digital screen time[6,[7,](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/JwTZ)[8\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/C6ka). Myopia and dry eye are potential visual health consequences associated with the increasing demand for children to engage in e-learning, which often starts at a very young age. To address this in the present systematic review, we sought to identify the impact of remote learning during the COVID-19 pandemic on visual health in school-age children.

METHODS

Search strategy and selection criteria

defection criteria

e conducted a systematic re[vie](https://www.sciencedirect.com/search)w using three online dat

vubMed: (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/advanced/) ((

vyopia [MeSH Terms])) AND (COVID-19)) AND (lock

t: (https://www.sciencedirect.com/search) In January 2022, we conducted a systematic review using three online databases. We used the following terms in PubMed: (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/advanced/) (((((vision) OR (visual impairment)) OR (myopia [MeSH Terms])) AND (COVID-19)) AND (lockdown)) AND (screen time); ScienceDirect: (https://www.sciencedirect.com/search) ((vision) OR (visual impairment) OR (myopia)) AND ((Covid-19 lockdown)) AND (screen time)); and Scopus: ([https://www.scopus.com\)](https://www.scopus.com/search/form.uri?display=basic) ALL (vision OR ("visual" AND "impairment") OR myopia AND ("Covid-19" AND "lockdown") AND ("screen" AND "time")) AND (LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "MEDI") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "COMP") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "NEUR") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "NURS") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "HEAL")). The ID CRD42022307107 was generated in the PROSPERO international prospective register of systematic reviews.

Data collection

 $\mathbf{1}$

Page 7 of 37

 $\mathbf{1}$

BMJ Open

ally the effects of remote learning during the COVID-19
al children. They were excluded if they (I) were publis
f remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on v
ts; (III) assessed children with genetic syndromes or vi:
 A total of 326 articles were initially retrieved. Duplicates were removed, and the remaining articles were filtered by title and abstract following the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Five researchers divided into two groups screened all of the articles, and 28 were selected for study inclusion. At weekly meetings, the authors analyzed the studies, debated disagreements, and double-checked all of the articles according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Articles were included if they described studies on the effects of remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in neurotypical children. They were excluded if they (I) were published before 2020; (II) studied the effects of remote learning during the COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in adults or university students; (III) assessed children with genetic syndromes or visual disabilities; (IV) were book chapters, editorials, or opinion pieces; (V) were published in languages other than Spanish, English, and French. Following this procedure, a total of 21 articles were included. These were evaluated using Joanna Briggs's checklist to guarantee study quality. Additionally, we conducted a risk of bias assessment using several tools. First, we used the National Institutes of Health (NIH) quality assessment tool for before-after (Pre-Post) studies with no control group [9]. This instrument evaluates 12 major components with response options of yes/no/not applicable/cannot determine/not reported and gives a final quality rating of good, poor, or fair depending on the overall item response [9]. Second, we used the tool developed by Hoy et al. to assess cross-sectional studies by categorizing the article bias as low-, moderate-, or high-risk according to responses to 10 question[s\[10,](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/D7eo) 11]. Third, we used the tool proposed by Murad et al. to evaluate the methodological quality of case reports and case series. This tool appraises the selection, ascertainment, causality, and reporting bias of each article and makes an overall judgment about the methodology based on the responses to eight question[s\[12\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/X5et) Finally, we used

the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for cohort studies to assess the selection, comparability, and outcome bias of the article by applying a qualitative star scal[e\[9\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/HbVF). All domains evaluated using these tools can be found in **Supplementary Table 2** .

resence of control group (age and sample), implement
visual outcome, results, conclusion, and answers to the
wn impact visual health (improvement, deterioration
thesized using qualitative and quantitative synthesis (see
er Finally, we extracted data to obtain the following information: title, authors, digital object identifier number, objective, type of study, country in which the study was conducted, population (age and sample), presence of control group (age and sample), implemented test or evaluation methodology, main visual outcome, results, conclusion, and answers to the question "Did the COVID-19 lockdown impact visual health (improvement, deterioration, no change)? All information was synthesized using qualitative and quantitative synthesis (see the Results section). Considering the heterogeneity among studies, we created subgroups for analysis, for example, studies regarding dry eye, refractive errors, clinical symptoms, and other clusters. All investigators participated in the data collection and synthesis.

Patient and public involvement:

This research was done without patient or public involvement. However, the findings will be shared at conferences attended by pediatric ophthalmologists and myopic patients who access ophthalmological services.

RESULTS

We grouped the articles included in the review based on the main visual outcome associated with vision status and changes in vision in children during the COVID-19 lockdown. Overall, the main ocular effects observed were refractive errors (myopia), accommodation disturbances (esotropia) and visual symptoms (dry eye and fatigue) (**Table 1**). Among the studies, 16 were conducted in

Asia[\[13-28](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl)], 2 in Europ[e\[29, 30\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/kGl4), and 3 in America [\[31-32\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/V5m7) The risk of bias assessment revealed that all of the cross-sectional studies and case series had a low risk of bias. Three of the beforeand-after studies had fair quality, and one had good quality.

For performance of the contract of the contrac **Table 1: Articles related to visual outcomes and the impact of remote learning during the**

COVID-19 pandemic .

- 56 57
- 58

- 57 58
- 59
- 60

 $\mathbf{1}$

123456789

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

11

57

58

 $\mathbf{1}$

- 58
- 59

 $\mathbf{1}$

Page 15 of 37

BMJ Open

F[or](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/tJlf) performancy, a state of containing and the set of contact any performance of the control of the control of the COVID-19 lockdown in terms of myopia found that all electronic device use, and outdoor time. However, my l We identified 11 articles that examined refractive errors related to virtual learning during the COVID-19 lockdown. Most of these examined myopia progression as the main visual outcome. Eight studies reported that myopia worsened throughout the COVID-19 lockdown in children and teenagers between 5 and 18 years ol[d\[15, 17, 19,](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Qp0k+jOp6+kP5f+9Yfc+gkE8+cfQX+aJ4a) [21, 22, 23, 24, 27](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Qp0k+jOp6+kP5f+9Yfc+gkE8+cfQX+aJ4a)]. One study reported a significant decrease in spherical equivalent refraction (SER) in children with hyperopia and emmetropia (see Table 2. Glossary) [[30\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/wkK3). Interestingly, a study evaluating axial length in myopic children undergoing orthokeratology (see Table 2. Glossary) did not find any change in myopia progression after lockdown [21]. Furthermore, one study focused on risk factors and behavioral changes during the COVID-19 lockdown in terms of myopia found that all children had changes in near-work time, electronic device use, and outdoor time. However, myopic children had a significantly lower levels of daily light exposure compared with non-myopic childre[n\[32\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/IgVx). The monthly extent of myopia progression during the COVID-19 lockdown was reported to be –0.074 13 D/month, which corresponds to an annual progression in 2020 of -0.71 ± 0.46 [D\[15, 20\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Qp0k) Furthermore, rapid myopia progression was reported in a sample of 133 school students. Specifically, the percentage of children with reported annual progression for whom progression was rapid increased from 10.5% before to 45.9 % during the pandemic [27]. SER was estimated 17 in several studies. In 2020, the mean SER in myopic children and teenagers was between -1.94 ± 1.00 2.13 D and −2.7 ± 1.21 D, and this was significantly lower than in 2019 (−1.64 ± 5.49 D and −1.99 \pm 1.04 D, p < 0.001)[\[19, 20\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/kP5f). Similarly, there was a significant decrease in the mean SER of 20 hyperopic and emmetropic children from 2019 to 2020, i.e., 0.66 ± 2.03 D (2019) and 0.48 ± 1.81 21 D (2020), respectively, $p \le 0.001[30]$. Finally, studies examining virtual learning during the COVID-19 lockdown as an exposure risk factor found a higher incidence of myopia in children 23 who engaged in virtual learning $(p < 0.01)$ [22-24].

 $\mathbf{1}$

 Four studies reported accommodation and vergence dysfunction (see Table 2. Glossary) secondary to near work and increased screen-use tim[e\[13, 26, 29, 33\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl+HEgT+kGl4). Two studies focused on binocular accommodation in a sample of 156 children aged 10 to 17 years old and reported a significant increase in convergence insufficiency symptom survey (CISS) scores after exposure to longer screen time during online classes[\[11, 29\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl+HEgT+kGl4). The other two were case series of children who developed acquired concomitant esotropia and vergence abnormalities secondary to the excessive 31 use of digital devices [27, 29].

F[or](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl+HEgT+kGl4)mal exists of the same two weed case of concomitant esotropia and vergence abnormalities seconds $[27, 29]$.

mptoms were identified in six studies with populations dies reported worsening of visual symptoms such as sc Emerging visual symptoms were identified in six studies with populations ranging from 8 to 20 years old. The studies reported worsening of visual symptoms such as vision impairment, asthenopia, dryness, scratchiness, headache, eye redness, eye strain, and light sensitivity, among other[s\[14, 16, 18, 25, 26,](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Nlme+KD0j+HEgT+H1GY+Iq8m+9hsd) 33].

 Overall, the results of qualitative data syntheses showed a negative effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on visual health in children. Only one of the articles included did not report a deleterious impact of the lockdown on vision[21].

DISCUSSION

 Most of the studies included in this systematic review showed some degree of worsening in visual health in children exposed to virtual learning strategies during the COVID-19 lockdown. The majority of the articles focused on myopia development and progression, and reported a faster onset and progression following the beginning of the lockdown. Also, prolonged exposure to screens was associated with worsened ocular symptoms such as eye strain, blurred vision, and redness, as well as an increase in the rate of dry eye, which is traditionally considered to be uncommon in the pediatric population.

BMJ Open

Refractive errors

st, the relationship between near work, especially near

before the COVID-19 pandemic, as stated in the Colla

city And Refractive Error Study[34, 35]. Second, several

ts association with myopia development[34, 36, 37]. T The COVID-19 lockdown impacted the behavior and daily life of children and teenagers, resulting in increased digital time, near work, and decreased outdoor time[\[34\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/N6Xv). It is estimated that close to 1.37 billion students worldwide switched to a digital or e-learning school modality during the lockdow[n\[34\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/N6Xv). These changes have been related to an increase in myopia incidence and progression[\[34\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/N6Xv) First, the relationship between near work, especially near reading, and myopia was well established before the COVID-19 pandemic, as stated in the Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation Of Ethnicity And Refractive Error Study[34, 35]. Second, several studies have focused on screen time and its association with myopia development[34, 36, 37]. Third, outdoor time has been considered a protective factor against myopia onset. He et al. showed a 23% reduction in myopia incidence after 40 minutes of outdoor time daily[34, 38].

 During the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, Mirhajianmoghadam et al. assessed subjective and objective measures in 14 myopic and 39 non-myopic children in the USA[\[32\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/IgVx) Initially, parents completed the University of Houston Near Work, Environment, Activity, and Refraction survey in three sessions. The first session included questions related to summer 2020, which was during the COVID-19 pandemic. The second session served to collect data about a typical school period before the COVID-19 pandemic, and the goal of the third session was to collect data about a typical summer period before the pandemic. Later, the investigators used an actigraph device to measure physical activity, sleep, and ambient illumination exposure (time spent outdoors) in children for 10 days. The results indicated that all of the children spent less time outdoors during the summer of the pandemic (2020) compared with before the lockdown and showed an increase in daily 69 electronic device use. Furthermore, myopic children had less daily light exposure (183.6 \pm 39.3

 lux) and spent less time outdoors (0.2 hours per day) during COVID-19 compared with non-71 myopic children $(279.5 \pm 23.5 \text{ lux}, P = 0.04)[32]$.

progression[39, 40]. For instance, Wu et al. reported that a w[ee](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/4PTo)k outdoors had a 53% decrease in myopia progression directled that a week outdoors had a 53% decrease in myopia progressed incidence of progression in childr The authors of several previous studies have proposed that increased time spent using digital devices is associated with decreased time spent outdoors and impaired retinal dopamine release, which is normally stimulated by daylight exposure. This suppresses axial expansion of the eye, preventing myopia progression[39, 40]. For instance, Wu et al. reported that children who spent more than 11 hours a week outdoors had a 53% decrease in myopia progression[[41\],](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/9lQb) and Ip et al. reported an increased incidence of progression in children living in apartment buildings compared with those living in detached houses[42]. Additionally, Xu et al. found that the amount of time spent online was significantly positively associated with an increased incidence of myopia and progression in students[23]. However, not all studies have shown this correlation[\[20\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/9Yfc) Aslan et al. 82 reported that myopia advancement in 2020 was mainly slow $(0.31 \pm 0.2 \text{ D})$ in most of the children 83 evaluated (49 subjects), followed by moderate progression in 45 children (0.82 \pm 0.14 D). The authors found no correlation between myopia progression and digital device time or glasses use[[20\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/9Yfc) Thus, the relationship between myopia progression and digital device use requires further investigation.

 The studies by Mirhajianmoghadam et al. and Aslan et al. support findings of myopia progression during the COVID-19 lockdown. For example, Chang et al. compared myopic progression before, during, and after the COVID-19 lockdown in 44,187 students in China by assessing non- cycloplegic autorefraction and the SER[\[15\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Qp0k) Four evaluation rounds separated by 6 months during 2019 and 2020 indicated a transitory period of accelerated myopic progression in children that Page 19 of 37

 $\mathbf{1}$

BMJ Open

 reversed after the lockdown. The mean SER during the pre-pandemic assessment was –0.030 D/month, shortly after the lockdown was –0.074 D/month, and later during the lockdown was 0.016 D/month. The proportion of myopic participants was 48% before the lockdown, 45.2% at a second assessment before the lockdown, 73.7% shortly after the lockdown, and 67.9% later after the lockdown during round 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The authors considered the influence of accommodative spasms and structural changes related to restricted outdoor time, increased screen time, and limited indoor space to be the leading cause of the progression. Moreover, they found that younger children were at a higher risk of myopic progression during the lockdown because their lifestyle changes were strongly associated with reduced light exposure, and accordingly, 102 reduced retinal dopamine levels [15].

Movember of the leading cause of the progression. Network and the leading cause of the progression. Never at a higher risk of m[y](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/jOp6)opic progression during the sweet strongly associated with reduced light exposition in were a This is concordant with the findings of Wang et al., who reported a substantial decrease in the SER after COVID-19 home confinement, especially for children aged 6 (−0.32 D), 7 (–0.28 D), and 8 (−0.29 D) years, p-value < 0.05[17]. Furthermore, they found myopia development to occur earlier in girls than boys. The prevalence of myopia appeared to be approximately 3 times higher in 2020 than in other years for children aged 6 years, 2 times higher for children aged 7 years, and 1.4 times higher for those aged 8 years. This led the authors to hypothesize that younger children are more sensitive to environmental changes than older children[17]. Furthermore, Wang et al. reported a prevalence of myopia of 39.27% in primary school students, 73.39% in junior school students, and 84.89% in high school students, identifying an increase in the rate of myopia among teenagers in 2020 (55.02%) compared with that in 2019 (44.64%)[\[19\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/kP5f)

 $\mathbf{1}$

 Lv et al. investigated the potential impacts of home confinement on myopia progression from the perspective of axial growth length in children undergoing orthokeratology treatment [\[21](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/tJlf)]. They 117 found a monthly axial growth length of 0.023 ± 0.019 mm/month, 0.018 ± 0.021 mm/month, and 0.014 ± 0.016 mm/month before, during, and after home confinement, respectively. However, the monthly axial growth length before confinement was not significantly different from that after 120 confinement ($P = 0.333$), although age was negatively associated with the axial length growth rate during confinement in myopic children [21]. This coincides with the findings of a previous meta- analysis that suggested that orthokeratology decreases the rate of myopia progression in children [[43\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/vTSB).

in myopic childr[e](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/wkK3)[n](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/wkK3) [21]. This coincides with the finding
in myopic children [21]. This coincides with the finding
ted that orthokeratology decreases the rate of myopia pr
-Peregrina et al. did not find an increase in the In contrast, Alvarez-Peregrina et al. did not find an increase in the prevalence of myopia among children between 2019 and 2020[30]. However, they observed that the percentage of hyperopes 126 decreased, and the percentage of emmetropes increased ($p < 0.001$). The average SE value in 2019 127 was $+0.66 \pm 2.03$ D, compared with $+0.48 \pm 1.81$ D in 2020 ($p \le 0.001$). This decrease was significant in children aged 5 years. Additionally, 47% (CI 95%: 45–50) of children spent less time outdoors in 2020 versus 2019 (p < 0.001). Children who spent more time outdoors had higher SE 130 values both pre- and post-confinement ($p \le 0.001$ and $p = 0.049$)[26]. Even though Alvarez- Peregrina et al. did not demonstrate myopia progression, a reduction in SER is a strong predictive factor for myopia in emmetropic and hyperopic children, as indicated by the Wenzhou Medical University Essilor Progression and Onset of Myopia (WePrOM) study[\[44\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/FbLa)

Accommodation and vergence disturbances

 A longer duration of digital device use requires more accommodative effort, and consequently, increases the chance of asthenopia symptoms and dysfunctional accommodation and vergence (see Table 2. Glossary). Mohan et al. studied the effects of online classes during the COVID-19 Page 21 of 37

BMJ Open

 pandemic, and considered the time spent in online classes and using digital devices such as TV, video game systems, and smartphones. According to the CISS survey, followed by evaluations by an optometrist and pediatric ophthalmologist, 36 out of 46 examined children had symptoms of convergence insufficiency. However, children who attended online classes for less than 4 hours/day exhibited fewer symptoms than those who attended online classes for more than 4 hours every day. Furthermore, near exophoria, near point convergence, positive fusional weakness, and accommodation excess were more frequent in children exposed to longer online classe[s\[13\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/ONpl).

 Similarly, Hamburger et al. evaluated ocular symptoms in 110 children who attended virtual school during the COVID-19 pandemic. They found that 61% of the children reported a significant increase in convergence insufficiency, as evidenced by a higher CISS score after attending online classe[s\[33\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/HEgT).

For peak exceptional, the peak envergence, positive rates
ass were more frequent in children exposed to longer on
er et al. evaluated ocular symptoms in 110 children who a
19 pandemic. They found that 61% of the children r Vagge et al. reported four cases of children between 4 and 16 years old who developed acute acquired concomitant esotropia after intense digital device use during the COVID-19 lockdow[n\[29\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/kGl4). All of the children experienced acute onset diplopia (see Table 2. Glossary) after more than 8 hours per day spent looking at digital screens. Ophthalmologic examination reported manifest esotropia from 20 to 35 prism diopters (PD) at far and near distances in all four patients. Two out of the four children presented bilaterally cycloplegic refraction of +1.00 to +2.00 diopter sphere. One of them presented cycloplegic refraction of –2.50 in the right eye and –2.25 in the left eye, and another presented –0.5 bilaterally[\[29\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/kGl4) Some studies have suggested that digital device- induced esotropia is associated with excessive application of near vision, as well as dynamic activation of the medial rectus muscles when exposed to longer periods of digital screen time. This may affect the near vision triad, i.e., the accommodation-convergence reflex: convergence of both

 eyes, contraction of the ciliary muscle resulting in a change of lens shape (accommodation), and pupillary constriction[\[29, 45, 46\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/Ra6p)

Visual symptoms

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

For all asymptoms after online classes with discomfort, fatigue
oms. Moreover, an increased asthenopia score was is
a half of the children evaluated [33]. Likewise, Li et al.
screen time and the risk of asthenopia in appro The increase in digital device use associated with the COVID-19 lockdown and remote learning has precipitated a rise in dry eye symptoms and asthenopia. Hamburger et al. reported a significant increase in asthenopia symptoms after online classes with discomfort, fatigue, and impaired vision as dominant symptoms. Moreover, an increased asthenopia score was identified after online classes in more than half of the children evaluated [33]. Likewise, Li et al. identified a positive association between screen time and the risk of asthenopia in approximately 25,000 students aged 8 to 20 years old, and attributed a higher risk of asthenopia to conditions such as myopia, astigmatism, and mechanical factors like distance from the screen[25].

 Elhusseiny et al. reported a significant increase in symptoms such as eye dryness, grittiness, and scratchiness associated with prolonged exposure to digital screens for education and leisure purposes in 403 children aged 10 to 18 years[18]. Similarly, Mohan et al. identified longer screen time during the COVID-19 lockdown compared with the pre-COVID era in 217 children, of which almost half attended online classes[14]. More than a third of the evaluated children used digital devices for over 5 hours a day, and 50.23% manifested dry eye with itching and headache as predominant symptoms.

 Gupta et al. evaluated 654 students between 5 and 18 years old using the Rasch-based Computer- Vision Symptom Scale[\[16\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/KD0j) The authors reported a significant increase in average digital device exposure during confinement, particularly smartphone, which was greater than 5 hours/day. Visual symptoms in the children were eye redness, eye strain, blurred vision, light sensitivity, and

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 23 of 37

 $\mathbf{1}$

BMJ Open

 heaviness of eyelids [[16\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/KD0j). Furthermore, Li et al. identified a higher risk of computer vision syndrome in children with myopia with and without correction, astigmatism, fewer outdoor activities, and prolonged screen tim[e\[26\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/KD0j+9hsd)

r surface abnormalities are some of the consequences the garding ocular surface measures, longer screen time c
pleteness, resulting in reduced tear break-up time and teach
composition[6, 51]. This means that a longer expos The relationship between digital screen time and dry eye has already been described in both adults and children, as well as before the global COVID-19 pandemic[\[47-50\].](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/zf35+eXWS+Ut9F+tYPz) Changes in blinking dynamics and ocular surface abnormalities are some of the consequences that arise from intense screen exposure. Regarding ocular surface measures, longer screen time can decrease blinking frequency and completeness, resulting in reduced tear break-up time and tear volume, as well as changes in tear lipid composition[6, 51]. This means that a longer exposure to digital devices can enhance the deterioration of tear film quality, and thus increase the risk of developing dry eye symptoms [[6\]](https://paperpile.com/c/dAXrVA/fBYf).

 A main limitation of this study is the inclusion of articles with different study designs, as it is difficult to compare them quantitative and qualitatively. Moreover, the evidence reported in the selected studies was obtained using distinct evaluation methods, from symptom surveys to detailed ophthalmologic examinations, influencing the objectiveness of the conclusions obtained. Given that most of the studies were developed specifically in Asian countries, extrapolations to other parts of the world should be made with caution.

Conclusions

 The changes in habits and lifestyles as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic have severely impacted eye health in children. Children attending classes as part of a remote learning strategy had more rapid myopia progression, increased frequency of dry eye and visual fatigue symptoms, and exhibited signs of vergence and accommodation disturbances such as acute acquired concomitant

 $\mathbf{1}$

 esotropia and convergence insufficiency. Ophthalmologists, pediatricians, and general physicians should make themselves aware of the effect of virtual learning on the pediatric population to enable early identification and management of these conditions. In addition, countries around the world must implement public health strategies to mitigate the impacts of a more screen-focused life, especially with respect to conditions as common and costly as myopia. Further studies are required to evaluate the long-term impacts of such changes associated with the COVID-19 pandemic.

Contributions

M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-I.-T and C.T.-G.; m
C, and C.T.-G.; investigation M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.
C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-I.-T and C.T.-G; data
; writing—original draft preparation M.C.C.-A., S.R-G.,
g—re Conceptualization, M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G.; methodology, M.C.C.- A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C, and C.T.-G.; investigation M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G; resources, M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G; data curation, M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., and C.T.-G.; writing—original draft preparation M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G.; writing—review and editing M.C.C.-A., S.R-G., W.A.R-C., A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G.; supervision, A.d.-l.-T and C.T.-G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

 The review was supported by the Universidad del Rosario. The sponsors had no role in the design, data collection, or analysis of the study. We thank Sydney Koke, MFA, from Edanz (https://www.edanz.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: None.

- **Patient consent for publication:** Not required.
	- **Ethics approval:** No ethical approval was needed.

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\mathsf{3}$ $\overline{4}$ 5 $\boldsymbol{6}$ $\overline{7}$ $\bf 8$ 9

BMJ Open

226 **Data availability statement:** Data collected from this study is available for sharing. Extraction

227 data are available upon request to the corresponding author.

228 **Table 2. Glossary**

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf 2$ $\frac{3}{4}$ $\overline{6}$ $\overline{7}$ $\overline{8}$

 $\mathbf{1}$

 $\overline{1}$

BMJ Open

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). *P*referred *R*eporting *I*tems for *S*ystematic Reviews and *M*eta - *A*nalyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit www.prisma [-statement.org](http://www.consort-statement.org/) .

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Supplementary table 1: PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Page 33 of 37

47

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table 2: Risk of bias assessment

Risk of bias assessment of cross-sectional studies using the Hoy et al. proposed tool.

Interpretation: Low risk: 0-4 (No:High risk), Moderate risk: 5-7 (No:High risk), High risk 8-10 (No:High risk)

Risk of bias assessment for before-and-after studies using NIH tool.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1

BMJ Open

Interpretation: Good: 10 or more YES; Fare: 6 or more YES; Poor: 5 or less YES Abbreviations:

CD: Cannot Determine; NR: Not reported.

Risk of bias assessment of case series using the Murad MH et al. proposed tool.

Risk of bias assessment of cohort studies using the NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE

1