

Reproducibility report for: Amyloid pathology disrupts gliotransmitter release in astrocytes.

Submitted to: PLoS Computational Biology

Manuscript number/identifier: PCOMPBIOL-D-20-01194R1

Curation outcome summary: Successfully reproduced Figure 1C - 1G as well as Figure 3A - 3C, Figure 3E and Figure 3F as reported in the manuscript.

Box 1: Criteria for repeatability and reproducibility

■ Model source code provided:

- Source code: a standard procedural language is used (e.g. MATLAB, Python, C)
 - There are details/documentation on how the source code was compiled
 - There are details on how to run the code in the provided documentation
 - The initial conditions are provided for each of the simulations
 - Details for creating reported graphical results from the simulation results
- Source code: a declarative language is used (e.g. SBML, CellML, NeuroML)
 - The algorithms used are defined or cited in previous articles
 - The algorithm parameters are defined
 - Post-processing of the results are described in sufficient detail

□ Executable model provided:

- The model is executable without source (e.g. desktop application, compiled code, online service)
 - There are sufficient details to repeat the required simulation experiments

■ The model is described mathematically in the article(s):

- Equations representing the biological system
- There are tables or lists of parameter values
- There are tables or lists of initial conditions
- Machine-readable tables of parameter values
- Machine-readable tables of initial conditions

■ The simulation experiments using the model are described mathematically in the article:

- Integration algorithms used are defined
- Stochastic algorithms used are defined
- Random number generator algorithms used are defined
- Parameter fitting algorithms are defined
- The paper indicates how the algorithms yield the desired output



Box 2: Criteria for accessibility

- Model/source code is available at a public repository or researcher's web site
 - Prohibitive license provided
 - Permissive license provided
 - Open-source license provided
- All initial conditions and parameters are provided
- All simulation experiments are fully defined (events listed, collection times and measurements specified, algorithms provided, simulator specified, etc.)

Box 3: Rules for Credible practice of Modeling and Simulation^a

^aModel credibility is assessed using the Interagency Modeling and Analysis Group conformance rubric:
<https://www.imagwiki.nibib.nih.gov/content/10-simple-rules-conformance-rubric>

- Define context clearly: Extensive
- Use appropriate data: Extensive
- Evaluate within context: Extensive
- List limitations explicitly: Adequate
- Use version control: Extensive
- Document adequately: Extensive
- Conform to standards: Insufficient

Box 4: Evaluation

- Model and its simulations could be repeated using provided declarative or procedural code
- Model and its simulations could be reproduced

Summary comments: We thank the authors for the provision of the model codes in this revision. These were accessed and downloaded via the Github link <https://github.com/anupgp/astron>, and used in our attempt to reproduce the results presented in the manuscript. The documentation found on the Github repository as well as within the model scripts were sufficient to reproduce the results highlighted by the authors. As a result we successfully reproduced Figure 1C - 1G as well as Figure 3A - 3C, Figure 3E and Figure 3F as reported in the manuscript.



Anand K. Rampadarath¹, PhD
Curator
Center for Reproducible Biomedical Modeling



David P. Nickerson, PhD
Curation Service Director
Center for Reproducible Biomedical Modeling

Auckland Bioengineering Institute,
University of Auckland

¹Email: a.rampadarath@auckland.ac.nz