

and response variability, related to Figure 3. A) The integral of each trial-averaged response

(open circles) to pulse vs. the integral response to sine stimuli. Black box indicates region enlarged in (B). Each dot represents the responses from one fly. (C) Song mode preference index for net inhibition, which ranges from -1 for strongest inhibition to sine to +1 for strongest inhibition to pulse. Data are ordered along the x-axis according to the song mode preference index in Figure 3C. Each dot represents the responses from one fly, and horizontal lines indicate the D) Calcium responses to pulse, sine, and noise stimuli for 3 flies from 2 cell types from each song mode preference class. Z-scored responses to each trial are shown in grey, and the average within fly is shown in color. E) Trial-to-trial response variability for each cell type organized by song mode preference. F) Same as (E) for across-fly response variability. Each WED/VLP neuron type is colored according to its overall sine or pulse preference (see Figure 3).



**Figure S2. WED/VLP neurons send projections throughout the brain, independent of auditory responses, related to Figure 3.** A) Neuropils innervated by WED/VLP neuron

classes, defined as having at least 1% of a cell class's total volume in each neuropil. Each WED/VLP neuron type is colored according to its overall sine or pulse preference (see Figure 3). B) Histogram of the total number of WED/VLP cell classes with innervation in each neuropil. Neuropil abbreviations are listed in Table S1.



**Figure S3. Auditory neurons are spatially segregated in the VLP according to song mode preference, related to Figure 2 and 3.** A-C) 2D projections of the maximum number of WED/VLP cell classes with expression in voxels within the right hemisphere of the AVLP (A), PVLP (B), and WED (C) neuropils. In the AVLP, we found that pulse-preferring neurons most frequently targeted medial and anterior regions, whereas sine-preferring neurons were biased towards a posterior tract extending from ventral to dorsal areas. Neurons with intermediate preference targeted areas innervated by both pulse- and sine-preferring lines, whereas nonauditory lines most frequently targeted the lateral half of the AVLP. In the PVLP, projections of sine-preferring neurons were most common in an anterior region, roughly in the middle of the medial-lateral and dorsal-ventral axes, whereas projections of pulse-preferring neurons were found more medially and dorsally. In contrast to the AVLP, intermediate-preference lines innervated a region separate from the major areas innervated by pulse- and sine-preferring neurons. Non-auditory lines tended to innervate more lateral PVLP regions than auditory lines. In contrast to VLP projections, WED projections showed less pronounced differences between pulse- vs. sine-preferring, and auditory vs. non-auditory response categories. Taken together, these results suggest that sine and pulse preference largely arises in the VLP in separate anatomical regions, and that this transformation in stimulus preference likely occurs between WED projection and VLP projection neurons. Scale bars = 25 microns.



100 200 300 400 500 600 700<br>
# manually detected synapses (Kim et al., 2020)

 $\circ$ 

100  $\Omega$ 

SAD\_pr02\_R8 JON-A\_R38

NVLP\_pr01\_R3 JON-A\_R27

## **Figure S4. Synaptic connections between each auditory cell type in FlyWire (both hemispheres) and membrane contacts between JONs and auditory neurons, related to Figure 5.** A) Synaptic connections between each auditory cell type in FlyWire (both hemispheres). The number of synapses between every pair of neurons included in our EM connectivity analysis (see Figure 5; n=479 neurons), and organized by cell type. Synapse counts are based on an automated dataset<sup> $s_1$ </sup>. We include neurons from both the left and right hemisphere of the brain, and neurons with somas in the left hemisphere are listed first in each cell type grouping. Previously characterized auditory neurons are separated into their cell types according to<sup>S2</sup>. Synapses are plotted with presynaptic partners on the y-axis and postsynaptic partners on the x-axis. The color scale indicates the number of synapses between individual neuron pairs. B) Putative connections between JONs and auditory neurons. Number of membrane contact sites between 45 JON-A and 45 JON-B neurons<sup>S3</sup> and all auditory neurons. C) Total number of manually detected synapses $s<sup>3</sup>$  vs. total number of membrane contacts between JONs and B1/GFN neurons. Best fit line equation is  $y=0.84x+40$ ,  $R^2=0.90$ . D) Synapse from AVLP\_pr01\_R3 onto JON-A\_R27. Inset shows 3D reconstructions of the same two neurons in FlyWire. Crosshairs indicate the corresponding position in the EM slice and inset (with red pointing medially and green pointing ventrally). E) Same as (D) for a synapse from SAD\_pr02\_R8 onto JON-A\_R38. See also Table S3.



**Figure S5. Auditory connectome with previously identified auditory neurons divided into subtypes, related to Figure 5.** A) Neurotransmitter prediction accuracy as a function of the fraction of synapses that have been predicted as the respective majority neurotransmitter. Data come from a test set of 135 neurons in a prior study<sup>S4</sup>. A threshold of 65% of consensus synaptic neurotransmitter predictions across a neuron's synapses results in greater than 95% classification accuracy for the three "classical" neurotransmitters. We used this threshold for predicting the neurotransmitters of auditory neurons. B) We compared neurotransmitter predictions for six cell types with their classifications from the literature based on fluorescence in-situ hybridization or antibody staining<sup>S5–S8</sup>. The deep learning-based classifier predictions for each neuron of a cell type (in the FAFB EM volume) are shown in the histogram, and the ground truth results from the literature are shown below. Neurons for which less than 65% of synapses are predicted to have the same neurotransmitter are labeled as "inconclusive" (grey). C) Classifier predictions for each cell type shown in Figure 5. The neurotransmitters used by these cell types have not been reported previously. D) Synaptic connectivity for cell types B1, WV-WV, WED-VLP broken down by their subtypes<sup>S2,S8–S10</sup>. The song mode preference of WED-VLP subtypes come from recordings of the split-GAL4 lines labeling neurons from the broader cell class. G) Number of inhibitory connections among all central auditory neurons (excluding JONs) based on pre- and postsynaptic preference classes. H) Same as (G) for excitatory connections. See also Table S3.





**Table S1. Neuropil abbreviations, related to Figures 1, S2, S3, and Table S2.**







**Table S2. Name of WED/VLP cell class in each split-GAL4 line, related to Figures 1-7, S1- 2, 4-5.**

## **Supplement References**

- S1. Buhmann, J., Sheridan, A., Malin-Mayor, C., Schlegel, P., Gerhard, S., Kazimiers, T., Krause, R., Nguyen, T.M., Heinrich, L., Lee, W.-C.A., *et al.* (2021). Automatic detection of synaptic partners in a whole-brain Drosophila electron microscopy data set. Nature Methods *18*, 771–774.
- S2. Dorkenwald, S., McKellar, C.E., Macrina, T., Kemnitz, N., Lee, K., Lu, R., Wu, J., Popovych, S., Mitchell, E., Nehoran, B., *et al.* (2022). FlyWire: online community for wholebrain connectomics. Nat. Methods *19*, 119–128.
- S3. Kim, H., Horigome, M., Ishikawa, Y., Li, F., Lauritzen, J.S., Card, G., Bock, D.D., and Kamikouchi, A. (2020). Wiring patterns from auditory sensory neurons to the escape and song-relay pathways in fruit flies. J. Comp. Neurol. *528*, 2068–2098.
- S4. Eckstein, N., Bates, A.S., Du, M., Hartenstein, V., Gregory S X, and Funke, J. (2020). Neurotransmitter Classification from Electron Microscopy Images at Synaptic Sites in Drosophila. bioRxiv, 2020.06.12.148775.
- S5. Allen, M.J., and Murphey, R.K. (2007). The chemical component of the mixed GF-TTMn synapse in Drosophila melanogaster uses acetylcholine as its neurotransmitter. Eur. J. Neurosci. *26*, 439–445.
- S6. Tootoonian, S., Coen, P., Kawai, R., and Murthy, M. (2012). Neural representations of courtship song in the Drosophila brain. J. Neurosci. *32*, 787–798.
- S7. Lai, J.S.-Y., Lo, S.-J., Dickson, B.J., and Chiang, A.-S. (2012). Auditory circuit in the Drosophila brain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *109*, 2607–2612.
- S8. Wang, K, Wang, F, Forknall, N, Yang, T, Patrick, C, Parekh, R, Dickson, BJ (2021). Neural circuit mechanisms of sexual receptivity in Drosophila females. Nature. *589*, 577-581.
- S9. Deutsch, D., Pacheco, D., Encarnacion-Rivera, L., Pereira, T., Fathy, R., Clemens, J., Girardin, C., Calhoun, A., Ireland, E., Burke, A., *et al.* (2020). The neural basis for a persistent internal state in Drosophila females. eLife *9*:e59502.
- S10. Shan Xu, C., Januszewski, M., Lu, Z., Takemura, S.-Y., Hayworth, K.J., Huang, G., Shinomiya, K., Maitin-Shepard, J., Ackerman, D., Berg, S., *et al.* (2020). A Connectome of the Adult Drosophila Central Brain. bioRxiv, 2020.01.21.911859.
- S11. Dolan, M.-J., Frechter, S., Bates, A.S., Dan, C., Huoviala, P., Roberts, R.J., Schlegel, P., Dhawan, S., Tabano, R., Dionne, H., *et al.* (2019). Neurogenetic dissection of the Drosophila lateral horn reveals major outputs, diverse behavioural functions, and interactions with the mushroom body. eLife *8*:e43079.
- S12. Kamikouchi, A., Inagaki, H.K., Effertz, T., Hendrich, O., Fiala, A., Göpfert, M.C., and Ito, K. (2009). The neural basis of Drosophila gravity-sensing and hearing. Nature *458*, 165– 171.
- S13. Vaughan, A.G., Zhou, C., Manoli, D.S., and Baker, B.S. (2014). Neural pathways for the detection and discrimination of conspecific song in D. melanogaster. Curr. Biol. *24*, 1039–

1049.

S14. Clemens, J., Girardin, C.C., Coen, P., Guan, X.-J., Dickson, B.J., and Murthy, M. (2015). Connecting Neural Codes with Behavior in the Auditory System of Drosophila. Neuron *87*, 1332–1343.