## <u>Supplemental Table 1</u>. Summary of QoL studies examining hoarding behavior.

Study	Sample	Quality of Life	Findings
~		Measure	
Studies in prima	_ <u> </u>		
Huppert (2009)	15 history of OCD, 32 current OCD, 19 OCD comorbid with other psychiatric diagnoses	Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire (QLESQ), Short-Form Health Survey- 36 (SF-36)	Hoarding symptom subtype on the OCI-R correlated with impairment on the QLESQ total, social, and family scales and the SF-36 social and work scales
Fontanelle	53 OCD	SF-36	Hoarding, depressive symptoms, and
(2010)	patients		employment status predicted 62% of the variance in social functioning, although depression accounted for most of this variance
Albert (2010)	151 OCD patients	SF-36	Hoarding obsessions/compulsions factor on the YBOCS Symptom Checklist was not significantly related to any SF-36 subscales
Saxena (2011)	34 OCD patients with compulsive hoarding, 137 OCD patients without hoarding	Lehman Quality of Life Interview-Short Form	Hoarding group scored significantly lower on satisfaction with safety and living arrangements and higher on victimization, and trended towards lower scores on satisfaction with living situation; depression scores did not differ between groups
Subramaniam (2014)	175 OCD without hoarding, 122 hoarding without OCD, 55 OCD with hoarding	EuroQol 5 (EQ-5D)	Patients with both OCD and hoarding had a lower mean EQ-5D index (indicating worse QoL) than OCD-only or hoarding-only patients and were more likely to report problems in the mobility and pain domains
Schwartzman (2017)	325 treatment- seeking patients with primary OCD	QLESQ	Hoarding symptoms were associated with decreased household-related QoL, above and beyond OCD and depression severity
Studies in prima	ary HD population	ons	
Ong (2016)	102 HD patients	QLESQ	HD severity was negatively correlated with QoL, but HD severity and QoL were no longer significantly related after controlling for anxiety and depression

Tolin (2019)	54 treatment-	SF-36	HD group scored significantly lower
	seeking HD		than age- and sex-matched healthy
	patients		controls on emotional well-being, role
			limitations due to emotional problems,
			vitality, and general health; the SI-R
			negatively predicted multiple domains
			of QoL in the whole sample, but was
			only significant for vitality QoL within
			the HD group

## <u>Supplemental Table 2</u>. Factor loadings and component domains for QoL groupings using factor analysis of the QoLI.

QoLI	QoLI domain	Success	Enrichment	Environment	Family
domain	components	Factor	Factor	Factor	Factor
		Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading
Success	Health	0.74	0.07	0.01	-0.10
	Self-Esteem	<u>0.71</u>	0.19	-0.03	0.00
	Goals and Values	0.65	0.20	-0.03	0.08
	Money	<u>0.76</u>	-0.14	0.13	0.04
	Work	0.63	-0.02	0.06	0.15
Enrichment	Play	0.36	<u>0.50</u>	0.04	-0.05
	Learning	0.21	0.75	-0.08	-0.11
	Creativity	-0.01	0.88	-0.02	-0.20
	Helping	-0.02	0.55	-0.05	0.32
	Friends	-0.08	0.40	0.18	0.30
Environment	Home	0.22	-0.03	<u>0.51</u>	0.18
	Neighborhood	0.04	-0.08	<u>0.96</u>	-0.12
	Community	0.01	0.01	0.89	-0.08
Family	Love	0.29	-0.07	-0.12	0.66
	Children	0.01	-0.18	-0.10	<u>0.92</u>
	Relatives	-0.19	0.25	0.30	0.41

## **Supplementary Table 3**. Mean QoL scores (SD in parentheses) by domain and gender.

QoL Domain	Male	Female	t	p
Total	1.53 (1.92)	1.61 (1.85)	-0.98	0.33
Success	1.02 (2.32)	0.88 (2.27)	1.36	0.18
Enrichment	1.58 (1.82)	1.59 (1.95)	-0.18	0.86
Environment	1.35 (2.05)	1.59 (2.15)	-2.58	0.01
Family	1.85 (2.26)	2.38 (2.29)	-5.21	< 0.001

<u>Supplemental Table 4</u>. Bivariate Pearson correlations between QoL groupings and psychiatric symptom subscales across the entire sample (n = 2100).

	HRS-SR	OCI-R	PHQ-9	GAD-7
Overall QoL	-0.195	-0.178	-0.568	-0.466
Success QoL	-0.175	-0.153	-0.574	-0.485
Enrichment QoL	-0.142	-0.150	-0.452	-0.382
Environment	-0.145	-0.123	-0.337	-0.273
QoL				
Family QoL	-0.132	-0.108	-0.360	-0.263
HRS-SR	1	0.601	0.471	0.441
OCI-R	0.601	1	0.515	0.562
PHQ-9	0.471	0.515	1	0.814
GAD-7	0.441	0.562	0.814	1

<sup>\*</sup>All bivariate correlation pairs were significant at the p < 0.01 (2-tailed) level.

<u>Supplemental Table 5</u>. Comparison of mean QoL and psychiatric symptomatology between hoarding and non-hoarding subgroups (SD in parentheses), based on a cutoff of 14 on the HRS-SR (controlling for covariates).

	Hoarding	Non-hoarding	F-value	p-value
	(n = 392,	(n = 1708,		
	18.7% of	81.3% of		
	sample)	sample)		
Overall QoL	0.97 (1.87)	1.72 (1.85)	38.59	< 0.001
Success QoL	0.29 (2.37)	1.09 (2.24)	25.74	< 0.001
Enrichment	1.13 (2.03)	1.69 (1.85)	21.27	< 0.001
QoL				
Environment	0.95 (2.09)	1.62 (2.10)	23.68	< 0.001
QoL				
Family QoL	1.68 (2.22)	2.27 (2.30)	17.71	< 0.001
HRS-SR	21.05 (5.40)	3.69 (3.89)	5265.04	< 0.001
OCI-R	22.86 (12.84)	8.54 (8.05)	717.53	< 0.001
PHQ-9	10.73 (6.82)	4.82 (5.19)	325.75	< 0.001
GAD-7	9.07 (5.83)	4.22 (4.75)	269.54	< 0.001

<u>Supplemental Table 6</u>. Comparison of mean QoL and psychiatric symptomatology between hoarding and depression subgroups (SD in parentheses), based on cutoffs of 14 on the HRS-SR and 10 on the PHQ-9, controlling for covariates.

	Neither (n =	Hoarding w/o	Depression w/o	Hoarding +
	1428)	depression (n =	hoarding (n =	depression (n =
		177)	280)	215)
Overall QoL	2.12 (1.62)	1.57 (1.54)	-0.34 (1.61)	0.48 (1.98)
Success QoL	1.58 (1.96)	1.02 (1.94)	-1.45 (1.84)	-0.30 (2.53)
Enrichment QoL	2.01 (1.68) <sup>a</sup>	1.65 (1.72) <sup>a</sup>	0.05 (1.82)	0.70 (2.16)
Environment	1.89 (1.99)	1.23 (1.85) <sup>a</sup>	0.23 (2.09) <sup>b</sup>	0.72 (2.24) <sup>ab</sup>
QoL				
Family QoL	2.59 (2.18) <sup>a</sup>	2.18 (2.13) <sup>a</sup>	0.66 (2.22)	1.27 (2.21)
HRS-SR	3.34 (3.73)	19.25 (4.35)	5.48 (4.23)	22.53 (5.73)
OCI-R	7.43 (7.21)	17.49 (10.59)	14.22 (9.58)	27.28 (12.86)
PHQ-9	2.94 (2.73)	4.54 (3.03)	14.40 (4.09)	15.82 (4.44)
GAD-7	2.89 (3.37)	4.85 (4.22)	10.95 (5.03)	12.54 (4.55)

<sup>\*</sup>All post-hoc pairwise comparisons significantly differed at the p < 0.05 level, except the pairs denoted by letters within each outcome category row.

<u>Supplemental Table 7</u>. Comparison of mean QoL and psychiatric symptomatology between hoarding and OCD subgroups (SD in parentheses), based on cutoffs of 14 on the HRS-SR and 21 on the OCI-R, controlling for covariates.

	Neither (n =	Hoarding w/o	OCD w/o	Hoarding + OCD (n
	1550)	OCD (n = 180)	hoarding (n =	= 212)
			158)	
Overall QoL	1.78 (1.83)	0.83 (1.62) <sup>ab</sup>	1.06 (1.97) <sup>ac</sup>	1.09 (2.05)bc
Success QoL	1.17 (2.21)	0.04 (1.95) <sup>ab</sup>	0.28 (2.37) <sup>ac</sup>	0.51 (2.66) <sup>bc</sup>
Enrichment QoL	1.76 (1.82) <sup>a</sup>	0.92 (1.85)bc	1.00 (2.06) <sup>bd</sup>	1.31 (2.15) <sup>acd</sup>
Environment	1.67 (2.07)	0.88 (2.01) <sup>ab</sup>	1.07 (2.32)ac	1.01 (2.15)bc
QoL				
Family QoL	2.30 (2.29) <sup>ab</sup>	1.66 (2.29) <sup>cd</sup>	1.96 (2.39) <sup>ace</sup>	1.70 (2.16) <sup>bde</sup>
HRS-SR	3.55 (3.82)	18.99 (4.61)	5.11 (4.32)	22.80 (5.42)
OCI-R	6.64 (5.29)	11.16 (5.23)	27.15 (6.62)	32.79 (8.15)
PHQ-9	4.41 (4.84)	8.36 (6.18) <sup>a</sup>	8.86 (6.63) <sup>a</sup>	12.74 (6.71)
GAD-7	3.75 (4.36)	7.07 (5.40)	8.77 (5.83)	10.76 (5.65)

<sup>\*</sup>All post-hoc pairwise comparisons significantly differed at the p < 0.05 level, except the pairs denoted by letters within each outcome category row.

<u>Supplemental Table 8</u>. Multiple linear regression including the HRS-SR as a predictor of QoL in depressed and non-depressed groups based on a PHQ-9 cutoff of 10. Each overall model (including covariates) was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Unstandardized beta coefficients are given in parentheses.

QoL	HRS-SR Beta	t	p-	Adjusted	HRS-SR Beta	t	p-	Adjusted
Domain	Coefficient		value	R-	Coefficient		value	R-
	(Standardized,			Square,	(Standardized,			Square,
	Unstandardized)			F-value	Unstandardized)			F-value
	(depressed				(non-depressed			
	group, $n = 495$ )				group, n =			
					1605)			
Overall	0.252 (0.047)	5.93	< 0.001	0.148,	-0.149 (-0.038)	-6.17	< 0.001	0.087,
				11.767				20.057
Success	0.260 (0.059)	6.14	< 0.001	0.156,	-0.115 (-0.036)	-4.76	< 0.001	0.087,
				12.413				20.091
Enrichment	0.194 (0.040)	4.33	< 0.001	0.052,	-0.098 (-0.026)	-3.91	< 0.001	0.018,
				4.407				4.628
Environment	0.135 (0.030)	3.01	0.003	0.057,	-0.127 (-0.040)	-5.21	< 0.001	0.060,
				4.721				13.791
Family	0.167 (0.038)	3.85	< 0.001	0.119,	-0.098 (-0.034)	-4.28	< 0.001	0.180,
				9.373				44.953

<u>Supplemental Table 9.</u> Multiple linear regression comparing the HRS-SR and OCI-R as predictors of home, neighborhood, community, love, children, and relatives QoL subcomponents in separate models (covariates not shown). Unstandardized beta coefficients are given in parentheses.

QoL	OCI-R Beta	t	p	Adjusted	HRS-SR Beta	t	p	Adjusted
Subcomponent	Coefficient			R-Square,	Coefficient			R-Square,
	(Standardized,			F-value	(Standardized,			F-value
	Unstandardized)				Unstandardized)			
Home	-0.101 (-0.028)	-4.63	< 0.001	0.062,	-0.153 (-0.057)	-7.16	< 0.001	0.075,
				18.22				22.16
Neighborhood	-0.08 (-0.018)	-3.65	< 0.001	0.036,	-0.094 (-0.029)	-4.34	< 0.001	0.038,
				10.69				11.41
Community	-0.072 (-0.015)	-3.28	0.001	0.033,	-0.047 (-0.013)	-2.14	0.03	0.030,
				9.84				9.05
Love	-0.076 (-0.026)	-3.72	< 0.001	0.177,	-0.088 (-0.040)	-4.40	< 0.001	0.179,
				57.46				58.28
Children	-0.039 (-0.011)	-1.85	0.07	0.128,	-0.052 (-0.020)	-2.52	0.01	0.129,
				39.35				39.77
Relatives	-0.092 (-0.023)	-4.14	< 0.001	0.012,	-0.109 (-0.036)	-4.94	< 0.001	0.016,
				4.28				5.19

<u>Supplemental Table 10.</u> Multiple linear regression including both the HRS-SR and OCI-R in the same model as predictors of home, neighborhood, community, love, children, and relatives QoL subcomponents (covariates not shown). Unstandardized beta coefficients are given in parentheses.

QoL	OCI-R Beta	t	р	HRS-SR Beta	t	p	Adjusted
Subcomponent	Coefficient		_	Coefficient			R-Square,
	(Standardized,			(Standardized,			F-value
	Unstandardized)			Unstandardized)			
Home	-0.015 (-0.004)	-0.58	0.56	-0.144 (-0.054)	-5.46	< 0.001	0.074,
							19.73
Neighborhood	-0.037 (-0.008)	-1.37	0.17	-0.073 (-0.022)	-2.71	0.007	0.039,
							10.35
Community	-0.068 (-0.014)	-2.49	0.01	-0.007 (-0.002)	-0.28	0.78	0.032,
							8.76
Love	-0.036 (-0.012)	-1.42	0.16	-0.068 (-0.031)	-2.73	0.006	0.180,
							52.06
Children	-0.012 (-0.003)	-0.46	0.64	-0.045 (-0.018)	-1.77	0.08	0.128,
							35.36
Relatives	-0.043 (-0.011)	-1.55	0.12	-0.084 (-0.028)	-3.09	0.002	0.016,
	,			,			4.88

<u>Supplementary Table 11</u>. Multiple linear regression including both the HRS-SR and OCI-R in the same model, with the addition of the PHQ-9, as predictors of home, neighborhood, community, love, children, and relatives QoL subcomponents (covariates not shown). Unstandardized beta coefficients are given in parentheses.

QoL Subcomponent	OCI-R Beta Coefficient (Standardized, Unstandardized)	t	p	HRS-SR Beta Coefficient (Standardized, Unstandardized)	t	p	PHQ-9 Beta Coefficient (Standardized, Unstandardized)	t	p	Adjusted R- Square, F-value
Home	0.112 (0.031)	4.17	<0.001	-0.056 (-0.021)	-2.15	0.03	-0.361 (-0.180)	-14.61	<0.001	0.160, 40.90
Neighborhood	0.047 (0.011)	1.66	0.10	-0.015 (-0.004)	-0.54	0.59	-0.238 (-0.096)	-9.19	<0.001	0.075, 18.12
Community	0.010 (0.002)	0.37	0.72	0.047 (0.013)	1.72	0.09	-0.222 (-0.084)	-8.50	<0.001	0.064, 15.37
Love	0.075 (0.025)	2.96	0.003	0.009 (0.004)	0.37	0.71	-0.314 (-0.190)	-13.39	<0.001	0.244, 68.79
Children	0.062 (0.018)	2.31	0.02	0.006 (0.002)	0.24	0.81	-0.210 (-0.108)	-8.47	<0.001	0.157, 40.07
Relatives	0.067 (0.017)	2.40	0.02	-0.008 (-0.003)	-0.29	0.77	-0.312 (-0.139)	-12.04	<0.001	0.080, 19.18