

Figure 1

Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 4h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,1328
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=1,530, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,382
Mean WT GBZ10	0,2629
95% confidence interval	-0,4539 to 0,2157
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 4h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,0763
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=2,257, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,2703
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,1806
95% confidence interval	-0,2606 to 0,08128
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 24h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,8267
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=0,2488, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,3705
Mean WT GBZ10	0,385
95% confidence interval	-0,2361 to 0,2651
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 24h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,7169
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=0,4174, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,2743
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,2859
95% confidence interval	-0,1081 to 0,1313
Table Analyzed	Ddit3 4h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,2993
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=0,6198, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,01832
Mean WT GBZ10	0,0167
95% confidence interval	-0,01283 to 0,009595
Table Analyzed	Ddit3 4h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,0132
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=6,041, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,03433
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,02837
95% confidence interval	-0,01020 to -0,001715
Table Analyzed	Ddit3 24h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,2585
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=0,7800, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,01862
Mean WT GBZ10	0,01788
95% confidence interval	-0,004822 to 0,003342
Table Analyzed	Ddit3 24h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,0293
P value summary	*
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=3,946, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,03492
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,02526
95% confidence interval	-0,02018 to 0,0008721
Table Analyzed	Trib3 4h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,1227
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=1,626, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,00625
Mean WT GBZ10	0,007055
95% confidence interval	-0,001325 to 0,002935
Table Analyzed	Trib3 4h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,1106
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=1,756, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,07922
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,06332
95% confidence interval	-0,05485 to 0,02306
Table Analyzed	Trib3 24h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,4836
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=0,04640, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,005235
Mean WT GBZ10	0,00517
95% confidence interval	-0,006093 to 0,005963
Table Analyzed	Trib3 24h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,0051
P value summary	**
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=9,853, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,05951
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,03938
95% confidence interval	-0,02891 to -0,01134

Table Analyzed	Gadd34 4h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	0,01
P value	*
P value summary	*
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=-6,960, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,02111
Mean WT GBZ10	0,02955
95% confidence interval	0,003222 to 0,01366

Table Analyzed	Gadd34 4h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	0,0339
P value	*
P value summary	*
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=3,642, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,0263
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,0337
95% confidence interval	-0,001342 to 0,01615

Table Analyzed	Gadd34 24h WT plac vs WT GBZ10
Unpaired t test	0,3501
P value	ns
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=-0,4446, df=2
Mean WT placebo	0,0181
Mean WT GBZ10	0,01726
95% confidence interval	-0,009023 to 0,007333

Table Analyzed	Gadd34 24h 2b5 plac vs 2b5 GBZ10
Unpaired t test	0,0834
P value	ns
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=2,131, df=2
Mean 2b5ho placebo	0,02419
Mean 2b5ho GBZ10	0,02625
95% confidence interval	-0,002110 to 0,006250

Figure 2

Table Analyzed	p-eIF2 α /eIF2 α 4h placebo vs S1
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,0569
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=1,913, df=5
Mean of placebo	0,07091
Mean of S1 4,5	0,04179
95% confidence interval	-0,06824 to 0,01000
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 4h plac vs GBZ4,5
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,0725
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=1,725, df=5
Mean of placebo	0,07091
Mean of GBZ 4,5	0,04773
95% confidence interval	-0,05771 to 0,01136
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 4h plac vs GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,0391
P value summary	*
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=2,354, df=4
Mean of placebo	0,07091
Mean of GBZ 10	0,03487
95% confidence interval	-0,07853 to 0,006459
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 24h plac vs S1
Mann Whitney test	
P value	0,5
Exact or approximate P value?	Exact
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
Sum of ranks in column A,B	12 , 16
Mann-Whitney U	6
Median of placebo	0,05321, n=3
Median of S1 4,5	0,04328, n=4
Difference: Actual	-0,009931
Difference: Hodges-Lehmann	-0,00393
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 24h plac vs GBZ4,5
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,1794
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=1,010, df=5
Mean of placebo	0,05421
Mean of GBZ 4,5	0,04648
95% confidence interval	-0,02740 to 0,01194
Table Analyzed	p-eIF2α/eIF2α 24h plac vs GBZ10
Unpaired t test	
P value	0,2069
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=0,8910, df=5
Mean of placebo	0,05421
Mean of GBZ 10	0,04637
95% confidence interval	-0,03046 to 0,01478

Figure 4, A

Two-way RM ANOVA		Matching: Stacked							
Alpha		0,05							
Table Analyzed		WT plac vs VWM placebo body weight				WT: n=8		VWM: n=16	
Source of Variation		% of total variation		P value		P value summary		Significant? Geisser-Greenhouse's epsilon	
Time x Genotype		2,417		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Time		4,867		<0,0001		****		Yes 0,2083	
Genotype		84,45		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Subject		9,008		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Two-way RM ANOVA		Matching: Stacked							
Alpha		0,05							
Table Analyzed		WT body weight - treatment vs plac							
Source of Variation		% of total variation		P value		P value summary		Significant?	
Time x Treatment		4,39		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Time		32,68		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Treatment		27,45		0,0004		***		Yes	
Subject		30,42		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Dunnnett's multiple comparisons test		Mean Diff,		95,00% CI of diff,		Significant?		Summary Adjusted P Value	
Placebo-WT vs. Guanabenz 4.5-WT		3,594		1,760 to 5,428		Yes		*** 0,0001	
Placebo-WT vs. Sefhin1-WT		1,128		-0,7061 to 2,962		No		ns 0,3101	
Placebo-WT vs. Guanabenz 10-WT		1,15		-0,6840 to 2,984		No		ns 0,2957	
Test details		Mean 1		Mean 2		Mean Diff,		SE of diff, N1 N2 q DF	
Placebo-WT vs. Guanabenz 4.5-WT		25,74		22,14		3,594		0,7385 176 176 4,866 28	
Placebo-WT vs. Sefhin1-WT		25,74		24,61		1,128		0,7385 176 176 1,527 28	
Placebo-WT vs. Guanabenz 10-WT		25,74		24,59		1,15		0,7385 176 176 1,557 28	
Two-way RM ANOVA		Matching: Stacked							
Alpha		0,05							
Table Analyzed		VWM body weight - treatment vs plac							
Source of Variation		% of total variation		P value		P value summary		Significant?	
Time x Treatment		7,303		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Time		17,57		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Treatment		10,98		0,0119		*		Yes	
Subject		54,26		<0,0001		****		Yes	
Dunnnett's multiple comparisons test		Predicted (LS) mean diff,		95,00% CI of diff,		Significant?		Summary Adjusted P Value	
Placebo-2b42b5 vs. Guanabenz 4.5-2b42b5		-0,7324		-1,594 to 0,1292		No		ns 0,1125	
Placebo-2b42b5 vs. Sefhin1-2b42b5		0,4364		-0,4112 to 1,284		No		ns 0,4653	
Placebo-2b42b5 vs. Guanabenz 10-2b42b5		-0,381		-1,229 to 0,4666		No		ns 0,5703	
Test details		Predicted (LS) mean 1		Predicted (LS) mean		Predicted (LS) mean dif		SE of diff, N1 N2 q DF	
Placebo-2b42b5 vs. Guanabenz 4.5-2b42b5		15,38		16,11		-0,7324		0,3572 352 330 2,051 59	
Placebo-2b42b5 vs. Sefhin1-2b42b5		15,38		14,94		0,4364		0,3514 352 352 1,242 59	
Placebo-2b42b5 vs. Guanabenz 10-2b42b5		15,38		15,76		-0,381		0,3514 352 352 1,084 59	

Figure 4, B

Kruskal-Wallis test		<0,0001							
P value		<0,0001							
Exact or approximate P value?		Approximate							
P value summary		****							
Number of groups		4							
Kruskal-Wallis statistic		21,23							
Dunn's multiple comparisons test		Mean rank diff,		Significant?		Summary		Adjusted P Valu A-?	
placebo vs. guanabenz (4.5mg/kg)		18,33		Yes		**		0,0085 B guanabenz (4,5mg/kg)	
placebo vs. guanabenz (10mg/kg)		10,75		No		ns		0,2251 C guanabenz (10mg/kg)	
placebo vs. sephin1		-7,75		No		ns		0,5981 D sephin1	
Test details		Mean rank 1		Mean rank 2		Mean rank diff,		n1 n2 Z	
placebo vs. guanabenz (4.5mg/kg)		37,13		18,8		18,33		16 15 2,985	
placebo vs. guanabenz (10mg/kg)		37,13		26,38		10,75		16 16 1,78	
placebo vs. sephin1		37,13		44,88		-7,75		16 16 1,283	

Figure 4, C

Table Analyzed		WT-VWM placebo slips on BB							
Unpaired t test with Welch's correction									
P value		<0,0001							
P value summary		****							
One- or two-tailed P value?		One-tailed							
Welch-corrected t, df		t=6,788, df=15,63							
Mean WT placebo		0,5							
Mean VWM placebo		9,375							
95% confidence interval		6,098 to 11,65							
Table Analyzed		WT treatment, slips on BB							
Kruskal-Wallis test		0,1546							
P value		0,1546							
Exact or approximate P value?		Approximate							
P value summary		ns							
Number of groups		4							
Kruskal-Wallis statistic		5,247							
Dunn's multiple comparisons test		Mean rank diff,		Significant?		Summary		Adjusted P Valu A-?	
placebo vs. sephin1		-0,625		No		ns		>0,9999 B sephin1	
placebo vs. Gbz 10 mg/kg		5,813		No		ns		0,3758 C Gbz 10 mg/kg	
placebo vs. 2b4he2b5ho - gbz (d4.5)		5,813		No		ns		0,3758 D 2b4he2b5ho - gbz (d4.5)	
Table Analyzed		VWM treatment, slips on BB							
Welch's ANOVA test									
W (DFn, DFd)		31,78 (3,000, 25,91)							
P value		<0,0001							
P value summary		****							
Dunnnett's T3 multiple comparisons test		Mean Diff,		95,00% CI of diff,		Significant?		Summary Adjusted P Value A-?	
placebo vs. sephin1		-1,492		-7,076 to 4,092		No		ns 0,833 B sephin1	
placebo vs. Gbz 10 mg/kg		6		1,728 to 10,27		Yes		** 0,0015 C Gbz 10 mg/kg	
placebo vs. 2b4he2b5ho - gbz (d4.5)		8,775		4,862 to 12,69		Yes		**** <0,0001 D 2b4he2b5ho - gbz (d4.5)	
Test details		Mean 1		Mean 2		Mean Diff,		SE of diff, n1 n2 t DF	
placebo vs. sephin1		9,375		10,87		-1,492		1,973 16 15 0,7561 28,1	
placebo vs. Gbz 10 mg/kg		9,375		3,375		6		1,491 16 16 4,024 23,9	
placebo vs. 2b4he2b5ho - gbz (d4.5)		9,375		0,6		8,775		1,308 16 15 6,71 15,7	

Figure 5

Table Analyzed		WT-VWM placebo									
Nested t test											
P value	0,0003										
P value summary	***										
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed										
t, df	t=8,958, df=5										
F, DFn, Dfd	80,24, 1, 5										
Mean of WT	10,4										
Mean of VWM	37,45										
95% confidence interval	-34,81 to -19,29										
Random effects	SD		Variance								
Variation within subcolumns	6,428		41,32								
Variation among subcolumn means	2,002		4,008								
Table Analyzed		WT treatment effect									
ANOVA summary											
F	3,881										
P value	0,1474										
P value summary	ns										
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value						
Treatment (between columns)	55,22	3	18,41	F (3, 3) = 3,881	P=0,1474						
Residual (within columns)	14,23	3	4,743								
Total	69,45	6									
Table Analyzed		VWM treatment effect									
Nested one-way ANOVA											
P value	0,0056										
P value summary	**										
F, DFn, Dfd	7,349, 3, 11										
Random effects	SD		Variance								
Variation within subcolumns	7,074		50,04								
Variation among subcolumn means	1,933		3,737								
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value						
placebo vs. S1	-3,804	-12,17 to 4,565	No	ns	0,5057						
placebo vs. GBZ W10	-2,426	-8,956 to 4,103	No	ns	0,6483						
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	8,617	1,530 to 15,70	Yes	*	0,0179						
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	N1	N2	q	DF			
placebo vs. S1	37,42	41,23	-3,804	3,046	27	10	1,249	11			
placebo vs. GBZ W10	37,42	39,85	-2,426	2,377	27	24	1,021	11			
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	37,42	28,8	8,617	2,58	27	18	3,34	11			

Figure 6

Table Analyzed		WT VWM placebo -weighed mean						
Unpaired t test								
P value	0,0009							
P value summary	***							
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed							
t, df	t=6,028, df=6							
Table Analyzed		WT (caudal&cranial) ratio						
ANOVA summary								
F	0,6863							
P value	0,5852							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	3,82	3	1,273	F (3, 8) = 0,6863	P=0,5852			
Residual (within columns)	14,84	8	1,855					
Total	18,66	11						
Table Analyzed		VWM (caudal&cranial) ratio weighed mean						
ANOVA summary								
F	2,44							
P value	0,1021							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	882,5	3	294,2	F (3, 16) = 2,44	P=0,1021			
Residual (within columns)	1929	16	120,6					
Total	2812	19						
Table Analyzed		VWM- cranial weighed average						
Data sets analyzed	A-D							
ANOVA summary								
F	1,459							
P value	0,263							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	478,9	3	159,6	F (3, 16) = 1,459	P=0,2630			
Residual (within columns)	1750	16	109,4					
Total	2229	19						
Table Analyzed		VWM- caudal weighed average						
ANOVA summary								
F	3,439							
P value	0,0421							
P value summary	*							
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	A-?		
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	24,73	2,405 to 47,06	Yes	*	0,0288	B	GBZ D4.5	
placebo vs. GBZ W10	17,11	-5,217 to 39,43	No	ns	0,1535	C	GBZ W10	
placebo vs. S1 D4.5	4,87	-17,46 to 27,20	No	ns	0,8956	D	S1 D4.5	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	n1	n2	q	DF
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	45,53	20,8	24,73	8,611	5	5	2,872	16
placebo vs. GBZ W10	45,53	28,43	17,11	8,611	5	5	1,987	16
placebo vs. S1 D4.5	45,53	40,66	4,87	8,611	5	5	0,5655	16
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	1913	3	637,6	F (3, 16) = 3,439	P=0,0421			
Residual (within columns)	2966	16	185,4					
Total	4879	19						
Table Analyzed		WT - cranial weighed average						
ANOVA summary								
F	1,148							
P value	0,3872							
P value summary	ns							
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	A-?		
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	-0,52	-4,101 to 3,061	No	ns	0,9517	B	GBZ D4.5	
placebo vs. GBZ W10	-0,6867	-4,268 to 2,895	No	ns	0,9009	C	GBZ W10	
placebo vs. S1 D4.5	-2,193	-5,775 to 1,388	No	ns	0,2551	D	S1 D4.5	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	n1	n2	q	DF
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	0,7133	1,233	-0,52	1,244	3	3	0,4181	8
placebo vs. GBZ W10	0,7133	1,4	-0,6867	1,244	3	3	0,5522	8
placebo vs. S1 D4.5	0,7133	2,907	-2,193	1,244	3	3	1,764	8
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	7,988	3	2,663	F (3, 8) = 1,148	P=0,3872			
Residual (within columns)	18,56	8	2,32					
Total	26,55	11						
Table Analyzed		WT - caudal weighed average						
ANOVA summary								
F	0,481							
P value	0,7045							
P value summary	ns							
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	A-?		
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	-0,34	-4,774 to 4,094	No	ns	0,992	B	GBZ D4.5	
placebo vs. GBZ W10	-1,71	-6,144 to 2,724	No	ns	0,5753	C	GBZ W10	
placebo vs. S1 D4.5	-0,9933	-5,428 to 3,441	No	ns	0,8559	D	S1 D4.5	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	n1	n2	q	DF
placebo vs. GBZ D4.5	1,527	1,867	-0,34	1,54	3	3	0,2208	8
placebo vs. GBZ W10	1,527	3,237	-1,71	1,54	3	3	1,11	8
placebo vs. S1 D4.5	1,527	2,52	-0,9933	1,54	3	3	0,6451	8
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	5,133	3	1,711	F (3, 8) = 0,481	P=0,7045			
Residual (within columns)	28,45	8	3,557					
Total	33,59	11						

Figure 8

Table Analyzed		Genotype-plc Ratio p-eIF2a						
Mann Whitney test								
P value	0,0357							
Exact or approximate P value?	Exact							
P value summary	*							
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed							
Sum of ranks in column A,B	15, 21							
Mann-Whitney U	0							
Difference between medians								
Median of WT	0,06963, n=2							
Median of VWM	0,02852, n=6							
Difference: Actual	-0,04311							
Difference: Hodges-Lehmann	-0,04268							
Table Analyzed		WT treatment effect Ratio p-eIF2a						
ANOVA summary								
F	6,306							
P value	0,0375							
P value summary	*							
Dunnnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	A-?		
Plc vs. dGBZ4.5	0,01967	-0,008833 to 0,04817	No	ns	0,1581	B	dGBZ4.5	
Plc vs. wGBZ10	0,02435	-0,004155 to 0,05285	No	ns	0,0847	C	wGBZ10	
Plc vs. S1	-0,004856	-0,03087 to 0,02116	No	ns	0,8681	D	S1	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	n2	q	
Plc vs. dGBZ4.5	0,06963	0,04996	0,01967	0,008695	2	2	2,262	
Plc vs. wGBZ10	0,06963	0,04528	0,02435	0,008695	2	2	2,8	
Plc vs. S1	0,06963	0,07448	-0,004856	0,007937	2	3	0,6118	
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	0,00143	3	0,0004768	F (3, 5) = 6,306	P=0,0375			
Residual (within columns)	0,000378	5	7,56E-05					
Total	0,001808	8						
Table Analyzed		VWM treatment effect ratio p-eIF2a						
Kruskal-Wallis test								
P value	0,0207							
Exact or approximate P value?	Approximate							
P value summary	*							
Number of groups	4							
Kruskal-Wallis statistic	9,762							
Dunn's multiple comparisons test	Mean rank diff.	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	A-?			
Plc vs. dGBZ4.5	9,833	Yes	*	0,0361	B		dGBZ4.5	
Plc vs. wGBZ10	9	No	ns	0,0646	C		wGBZ10	
Plc vs. S1	11,13	Yes	*	0,0201	D		S1	
Test details	Mean rank 1	Mean rank 2	Mean rank diff.	n1	n2	Z		
Plc vs. dGBZ4.5	19,33	9,5	9,833	6	6	2,511		
Plc vs. wGBZ10	19,33	10,33	9	6	6	2,298		
Plc vs. S1	19,33	8,2	11,13	6	5	2,711		
Table Analyzed		WT-VWM placebo Ddit3						
Unpaired t test								
P value	<0,0001							
P value summary	****							
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes							
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed							
t, df	t=9,345, df=6							
Mean WT	0,06455							
Mean VWM	0,2682							
95% confidence interval	0,1503 to 0,2570							
Table Analyzed		WT treatment effects Ddit3						
ANOVA summary								
F	0,7992							
P value	0,5452							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF						
Treatment (between columns)	0,00008096	3						
Residual (within columns)	0,0001688	5						
Total	0,0002498	8						
Table Analyzed		VWM treatment effects Ddit3						
ANOVA summary								
F	5,461							
P value	0,0076							
P value summary	**							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	A-?		
Treatment (between columns)	0,02498	3	No	ns	0,9999	B	Seph1 4,5 mg/kg	
Residual (within columns)	0,02744	18	Yes	**	0,0098	C	Gbz 10 mg/kg	
Total	0,05242	21	Yes	*	0,0458	D	Gbz 4,5 mg/kg	
Dunnnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.	0,001583	0,0252	6	4	0,06282	
Placebo vs. Seph1 4,5 mg/kg	0,001583	-0,06322 to 0,06639	0,07542	0,02254	6	6	3,346	
Placebo vs. Gbz 10 mg/kg	0,07542	0,01745 to 0,1334	0,05895	0,02254	6	6	2,615	
Placebo vs. Gbz 4,5 mg/kg	0,05895	0,0009859 to 0,1169					18	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2						
Placebo vs. Seph1 4,5 mg/kg	0,2682	0,2686						
Placebo vs. Gbz 10 mg/kg	0,2682	0,1928						
Placebo vs. Gbz 4,5 mg/kg	0,2682	0,2092						
Table Analyzed		WT-VWM placebo Trib3						
Unpaired t test								
P value	<0,0001							
P value summary	****							
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed							
t, df	t=13,18, df=6							
Mean WT	0,0092							
Mean VWM	0,3998							
95% confidence interval	0,3181 to 0,4630							
Table Analyzed		WT treatment effects Trib3						
ANOVA summary								
F	0,9784							
P value	0,4727							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF						
Treatment (between columns)	0,000006076	3						
Residual (within columns)	0,00001035	5						
Total	0,00001643	8						

Table Analyzed		VWM treatment effects Trib3						
Welch's ANOVA test	5,409 (3,000, 8,400)							
W (DFn, DFd)	0,0233							
P value	-							
P value summary								
Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	A-?		
Placebo vs. Seph1 4,5 mg/kg	0,03603	-0,3444 to 0,4165	No	ns	0,924	B	Seph1 4,5 mg/kg	
Placebo vs. Gbz 10 mg/kg	0,07842	0,0004601 to 0,1564	Yes	*	0,0242	C	Gbz 10 mg/kg	
Placebo vs. Gbz 4,5 mg/kg	0,1123	0,01575 to 0,2089	Yes	*	0,0109	D	Gbz 4,5 mg/kg	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	n2	t	DF
Placebo vs. Seph1 4,5 mg/kg	0,3998	0,3637	0,03603	0,06648	6	4	0,5419	3,38
Placebo vs. Gbz 10 mg/kg	0,3998	0,3213	0,07842	0,02403	6	6	3,263	9,92
Placebo vs. Gbz 4,5 mg/kg	0,3998	0,2874	0,1123	0,02905	6	6	3,867	8,76

Table Analyzed		WT-VWM placebo Gadd34	
Unpaired t test	<0,0001		
P value	****		
P value summary	One-tailed		
One- or two-tailed P value?	t=11,41, df=6		
t, df			
Mean WT	0,0873		
Mean VWM	0,2447		
95% confidence interval	0,1236 to 0,1911		

Table Analyzed		WT treatment effects Gadd34	
ANOVA summary	3,998		
F	0,085		
P value	ns		
P value summary			
ANOVA table	SS	DF	
Treatment (between columns)	0,0009824	3	
Residual (within columns)	0,0004096	5	
Total	0,001392	8	

Table Analyzed		VWM treatment effects Gadd34	
ANOVA summary	1,52		
F	0,2434		
P value	ns		
P value summary			
ANOVA table	SS	DF	
Treatment (between columns)	0,009111	3	
Residual (within columns)	0,03596	18	
Total	0,04508	21	

Figure 9

Table Analyzed		min α 2 CHOP, without ER stress						
ANOVA summary								
F	1,343							
P value	0,3086							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	0,04447	2	0,02224	F (2, 9) = 1,343	P=0,3086			
Residual (within columns)	0,149	9	0,01655					
Total	0,1934	11						
Table Analyzed		plus α 2 CHOP, without ER stress						
ANOVA summary								
F	1,039							
P value	0,3926							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	0,009861	2	0,00493	F (2, 9) = 1,039	P=0,3926			
Residual (within columns)	0,04271	9	0,004745					
Total	0,05257	11						
Table Analyzed		min α 2 CHOP, ER stress						
Mann Whitney test								
P value	0,0011							
Exact or approximate P value?	Exact							
P value summary	**							
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed							
Sum of ranks in column A,E	21, 57							
Mann-Whitney U	0							
Median of DMSO	0,07936, n=6							
Median of TG	1,414, n=6							
Difference: Actual	1,334							
Difference: Hodges-Lehmann	1,343							
Table Analyzed		min α 2 CHOP, ER stress						
ANOVA summary								
F	4,735							
P value	0,0255							
P value summary	*							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	2,968	2	1,484	F (2, 15) = 4,735	P=0,0255			
Residual (within columns)	4,702	15	0,3135					
Total	7,67	17						
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	E-?		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	0,856	0,06751 to 1,644	Yes	*	0,0334	F	TG + S1	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	0,8667	0,07823 to 1,655	Yes	*	0,0313	G	TG + GBZ	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	n2	q	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	1,367	0,5107	0,856	0,3232	6	6	2,648	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	1,367	0,5	0,8667	0,3232	6	6	2,681	
DF							15	
DF							15	
Table Analyzed		plus α 2 CHOP, ER stress						
Unpaired t test								
P value	0,0063							
P value summary	**							
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed							
t, df	t=3,031, df=10							
Mean of DMSO	0,1349							
Mean of TG	0,5198							
95% confidence interval	0,1020 to 0,6678							
Table Analyzed		plus α 2 CHOP, ER stress						
Kruskal-Wallis test								
P value	0,0327							
Exact or approximate P value?	Exact							
P value summary	*							
Number of groups	3							
Kruskal-Wallis statistic	6,468							
Dunn's multiple comparisons test	Mean rank diff.	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	E-?			
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	6,333	No	ns	0,0798	F		TG + S1	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	7,167	Yes	*	0,0401	G		TG + GBZ	
Test details	Mean rank 1	Mean rank 2	Mean rank diff.	n1	n2	Z		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	14	7,667	6,333	6	6	2,055		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	14	6,833	7,167	6	6	2,325		
Table Analyzed		min α 2 Trib3, without ER stress						
ANOVA summary								
F	0,7322							
P value	0,5074							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	0,1282	2	0,0641	F (2, 9) = 0,7322	P=0,5074			
Residual (within columns)	0,7879	9	0,08755					
Total	0,9161	11						
Table Analyzed		plus α 2 Trib3, without ER stress						
ANOVA summary								
F	0,7063							
P value	0,5189							
P value summary	ns							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	0,07069	2	0,03535	F (2, 9) = 0,7063	P=0,5189			
Residual (within columns)	0,4504	9	0,05004					
Total	0,5211	11						
Table Analyzed		min α 2 Trib3, ER stress						
Unpaired t test with Welch's correction								
P value	<0,0001							
P value summary	****							
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed							
Welch-corrected t, df	t=12,45, df=5,435							
Mean of DMSO	0,3776							
Mean of TG	2,666							
95% confidence interval	1,827 to 2,750							
Table Analyzed		min α 2 Trib3, ER stress						
ANOVA summary								
F	4,894							
P value	0,0231							
P value summary	*							
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value			
Treatment (between columns)	3,531	2	1,766	F (2, 15) = 4,894	P=0,0231			
Residual (within columns)	5,412	15	0,3608					
Total	8,944	17						
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	E-?		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	1,076	0,2303 to 1,922	Yes	*	0,0135	F	TG + S1	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	0,6567	-0,1892 to 1,503	No	ns	0,1357	G	TG + GBZ	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	n2	q	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	2,666	1,59	1,076	0,3468	6	6	3,103	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	2,666	2,009	0,6567	0,3468	6	6	1,894	
DF							15	
DF							15	

Table Analyzed	plus $\alpha 2$ Trib3, ER stress								
Unpaired t test									
P value	<0,0001								
P value summary	****								
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed								
t, df	t=17,48, df=10								
Mean of DMSO	0,4336								
Mean of TG	1,727								
95% confidence interval	1,128 to 1,458								
Table Analyzed	plus $\alpha 2$ Trib3, ER stress								
ANOVA summary									
F	14,56								
P value	0,0003								
P value summary	***								
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value				
Treatment (between columns)	2,845	2	1,422	F (2, 15) = 14,56	P=0,0003				
Residual (within columns)	1,466	15	0,0977						
Total	4,31	17							
Dunnnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	E-?			
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	0,842	0,4018 to 1,282	Yes	***	0,0006	F		TG + S1	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	0,8447	0,4045 to 1,285	Yes	***	0,0006	G		TG + GBZ	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	n1	n2	q		DF
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	1,727	0,8848	0,842	0,1805	6	6	4,666		15
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	1,727	0,8821	0,8447	0,1805	6	6	4,68		15
Table Analyzed	min $\alpha 2$ Gadd34, without ER stress								
Kruskal-Wallis test									
P value	0,3697								
Exact or approximate P value?	Exact								
P value summary	ns								
Number of groups	3								
Kruskal-Wallis statistic	2,192								
Table Analyzed	plus $\alpha 2$ Gadd34, without ER stress								
Kruskal-Wallis test									
P value	0,4803								
Exact or approximate P value?	Exact								
P value summary	ns								
Number of groups	3								
Kruskal-Wallis statistic	1,654								
Table Analyzed	min $\alpha 2$ Gadd34, ER stress								
Unpaired t test with Welch's correction									
P value	0,0001								
P value summary	***								
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed								
Welch-corrected t, df	t=8,848, df=5,077								
Mean of DMSO	0,1013								
Mean of TG	1,453								
95% confidence interval	0,9606 to 1,742								
Table Analyzed	min $\alpha 2$ Gadd34, ER stress								
ANOVA summary									
F	7,718								
P value	0,005								
P value summary	**								
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value				
Treatment (between columns)	1,568	2	0,7838	F (2, 15) = 7,718	P=0,0050				
Residual (within columns)	1,523	15	0,1016						
Total	3,091	17							
Dunnnett's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	E-?			
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	0,5304	0,08158 to 0,9792	Yes	*	0,021	F		TG + S1	
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	0,6905	0,2417 to 1,139	Yes	**	0,0036	G		TG + GBZ	
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	n1	n2	q		DF
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1	1,453	0,9224	0,5304	0,184	6	6	2,883		15
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ	1,453	0,7622	0,6905	0,184	6	6	3,753		15
Table Analyzed	plus $\alpha 2$ Gadd34, ER stress								
Unpaired t test									
P value	<0,0001								
P value summary	****								
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed								
t, df	t=10,24, df=10								
Mean of DMSO	0,1186								
Mean of TG	0,7364								
95% confidence interval	0,4835 to 0,7522								
Table Analyzed	plus $\alpha 2$ Gadd34, ER stress								
ANOVA summary									
F	9,228								
P value	0,0024								
P value summary	**								
R squared	0,5517								
ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value				
Treatment (between columns)	0,2148	2	0,1074	F (2, 15) = 9,228	P=0,0024				
Residual (within columns)	0,1746	15	0,01164						
Total	0,3894	17							

Supp. Fig. 1

Table Analyzed	WT placebo injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,2144
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=-1,570, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	1,108
SD of differences	1,412
95% confidence interval	-1,138 to 3,355
Table Analyzed	WT S1 injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,2809
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=-1,312, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	-1,362
SD of differences	2,076
95% confidence interval	-4,666 to 1,942
Table Analyzed	WT GBZ10 injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,0264
P value summary	*
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=3,112, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	133,9
SD of differences	86,03
95% confidence interval	-3,035 to 270,8
Table Analyzed	WT GBZ4,5 injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,0059
P value summary	**
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=5,493, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	174,8
SD of differences	63,64
95% confidence interval	73,51 to 276,0
Table Analyzed	VWM placebo injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,2472
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=-1,433, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	2,304
SD of differences	3,215
95% confidence interval	-2,811 to 7,419
Table Analyzed	VWM S1 injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,6195
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=-0,5518, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	0,9126
SD of differences	3,307
95% confidence interval	-4,350 to 6,175
Table Analyzed	VWM GBZ10 injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,003
P value summary	**
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=7,030, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	152
SD of differences	43,25
95% confidence interval	83,21 to 220,9
Table Analyzed	VWM GBZ4,5 injection & no injection
Paired t test	
P value	0,0129
P value summary	*
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=4,124, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (injection - no injection)	151,9
SD of differences	73,67
95% confidence interval	34,69 to 269,1
Table Analyzed	WT gbz 10 inj, 1 vs 3
Paired t test	
P value	0,9073
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=0,1266, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (inj 3 - inj 1)	-8,835
SD of differences	139,6
95% confidence interval	-230,9 to 213,3
Table Analyzed	WT gbz 10 inj, 1 vs 4
Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test	
P value	0,875
Exact or approximate P value?	Exact
P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
Sum of positive, negative ranks	4,000 , -6,000
Sum of signed ranks (W)	-2
Number of pairs	4
Number of ties (ignored)	0
Median	-82,74
Table Analyzed	WT gbz 4,5 inj, 1 vs 3
Paired t test	
P value	0,0049
P value summary	**
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed
t, df	t=5,893, df=3
Number of pairs	4
Mean of differences (inj 3 - inj 1)	-131,3
SD of differences	44,57
95% confidence interval	-202,2 to -60,41

Table Analyzed		WT gbz 4,5 inj, 1 vs 4
Paired t test		
P value	0,0095	**
P value summary	**	
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed	
t, df	t=-4,632, df=3	
Number of pairs	4	
Mean of differences (inj 4 - inj 1)	-103,6	
SD of differences	44,72	
95% confidence interval	-174,7 to -32,41	

Table Analyzed		VWM GBZ 10 inj, 1 vs 3
Paired t test		
P value	0,3876	
P value summary	ns	
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	
t, df	t=1,008, df=3	
Number of pairs	4	
Mean of differences (inj 3 - inj 1)	14,53	
SD of differences	28,83	
95% confidence interval	-31,34 to 60,41	

Table Analyzed		VWM GBZ 10 inj, 1 vs 4
Paired t test		
P value	0,4089	
P value summary	ns	
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	
t, df	t=0,9576, df=3	
Number of pairs	4	
Mean of differences (inj 4 - inj 1)	-37,36	
SD of differences	78,04	
95% confidence interval	-161,5 to 86,81	

Table Analyzed		VWM GBZ 4,5 inj, 1 vs 3
Paired t test		
P value	0,0271	*
P value summary	*	
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed	
t, df	t=3,080, df=3	
Number of pairs	4	
Mean of differences (inj 3 - inj 1)	-126,3	
SD of differences	82	
95% confidence interval	-256,7 to 4,209	

Table Analyzed		VWM GBZ 4,5 inj, 1 vs 4
Paired t test		
P value	0,0083	**
P value summary	**	
One- or two-tailed P value?	One-tailed	
t, df	t=-4,856, df=3	
Number of pairs	4	
Mean of differences (inj 4 - inj 1)	-85,68	
SD of differences	35,29	
95% confidence interval	-141,8 to -29,52	

Table Analyzed		AUC WT hypothermia GBZ treatments						
ANOVA summary								
F	0,8004							
P value	0,4787							
P value summary	ns							

ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Treatment (between columns)	12260	2	6130	F (2, 9) = 0,8004	P=0,4787
Residual (within columns)	68928	9	7659		
Total	81187	11			

Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value
WT Gbz10 vs. WT Gbz4,5	-42,66	-215,4 to 130,1	No	ns	0,7754

Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	n2	q	DF
WT Gbz10 vs. WT Gbz4,5	137,9	180,6	-42,66	61,88	4	4	0,9748	9

Table Analyzed		AUC VWM hypothermia GBZ treatments						
ANOVA summary								
F	1,246							
P value	0,3329							
P value summary	ns							

ANOVA table	SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value
Treatment (between columns)	13317	2	6658	F (2, 9) = 1,246	P=0,3329
Residual (within columns)	48094	9	5344		
Total	61411	11			

Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value
Mut Gbz10 vs. Mut Gbz4,5	2,53	-141,8 to 146,9	No	ns	0,9987

Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	n2	q	DF
Mut Gbz10 vs. Mut Gbz4,5	155,8	153,2	2,53	51,69	4	4	0,06922	9

Supp. Fig. 4

Table Analyzed		Ddit3 24H					
ANOVA summary							
F	5,817						
P value	0,0239						
P value summary							
ANOVA table		SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value	
Treatment (between columns)		0,003682	2	0,001841	F (2, 9) = 5,817	P=0,0239	
Residual (within columns)		0,002849	9	0,0003165			
Total		0,006531	11				
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test		Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.		Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value
placebo vs. S1 4.5		-0,007189	-0,04007 to 0,02570		No	ns	0,7963
placebo vs. GBZ 4.5		0,03304	0,0001548 to 0,06595		Yes	*	0,049
Test details		Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	
placebo vs. S1 4.5		0,07	0,07719	-0,007189	0,01258	4	
placebo vs. GBZ 4.5		0,07	0,03696	0,03304	0,01258	4	
Table Analyzed		Trib3 24H					
ANOVA summary							
F	9,211						
P value	0,0066						
P value summary							
ANOVA table		SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value	
Treatment (between columns)		0,01494	2	0,007471	F (2, 9) = 9,211	P=0,0066	
Residual (within columns)		0,0073	9	0,0008111			
Total		0,02224	11				
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test		Mean Diff.	95,00% CI of diff.		Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value
placebo vs. S1 4.5		-0,006128	-0,05877 to 0,04652		No	ns	0,9352
placebo vs. GBZ 4.5		0,0716	0,01896 to 0,1242		Yes	*	0,0113
Test details		Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	
placebo vs. S1 4.5		0,1153	0,1215	-0,006128	0,02014	4	
placebo vs. GBZ 4.5		0,1153	0,04374	0,0716	0,02014	4	
Table Analyzed		Gadd34 24H					
ANOVA summary							
F	0,2901						
P value	0,755						
P value summary							
ANOVA table		SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value	
Treatment (between columns)		0,0005901	2	0,000295	F (2, 9) = 0,290	P=0,7550	
Residual (within columns)		0,009154	9	0,001017			
Total		0,009744	11				

Supp. Fig. 5

Table Analyzed		ADRA2A2									
Unpaired t test											
P value		0,0022									
P value summary		**									
One- or two-tailed P value?		One-tailed									
t, df		t=15,09, df=2									
Mean of - α 2		0,00135									
Mean of + α 2		124,6									
95% confidence interval		89,07 to 160,1									
Table Analyzed		min α2 XBP1s/u, without ER stress									
ANOVA summary											
F		0,8886									
P value		0,4444									
P value summary		ns									
ANOVA table		SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value					
Treatment (between columns)		0,01802	2	0,009009	F (2, 9) = 0,8886	P=0,4444					
Residual (within columns)		0,09125	9	0,01014							
Total		0,1093	11								
Table Analyzed		plus α2 XBP1s/u, without ER stress									
Kruskal-Wallis test											
P value		0,941									
Exact or approximate P value?		Exact									
Do the medians vary signif. (P < 0.05)?		No									
Number of groups		3									
Kruskal-Wallis statistic		0,1538									
Table Analyzed		min α2 XBP1s/u, ER stress									
Mann Whitney test											
P value		0,0011									
Exact or approximate P value?		Exact									
P value summary		**									
One- or two-tailed P value?		One-tailed									
Sum of ranks in column A,E		21, 57									
Mann-Whitney U		0									
Median of DMSO		0,9441, n=6									
Median of TG		13,78, n=6									
Difference: Actual		12,83									
Difference: Hodges-Lehmann		12,77									
Table Analyzed		min α2 XBP1s/u, ER stress									
ANOVA summary											
F		4,532									
P value		0,0289									
P value summary		*									
ANOVA table		SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value					
Treatment (between columns)		106	2	52,98	F (2, 15) = 4,532	P=0,0289					
Residual (within columns)		175,4	15	11,69							
Total		281,3	17								
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test		Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	E-?				
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1		5,595	0,7794 to 10,41	Yes	*	0,0232	F	TG + S1			
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ		4,533	-0,2819 to 9,349	No	ns	0,0655	G	TG + GBZ			
Test details		Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	n1	n2	q	DF		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1		13,83	8,235	5,595	1,974	6	6	2,834	15		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ		13,83	9,296	4,533	1,974	6	6	2,297	15		
Table Analyzed		plus α2 XBP1s/u, ER stress									
Mann Whitney test											
P value		0,0022									
Exact or approximate P value?		Exact									
P value summary		**									
One- or two-tailed P value?		One-tailed									
Sum of ranks in column A,E		21, 45									
Mann-Whitney U		0									
Median of DMSO		1,085, n=6									
Median of TG		13,79, n=5									
Difference: Actual		12,71									
Difference: Hodges-Lehmann		12,58									
Table Analyzed		plus α2 XBP1s/u, ER stress									
ANOVA summary											
F		19,35									
P value		0,0001									
P value summary		***									
ANOVA table		SS	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd)	P value					
Treatment (between columns)		238,8	2	119,4	F (2, 13) = 19,35	P=0,0001					
Residual (within columns)		80,22	13	6,171							
Total		319	15								
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test		Mean Diff,	95,00% CI of diff,	Below threshold?	Summary	Adjusted P Value	E-?				
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1		9,509	5,622 to 13,40	Yes	****	<0,0001	F	TG + S1			
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ		6,596	2,875 to 10,32	Yes	**	0,0014	G	TG + GBZ			
Test details		Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff,	SE of diff,	n1	n2	q	DF		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + S1		13,78	4,27	9,509	1,571	5	5	6,052	13		
TG 0,33 μ M vs. TG + GBZ		13,78	7,182	6,596	1,504	5	6	4,385	13		

Supp. Data CatWalk WT-VWM placebo

Category	Parameter	Paws	Analysis	VWM placebo vs WT placebo
RUN CHARACTERIZATION	Duration (s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Cadence (steps/s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Average speed (cm/s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Number of steps (#)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Maximum variation (%)	All	p = 0.416 (KW)	-
INTERLIMB COORDINATION	Base of support (cm)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (AN) p = 0.279 (KW)	0.984
	Stride length (cm)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Step sequence - Regularity index (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.006 (t)
	Support Lateral (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Support Single (%)	All	p = 0.001 (KW)	0.001 (t)
	Support Girdle (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Support Diagonal (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t)
	Support Three (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.009 (t)
	Support Four (%)	All	p = 0.023 (KW)	0.787
	Stand (s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (AN, LOG10) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0.002 (t)
	Swing (s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.001 (t) 0 (t)
Step cycle (s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (AN) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0 (t)	
Duty cycle (s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (AN) p = 0.048 (KW)	0.001 (t) 0.697	
Single stance (s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.004 (t) 0 (t)	
Initial dual stance (s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.004 (t) 0.061	
Terminal dual stance (s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.003 (t) 0.552	
Max intensity At (%)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0 (t)	
Max contact At (%)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (AN) p = 0.001 (AN)	0.011 (t) 0.702	
KINETIC	Stand Index	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.452 0.001 (t)
	Swing speed (cm/s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0 (t)
	Body speed (cm/s)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0 (t)
	Body speed variation (cm/s)	FP HP	p = 0.169 (KW) p = 0.195 (KW)	- -
	SPATIAL	Print length (cm)	FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)
Print width (cm)		FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (AN)	0 (t) 0 (t)
Print area (cm ²)		FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (AN)	0 (t) 0 (t)
Max contact area (cm ²)		FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (AN, LOG10)	0 (t) 0 (t)
Max contact max intensity (AU)		FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0 (t)
Max contact mean intensity (AU)		FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0.016 (t)
Max intensity (AU)		FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0 (t)
Mean intensity (AU)		FP HP	p < 0.0001 (KW) p < 0.0001 (KW)	0 (t) 0.009 (t)
Min intensity (AU)		FP HP	p = 0.587 (AN, LOG10) p = 0.287 (KW)	- -

Supp. Data CatWalk VWM treatment

Category	Parameter	Paws	Analysis	VWM GBZ D4.5 vs VWM placebo	VWM GBZ W10 vs VWM placebo	VWM GBZ D4.5 vs VWM placebo	VWM GBZ D4.5 vs WT D4.5
RUN CHARACTERIZATION	Duration (s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.086	0 (I)	0 (I)	0.013 (I)
	Cadence (steps/s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.254	0.026 (I)	0 (I)	0.04 (I)
	Average speed (cm/s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.086	0 (I)	0 (I)	0.016 (I)
INTERLIMB COORDINATION	Number of steps (#)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.024 (I)	0.006 (I)	0 (I)	0.002 (I)
	Stride length (cm)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.021 (I)	0 (I)	0 (I)	0 (I)
	Step sequence - Regularity index (%)	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.21	0 (I)	0 (I)	0.001 (I)
TEMPORAL	Support Lateral (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.616	0.006 (I)	0.001 (I)	0.636
	Support Single (%)	All	p < 0.001 (KW)	0.21	0.11	0.006 (I)	0.949
	Support Cradle (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.638	0.005 (I)	0 (I)	0.363
	Support Diagonal (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.023 (I)	0.001 (I)	0 (I)	0.007 (I)
	Support These (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.119	0.035 (I)	0.003 (I)	0.004 (I)
	Stand (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN, LDG10)	0.715	0.861	0 (I)	0.738
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.047 (I)	0.043 (I)	0 (I)	0.019 (I)
	Swing (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.897	0.036 (I)	0.829	0.028 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.616	0.002 (I)	0 (I)	0.681
	Step cycle (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.294	1	0 (I)	0.276
KINETIC	Duty cycle (s)	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.086	0.001 (I)	0 (I)	0.023 (I)
	Single stance (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.809	0.011 (I)	0 (I)	0.661
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.515	0.004 (I)	0.984	0.019 (I)
	Initial dual stance (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.724	0.004 (I)	0 (I)	0.656
	Terminal dual stance (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.073	0.007	0 (I)	0.028 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.119	0.011 (I)	0 (I)	0.19
	Max intensity AI (%)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.879	0.21	0 (I)	0.169
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.094	0.16	0.012 (I)	0.392
	Max contact AI (%)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.984	0.93	0.977	0.067
	Stand index	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.21	0.003 (I)	0 (I)	0.023 (I)
SPATIAL	Swing speed (cm/s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.119	0.002 (I)	0 (I)	0.008 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.867	0.003 (I)	0 (I)	0.028 (I)
	Body speed (cm/s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.043 (I)	0 (I)	0 (I)	0.016 (I)
SPATIAL		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.056	0 (I)	0 (I)	0.019 (I)
	Print length (cm)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.638	0.126	0.984	0 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.11	0.381	0.072	0.548
	Print width (cm)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.27	0 (I)	0 (I)	0.357
		HP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.826	0.994	0.42	0.57
	Print area (cm ²)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.287	0.102	0.83	0.003 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.819	1	0.35	1
	Max contact area (cm ²)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.486	0.224	0.77	0.007 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (AN, LDG10)	0.767	0.049 (I)	0.049 (I)	1
	Max contact max intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.445	0.023 (I)	0.006 (I)	0.365
	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.491	0.149	0 (I)	0.975	
Max contact mean intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.491	0.051	0.045 (I)	0.238	
	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.616	0.061	0 (I)	0.265	
Max intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.239	0.102	0.072	0.294	
	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.696	0.21	0 (I)	0.778	
Mean intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.323	0.08	0.232	1	
	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.616	0.08	0 (I)	0.265	

Supp. Data CatWalk WT treatment

Category	Parameter	Paws	Analysis	WT S1 D4.5 vs WT placebo	WT GB2 W10 vs WT placebo	WT GB2 D4.5 vs WT placebo
RUN CHARACTERIZATION	Duration (s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	1	0.574	0.065
	Cadence (steps/s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.442	0.721	0.328
	Average speed (cm/s)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.328	0.959	0.382
	Number of steps (#)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.958	0.875	0.207
INTERLIMB COORDINATION	Stride length (cm)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.959	0.721	0.279
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.878	0.905	0.505
	Step sequence - Regularity index (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.916	0.833	0.342
	Support Lateral (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.585	0.161	0.006 (I)
	Support Single (%)	All	p = 0.001 (KW)	0.701	0.153	0.15
	Support Girdle (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.873	0.155	0.709
	Support Diagonal (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.721	0.878	0.234
	Support Three (%)	All	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.646	0.959	0.13
Max contact AI (%)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	1	0.921	0.862	
TEMPORAL	Stand (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN, LOG10)	0.999	0.993	0.955
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.878	0.442	0.234
	Swing (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.05	0.959	0.279
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.038 (I)	0.721	0.234
	Step cycle (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.987	0.996	0.972
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.721	0.505	0.279
	Duty cycle (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.999	0.992	0.35
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.065	0.574	0.789
	Single stance (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.028 (I)	0.574	0.574
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.721	0.382	0.105
	Initial dual stance (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.645	0.382	0.234
	Terminal dual stance (s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	1	0.878	0.007 (I)
	Max intensity AI (%)	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.13	0.574	0.13
	Max contact AI (%)	FP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	1	0.921	0.862
KINETIC	Stand index	HP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	0.574	0.279	0.161
	Swing speed (cm/s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.195	0.645	1
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.105	0.789	1
	Body speed (cm/s)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.442	0.959	0.328
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.382	0.959	0.382
SPATIAL	Print length (cm)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.574	0.01 (I)	0 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.721	0.442	0.001 (I)
	Print width (cm)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.442	0.442	0.279
		HP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	1	0.79	0.001 (I)
	Print area (cm ²)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.195	0.038 (I)	0 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (AN)	1	0.958	0.003 (I)
	Max contact area (cm ²)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.195	0.105	0 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (AN, LOG10)	1	0.871	0.001 (I)
	Max contact max intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.105	0.645	0.001 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	1	0.959	0.161
	Max contact mean intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.065	0.442	0.002 (I)
		HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.789	0.789	0.442
	Max intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.028 (I)	0.13	0 (I)
	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.878	0.878	0.161	
Mean intensity (AU)	FP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	0.105	0.234	0 (I)	
	HP	p < 0.0001 (KW)	1	0.878	0.234	